

Q  $\longleftrightarrow$  CL  $\longleftrightarrow$  SuSy

# Quantum mechanical and classical systems' connection with a supersymmetry

E. V. Mozgunov<sup>2,1</sup> and M. V. Feigel'man<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kosygin str.2, Moscow 119334, Russia*

<sup>2</sup> *Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow 141700, Russia*

# 1 matrices

This basic knowledge is reviewed, for example, in [Phys. Rev. B 81, 184303 (2010) Quantum mechanical and information theoretic view on classical glass transitions, Claudio Castellano, Claudio Chamon, and David Sherrington]

Consider a system whose configurations are labeled by  $i$ , and where the probability  $P_i(t)$  of the system being in configuration  $i$  at time  $t$  is governed by the Markov equation

$$\dot{P} = WP \quad (1)$$

where the NxN transition matrix  $W$  satisfies probability conservation  $\sum_i W_{ij} = 0$  and detailed balance (see below), note that if  $\text{diag}(W) < 0$ ,  $\text{offdiag}(W) > 0$ , equation (1) is equivalent to random walk on N sites, but it is not necessary.

We want zeroth mode to be  $P_i^{(0)} = Z^{-1}e^{-\beta E_i}$  and demand

$$j_{ik} = j_{ki} \quad W_{ik}P_k^{(0)} = W_{ki}P_i^{(0)} \quad W_{ij}e^{-E_j/T} = W_{ji}e^{-E_i/T} \quad \text{detailed balance} \quad (2)$$

We can express the ensemble average of any given observable  $A$  as  $\bar{A} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_i P_i(t)$  for any  $P(t=0)$ . Only the slowest mode survives (its unique existence is ensured by Perron-Frobenius theorem for any irreducible finite system size) and one arrives at the thermodynamic equilibrium expression:

$$\bar{A} = A_i P_i^{(0)} = Z^{-1} A_i e^{-\beta E_i} \quad (3)$$

We investigate the two-time correlation function: system is in the equilibrium  $P^{(0)}$

$$\left( A = A_i \text{ with probability } P_i^{(0)} \right) \times \left[ \text{if } P_k = \delta_{ki} \text{ at } t=0 \text{ then } P_m(t) = (e^{Wt})_{mi} \right] \times (B = B_m) \quad (4)$$

This middle term is the very definition of conditional probability that the system be in configuration  $m$  at time  $t$ , given that it was in configuration  $i$  at time  $t=0$ . Now we write it

$$C(\tau) = \sum_{i,j} P_i^{(0)} A_i P_{i \rightarrow j}(\tau) B_j = P_i^{(0)} A_i (e^{Wt})_{mi} B_m \quad (5)$$

using vector-row  $I$  containing 1s

$$C(\tau) = I B e^{W\tau} A P^{(0)} \quad (6)$$

where diagonal matrices  $A_{ij} = \delta_{ij} A_i$ ,  $B_{ij} = \delta_{ij} B_i$ .

It is possible to construct a real *symmetric* matrix  $H$  that has the same eigenvalues as  $W$  by means of a similarity transformation

$$H = -S^{-1} W S, \quad S_{ij} = \delta_{ij} e^{-E_i/2T} \quad (7)$$

(it's symmetric due to detailed balance (2)) Also we will need a vector  $s^T = I S$  with the elements of  $S$

$$C(\tau) = I B e^{W\tau} A P = s^T S^{-1} B S e^{-H\tau} S^{-1} A P = s^T B e^{-H\tau} A S^{-1} P^{(0)} \quad (8)$$

We take advantage of the fact that  $S^{-1} P^{(0)} = s$ , and so  $Hs = 0$ . We can introduce new notation

$$C(\tau) = s^T B e^{-H\tau} A s = \langle GS | B e^{-H\tau} A | GS \rangle \quad (9)$$

Now we can form a statement: correlation function in classical stochastic process with transition matrix  $W$  are equal to imaginary time correlation function of diagonal operators in quantum system with Hamiltonian  $H$ .

To get real-time correlation function, one needs to perform analytic continuation from  $\tau = [0, +\infty]$  on  $\tau = [-i\infty, +i\infty]$ . If it is possible to know  $C(\tau)$  analytically, then just  $C_q(t) = \langle GS | B e^{-iHt} A | GS \rangle = C(\tau = it)$

Question: what's the requirements from the quantum side?

Answer: very strict. No magnetic field ( $H = H^T$ ), must know the ground state, and it should have all amplitudes nonzero (existence of  $S$ ).

