Reconstructing the Reionisation History with the Cosmic Microwave Background

Jochen Weller (Ludwigs-Maximilians-University Munich) Effort of Planck WG 5: lead by Nabila Aghanim, Richard Battye, JW



The Transport of CMB Photons through a lonised Universe

- 3 phases
 - tight coupling regime: z > 1100
 - photons and baryons are coupled and the Universe is opaque
 - free streaming regime: 1000>z>20 (?)
 - Universe is neutral and the CMB photons stream freely through the medium
 - Ionized regime: 20>z
 - photons couple again to free electrons



The Scattering Surfaces



Quantitative Prescription

 Imprint on CMB anisotropies governed by the visibility – or probability that a photon scatters out of the line of sight

$$g = \dot{\tau} e^{-\tau}$$

•
$$\tau$$
 is the optical depth given by

$$\dot{\tau} = x_e n_H \sigma_T$$

wih $x_e n_H$ the number density of free electrons



Example for Visibility



Imprint on CMB Anisotropies

• For simple analytical argument assume for a moment a visibility made of two delta-functions

$$g(z) = e^{-\tau(z_r)} \delta(z - z_{rec}) + (1 - e^{-\tau(z_r)}) \delta(z - z_r)$$

 In this case the CMB temperature anisotropy is given by three contributions

$$\Delta_{l} = e^{-\tau(z_{r})} F_{l}(z_{rec}) + \left[1 - e^{-\tau(z_{r})}\right] F_{l}(z_{r}) + ISW$$

- For astrophysical reionisation scenarios ('low' reionisation redshift and low optical depth) the second term is usually negligible
- Hence: Damped temperature anisotropy



Damped Temperature Fluctuations



Imprint on Polarization Anisotropies

• Two contributions

$$E_l \propto \left[e^{-\tau(z_r)} P(z_{rec}) \varepsilon_l \left\{ z_{rec} \right\} + \left(1 - e^{-\tau(z_r)} \right) P(z_r) \varepsilon_l \left\{ z_r \right\} \right]$$

- Quadrupole P(z) grows significantly after recombination. For polarization second term is NOT negligible
- $\mathcal{E}_{|}$ (Bessel function) peaks for angular scales of last scattering and reionisation

Anisotropy Power Spectra





Response in Polarization Spectra



Reconstructing the Reionisation History

Ionization fraction in bins:

$$x_e(z) = x_i$$
 $z_i - \frac{\Delta z}{2} < z < z_i + \frac{\Delta z}{2}$

- maximum redshift above, which ionisation fraction follows std. recombination history
- minimum redshift below, which ionisation is complete (here z=6)



Result for WMAP3





Beware of Prior

- Conclusion: For more bins ionization fraction is lower at intermediate redshift
- Prior: Random amplitude in each bin
- If data is not strongly constraining there is a tendency to have a small contribution to the optical depth in each bin. This effect is getting stronger for a larger number of bins
- Way out? Include smoothness or more realistic prior



As Good as it Gets



Perfect Situation: Fixed cosmological parameters; noise free



Forecasting Planck

Lewis, Weller & Battye 2006



Including noise, marginalized over cosmological parameters



Conclusions so far

- Hard to distinguish the three fiducial models at the $2-\sigma$ level
- Planck can not resolve the start of reionization accurately to distinguish a high x_e followed by a low x_e from the case of two equal contributions
- 4 bins look better if maximum reionisation is fixed to z = 18
- The estimate of the total optical depth is robust for binning

Principal Component Approach

(Hu & Holder 2003; Mortonson & Hu 2007,2008)

- calculate Fisher matrix for leading order approximation of likelihood $F_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i^e \partial x_j^e} \right\rangle$
- Diagonalize Fisher matrix to establish independent modes $D = XFX^T$

$$x_e(z) = x_e^{fid}(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j e_j(z)$$

- Inverse Eigenvalue is measure of uncertainty in Eigenmode $\Delta\alpha_j=\lambda_j^{-1/2}$

Eigenmodes in the Reionisation Fraction



just E and cosmic variance $z_{min}=6$; $\Delta z = 0.25$; $z_{max} = 25$



Mortonson & Hu 06 (different normalization !)

Impact of Maximum Redshift





Completeness from Modes



How to Decide the Number of Modes

- Fix a priori (Mortonson & Hu use 6)
 - from convergence arguments
- Use Evidence
 - evidence measures three effects in fitting
 - goodness of fit
 - degradation of errorbars due to increased number of parameters
 - bias between true underlying model and fiducial • model

 $\mathcal{E} = P(D|H) \approx P(D|\theta_L, H) \exp(-C) \left(\frac{|F+P|}{|P|}\right)^{-1/2}$ bias: prior-true

Occam's razor

Simple Rule of Thumb

- Rough guide for significant Eigenmodes is N λ $_{\rm i}$ > 100
 - under simplifying Gaussian assumptions
 - neglect bias
- However, taking only low number of Eigenmodes creates bias wrt to true model

Forecasted Eigenmodes for Planck

• Use instantaneous reionization as fiducial model ($\tau = 0.09$)



Using T, E, TE and noise

- Improvement only on first mode: au
- 4 modes significantly constraint



Priors – Again !

- PCA leads to negative (unphysical) ionization fraction
- Constraint on possible amplitudes

$$\alpha_{i}^{(\pm)} = \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} dz \frac{e_{i}(z)[1 - 2x_{e}^{fid}(z)] \pm |e_{i}(z)|}{2(z_{max} - z_{min})}$$

$$\sum_{i} e_i^2(z) < max[(x_e^{fid})^2, (1 - x_e^{fid})^2]$$

• Too restrictive for finite set of Eigenmodes; in practice prior on optical depth τ required



Modes from WMAP3



Mortonson & Hu 07



Result for WMAP5



Mortonson & Hu 08

Cosmic Variance Limited Case



Mortonson & Hu 07



A Better Approach: Reconstructing the Visibility Function

- $x_e(z)$ bins not too well constrained
- CMB directly sensitive to visibility $\dot{ au} e^{- au}$
- Probability that photon gets scatter out of line of sight

$$v = \dot{\tau}e^{-\tau} = N(z-z_1)^n (z_2-z)^m$$

 A lot of models captured by this; allows non-Gaussian scatter probability





Conclusion

- Currently reionisation history constraints from CMB deliver 'only' the optical depth
- Binning approach is versatile
- PCA shows that possibly 3-4 modes can be constrained with Planck
 - Polarization foregrounds on large scales ?
- Careful about priors and physicality
- Direct constraint of visibility function is most likely a bit better