



Instituto Balseiro



AGENCIA

# Kondo effect in mesoscopic and nanoscopic systems

#### Pablo S. Cornaglia

#### Centro Atómico Bariloche and Instituto Balseiro,

#### Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Bariloche, Argentina

Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina

#### Kondo problem



R/R(0)

[Fe in Cu] J. P. Franck *et al.* Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **263** 494-507 (1961) [Fe in Au] W.J. de Haas *et al.*, Physica, **1**, 1115 (1934)

#### Kondo effect





#### Quantum dots

#### Molecular junctions

Quantum impurity problems as the Kondo problem are at the core of the dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) approach to strongly correlated materials



**Magnetic Impurities** 

#### References

- A. C. Hewson, The Kondo problem to Heavy Fermions [book]
- P.W. Anderson, Nobel lecture (1977)
- P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 124 (1961)
- J. Kondo Prog. Theor. Phys. **32** 37 (1964)
- K.G. Wilson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47** 773 (1975)
- P. W. Anderson J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **3** 2436 (1970)

### Program

• Lecture 1:

 Models and methods: Anderson model, Hartree-Fock, Schrieffer-Wolff transformations, poor man's scaling.

• Lecture 2:

- Methods: Numerical renormalization, Nozières Fermi liquid, Slave bosons
- Lecture 3:
  - Transport through nanostructures
  - Molecular transistors: effect of vibrations
- Lecture 4
  - Double quantum dots, S=1 molecules
  - Future trends: out of equilibrium, exotic states

### Outline of lecture 1

- Introduction to the Kondo effect
- Anderson model
- Magnetic moment formation
- Schrieffer-Wolff transformations
  - From Anderson model to Kondo model
- Jun Kondo's explanation of the resistivity minumun in metals.
- Anderson's Poor man's scaling

#### Anderson model

One of my strongest stylistic prejudices in science is that many of the facts Nature confronts us with are so implausible given the simplicities of non-relativistic quantum mechanics and statistical mechanics, that the mere demonstration of a reasonable mechanism leaves no doubt of the correct explanation. This is so especially if it also correctly predicts unexpected facts

#### (...)

Very often such, a simplified model throws more light on the real workings of nature than any number of "ab initio" calculations of individual situations, which even where correct often contain so much detail as to conceal rather than reveal reality. It can be a disadvantage rather than an advantage to be able to compute or to measure too accurately, since often what one measures or computes is irrelevant in terms of mechanism. After all, the perfect computation simply reproduces Nature, does not explain her.

#### P.W. Anderson, Nobel lecture (1977)

### Anderson model

- Fe impurity in a simple metal like Cu
- Impurity:
  - Localized *d* level in the magnetic impurity
  - Ignore orbital degeneracy and consider a single level with *spin-degeneracy*.
  - Take into account local electron-electron interaction.
- Metallic host
  - Described within Landau's Fermi-liquid theory.
  - Free electrons with renormalized parameters.

#### Anderson model



$$H = H_d + H_m + H_V$$
$$H_d = \epsilon_d (\hat{n}_{\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{\downarrow}) + U \hat{n}_{\uparrow} \hat{n}_{\downarrow}$$
$$\hat{n}_{\sigma} = d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma}$$
$$H_m = \sum_k \epsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \qquad E_F = 0$$

Isolated level:  $E_0 = 0$   $E_1 = \epsilon_d$  $E_2 = 2\epsilon_d + U$ 

$$H_V = \sum_k V_k c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + V_k^* d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma}$$

P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 124 (1961)

### Definitions

• Correlation function  

$$\langle \langle A, B \rangle \rangle_{t-t'} = -i\theta(t-t')\langle [A(t), B(t')]_+ \rangle \varrho$$

$$\langle \langle A, B \rangle \rangle_E = \int d(t-t') \langle \langle A, B \rangle \rangle_{t-t'} e^{iE(t-t')}$$

$$\varrho(T) = \frac{1}{Z(T)} \sum_i e^{-E_i/T} |i\rangle \langle i| \qquad Z(T) = \sum_i e^{-E_i/T}$$

