ATLAS Searches for Higgs Bosons Beyond the Standard Model

Trevor Vickey
University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa
University of Oxford, United Kingdom

June 14, 2012

Origin of Mass Workshop, Stockholm, Sweden

The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN LHC

3-Level Trigger

Reducing the rate from
40 MHz to 200-300 Hz

Muon Spectrometer

(|η|<2.7): Air-core toroids with gas-based muon chambers; Muon trigger and measurement with momentum resolution < 10% up to p_μ ~ 1 TeV



HAD calorimetry

(|η|<5): hermetic and highly segmented; Fe/scintillator Tiles (central), Cu/W-LAr (fwd) Trigger and measurement of jets and missing E_T
E-resolution:
$\sigma/E \sim 50\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.03$

EM Calorimeter (|η|<3.2):

Pb-LAr Accordion; allows for e/γ triggering, identification and measurement;
E-resolution: $\sigma/E \sim 10\%/\sqrt{E}$

Inner Detector (|η|<2.5, B=2T):

Si Pixels, Si strips, Transition Radiation detector (straws); Precise tracking and vertexing, allows for e/π separation; Momentum resolution:
$\sigma/p_T \sim 3.8\times10^{-4}\ p_T\ (\text{GeV}) \oplus 0.015$
i.e. σ/p_T <2% for p_T < 35 GeV

ATLAS Datasets

2011 7 TeV



2012 8 TeV



- ATLAS results shown today will all be from the 7 TeV 2011 dataset

If the (light) Higgs weighs ~125 GeV...



Standard Model Higgs



Beyond the SM Higgs





If the (light) Higgs weighs ~125 GeV...



Standard Model Higgs



Beyond the SM Higgs

- Suppose that this is not a Standard Model Higgs
  - Higgs with different couplings? ⇒ MSSM, SM4, Fermiophobic
  - More complicated Higgs sector? ⇒ MSSM, Doubly-charged Higgs
  - Light scalar Higgs? ⇒ NMSSM
  - Hidden Higgs sector? ⇒ Higgs to long-lived particles

Beyond the Standard Model Higgs Bosons



Fermiophobic

See, e.g., Mrenna and Wells
hep-ph/0001226v2



MSSM ϕ=h/A/H



Charged Higgs $H^{\pm}$



NMSSM $a_1 \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-, \mu^+\mu^-$

SM4 Higgs Search

- An additional 4th generation of fermions modifies the gg fusion production mode and the Higgs decay branching ratios



LHC Higgs XS Group
Yellow Report 2
arXiv: 1201.3084

NLO EWK correction to the ggF Higgs production in SM4



mD4 = mL4 = 600 GeV and
mU4 − mD4 = (50 + 10 ln(mH/115[GeV])) GeV



Ratio of BRs in SM4/SM
(calculated using Prophecy4f and HDECAY)

SM4 Higgs Search

- The enhanced cross section relative to the SM allows for an exclusion of large parts of the parameter space
  - Higgs mass range of 120−600 GeV is excluded at the 95% CL



ATLAS-CONF-2011-135

Fermiophobic Higgs Searches

- Couplings to all fermion generations substantially suppressed (two Higgs doublet models or Higgs triplet models)









- No couplings to fermions
- Production via VBF and VH
- Decay via γγ, ZZ, WW and Zγ
- ATLAS search very similar to the SM Higgs → γγ search



Fermiophobic Higgs Searches

- Fermiophobic Higgs search results from ATLAS only for the γγ analysis
  - Same event selection as used in the SM γγ analysis; see Jonas' talk from Monday

2 photons pT > 40 / 25 GeV
Categories based on conversions, η and di-photon pT
Signal modelled with "crystal ball" (= gaussian core+power law low-end tail)+gaussian; bkg with exponential

- Will include results from the WW and ZZ analyses in the near future



With 2011 data excluded at 95% CL:
110.0 – 118.0 GeV
119.5 – 121.0 GeV



Eur. Phys. J. C (arXiv: 1205.0701)

Motivation for Supersymmetry

- Motivation for Supersymmetry:
  - Naturalness (Hierarchy Problem)
  - Unification of the forces (gauge couplings)
  - Provides a candidate for Dark Matter