## 2 Fokker-Planck

Now lets describe continual  $\dot{\varphi} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi} + \xi(t)$  (10)

stochastic evolution  $\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = 2T\delta(t-t')$  (11)

2 ways from here: considering ensemble of particles and differentials or discretizing space. Anyway we get

Fokker-Planck eqn.  $\dot{P}(\varphi, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (T \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}) P(\varphi, t)$  (12)

to see that it is of form (1), apply any scheme  $\varphi = nh$

(12)  $\rightarrow \dot{P}_n(t) = W_{nm} P_m$  (13)

$\partial^2/\partial\varphi^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  etc. Get three-diagonal matrix. Check  $\sum_i W_{ij} = 0$  and detailed balance (2) for  $E = V$ . To get a hamiltonian like in (7) we need  $S_i = e^{-E_i/2T} \rightarrow S(\varphi) = e^{-V/2T}$ ,  $P = S\Psi$

$\dot{P} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (T \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}) P \rightarrow -\dot{\Psi} = -T (\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{1}{2T} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}) (\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{1}{2T} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}) \Psi$  (14)

conventional Shroedinger eqn. form after ( $\tau \rightarrow it$ )

$iT\dot{\Psi} = -T^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \varphi^2} + U(\varphi)\Psi$  (15)

T – Planck constant! Potential

$U(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4} (V')^2 - \frac{T}{2} V''$  (16)

But note the form that appeared in the middle:

$iT\dot{\Psi} = (iT \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}) (i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{i}{2T} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}) \Psi = q^\dagger q \Psi$  (17)

Where  $q = iT \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{i}{2T} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}$ ,  $q^\dagger = iT \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{i}{2T} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi}$ . This operator annihilates GS, but that's not enough for supersymmetry! Supercharge Q must  $Q^2 = 0$ , and Hamiltonian must be  $H = \{Q, Q^\dagger\}$ . Things become even worse when we consider N particles (labeled j) in d dimensions (labeled  $\alpha$ ):

$H = \sum_{j,\alpha} q_{j,\alpha}^\dagger q_{j,\alpha}$  (18)

Trick: introduce fermionic operator  $a_{j,\alpha}$  for every degree of freedom. Now we can define supercharge:

$Q = \sum_{j,\alpha} q_{j,\alpha} a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger, \quad Q^\dagger = \sum_{j,\alpha} q_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha}$  (19)

Supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$H = \{Q, Q^\dagger\} = \sum_{j,\alpha} q_{j,\alpha}^\dagger q_{j,\alpha} (a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha} + 1) + q_{j,\alpha} q_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j,\alpha} + \sum_{j\alpha \neq j'\alpha'} (q_{j,\alpha}) a_{j,\alpha}^\dagger a_{j',\alpha'}$  (20)

Now lets project our model onto zero-fermion sector and we get

$\langle 0_F | H | 0_F \rangle = \sum_{j,\alpha} q_{j,\alpha}^\dagger q_{j,\alpha}$  (21)

### 3 models

But was it possible to do without this doubling trick?

Yes. Take matrix  $Q$ :  $Q^2 = 0$  and you know that  $Q\Psi_0 = 0$ . Then you can construct  $H = \{Q, Q^\dagger\}$ . If  $Q$  is real (or pure im) then  $H$  is symmetric. To make it classical  $S = \text{diag}(\Psi_0)$ . Note that you need  $S^{-1}$  so all amplitudes in  $\Psi_0$  must be nonzero (we're not in continuum limit yet). So  $W = -SHS^{-1}$ , and if all the signs satisfy:

$\text{diag}(W) < 0$ ,  $\text{offdiag}(W) > 0$ , you can simulate it via Monte Carlo.

For two known examples: chain and ladder of hardcore fermions, incredibly, this is indeed the case. It also works for Rokhsar-Kivelson point of dimer Hamiltonian.

Question: both the  $\sum_{j,\alpha} q_{j,\alpha}^\dagger q_{j,\alpha}$  Hamiltonians and direct  $\{Q, Q^\dagger\}$  Hamiltonians has their continuum limit, yet very different. In latter case the number of lattice sites goes to infinity, and what we arrive is said [A supersymmetric model for lattice fermions, L. Huijse] to be (N=2 supersymmetry). In former case the indices space  $j, \alpha$  approaches continuum limit. Corresponding field theory was explicitly constructed in [Hidden supersymmetry in stochastic dissipative dynamics, Feigelman, M. V.; Tsvelik, A. M., ZhETP, vol. 83, Oct. 1982, p. 1430-1443. [http://www.jetp.ac.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e\\_056\\_04\\_0823.pdf](http://www.jetp.ac.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_056_04_0823.pdf)] So are they of the same class of SuSy theories?

My model has  $H = \sum_{j,\alpha} q_{j,\alpha}^\dagger q_{j,\alpha}$  in 2D

$$\text{where } i\hat{\mathbf{q}}_j = \nabla_j - \alpha \left( \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|^2} - \pi n r_j \right) \quad (22)$$

ground state is known exactly

$$\Psi_0(r_1, \dots, r_N) = c \prod_{j>k} |r_j - r_k|^{2\alpha} e^{-\pi\alpha n \sum_i r_i^2} \quad (23)$$