• Green's function

$$G_{\sigma}(E) = \langle \langle d_{\sigma}, d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \rangle \rangle_{E}$$
• *d* level spectral density
$$A_{d\sigma}(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[G_{\sigma}(E+i0)]$$

$$A_{d\sigma}(E, T=0) = \frac{1}{N_{f}} \sum_{i} \left[ |\langle \Psi_{0} | d^{\dagger} | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E+E_{i}-E_{0}) + \langle \Psi_{0} | d | \Psi_{i} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E-(E_{i}-E_{0})) \right]$$

#### Anderson model (U=0)

• The Green's function is obtained using the equation of motion:  $\frac{dG_{\sigma}(t-t')}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( -i\theta(t-t') \langle [\hat{d}_{\sigma}(t), \hat{d}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(t')]_{+} \rangle \varrho \right)$   $\frac{d}{dt} \hat{d}_{\sigma}(t) = i[H, \hat{d}_{\sigma}(t)]$ 

$$(E - \epsilon_d)G_{\sigma}(E) - \sum_k V_k \langle \langle c_{k\sigma}, d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \rangle \rangle = 1$$
  
$$(E - \epsilon_k) \langle \langle c_{k\sigma}, d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \rangle \rangle - V_k G_{\sigma}(E) = 0$$

$$G_{\sigma}(E) = \frac{1}{E - \epsilon_d - \operatorname{Re}\Gamma(E) - i\operatorname{Im}\Gamma(E)} \qquad \Gamma(E) = \sum_k \frac{|V_k|^2}{E - \epsilon_k}$$

#### Anderson model (U=0)

 The localized level is broadened by the coupling to the electron bath. The broadening is determined by the hybridization function:

$$\Delta(E) = \operatorname{Im}[\Gamma(E)] = \pi \sum_{k} |V_k|^2 \delta(E - \epsilon_k)$$

Density of states

$$\rho(E) = \sum_{k} \delta(E - \epsilon_k)$$

• *d*-level density of states:

$$\rho(E) = \rho_0 \qquad V_k = V \qquad \Delta = \pi \rho_0 V^2$$
$$\delta(E - \epsilon_d) \rightarrow \sim \frac{\Delta/\pi}{(E - \epsilon_d)^2 + \Delta^2}$$



#### Anderson model (U=0,T=0)

Single particle resonance:



- Approximating:  $\hat{n}_{\uparrow}\hat{n}_{\downarrow} \rightarrow \langle \hat{n}_{\uparrow} \rangle \hat{n}_{\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{\uparrow} \langle \hat{n}_{\downarrow} \rangle - \langle \hat{n}_{\uparrow} \rangle \langle \hat{n}_{\downarrow} \rangle$   $H_d \rightarrow H_d^{HF} = (\epsilon_d + U \langle \hat{n}_{\downarrow} \rangle) \hat{n}_{\uparrow} + (\epsilon_d + U \langle \hat{n}_{\uparrow} \rangle) \hat{n}_{\downarrow}$  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{d\sigma} = \epsilon_d - U \langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle$
- Effective non-interacting problem

$$G_{\sigma}(E+i0) = \frac{1}{E - \epsilon_d - U\langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle + i\Delta} = \frac{1}{E - \tilde{\epsilon}_{d\sigma} + i\Delta}$$

• The occupations  $\langle \hat{n}_\sigma 
angle$  are calculated self-consistently

$$n_{\downarrow} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_{d\uparrow}}{\Delta}\right) \qquad \qquad n_{\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_{d\downarrow}}{\Delta}\right)$$

NON-MAGNETIC

1.0

Magnetic solutions for:  $\pi \Delta < U$ 





Two degenerate solutions:

 $-n_{\uparrow} < n_{\downarrow}$  $-n_{\uparrow} > n_{\downarrow}$ 

 + Gives a mechanism for the formation of local magnetic moments and a criterion for their existence.

 $-\epsilon_d < -\Delta, \ \epsilon_d + U > \Delta$ 

- But: breaks spin symmetry and polarization axis depends on the choice of quantization axis.
- Second order perturbation theory on  $H_V$  connects the two solutions

• Deep in the local moment regime

$$\epsilon_d \ll -\Delta, \ \epsilon_d + U \gg \Delta$$

• Canonical transformation (second order perturbation in  $H_V$ )  $H = H_d + H_V + H_m$ 

