### Anisotropy studies at the Pierre Auger Observatory

Denis Allard APC, CNRS/Université Paris 7 for the Pierre Auger Collaboration TeVPA 2011 - August 1st- 5th Stockholm

### The Pierre Auger Observatory



empirically estimated trigger efficiency : fully saturated for  $\theta \in [0; 60]$  deg above 3.10<sup>18</sup> eV





empirically estimated trigger efficiency : fully saturated for  $\theta \in [0; 60]$  deg above 3.10<sup>18</sup> eV

## integrated exposure constantly monitored and estimated with an accuracy better than 3%

(Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 613 (2010) 29–39)



empirically estimated trigger efficiency : fully saturated for  $\theta \in [0; 60]$  deg above 3.10<sup>18</sup> eV

## integrated exposure constantly monitored and estimated with an accuracy better than 3%

(Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 613 (2010) 29–39)

Angular resolution (empirically estimated) better than I deg at high energy (cross checked with hybrid events)

Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 190 (2009) 20-25.

data set from January I<sup>st</sup> 2004 to December 31<sup>st</sup> 2009 integrated exposure 20,370 km<sup>2</sup>.sr.yr the stability of the angular resolution, energy resolution, energy estimator on the whole period has been checked



# Correlation of the CR arrival direction above 55 EeV and the position of nearby AGNs from the VCV catalogue

Astroparticle Physics 34 (2010) 314-326

The correlation of arrival direction with AGN from the VCV catalogue was used to reject isotropy with 99 C.L with a prescribed statistical test (Science 318 (2007) 938)
Although the prescription is passed, the collaboration continues to monitor the correlation fraction (keeping the parameters used for the prescription : Ψ=3.1 deg, z<sub>max</sub>=0.018, E<sub>min</sub>=55 EeV)



3 periods : I, exploratory scan II, prescription data III, post-prescription -> total 69 events above 55 EeV -> correlation fraction very high for period I and II -> period III : no significant deviation from isotropy expectations -> much weaker correlation than at the time of the science publication

Period	Dates	Exposure (km <sup>2</sup> sr y)	N	k	k <sub>iso</sub>	Р
I	1 January 2004–26 May 2006	4390	14	8	2.9	-
II	27 May 2006–31 August 2007	4500	13	9	2.7	$2 \times 10^{-4}$
III	1 September 2007-31 December 2009	11,480	42	12	8.8	0.15
Total	1 January 2004-31 December 2009	20,370	69	29	14.5	-
II + III	27 May 2006-31 December 2009	15,980	55	21	11.6	$3  imes 10^{-3}$

## Correlation of the CR arrival direction above 55 EeV and the position of nearby AGNs from the VCV catalogue

Astroparticle Physics 34 (2010) 314-326



Period	Dates	Exposure (km <sup>2</sup> sr y)	Ν	k	kiso	Р
I	1 January 2004–26 May 2006	4390	14	8	2.9	-
П	27 May 2006-31 August 2007	4500	13	9	2.7	$2 \times 10^{-4}$
III	1 September 2007-31 December 2009	11,480	42	12	8.8	0.15
Total	1 January 2004-31 December 2009	20,370	69	29	14.5	-
II + III	27 May 2006-31 December 2009	15,980	55	21	11.6	$3 \times 10^{-3}$

## Correlation of the CR arrival direction above 55 EeV and the position of nearby AGNs from the VCV catalogue

Astroparticle Physics 34 (2010) 314-326



at the end of the dataset (II+III):  $P_{data}=(38^{+7}-6)\% P_{iso}=0.003$ was  $(69^{+11}-13)\%$  after period II

additionnal facts : the correlation fraction is larger when removing the galactic plane  $(46\pm6)\%$  (f<sub>iso</sub>=24%) none of the five highest energy events correlate

Period	Dates	Exposure (km <sup>2</sup> sr y)	Ν	k	k <sub>iso</sub>	Р
I	1 January 2004–26 May 2006	4390	14	8	2.9	-
п	27 May 2006-31 August 2007	4500	13	9	2.7	$2 \times 10^{-4}$
Ш	1 September 2007-31 December 2009	11,480	42	12	8.8	0.15
Total	1 January 2004-31 December 2009	20,370	69	29	14.5	-
II + III	27 May 2006-31 December 2009	15,980	55	21	11.6	$3  imes 10^{-3}$

### Test of the data on other astrophysical catalogues

Astroparticle Physics 34 (2010) 314–326

#### smoothed maps using 2MRS and SWIFT-BAT





We build density maps assuming a gaussian smearing of  $\sigma$  deg of the source image and an isotropic fraction f<sub>iso</sub> weight for the sources :  $\Phi_{source} \times \omega_{GZK}(z_{source})$ ( $\omega_{GZK}$  is calculated assuming protons)