MSSM Higgs Sector

- Consider the case of an MSSM Higgs at the LHC
  - 2 Higgs doublets give rise to 5 physical Higgs bosons: h, H, A, $H^{\pm}$
  - Enhanced coupling to 3rd generation; strong coupling to down-type fermions (at large tanβ get strong enhancements to h/H/A production rates)
  - Diagrams with bbϕ vertex enhanced proportional to $\tan^2\beta$ where ϕ=h,H,A





$$\tan\beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1} = \frac{(v\sin\beta)}{(v\cos\beta)}$$



- Can parameterize the masses of the Higgs bosons with two free parameters: tanβ and m_A





MSSM Higgs Sector

- A popular and well-studied extension of the Standard Model
  - Mass of h < 135 GeV
  - For large parts of parameter space $H \to \tau\tau$ and $H^{\pm} \to \tau^{\pm}\nu$ decays are dominant, WW / ZZ decays are suppressed
  - Charged Higgs produced mainly in top decays or in association with tb, depending on its mass







Mass Reconstruction with τ leptons

- Visible mass:
  - Invariant mass of the visible τ decay products

- Effective mass
  - Invariant mass of the visible τ decay products + MET

- Collinear mass:
  - Assume that neutrinos are emitted parallel to the visible τ decay products' direction ⇒ 2 equations and 2 unknowns

$$\not{E}_X = P_{\nu 1} \cdot \cos(\theta_1) \cdot \cos(\varphi_1) + P_{\nu 2} \cdot \cos(\theta_2) \cdot \cos(\varphi_2)$$
$$\not{E}_Y = P_{\nu 1} \cdot \cos(\theta_1) \cdot \sin(\varphi_1) + P_{\nu 2} \cdot \cos(\theta_2) \cdot \sin(\varphi_2)$$

$$m_{collinear} = \frac{m_{vis}}{x_1 x_2}$$

$x_{1,2}$ are the momentum fractions carried away by the visible τ products



Mass Reconstruction with τ leptons

- Missing Mass Calculator technique
  - A step beyond the "collinear mass"
  - Assume the angle between the neutrinos and the visible hadronic τs ($\Delta\theta$) is non-zero
  - End up with more unknowns than equations

NIM A654 (2011) 481



$$\not{E}_{T_x} = p_{\mathrm{mis}_1} \sin\theta_{\mathrm{mis}_1} \cos\phi_{\mathrm{mis}_1} + p_{\mathrm{mis}_2} \sin\theta_{\mathrm{mis}_2} \cos\phi_{\mathrm{mis}_2}$$

$$\not{E}_{T_y} = p_{\mathrm{mis}_1} \sin\theta_{\mathrm{mis}_1} \sin\phi_{\mathrm{mis}_1} + p_{\mathrm{mis}_2} \sin\theta_{\mathrm{mis}_2} \sin\phi_{\mathrm{mis}_2}$$

$$M_{\tau_1}^2 = m_{\mathrm{mis}_1}^2 + m_{\mathrm{vis}_1}^2 + 2\sqrt{p_{\mathrm{vis}_1}^2 + m_{\mathrm{vis}_1}^2}\sqrt{p_{\mathrm{mis}_1}^2 + m_{\mathrm{mis}_1}^2} - 2p_{\mathrm{vis}_1}p_{\mathrm{mis}_1}\cos\Delta\theta_{vm_1}$$

$$M_{\tau_2}^2 = m_{\mathrm{mis}_2}^2 + m_{\mathrm{vis}_2}^2 + 2\sqrt{p_{\mathrm{vis}_2}^2 + m_{\mathrm{vis}_2}^2}\sqrt{p_{\mathrm{mis}_2}^2 + m_{\mathrm{mis}_2}^2} - 2p_{\mathrm{vis}_2}p_{\mathrm{mis}_2}\cos\Delta\theta_{vm_2}$$

- Use a likelihood to solve an under-constrained set of equations
  - Solve the equations in a grid of angles $\Delta\theta$ and choose the best one



Special Techniques Used with τ leptons

- $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ is the most important (irreducible) background source for di-τ final states

- Embedding technique ("τ-embedded" $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ data events):

- A semi-data-driven method: select an adequately pure $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ event sample from data and then replace the muons with simulated taus