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H} &= e^{iS} H e^{-iS} = (1 + iS - S^2/2 + \cdots) H (1 - iS - S^2/2 + \cdots) \\ \tilde{H} &= H + i[S, H] - \frac{1}{2} [S, [S, H]] + \mathcal{O}(S^3) \\ \tilde{H} &= H_d + H_m + H_V + i[S, H_d + H_m] + i[S, H_V] - \frac{1}{2} [S, [S, H]] + \mathcal{O}(S^3) \end{split}$$

• Select S to eliminate linear term in  $H_V$ 

 $H_V + i[S, H_d + H_m] = 0$ 

- Select *S* to eliminate linear term in  $H_V$   $H_V + i[S, H_d + H_m] = 0$   $\tilde{H} = H_d + H_m + i[S, H_V] - \frac{1}{2}[S, iH_V + [S, H_V]] + \mathcal{O}(S^3)$  $\tilde{H} = H_d + H_m + \frac{i}{2}[S, H_V] + \mathcal{O}(S^3)$
- Matrix elements of  $\tilde{H}$  in a basis of eigenstates of  $H_d + H_m$

$$(H_d + H_m)|\mu, k\rangle = (E_\mu + \epsilon_k)|\mu, k\rangle$$
$$\langle \mu, k|S|\mu', k'\rangle = \frac{-i\langle \mu, k|H_V|\mu', k'\rangle}{E_\mu + \epsilon_k - E_{\mu'} - \epsilon_{k'}}$$

$$\tilde{H} = H_d + H_m + \frac{i}{2}[S, H_V] + \mathcal{O}(S^3)$$

$$\langle \mu, k | S | \mu', k' \rangle = \frac{-i \langle \mu, k | H_V | \mu', k' \rangle}{E_\mu + \epsilon_k - E_{\mu'} - \epsilon_{k'}}$$

$$(\mu, k) \tilde{H} | \mu', k' \rangle \approx E_{\mu} + E_{\mu} + \frac{i}{\epsilon_k} \langle \mu, k | S | \mu - \mu', k' \rangle = \frac{i}{\epsilon_k} \langle \mu, k | H_{\mu} - S | \mu', k' \rangle$$

$$\langle \mu, k | \tilde{H} | \mu', k' \rangle \simeq E_k + E_\mu + \frac{\tau}{2} \langle \mu, k | SH_V | \mu', k' \rangle - \frac{\tau}{2} \langle \mu, k | H_V S | \mu', k' \rangle$$

Insert identity: 
$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{\nu q} |\nu q\rangle \langle \nu q|$$

$$\langle \mu, k | \tilde{H} | \mu', k' \rangle = E_k + E_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q\nu} \frac{\langle \mu, k | H_V | \nu, q \rangle \langle \nu, q | H_V | \mu', k' \rangle}{E_\mu + \epsilon_k - E_\nu - \epsilon_q}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q\nu} \frac{\langle \mu, k | H_V | \nu, q \rangle \langle \nu, q | H_V | \mu', k' \rangle}{E_\nu + \epsilon_q - E_{\mu'} - \epsilon_{k'}} + \mathcal{O}(H_V^3)$$

Different terms: example spin flip

$$\left|\nu\right\rangle = - E_{\nu} = 2\epsilon_d + U$$

 $|\mu\rangle = - \uparrow$  $E_{\mu} = \epsilon_d$ 

$$H_V \qquad |\nu\rangle = ----E_\nu = 0 \qquad H_V$$

intermediate states

- At low enough energies and temperatures discard high energy empty and double occupied states.
- Assume k independent d level bath coupling:  $V_k = V$
- Keep terms up to second order in  $H_V$
- Assume:  $|\epsilon_k| \ll U, |\epsilon_d|$

Spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\vec{S} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} & d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} d_{\uparrow} \\ d_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{\sigma} = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$



#### • Exchange term:

$$H_K = \sum_{kk'} J_{kk'} (S^+ c^{\dagger}_{k\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow} + S^- c^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} c_{k'\downarrow} + S_z (c^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} c_{k'\uparrow} - c^{\dagger}_{k\downarrow} c_{k'\downarrow}))$$

$$J_{kk'} \simeq V_k^* V_{k'} \left( \frac{1}{-\epsilon_d} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_d + U} \right)$$

