Integrability and Non-planarity

C. Kristjansen

arXiv:1005:2611 [hep-th] (P. Caputa, C.K. and K. Zoubos) arXiv:0811.2150 [hep-th], (C.K., M. Orselli, K. Zoubos), arXiv:0903.3354 [hep-th], (P. Caputa, C.K., K. Zoubos),

The Niels Bohr Institute University of Copenhagen

IGST 2010 Stockholm, Jume 28th, 2010

C. Kristjansen Integrability and Non-planarity

▲ @ ▶ ▲ ⊇ ▶ ▲ ⊇ ▶ ...

- Integrability of the spectral problem of planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM
- Beyond the planar limit for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM
- Non-planar ABJ(M), integrability and parity
- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with gauge group SO(N)
- Summary and outlook

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

The spectral problem of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

 $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM, gauge group SU(N) \longleftrightarrow IIB strings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$



Local gauge invariant operators \longleftrightarrow string states Conformal dimensions, $\Delta \longleftrightarrow$ energies of string states

The spectral problem of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: Determine $\Delta = \Delta(\lambda, N) \Leftrightarrow$ Diagonalize dilatation generator D

The planar version: $N \rightarrow \infty$, integrable

Theme of the talk: What happens when we go beyond the planar limit (i.e. *N* finite)

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とうほ

Integrability of the planar spectral problem

Ex: SU(2) sector, one loop order, $\mathcal{O} = \text{Tr}(ZZXXXXZZXXZZ)$



Bethe equations

Length *L* with *M* excitations: *M* Bethe equations for $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{M}$

$$\left(\frac{u_{k}+\frac{i}{2}}{u_{k}-\frac{i}{2}}\right)^{L}=\prod_{j=1, j\neq k}^{M}\frac{u_{k}-u_{j}+i}{u_{k}-u_{j}-i}, \quad k=1,\ldots, M$$

Eigenvalues for \hat{D}

$$E(\{u_k\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{1}{u_k^2 + \frac{1}{4}}$$

Cyclicity constraint

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} p_k = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad u_k = \frac{1}{2} \cot\left(\frac{p_k}{2}\right)$$

◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → ◆ □ →

Higher orders in λ :

Spin chain with long range interactions

Order λ^n : interactions between n + 1 nearest neighbours Still integrable:

> $\exists \text{ conserved charges } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, L :$ at *n*-loop order: $Q_i = Q_i^0 + \lambda Q_i^1 + \dots + \lambda^n Q_i^n$, $[Q_i, Q_j] = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n+1}), \quad Q_i^n \text{ of range } (i+n)$

Conjectured to be true at any loop order (proved to 2-4 loops)

[Beisert, C.K. Staudacher '03, Beisert, & Staudacher '05, Beisert, Eden Staudahcer '06, Beisert, Hernandez, Lopez '06, ...]

Discovery: Observation of otherwise unexplained degeneracies in the spectrum [Beisert, C.K. & Staudacher '03]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

Parity I

$$\hat{P}\mathrm{Tr}(Z^3X^2ZX) = \mathrm{Tr}(XZX^2Z^3) = \mathrm{Tr}(Z^3XZX^2), \qquad \hat{P}^2 = 1$$

 $[\hat{P},\hat{H}]=0,$ i.e. eigenstates of \hat{H} can be chosen of definite parity, $P=\pm 1$

Observation: Pairs of operators with opposite parity but the same energy. Survive loop corrections.

Explanation: The existence of \hat{Q}_3 , i.e. integrability

$$\mathbf{Q}_{3} = \sum_{n} [\mathbf{H}_{n,n+1}, \mathbf{H}_{n+1,n+2}] = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}$$

 $\{\hat{Q}_3, P\} = 0, \qquad [\hat{Q}_3, \hat{H}] = 0 \implies$

The operators in a degenerate pair are connected via \hat{Q}_3 .

표· · · 표· · · 표

Parity II

Be the eqns, dispersion relation, cyclicity constraint invariant under $\{u_k\} \rightarrow \{-u_k\}$.