- Pile-up, underlying event, kinematics, etc. are all taken directly from the data

- ATLAS charged Higgs search also uses embedding for ttbar backgrounds



MMC di-τ mass for "tau embedding" and Alpgen simulation

Neutral MSSM Higgs Search

- MSSM Neutral Analysis (three main channels, depending on the τ decay)
  - For the fully-hadronic channel a double-τ trigger is used

ATLAS-CONF-2011-132







τ(lep)τ(lep) using eμ	τ(lep)τ(had)	τ(had)τ(had)
1 isolated e with p_T > 25 GeV	**isolated e / μ** with p_T >25/20 GeV	**2 τ_{had}** with p_T > 30/45 GeV
1 isolated μ with p_T > 20 GeV	exactly **one τ_{had}** with p_T > 20 GeV	
Opposite sign	**Opposite sign**	**Opposite sign**
Sum of lepton pT and MET < 120 GeV, ΔΦ(e,μ)>2	MET > 20 GeV, MT<30 GeV	MET > 25 GeV

- Dominant backgrounds:
  - Z+jets (irreducible), multi-jet events, W+jets, ttbar, di-boson
- Dominant systematics:
  - Energy scale (~25%), signal cross-section (~15%), tau efficiency & fake rate (~12%)

Neutral MSSM Higgs Search

- MSSM Neutral Analysis (three main channels, depending on the τ decay)
  - For the fully-hadronic channel a τ trigger is used [ATLAS-CONF-2011-132]

τ(lep)τ(lep) using eμ	τ(lep)τ(had)	τ(had)τ(had)
1 isolated e with p_T > 25 GeV	**isolated e / μ** with p_T >25/20 GeV	**2 τ_{had}** with p_T > 30/45 GeV
1 isolated μ with p_T > 20 GeV	exactly **one τ_{had}** with p_T > 20 GeV	
Opposite sign	**Opposite sign**	**Opposite sign**
Sum of lepton pT and MET < 120 GeV, $\Delta\Phi(e,\mu)>2$	MET > 20 GeV, MT<30 GeV	MET > 25 GeV

Mass distributions:







MSSM Neutral Higgs Search

- Combine the τ_{lep}-τ_{had}, τ_{had}-τ_{had} and τ_e-τ_μ channels for one exclusion limit
  - Limit with the m_h^{max} benchmark scenario
  - Also determine a σ x BR limits

ATLAS-CONF-2011-132





MSSM Neutral Higgs with 8 TeV

- 8 TeV MSSM signal cross sections now available on the LHC Higgs XS TWiki (for both the m_h-max and no-mixing scenarios)

  https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/MSSMNeutral

  - Significant enhancement of the production cross sections over those at 7 TeV
  - Scan .root files will eventually include WW and gamma-gamma BRs (recast our SM Higgs limits in the context of the MSSM)
  - Stay tuned...





A Generic 2HDM: Charged Higgs Searches

- Charged Higgs bosons could be produced from a generic 2HDM
- H+ Production:
  - Light H^+: $pp \rightarrow tt \rightarrow bW\,bH^+$
  - Heavy H^+: $gb \rightarrow tH^+$ and $gg \rightarrow tbH^+$
- H+ Decay:
  - Light H^+: Almost exclusively to $\tau\nu$ (at low tanB predominantly to $c\bar{s}$)
  - Heavy H^+: tb; $\tau\nu$; $\chi^+\chi^0$
- ATLAS charged Higgs searches with taus:

tau(had)+W(→lv): $tt \rightarrow bbWH \rightarrow bb\,(lv)\,(\tau_{had}\nu)$
tau(had)+W(→jets): $tt \rightarrow bbWH \rightarrow bb\,(qq)\,(\tau_{had}\nu)$
tau(lep)+W(→jets): $tt \rightarrow bbWH \rightarrow bb\,(qq)\,(\tau_{lep}\nu)$

- ATLAS charged Higgs search with $c\bar{s}$:

$H^+(\rightarrow c\bar{s})+W(\rightarrow lv)$: $tt \rightarrow bbWH \rightarrow bb\,(lv)\,(c\bar{s})$