• Def:

$$f_{0\sigma}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k} V_{k}^{*} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}$$

$$V = (\sum_{k} |V_k|^2)^{1/2}$$



#### Potential scattering:

$$H_{pot} = \sum_{kk'\sigma\sigma'} K_{kk'} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k'\sigma'}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\epsilon_k| \ll U, |\epsilon_d| \\ K_{kk'} \simeq V_k^* V_{k'} \left( \frac{1}{-\epsilon_d} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_d + U} \right) \end{aligned}$$

 $U \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \epsilon_d$ 

cancels for:  $\epsilon_d = -U/2$ 

This term can usually be disregarded

• Exchange term:

$$H_K = J(S^+ f_{0\downarrow}^\dagger f_{0\uparrow} + S^- f_{0\uparrow}^\dagger f_{0\downarrow} + S_z (f_{0\uparrow}^\dagger f_{0\uparrow} - f_{0\downarrow}^\dagger f_{0\downarrow}))$$

$$J = V^2 \left( \frac{1}{-\epsilon_d} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_d + U} \right) > 0$$

• Can be written as:

$$H_{K} = 2J\vec{S}\cdot\vec{S_{0}}$$
$$\vec{S_{0}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} f_{0\uparrow}^{\dagger} & f_{0\downarrow}^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} f_{0\uparrow} \\ f_{0\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### antiferromagnetic



#### Jun Kondo explanation of the resistance minimum

- Scattering rate of Kondo impurities: perturbation theory in J $R_{\rm imp}(T) \propto c_{\rm imp} J^2 (1 aJ \log (k_B T/D)) + \mathcal{O}(J^4)$  $R_{\rm ph} \simeq \alpha T^5$
- + Correctly describes  ${
  m T}_{
  m min} \propto c^{1/5}$
- - Diverges for  $T \to 0$
- Logarithmic dependence on the high energy cutoff D !



0.51

0.2% Fe in Cu

0.63 0.61 0.59

0.57

0.55

R/R(0)

[J. Kondo Prog. Theor. Phys. **32** 37 (1964)]

#### Jun Kondo explanation of the resistance minimum

- Logarithms appear in thermodynamic properties like the specific heat and the magnetic susceptibility.
- Higher order terms in perturbation in J do not cure the problem
- Infinite summations lead to divergence at finite temperature

$$T_K = De^{-1/\rho_0 J}$$

Logarithms come from integrals of the form

$$\int_{k_B T}^{D} \frac{dE}{E} = \ln(D/k_B T)$$

all energy scales make the same contribution:

 $\int_{E_0}^{2E_0} = \ln 2$ 

• What is the ground state?

#### Renormalization group

- Problems where there are many relevant length or energy scales and associated divergences:
  - Field theory: diagrammatic calculations in quantum electrodynamics, *dressed* particles
  - Near phase transitions: e.g. Ising model ferromagnetic/paramagnetic transition
  - Many body problems: Kondo problem

K.G. Wilson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 47 773 (1975)

## Kadanoff block spin renormalization $H_{\text{H}} = I \sum_{i=1}^{N}$

Partition function

$$Z = \sum_{\{\mathbf{s}\}} \exp(K \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} s_i s_j)$$

 $K = -J/k_B T$ 

Partial sum: new partition function

$$Z \sim \sum_{\{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}\}} \exp(\tilde{K} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \tilde{s}_i \tilde{s}_j)$$
$$K \to \tilde{K}$$

$$H_{\text{ising}} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} s_i s_j$$

#### L. P. Kadanoff, Physics 2 263 (1966).

### Fixed points

- Continue renormalization (changing length scale) until there are no more changes in the couplings (fixed point)
- Types of fixed points:
  - Weak coupling, e.g. paramagnet, vapor:  $\tilde{K} \rightarrow 0$
  - Strong coupling, e.g. ferromagnet, liquid:  $\tilde{K} \to \infty$
  - **Critical**, critical point:  $\tilde{K} = K_c$
- Different fixed points are associated with different behavior.

The local spin couples to the conduction band at all energy scales:

$$H_K = J \sum_{kk'} (S^+ c^{\dagger}_{k\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow} + S^- c^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} c_{k'\downarrow} + S_z (c^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} c_{k'\uparrow} - c^{\dagger}_{k\downarrow} c_{k'\downarrow}))$$

Energy space renormalization:
 Construct effective low energy
 Hamiltonian eliminating high energy
 degrees of freedom in the conduction
 band successively.