### Test of the data on other astrophysical catalogues

Astroparticle Physics 34 (2010) 314-326

using a log-likelihood method we estimate the optimal values of the smearing angle
the second parameter of the log-likelihood is the isotropic fraction f<sub>iso</sub> that could either account for the incompleteness of the catalogues or a component with larger deflexions



best fit values of (σ,f<sub>iso</sub>) (smearing angle and isotropic fraction): including period I, 2MRS : (1.5 deg, 0.64); SWIFT : (7.8 deg, 0.56) excluding period I, 2MRS : (1.5 deg, 0.69); SWIFT : (1.5 deg, 0.88) the wide contours show the likelihood parameters are not strongly constrained with the present statistics

#### Test of the data on other astrophysical catalogues

Astroparticle Physics 34 (2010) 314-326

using a log-likelihood method we estimate the optimal values of the smearing angle
the second parameter of the log-likelihood is the isotropic fraction f<sub>iso</sub> that could either account for the incompleteness of the catalogues or a component with larger deflexions



best fit values of (σ,f<sub>iso</sub>) (smearing angle and isotropic fraction): including period I, 2MRS : (1.5 deg, 0.64); SWIFT : (7.8 deg, 0.56)

mean log-likelihood per event distribution the data are more with the models fraction of realizations of isotropy with a larger likelihood than the data : including period I, 2×10<sup>-4</sup> for SVVIFT, 4×10<sup>-3</sup> for 2MRS excluding period I, f~0.02 for both

### Autocorrelation

Astroparticle Physics 34 (2010) 314–326



maximal deviation from isotropy expectations at 11 deg 10% of isotropic realizations achieve a deviation equal or greater -> no significant signal

### excess in the around the direction of CEN A



by eye one can see that a lot of high energy events are coming from the Centaurus region
-> strong weight on the result of the tests with astrophysical catalogues
Cross correlation with the position of Cen A : largest deviation from isotropy at 18 deg, 13 events (18.8%) observed 3.2 expected
KS test 4% of isotropic realization present a deviation equal or larger deviation

Hint for an overdensity of events in the Centaurus region needs to be confirmed with larger statistics

Virgo region, no events within 18 deg around M87 but Virgo is in a low exposure region
1.1 expected for isotropy, ~4 expected using 2MRS weights and ~2 using swift

Same conclusion for the potential underdensity in the Virgo region

### anisotropy and chemical composition

(JCAP 2011)

Lemoine and Waxman 2010 : In a rigidity dependent maximum energy scenario  $(E_{max}(Z)=Z\times E_{max}(^{1}H))$ , where protons dominate the low energy injection at the source, if the "Centaurus A" excess is due to an element of charge Z then a more significant excess is expected at an energy  $E_{th}/Z$  due to the proton component (JCAP 2009)





Z	$E_{min}$ [EeV]	N <sub>tot</sub>	$N_{obs}$	$N_{bkg}$
6	9.2	4455	219	$207\pm14$
13	4.2	16640	797	$774 \pm 28$
26	2.1	63600	2887	$2920\pm54$





No significant excess in this angular window at lower energy -> one can derive upper limits on the proton fraction at the sources assuming the excess is dominated by a given element

### anisotropy and chemical composition

(JCAP 2011)

From event counts to relative abundances :  $dN_z/dE=k_z\Phi(E/Z)$ (k<sub>z</sub> relative abundance at a given rigidity)

 $N_p(\geq E_{th}/Z) = k_p/(Z.k_p) \times N_z(\geq E_{th})$ 

we estimated N=N<sub>obs</sub>-N<sub>bkg</sub> and R<sub>z</sub>=N(>E<sub>th</sub>/Z)/N(>E<sub>th</sub>) U.L with 95% R<sub>z</sub>=12.9; 17.3; 9.1 for Z=6; 13 and 26 (values are ~doubled for 99 C.L) we get  $k_p/k_z < Z \times (R_z-1)$ 



( $f_i$  relative abundance at a given energy,  $\beta$  spectral index)

Most stringent constraints for soft spectral indexes

Transition from a dominant proton component to iron (via a rigidity cut-off) disfavored unless very hard source spectral index

For Z=6 relatively large fractions at the sources are required



### Summary

• Follow up of the AGN correlation : the fraction of correlating events has decreases, still a significant deviation from isotropy expectations

• Tests with SWIFT and 2MRS catalogues : data compatible with models with suitable  $\sigma$  and f<sub>iso</sub> These parameters are not well constrained, more data need

• No significant signal in the autocorrelation

A hint for a diffuse excess of events in the region of the Cen A can be found in the data
 -> needs to be confirmed and understood

• The excess (if confirmed) can be used to constrain the composition