Charged Higgs: $H^+ \rightarrow \tau\nu$

- Perform this search in three channels:

JHEP (arXiv: 1204.2760)

Tau(lep) + W(→ jets)	Tau(had) + W(→ jets)	Tau(had) + W(→ lν)
1 isolated e/μ, p_T > 25/20 GeV	1 τ_{had} with p_T > 40 GeV	1 isolated e/μ, p_T > 25/20 GeV
		1 τ_{had} with p_T > 20 GeV
At least 4 jets (pT>20 GeV) with exactly 2 b-tagged	At least 4 jets (pT>20 GeV) with at least 1 b-tagged	At least 2 jets (pT>20 GeV), with at least 1 b-tagged
MET & Topological cuts	MET & Topological cuts	vertex ΣpT > 100 GeV

- Dominant backgrounds:
  - ttbar, single-top, multi-jets, W+jets, Z+jets, di-boson, multi-jet events

- Dominant systematics:
  - Jet energy resolution / scale (10-30%), b-tagging efficiency (5-17%), misidentification probability (e.g., jet→τ or e→τ; 12-21%)

Charged Higgs: $H^+ \to \tau\nu$

JHEP (arXiv: 1204.2760)

- The final discriminants for each channel:







- Our most sensitive channel for this search is the τ+jets
- The lepton+jets channel ($H^+ \to \tau^+\nu \to l^+\nu\nu\nu$) has a very similar signature to $W^+ \to l^+\nu$, so rely on kinematics for discrimination
  - cosθ* (exploit W boson polarization from top decay)
  - Charged Higgs transverse mass m_T^H
  - b-jet-to-top association important for both; done with a χ^2

Maximize the lν invariant mass using MET and the top mass as constraints:

$$(m_{\mathrm{T}}^H)^2 = \left(\sqrt{m_{\mathrm{top}}^2 + (\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\,l} + \vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\,b} + \vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\,\mathrm{miss}})^2} - p_{\mathrm{T}}^b\right)^2 - \left(\vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\,l} + \vec{p_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\,\mathrm{miss}}\right)^2 \qquad \chi^2 = \frac{(m_{jjb} - m_{\mathrm{top}})^2}{\sigma_{\mathrm{top}}^2} + \frac{(m_{jj} - m_W)^2}{\sigma_W^2}$$

Charged Higgs: $H^+ \to \tau\nu$

- ATLAS results heavily constrain the allowed phase space for a charged Higgs in the MSSM scenario
- Limit is also presented on the BR($t \to bH^+$)

JHEP (arXiv: 1204.2760)





Charged Higgs: $H^+ \to c\bar{s}$

- Final state allows for full reconstruction of the H^+ candidates
- Examine the di-jet spectrum and look for a second peak

$tt \to bW\, bH^+ \to b\,(e/mu)\,\nu\;\; b\; cs$
1 isolated e/μ, $p_T > 20$ GeV
At least 4 jets, pT>20 GeV; one b-Tagged jet
MET/MT cuts: MT>25 GeV (e); MT+MET>60GeV

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=l,4\,jets} \frac{(p_T^{i,fit} - p_T^{i,meas})^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \sum_{j=x,y} \frac{(p_j^{UE,fit} - p_j^{UE,meas})^2}{\sigma_{UE}^2} + \sum_{k=bjj,blv} \frac{(M_k - M_{top})^2}{\sigma_{top}^2}.$$





ATLAS-CONF-2011-094

The NMSSM: μμ channel

- The Next-to-MSSM
  - Introduces a complex singlet scalar field
  - Higgs sector expands as a result:
  - 3 CP-even scalars: h_1, h_2, h_3
  - 2 CP-odd scalars: a_1, a_2
  - 2 Charged scalars: $H^{\pm}$

- The light CP-odd Higgs, a_1
  - Could be very light, e.g. ~10 GeV
  - Could have dominant production mode $h \rightarrow a_1 a_1$



- In the ideal scenario
  - $m_{a1} < 2\, m_B$
  - Dominant decay modes into ττ, cc, gg
  - μμ final state is a clean search channel

arXiv: 0911.2460





The NMSSM: $a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu$ channel

- Search for this Higgs in the region to either side of the Υ

$H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ (NMSSM)
2 isolated μ, $p_T > 4$ GeV, opposite sign
Multivariate technique to reject muons not coming from the decay of a single particle
Sidebands $m_{\mu\mu}$: 6-9 GeV and 11-12 GeV