\_ / )

- Consider the effect of the high energy states (conduction states at the edge of the band) at second order perturbation theory on the coupling.
  - The coupling needs to be small:  $ho_0 J \ll 1$
- The low energy Hamiltonian has the same form as the original one with renormalized parameters:

$$H(D,J) \to \mathcal{H}(D+\delta D) = H(D+\delta D, J+\delta J)$$
$$T \to T'$$

Anderson considered an anisotropic Kondo Hamiltonian:

$$H_K = \sum_{\epsilon_{k'}=-D}^{D} \sum_{\epsilon_k=-D}^{D} H_{k,k'} + \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma}$$

 $H_{kk'} = J_{\perp} (S^+ c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\uparrow} + S^- c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\downarrow}) + J_{\parallel} S_z (c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\uparrow} - c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\downarrow})$ 

 And a metal with a symmetric band of half-bandwidth D and an energy independent density of states ρ<sub>0</sub>

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Poor man's scaling} \\ \text{hi} &= [-D, -D + |\delta D|] \cup [D - |\delta D|, D] \\ \text{lo} &= (-D + |\delta D|, D - |\delta D|) \\ & H_K &= \sum_{e_{k'} = -D}^{D} \sum_{e_k = -D}^{D} H_{k,k'} + \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} & D & |\delta D| \\ & H_K &= H_0^K + H_V^K + H_h^K & J \\ & H_0^K &= \sum_{e_{k'} \in \text{lo} \ \epsilon_k \in \text{lo}} \sum_{H_{k,k'}} H_{k,k'} + \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} & E_F \\ & H_h^K &= \sum_{e_{k'} \in \text{lo} \ \epsilon_q \in \text{hi}} H_{q,q'} & D & |\delta D| \\ & H_V^K &= \sum_{e_{k'} \in \text{lo} \ \epsilon_q \in \text{hi}} H_{q,k'} + \sum_{e_q \in \text{hi} \ \epsilon_k \in \text{lo}} H_{k,q} & -D & |\delta D| \\ & H_{kk'} &= J_{\perp} (S^+ c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\uparrow} + S^- c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\downarrow}) + J_{\parallel} S_z (c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\uparrow} - c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\downarrow}) \end{aligned}$$

 $\alpha(\mathbf{\Gamma}) = \alpha$ 

$$H_{K} = H_{0}^{K} + H_{V}^{K} + H_{h}^{K}$$

$$H_{0}^{K} = \sum_{\epsilon_{k'} \in \text{lo}} \sum_{\epsilon_{k} \in \text{lo}} H_{k,k'} + \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_{k} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma}$$

$$H_{V}^{K} = \sum_{\epsilon_{k'} \in \text{lo}} \sum_{\epsilon_{k} \in \text{hi}} H_{k,k'} + \sum_{\epsilon_{k'} \in \text{hi}} \sum_{\epsilon_{k} \in \text{lo}} H_{k,k'}$$

$$E_{F}$$

$$H_{h}^{K} = \sum_{\epsilon_{q'} \in \text{hi}} \sum_{\epsilon_{q} \in \text{hi}} H_{q,q'}$$

$$-D \quad |\delta D|$$

- Canonical transformation to eliminate high energy states as done going from Anderson to Kondo model.
- Keep terms up to second order in  $H_V^K$

• Transformed Hamiltonian:  $\epsilon_k, \ \epsilon_{k'} \ll D$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu, k | \tilde{H}_{K} | \mu', k' \rangle &= \langle \mu, k | H_{0}^{K} | \mu', k' \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon_{q} \in \text{hi}, \nu} \frac{\langle \mu, k | H_{V}^{K} | \nu, q \rangle \langle \nu, q | H_{V}^{K} | \mu', k' \rangle}{\epsilon_{k} - \epsilon_{q}} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon_{q} \in \text{hi}, \nu} \frac{\langle \mu, k | H_{V}^{K} | \nu, q \rangle \langle \nu, q | H_{V}^{K} | \mu', k' \rangle}{\epsilon_{q} - \epsilon_{k'}} + \mathcal{O}(H_{V}^{3}) \end{aligned}$$