Unpaired solutions: $|\{u_k\}\rangle$ such that $\{u_k\} = \{-u_k\}$

Paired solutions: $|\{u_k\}\rangle, |\{-u_k\}\rangle$ where $\{u_k\} \neq \{-u_k\}$. Parity in general:

$$\hat{P}|\{u_k\}\rangle = (-1)^{M(L+1)}|\{-u_k\}\rangle$$

Unpaired solutions: $P = (-1)^{M(L+1)}$

Paired solutions can be combined to parity eigenstates:

$$\hat{P}(|\{u_k\}\rangle \pm |\{-u_k\}\rangle) = (-1)^{M(L+1)}(\pm 1)(|\{u_k\}\rangle \pm |\{-u_k\}\rangle)$$

[A. lpsen]

<ロト (四) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Beyond the planar limit for $SU(N) \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

 $\mathcal{O} = \text{Tr}(X \dots XZ \dots)\text{Tr}(X \dots XZ \dots) \subset SU(2)$ sector.

[Constable et al '02], [Beisert, C.K., Plefka, Semenoff & Staudacher '02]



Origin: Quartic interaction between scalars Example:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(ZX\check{Z}\check{X}) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(XZXXZ) \operatorname{Tr}(XZ) = \operatorname{Tr}(ZX\check{Z}ZXXZ) \operatorname{Tr}(XZ)$$

 $= N \operatorname{Tr}(ZXXXZ) \operatorname{Tr}(XZ) + \operatorname{Tr}(ZX) \operatorname{Tr}(ZXX) \operatorname{Tr}(XZ) + \operatorname{Tr}(ZXZZZXXZ)$

<ロト (四) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The non-planar part of \hat{D}



Search for integrability beyond the planar limit

- Search for conserved charges (extremely non-local, involve trace splitting and joinning)
- Search for S-matrix and/or Bethe ansatz (Hilbert space enormously complicated)

個人 くほん くほん 一足

Easy to evaluate

- $D_+\mathcal{O}$, $D_-\mathcal{O}$ involves a finite (small) number of operations
- Only diagonalization of finite-dim. matrix

Strategy:

- Consider closed set of operators. Ex: Length 8 with 3 excitations
- Find the planar eigenvalues and eigenstates (can be checked by Bethe eqns.).
- Write down \hat{D} in the basis of planar eigenstates and do perturbation theory in $\frac{1}{N}$.

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

Lessons learned

Including ¹/_N corrections, degeneracies between parity pairs are lifted, but still [*H*, *P*] = 0 ⇒ absence of *Q*₃, at least in its previous form

Beisert, C.K. Staudacher '03

• Δ does not always have a well-defined expansion in λ and $\frac{1}{N}$ but *D* has. (Higher loop effect.)

[Ryzhov '01], [Arutyunov et al. '02] Bianchi, Kovacs Bianchi, Kovacs C.K. Staudacher '03

 Degeneracies between single and double trace states (of equal parity) lead to ¹/_N as opposed to ¹/_{N²} corrections.

(日本)(日本)(日本)(日本)

Lessons learned for ABJM and ABJ theory

- ABJM: Including ¹/_N-corrections, degeneracies between parity pairs are lifted, but still [*H*, *P*] = 0 ⇒ absence of *Q*₃, at least in its previous form [CK, Orselli Zoubos '08
- ABJ: Including non-planar corrections, [*H*, *P*] ≠ 0 (and degeneracies are lifted). [Caputa, C.K., Zoubos '09
]

Search for integrability beyond the planar limit

- Search for conserved charges (extremely non-local, involve trace splitting and joinning)
- Search for S-matrix and/or Bethe ansatz (Hilbert space enormously complicated)

個人 くほん くほん 一足

 $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM, gauge group SO(N) \longleftrightarrow IIB strings on $AdS_5 \times RP^5$ [Witten '98]

 $RP^{5} = S^{5}/Z_{2}, \quad (\sum_{i=1}^{6} X_{i}^{2} = 1, X^{i} \equiv -X^{i}),$ orientifold

Feynman diags w/ cross-caps \longleftrightarrow non-orientable world sheets Leading $\frac{1}{N}$ -effects do not involve chain splitting and joining