ATLAS-CONF-2011-020

The signal over background ratio is enhanced by cutting on a Likelihood ratio based on the di-muon vertex fit and on muon isolation variables

Uncertainties in the expected rate of Υ production make it difficult to distinguish an additional resonance in this mass range





Doubly Charged Higgs (H^{++})

- Predicted by many models
  - Left-Right symmetric models, "Seesaw Type-II" models including Higgs triplet models (H^0, H^+, H^{++}) and "Little Higgs" models
  - Possible observation of H^{++} at the LHC could provide more insight into neutrino masses
  - Predominantly produced in pairs via Drell-Yann $pp \rightarrow H^{++}H^{--}$
- This is performed as a generic same-sign di-muon spectrum search

$H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$

2 isolated μ, $p_T > 20$ GeV, **same sign**

Dominant background at low masses comes from non-prompt muons (from heavy-flavor decays, or decays in-flight of pions or kaons)

[Phys. Rev. D 85, 032004 (2012)]



Doubly Charged Higgs (H^{++})

- Exclusion limits in 1.6 fb^{-1}
  - Assuming $qq \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow H^{++}H^{--} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^+ \mu^-\mu^-$
  - Limits on H++ mass of 251 GeV (355 GeV) for right-handed (left-handed) production; BR=100%

$H_L^{\pm\pm}$ couple to both the Z and photons
$H_L^{\pm\pm}$ only couple to photons

Phys. Rev. D 85, 032004 (2012)







Higgs Decaying to Long-Lived Particles

- A number of models include Higgs decaying to long-lived particles
  - For example, the so-called "Hidden Valley Model"
  - SM is weakly coupled to a hidden sector by some communicator particle
  - Here the Higgs is the communicator and can decay to long-lived particles
  - Search for $h \rightarrow \pi_v \pi_v$ (the long-lived π_v has a displaced decay to fermion-anti-fermion pairs; decay predominantly to bb, cc and ττ)
- ATLAS has a dedicated trigger for long-lived particles decaying in the outer parts of the detector



Radial decay position
of the long-lived particle

arXiv: 1203.1303

Higgs Decaying to Long-Lived Particles

- Searching for a light Higgs in the "Hidden Valley" context with the long-lived particles decaying in the hadronic calorimeter, and then those decay products are detected in the muon system



arXiv: 1203.1303

m_{h^0} (GeV)	m_{π_v} (GeV)	Excluded Region
120	20	$0.50 < c\tau < 20.65$ m
120	40	$1.60 < c\tau < 24.65$ m
140	20	$0.45 < c\tau < 15.8$ m
140	40	$1.10 < c\tau < 26.75$ m

TABLE II: The excluded proper decay lengths ($c\tau$) of the π_v, at 95% CL, for each of the signal samples, assuming 100% branching ratio for the channel $h^0 \to \pi_v\pi_v$.

Conclusions and Outlook

- ATLAS has a very active search program for Beyond the Standard Model Higgs bosons
  - We have already pushed the constraints further than previous searches
  - Still wrapping up some publications on the full 2011 data
- Even if a SM-like Higgs is observed, BSM Higgs searches will continue to be relevant
- Stay tuned for first results on the 8 TeV 2012 data
- These are very exciting times!

Back-up Slides

MSSM Higgs Cross Sections at 7 TeV

- Neutral ϕ=h/A/H produced through gg-fusion or b-associated processes
  - ggF cross sections based on HIGLU and ggH@NNLO
  - bbH cross sections based on bbh@NNLO (5 flavor)
  - Higgs masses and couplings calculated with FeynHiggs 2.7.4





MSSM Neutral Higgs

	Data	Total MC bkg (w/o QCD)	W+jets	Di-boson	$t\bar{t}$+ single-top	$Z/\gamma^* \to ee, \mu\mu$	$Z/\gamma^* \to \tau^+\tau^-$	A/H/h signal
$e\mu$	2472	2496±27	30±15	109±5	100±2	40±4	2217±22	155±6
$e\tau_{had}$	626	775±40	188±31	4.1±0.5	33± 3	64±5	486±24	41±4
$\mu\tau_{had}$	1287	1378±43	239±33	5.4±0.6	51±4	105±7	978±26	75±5
$\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$	245	76± 7	25±5	1.4±0.3	2.0±0.9	-	48±5	19±1

Table 5: Observed numbers of events in data, for an integrated luminosity of 1.06 fb^{-1}, and total expected background contributions for the final states considered in this analysis, with their combined statistical and systematic uncertainties.