- The perturbation has the same form as the original Hamiltonian with an extra:
  - shift in energy
  - weak potential scattering (zero at the Fermi energy)

$$\begin{aligned} H_{qk'} &= J_{\perp}(S^{+}c_{q\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{k'\uparrow} + S^{-}c_{q\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{k'\downarrow}) + J_{\parallel}S_{z}(c_{q\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{k'\uparrow} - c_{q\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{k'\downarrow}) \\ \langle \mu, k | H_{V}^{K} | \nu, q \rangle \langle \nu, q | H_{V}^{K} | \mu', k' \rangle \\ H_{kq} &= J_{\perp}(S^{+}c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{q\uparrow} + S^{-}c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{q\downarrow}) + J_{\parallel}S_{z}(c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{q\uparrow} - c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{q\downarrow}) \\ \text{Terms of the form } J_{\perp}J_{\perp} \text{ contribute to } \delta J_{\parallel}, \ J_{\parallel} \rightarrow J_{\parallel} + \delta J_{\parallel} \\ |k\uparrow\rangle \qquad |q\downarrow\rangle \qquad |k'\uparrow\rangle \\ S^{+} \qquad S^{-} \\ \text{Terms of the form } J_{\perp}J_{\parallel} \text{ contribute to } \delta J_{\perp}, \ J_{\perp} \rightarrow J_{\perp} + \delta J_{\perp} \\ |k\uparrow\rangle \qquad |q\downarrow\rangle \qquad |k'\downarrow\rangle \\ k\uparrow\rangle \qquad |q\downarrow\rangle \qquad |k'\downarrow\rangle \end{aligned}$$





The effect of the second contribution term is equivalent to a low energy spin flip term  $\propto S^- c^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} c_{k'\downarrow} \rightarrow \delta J_{\perp}$ 



The effect of the second contribution term is equivalent to a low energy parallel term  $\propto S_z c^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} c_{k'\uparrow} \rightarrow \delta J_{\parallel}$ 

$$\begin{split} \langle \mu, k | \delta H_0^K | \mu', k' \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon_q \in \mathrm{hi}, \nu} \frac{\langle \mu, k | H_V^K | \nu, q \rangle \langle \nu, q | H_V^K | \mu', k' \rangle}{E_k - E_q} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon_q \in \mathrm{hi}, \nu} \frac{\langle \mu, k | H_V^K | \nu, q \rangle \langle \nu, q | H_V^K | \mu', k' \rangle}{E_q - E_{k'}} \\ \delta D \ll D \to E_q \sim D \\ &\frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{\epsilon_q \in \mathrm{hi}} 1 \to \int d\epsilon_q \rho(\epsilon_q) \sim \rho_0 \delta D \end{split}$$

Scaling equations:

 $\delta J_{\parallel} = -2\rho_0 J_{\perp}^2 \delta D/D > 0$ 

 $\delta J_{\perp} = -2\rho_0 J_{\parallel} J_{\perp} \delta D/D$ 



• Isotropic case weak coupling:  $J = J_{\perp} = J_{\parallel}, \quad \rho_0 J \ll 1$ 

Flow equation: 
$$\frac{dJ}{d\ln D} = -2\rho_0 J^2$$

• Integrating:

$$\frac{1}{\rho_0 \tilde{J}} - \frac{1}{\rho_0 J} = \ln(\tilde{D}/D)$$

• Scale invariant:  $De^{-1/\rho_0 J} = \tilde{D}e^{-1/\rho_0 \tilde{J}}$ 

$$k_B T_K = D e^{-1/\rho_0 J}$$

$$\tilde{D} \to k_B T_K, \quad \tilde{J} \to \infty$$

- Ferromagnetic Kondo:
  - Flows to weak coupling fixed point  $J \rightarrow 0$
  - The impurity spin is asymptotically free at low temperatures.
- Antiferromagnetic Kondo:
  - Flows to strong coupling fixed point  $J \to \infty$
  - The approximations breakdown for  $J \rightarrow 1$
  - Energy scale:  $T_K = De^{-1/\rho_0 J}$
  - Singlet ground state?

### Conclusion

- Resonance + local interactions lead to magnetic moment
- Anderson model spin couples antiferromagnetically to conduction electrons
- Perturbation theory explains resistance minimum but leads to logarithms on the temperature and the high energy cutoff.
- Scaling approach reveals Kondo scale and suggests singlet ground state
- Better methods needed to study low temperatures  $T < T_K$ 
  - Next lecture:
    - Numerical renormalization group
    - Nozières Fermi liquid and slave bosons