By its form we see that particles are bosons. Expansion of H

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{p_j^2}{2m} + V_1(\mathbf{r}_j) \right) + \sum_{j,k=1}^N V_2(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_k) + \sum_{j,k,n=1}^N V_3(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_n) \quad (24)$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_i \left[ -\nabla_i^2 + 2\alpha \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla_i^2 \ln|r_i - r_j| + 4\alpha^2 \sum_{j \neq i, k \neq i} \nabla_i \ln|r_i - r_j| \nabla_i \ln|r_i - r_k| + (2\pi\alpha n)^2 r_i^2 \right] \quad (25)$$

$V_3$  (integrated over 3rd particle with density  $n$ )  $\rightarrow - \sum_{j,k=1}^N \frac{g^2}{2\pi} \ln|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|$  where  $g = 4\pi\alpha\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}$

Using procedure described above, we can map this system dynamics onto a set of Langevin eqns:

$$\frac{dr_{j,\alpha}}{dt} = - \frac{\partial V\{\mathbf{r}_i\}}{\partial r_{j,\alpha}} + \xi_{j,\alpha}(t) \quad (26)$$

$$\text{where } \overline{\xi_{j,\alpha}(t)\xi_{k,\beta}(t')} = 2T\delta_{jk}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta(t-t'). \quad (27)$$

$$V\{\mathbf{r}_i\} = -4\alpha T \sum_{j>k} \ln|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k| + 2\pi\alpha T n \sum_i r_i^2. \quad (28)$$

This is done in

**Excitation spectrum of a 2d long-range Bose-liquid with a supersymmetry** (to be published)

Mozgunov EV, Feigel'man MV  
Landau institute for Th. Physics

## 4 entanglement

Here I present a method for fast numerical calculation of the quantity  $\text{tr}\rho^2$ , used for characterizing of entanglement in Renyi entropy (reduced density matrix  $\rho = \int da_2 \psi_0(a_1, a_2) \psi_0(b_1, a_2)$ , gr. state is real):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\rho^2 &= \int \int da_1 db_1 \rho(a_1, b_1) \rho(b_1, a_1) = \\ &= \int da_1 da_2 db_1 db_2 \psi_0(a_1, a_2) \psi_0(b_1, a_2) \psi_0(b_1, b_2) \psi_0(a_1, b_2) \end{aligned}$$

Twice evaluation of Hilbert space integral takes  $\text{Np}^2 \text{Np}$  ( $\text{Np}$  - number of particles, exact ground state wavefunction is known  $\psi_0$  (see above) ). To get rid of exponential difficulty of the problem, I present rather clumsy but feasible **technique**.

Exploiting the same equivalence Shroedinger eqn.  $\rightarrow$  Fokker-Planck eqn.  $\rightarrow$  Langevin dynamics makes it possible to estimate any averages of the form:

$$\int da_1 da_2 \psi_0(a_1, a_2) f(a_1, a_2) \psi_0(a_1, a_2)$$

Where  $f$  is arbitrary function of coordinates. I emphasize that if difficulty of calculation  $f(a_1, a_2)$  scales as  $\text{Np}^k$  (below check  $k = 2$  ), then averaging takes  $\text{N}_{it} \text{Np}^k$ , where  $\text{N}_{it}$  - number of steps in computer program needed for convergence of the algorithm. Main assumption of Monte-Carlo calculations states that it converges sufficiently faster than  $O(\exp(\text{Np}))$ , required for direct integration.

Now the formalism.  $\text{tr}\rho^2$  contains an integral

$$\int db_1 db_2 \psi_0(a_1, b_2) \psi_0(b_1, b_2) \psi_0(b_1, a_2) =$$

rewrite it as ground state average:

$$= \int db_1 db_2 \psi_0(b_1, b_2) \frac{\psi_0(a_1, b_2) \psi_0(b_1, a_2)}{\psi_0(b_1, b_2)} \psi_0(b_1, b_2) =$$

Fixing  $a_1, a_2$ , one can MC-estimate this average:

$$= \left\langle \frac{\psi_0(a_1, b_2) \psi_0(b_1, a_2)}{\psi_0(b_1, b_2)} \right\rangle_b = A(a_1, a_2)$$

Let us come back to the main formula  $\text{tr}\rho^2$  with this knowledge:

$$\text{tr}\rho^2 = \int da_1 da_2 \psi_0(a_1, a_2) A(a_1, a_2) =$$

Do the same trick once more:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int da_1 da_2 \psi_0(a_1, a_2) \frac{A(a_1, a_2)}{\psi_0(a_1, a_2)} \psi_0(a_1, a_2) = \\ &= \left\langle \frac{A(a_1, a_2)}{\psi_0(a_1, a_2)} \right\rangle_a \end{aligned}$$

Note that estimating  $A$  needs to be done for every  $a_1, a_2$ . But this enters only as a factor in overall computation time. So, entanglement Renyi entropy is estimated for  $\sim$ polynomial number of steps.

$$\text{tr}\rho^2 = \left\langle \frac{\left\langle \frac{\psi_0(a_1, b_2) \psi_0(b_1, a_2)}{\psi_0(b_1, b_2)} \right\rangle_b}{\psi_0(a_1, a_2)} \right\rangle_a$$