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

Weighting of Feynman and string diagrams



C. Kristjansen Integrability and Non-planarity

The planar spectral problem for SO(N)

Restrict to SU(2) sector: $\mathcal{O} = \text{Tr}(X \dots XZ \dots)$ Parity is gauged: $X^T = -X \implies$

$$\hat{P}$$
Tr $(X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_L}) =$ Tr $(X_{i_L} X_{i_{L-1}} \dots X_{i_1}) = (-1)^L$ Tr $(X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_L})$

Planar dilatation operator at one-loop order

$$\hat{D}_0^{SO(N)} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} (1 - P_{i,i+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{D}_0^{SU(N)}$$

Planar spectral problem \subset planar spectral problem for SU(N)

Surviving states:

• One state from each parity pair: $|\{u_k\}\rangle + (-1)^{M(L+1)+L}|\{-u_k\}\rangle$

• Unpaired states of *L* and *M* even

<ロト (四) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

$\frac{1}{N}$ effects for gauge group SO(N)

Restrict to SU(2) sector: $\mathcal{O} = \text{Tr}(X \dots XZ \dots)\text{Tr}(X \dots XZ \dots)$

$$\hat{D} = -\frac{g_{YM}^2}{8\pi^2} \operatorname{Tr}[Z, X][\check{Z}, \check{X}], \qquad (\check{Z})_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\gamma\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{\alpha\epsilon} \delta_{\beta\gamma} - \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \delta_{\beta\epsilon})$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{2} (D_0 + \frac{1}{N} D_+ + \frac{1}{N} \tilde{D}_- + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} D_{flip}}_{flip})$$

Acts inside a single trace

$$D_{flip} \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(XWZY) = \operatorname{Tr}([Z, X]W^TY) + \operatorname{Tr}([Z, X]YW^T)$$

OBS: D_{flip} involves a sum of such contractions

Leading energy corrections of order $\frac{1}{N}$: $E_1 = \langle \mathcal{O} | D_{flip} | \mathcal{O} \rangle$

御 とくほ とくほ とうほ

We consider only the perturbation D_{flip}

Why interesting:

- leading 1/N corrections at strong coupling only due to D_{flip}
- valid for single trace states, not degenerate with multi-trace states
- defines a new type of spin chain interaction

How

• Try to construct conserved charges $Q = Q^0 + \frac{1}{N}Q^1$

$$\mathbf{0} = [\textit{D}_0,\textit{Q}^1] + [\textit{D}_{\textit{flip}},\textit{Q}^0], \qquad \text{did not succeed}$$

Try to look for perturbed Bethe equations

◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → ◆ □ →

Strategy

- Determine analytically the leading ¹/_N correction for two-excitation states by QM perturbation theory.
- Construct/guess a perturbed Bethe ansatz which reproduces these corrections.
- Determine numerically the leading contribution to states with more excitations by explicit diagonalization and check whether the perturbed Bethe ansatz gives the correct result.

(通) (モン・モン・モ

Leading $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections for SO(N), considering only D_{flip}

Two excitation states: $O_p^J = \text{Tr}(XZ^pXZ^{J-p})$, *J* even, L = J + 2Planar eigenstates: $D_0|n^J\rangle = E_n^0|n^J\rangle$

$$|n^{J}\rangle = rac{1}{J+1} \sum_{p=0}^{J} \cos\left(rac{\pi n(2p+1)}{J+1}
ight) O_{p}^{J}, \qquad 0 \le n \le rac{J}{2}$$

 $E_{n}^{0} = 2\sin^{2}\left(rac{\pi n}{J+1}
ight)$

Non-planar correction: $E_n = E_n^0 + \frac{1}{N} E_n^{flip} = E_n^0 + \frac{1}{N} \langle n^J | D_{flip} | n^J \rangle$

$$E_n^{flip} = -\sin^2(\frac{\pi n}{J+1}) \\ -\frac{1}{J+1} \left\{ 2\tan^2(\frac{\pi n}{J+1}) - \frac{1}{2}\tan^2(\frac{2\pi n}{J+1})\cos(\frac{2\pi n}{J+1}) \right\}$$