Final state	Exp. Background	Data
$e\mu$	$(2.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^3$	2472
$\ell\tau_{had}$	$(2.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^3$	1913
$\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$	$233\ ^{+44}_{-28}$	245
Sum	$(4.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^3$	4630

MSSM Neutral Higgs

	W+jets	Di-boson	$t\bar{t}$+ single-top	$Z/\gamma^* \to ee, \mu\mu$	$Z/\gamma^* \to \tau^+\tau^-$	Signal
$\sigma_{inclusive}$	-/-/5	7	10	5/5/-	5	14/14/16
Acceptance	-/-/20	4/2/7	3/2/9	2/14/-	5/14/14	5/7/9
e efficiency	-/-/0.8	4/3.1/0.5	4/3.6/0.3	4/3.1/-	4/3.0/0.5	4/3.6/0.1
μ efficiency	-/-/0.3	2/1.2/0.4	2/1.1/0.0	2/1.3/-	2/1.8/0.4	2/1.0/0.1
τ efficiency and fake rate	-/-/21	-/9.1/15	-/9.1/13	-/48/-	-/9.1/15	-/9.1/15
Energy scales and resolution	$-/-/^{+34}_{-21}$	$2/^{+19}_{-9}/^{+26}_{-12}$	$6/^{+5}_{-4}/12$	$1/^{+39}_{-25}/-$	$1/11/^{+63}_{-23}$	$1/^{+30}_{-23}/^{+9}_{-8}$
Luminosity	-/-/3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7/3.7/-	3.7	3.7
Total uncertainty	$-/-/^{+45}_{-36}$	$10/^{+23}_{-16}/^{+32}_{-22}$	13/15/23	$8/^{+64}_{-56}/-$	$9/21/^{+67}_{-31}$	$16/^{+35}_{-30}/^{+26}_{-25}$

eμ / lep-had / had-had

MMC vs Collinear Mass



SumCosDeltaPhi

SumCosDeltaPhi:



(a) $Z \to \tau\tau \to \mu\tau_{\mathrm{h}}$ (b) $W \to \mu\nu$ (c) $W \to \tau\nu \to \mu\nu\nu$

Charged Higgs (→τν)

Sample	Event yield (lepton+jets)
$t\bar{t}$	$840 \pm 20 \pm 150$
Single top quark	$28 \pm 2 \,^{+8}_{-6}$
W+jets	$14 \pm 3 \,^{+6}_{-3}$
Z+jets	$2.1 \pm 0.7 \,^{+1.2}_{-0.4}$
Diboson	$0.5 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2$
Misidentified leptons	$55 \pm 10 \pm 20$
All SM backgrounds	$940 \pm 22 \pm 150$
Data	933
$t \to bH^+$ (130 GeV)	$120 \pm 4 \pm 25$
Signal+background	$990 \pm 21 \pm 140$

Sample	Event yield (τ+lepton)	
	$\tau + e$	$\tau + \mu$
True τ+lepton	$430 \pm 14 \pm 59$	$570 \pm 15 \pm 75$
Misidentified jet $\to \tau$	$510 \pm 23 \pm 86$	$660 \pm 26 \pm 110$
Misidentified $e \to \tau$	$33 \pm 4 \pm 5$	$34 \pm 4 \pm 6$
Misidentified leptons	$39 \pm 10 \pm 20$	$90 \pm 10 \pm 34$
All SM backgrounds	$1010 \pm 30 \pm 110$	$1360 \pm 30 \pm 140$
Data	880	1219
$t \to bH^+$ (130 GeV)	$220 \pm 6 \pm 29$	$310 \pm 7 \pm 39$
Signal+background	$1160 \pm 30 \pm 100$	$1570 \pm 30 \pm 130$

