Walking Technicolor at Colliders

Matti Järvinen

University of Crete

Origin of Mass 2012 – Nordita

Outline

☐ Vanilla technicolor Lagrangian

- Constraining parameter space
- □ Implementation on Monte Carlo tools

LHC phenomenology

[Belyaev, Foadi, Frandsen, MJ, Pukhov, Sannino, arXiv:0809.0793]

["Discovering technicolor" report, arXiv:1104.1255]

Linear collider phenomenology

[Frandsen, MJ, Sannino, arXiv:1103.3271]

Vanilla technicolor

Effective theory:

[Foadi, Frandsen, Ryttov, Sannino 07]

 \Box Lightest scalar state, composite Higgs H

Lightest composite vector and axial spin-one states $R_1^{\pm,0}, R_2^{\pm,0}$

Chiral symmetry breaking structure:

- $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \to SU(2)_V$
- Simplest choice included in more complicated patterns — template for walking technicolor
- \Box Candidate theory: NMWT, $N_f = 2$ in \Box of $SU(3)_{TC}$
- \Box Extending to, e.g., $SU(4) \to SO(4)$ (MWT) brings in extra composite states
 - O Extra pseudo Goldstone bosons

[Hapola, Mescia, Nardecchia, Sannino 12]

O MWT also includes a fourth family of leptons

\Rightarrow Lagrangian (strong technicolor sector only)

 $M \leftrightarrow$ Higgs, $C_{\mathrm{L/R}} \leftrightarrow$ Spin-one states

$$\mathcal{L}_{s} = +\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\partial_{\mu} M \partial^{\mu} M^{\dagger} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[F_{\mathrm{L}\mu\nu} F_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mu\nu} + F_{\mathrm{R}\mu\nu} F_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mu\nu} \right] + + \frac{\mu^{2}}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[M M^{\dagger} \right] - \frac{\lambda}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[M M^{\dagger} \right]^{2} + m^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[C_{\mathrm{L}\mu}^{2} + C_{\mathrm{R}\mu}^{2} \right] + \frac{\tilde{g}^{2} s}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[C_{\mathrm{L}\mu}^{2} + C_{\mathrm{R}\mu}^{2} \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[M M^{\dagger} \right] - \tilde{g}^{2} r_{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[C_{\mathrm{L}\mu} M C_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mu} M^{\dagger} \right] - \frac{i \tilde{g} r_{3}}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[C_{\mathrm{L}\mu} \left(M \partial^{\mu} M^{\dagger} - \partial^{\mu} M M^{\dagger} \right) + C_{\mathrm{R}\mu} \left(M^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} M - \partial^{\mu} M^{\dagger} M \right) \right]$$

Vanilla technicolor

After adding SM fermions, including Yukawa and gauging under EW

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] - \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[F_{L\mu\nu} F_{L}^{\mu\nu} + F_{R\mu\nu} F_{R}^{\mu\nu} \right]$$

$$+ m^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[C_{L\mu}^{2} + C_{R\mu}^{2} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[D_{\mu} M D^{\mu} M^{\dagger} \right] - \tilde{g}^{2} r_{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[C_{L\mu} M C_{R}^{\mu} M^{\dagger} \right]$$

$$- \frac{i \tilde{g} r_{3}}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[C_{L\mu} \left(M D^{\mu} M^{\dagger} - D^{\mu} M M^{\dagger} \right) + C_{R\mu} \left(M^{\dagger} D^{\mu} M - D^{\mu} M^{\dagger} M \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\tilde{g}^{2} s}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[C_{L\mu}^{2} + C_{R\mu}^{2} \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[M M^{\dagger} \right] + \frac{\mu^{2}}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[M M^{\dagger} \right] - \frac{\lambda}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[M M^{\dagger} \right]^{2}$$

$$+ i \tilde{q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{i} \overline{\sigma}^{\mu, \dot{\alpha}\beta} D_{\mu} q_{\beta}^{i} + i \tilde{l}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{i} \overline{\sigma}^{\mu, \dot{\alpha}\beta} D_{\mu} l_{\beta}^{i} + i \overline{L}_{\dot{\alpha}} \overline{\sigma}^{\mu, \dot{\alpha}\beta} D_{\mu} L_{\beta}$$

$$- \left[\bar{q}_{L}^{i} \left(Y_{u} \right)_{i}^{j} M \frac{1 + \tau^{3}}{2} q_{jR} + \bar{q}_{L}^{i} \left(Y_{d} \right)_{i}^{j} M \frac{1 - \tau^{3}}{2} q_{jR} + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