OBS: Analytical result, prediction for string theory

▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → ④ ●

[Beisert, Dippel., Staudacher '04]

,

Correction of Bethe equations (i.e. correction of momenta)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{x(u_k + \frac{i}{2})}{x(u_k - \frac{i}{2})} \end{pmatrix}^{L} = \prod_{j \neq k}^{M} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_k - u_j + i}{u_k - u_j - i} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x(u) = u(1 - g^2 \frac{1}{u^2}), \qquad e^{ip} = \frac{x(u + \frac{i}{2})}{x(u - \frac{i}{2})}, \qquad g^2 = \frac{g_{YM}^2 N}{8\pi^2}$$

Correction of dispersion relation

$$E(\{p_k\}) = \sum_k 4\sin^2\left(\frac{p_k}{2}\right) - 16g^2\sin^4\left(\frac{p_k}{2}\right)$$

Two-excitation states with M = 2, L = J + 2: $E = E_0 + g^2 \delta E$

$$\delta E = -\underbrace{16 \sin^4(\frac{n\pi}{J+1})}_{\text{corr. of disp. rel.}} -\underbrace{64 \frac{1}{J+1} \cos^2(\frac{n\pi}{J+1}) \sin^4(\frac{n\pi}{J+1})}_{\text{correction of momenta}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

Leading $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections for SO(N), considering only D_{flip}

$$E_n^{flip} = \underbrace{-\sin^2(\frac{\pi n}{J+1})}_{\text{corr. of disp. rel.?}} \\ -\frac{1}{J+1} \left\{ 2\tan^2(\frac{\pi n}{J+1}) - \frac{1}{2}\tan^2(\frac{2\pi n}{J+1})\cos(\frac{2\pi n}{J+1}) \right\}_{\text{correction of momenta?}}$$

E_n^{flip} from perturbed Bethe equations?

Correction of dispersion relation

$$E(\{p_k\}) = \sum_k 2\sin^2\left(\frac{p_k}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{N}\sin^2\left(\frac{p_k}{2}\right)$$

Correction of Bethe equations (i.e. correction of momenta)

$$\left(\frac{x(u_k+\frac{i}{2})}{x(u_k-\frac{j}{2})}\right)^L = \prod_{j\neq k}^M \left(\frac{u_k-u_j+i}{u_k-u_j-i}\right), \qquad e^{ip} = \frac{x(u+\frac{i}{2})}{x(u-\frac{j}{2})}$$

Parametrizing $x(u) = u(1 - \frac{1}{N}f(u))$ we find

$$f(u+\frac{i}{2})-f(u-\frac{i}{2})=-i\frac{1}{(16u^3)(4u^2-1)}.$$

Use this to make predictions for states with L = 8, M = 4

Find energy corrections by diagonalization and compare Conclusion: Does not work.

(語) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ)

E_n^{flip} from another perturbed Bethe ansatz

Correction of dispersion relation

$$E(\{p_k\}) = \sum_k 2\sin^2\left(\frac{p_k}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{N}\sin^2\left(\frac{p_k}{2}\right)$$

Correction of Bethe equations by new phase factor

$$\left(\frac{u_k+\frac{i}{2}}{u_k-\frac{i}{2}}\right)^L = \prod_{j\neq k}^M \left(\frac{u_k-u_j+i}{u_k-u_j-i}\right) \left(1+\frac{i}{N}h(u_k-u_j)\right), \qquad e^{ip} = \frac{u+\frac{i}{2}}{u-\frac{i}{2}}$$

From our analytical solution for two-excitation states we find

$$h(u) = \frac{1}{2u^3(u^2-1)}$$

Use this to make predictions for states with L = 8, M = 4

Find energy corrections by diagonalization and compare

Conclusion: Does not work.

(日) 日

Summary and outlook

 Have been able to look for integrability beyond the planar limit by conventional methods. No sign of integrability found (yet?)

Need to rethink the concept of integrability when going beyond the planar limit (or forget it?)

• Some analytical results on non-planar anomalous dimensions for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with gauge SO(N). Predictions for string theory.

Dual string theory not yet studied systematically: Spinning strings, pp-wave strings,...

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とうほ