Sample	Event yield (τ+jets)
True τ (embedding method)	$210 \pm 10 \pm 44$
Misidentified jet $\to \tau$	$36 \pm 6 \pm 10$
Misidentified $e \to \tau$	$3 \pm 1 \pm 1$
Multi-jet processes	$74 \pm 3 \pm 47$
All SM backgrounds	$330 \pm 12 \pm 65$
Data	355
$t \to bH^+$ (130 GeV)	$220 \pm 6 \pm 56$
Signal+background	$540 \pm 13 \pm 85$

Charged Higgs (→τν)

Source of uncertainty	Normalisation uncertainty	Shape uncertainty
lepton+jets: lepton misidentification		
Choice of control region	6%	-
Z mass window	4%	-
Jet energy scale	16%	-
Jet energy resolution	7%	-
Sample composition	31%	-
τ+lepton: jet $\rightarrow \tau$ misidentification		
Statistics in control region	2%	-
Jet composition	11%	-
Object-related systematics	23%	3%
τ+lepton: $e \rightarrow \tau$ misidentification		
Misidentification probability	20%	-
τ+lepton: lepton misidentification		
Choice of control region	4%	-
Z mass window	5%	-
Jet energy scale	14%	-
Jet energy resolution	4%	-
Sample composition	39%	-
τ+jets: true τ		
Embedding parameters	6%	3%
Muon isolation	7%	2%
Parameters in normalisation	16%	-
τ identification	5%	-
τ energy scale	6%	1%
τ+jets: jet $\rightarrow \tau$ misidentification		
Statistics in control region	2%	-
Jet composition	12%	-
Purity in control region	6%	1%
Object-related systematics	21%	2%
τ+jets: $e \rightarrow \tau$ misidentification		
Misidentification probability	22%	-
τ+jets: multi-jet estimate		
Fit-related uncertainties	32%	-
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$-shape in control region	16%	-

Charged Higgs (→cs)

Channel	Muon	Electron
Data	193	130
SM $t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b}$	156^{+24}_{-29}	106^{+16}_{-20}
W/Z + jets	17 ± 6	9 ± 3
Single top	7 ± 1	5 ± 1
Diboson	0.30 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.02
QCD multijet	11 ± 4	6 ± 3
Total Expected (SM)	191^{+26}_{-30}	127^{+17}_{-21}
$\mathcal{B}(t \to H^+b) = 10\%$:		
$t\bar{t} \to H^+bW^-\bar{b}$	20^{+3}_{-4}	14^{+2}_{-2}
$t\bar{t} \to W^+bW^-\bar{b}$	127^{+19}_{-23}	86^{+13}_{-16}
Total Expected ($\mathcal{B} = 10\%$)	181^{+21}_{-25}	120^{+14}_{-17}

Systematic Source	
Jet energy scale	+11, −13% (SM $t\bar{t}$)
	+9, −12% (signal)
b-Jet energy scale	±0.5%
Jet energy resolution	±1%
b-tagging efficiency	+4, −9%
MC generator	±4%
Parton shower	±3%
ISR/FSR	±1%
Additional Interactions	±4%
Luminosity	±3.4%
Electron reconstruction	±1.6%
Muon reconstruction	±0.2%
Electron trigger	±0.2%
Muon trigger	±0.5%
$t\bar{t}$ cross section	+7, −9%
t quark mass	±7%

NMSSM Higgs search

Source	Relative Uncertainty (%) at $m(a_1)$ (GeV) 6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	11.0	11.5
Luminosity	±3							
PYTHIA vs MC@NLO	±67	±55	±49	±40	±36	±32	±20	±20
Dimuon Efficiency	$^{+14}_{-13}$	$^{+14}_{-13}$	$^{+14}_{-13}$	$^{+14}_{-13}$	$^{+14}_{-13}$	$^{+14}_{-13}$	$^{+15}_{-14}$	$^{+15}_{-14}$
Trigger Correction	±8							
MC Statistics	±10	±10	±10	±10	±10	±10	±9	±9
Likelihood Ratio Modeling	±3							
Total (Pythia vs MC@NLO)	±70	±59	±53	±45	±41	±37	±28	±28