Weinberg sum rules (WSRs) and the S parameter — assuming saturation by first pair of resonances

☐ First WSR

$$F_V^2 - F_A^2 = F_\Pi^2$$

 \Rightarrow eliminates one parameter

 $\Box \text{ Second WSR for walking dynamics}$ $F_V^2 M_V^2 - F_A^2 M_A^2 = a \frac{8\pi^2}{d(R)} F_\pi^4 \quad \text{with} \quad a = \mathcal{O}(1)$

 \Box The S parameter ("0th WSR") $S = 4\pi \left(\frac{F_V^2}{M_V^2} - \frac{F_A^2}{M_A^2} \right)$

fixed to its small naive value 0.3

After WSR \longrightarrow most important parameters M_A , \tilde{g} (and the Higgs mass)

- \Box M_A : (Axial) spin-one mass scale
 - O Low mass window $M_A \lesssim 1$ TeV: $M_A < M_V$ by WSR
 - ${
 m O}$ High mass window $M_A pprox 2$ TeV: $M_A > M_V$
- $\Box \tilde{g}$: TC interaction strength

O Mixing of the heavy composite vectors ($R_{1,2}$) with Z,W bosons $\mathcal{O}\left(g/\tilde{g}
ight)$

Model implementation

Implementation of walking technicolor with the FeynRules mathematica package

[Christensen, Duhr]

- \bigcirc Rewrite of the earlier LanHEP model
- O Interfaces to CalcHEP (tested), CompHEP, FeynArts, MadGraph (tested), Sherpa, ...
- O Model published at the FeynRules wiki pages https://feynrules.phys.ucl.ac.be/wiki/TechniColor

and at the CP^3 -Origins webpage

http://cp3-origins.dk/research/tc-tools

Model implementation

FeynRules model + little tweaks \Rightarrow

□ CalcHEP model files for technicolor

MadGraph model files for technicolor

 Tested by comparing to the LanHEP implementation and to SM implementations

O These files available at

https://feynrules.phys.ucl.ac.be/wiki/TechniColor

http://cp3-origins.dk/research/tc-tools

no need to run FeynRules

- \Box Look for heavy spin-one states $R_{1,2}^0$, $R_{1,2}^{\pm}$
- \Box Phenomenology controlled by g/\tilde{g} (with $g\simeq 0.65$)

Basic rough idea





Unitarity bounds (not included)

[Foadi,MJ,Sannino 08]







Higgs production in association with Z/W modified by composite spin-one states



[Zerwekh 05]

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow WH)$ compared to SM (dashed line): mostly enhanced





Axial signal (at around 0.7 TeV) will remain visible after adding branching to lepton final states (The simplest Higgs-vector coupling assumed here)

Higgs production cross section in WW to Higgs fusion $(pp \rightarrow jjH)$



We study 1 TeV and 3 TeV scenarios

First study: $R_{1,2}$ detection via dilepton and diboson channels





Combined $R_{1,2}$ reach from dilepton and WW/WZ production LHC at 14 TeV/ LC scanning / 3 TeV LC / 1 TeV LC



 $\sqrt{s} = 1 \ TeV \ M_{\mu} = 0.2 \ TeV \ M_{A} = 0.75 \ TeV$

Differential cross section for Associated Higgs production

 $e^+e^- \to R^0_{1,2} \to HZ$

Large enhancement

with respect to SM



Full event analysis for

 $HZ \rightarrow 4j + 2\ell$ final state

Top: $\sqrt{s} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ Bottom: $\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$

 $\tilde{g} = 2, 5$

Dotted line: SM background

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZWW \rightarrow 4j + 2\ell$

before cuts



Implementation of (vanilla) technicolor on CalcHEP and MadGraph available

LHC has the potential to discover TC spin-one meson(s) in the whole parameter space

 \Box A linear collider can efficiently test technicolor up to its maximal \sqrt{s}

Backup slides

Walking technicolor (WT) models can allow for a light composite Higgs (a few hundred GeV)

 \Box Scalar $f_0(660)$ in QCD lighter than vector states

 \Box Large N_c scaling argument

Higgs mass further reduced by walking dynamics?

[Hong, Hsu, Sannino 04] [Dietrich, Sannino, Tuominen 05] [Sannino 08]

Solving truncated Schwinger-Dyson and Bethe-Salpeter equations

[Doff, Natale 08,09]

 \Box Light Higgs can help to unitarize WW scattering

[Foadi, MJ, Sannino 08]

Backup slides

