







Ballistic Transport Regime

- Bi₂Te₃
 - $E_{th} = 96 \ \mu eV \rightarrow \xi_n = 0.7 \ \mu m$
 - L = 200 nm
- Bi₂Se₃
 - $E_{th} = 100 \ \mu eV \rightarrow \xi_n = 3 \ \mu m$
 - L = 300 nm

★ Ballistic proximity regime through the topological edge states

(L. Galletti et al., PRB89)







InAs wire with YBCO contacts



Napoli-HTS Josephson junctions group @ CNR-SPIN & SUN with SNS Pisa and CNR-NEST



Kitaev's Model

$$H - \mu N = \sum_{i} t(c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i:1} + c_{i:1}^{\dagger}c_{i}) - \mu c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i} + \Delta(c_{i}c_{i:1} + c_{i:1}^{\dagger}c_{i}^{\dagger})$$

$$= \sum_{i} (c_{i}^{\dagger} - e_{i}) t \phi_{0}(t) (c_{i}^{c_{i}})$$

$$H_{BdG}(k) = \tau_{z}(2t \cos k - \mu) + \tau_{x}\Delta \sin k = \mathbf{d}(k) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\dagger}$$

$$H - \mu N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (c_{k}^{\dagger} - c_{k}) H_{BdG} (c_{k}^{\dagger})$$

$$H_{BdC} = \tau_{z}(2t \cos k - \mu) + \tau_{x}\Delta \sin k = \mathbf{d}(k) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\dagger}$$

$$H_{BdC} = \tau_{z}(2t \cos k - \mu) + \tau_{x}\Delta \sin k = \mathbf{d}(k) \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\dagger}$$
One-dimensional p-wave spinless superconductor functions
$$c_{i} = \gamma_{Bi} + i\gamma_{Ai} \qquad \{\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\} = 2\delta_{ij}$$

$$\mu c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i} = 2i\mu\gamma_{Bi}\gamma_{Ai} \qquad \gamma_{i}^{2} = 1$$

$$\Delta(c_{i}c_{i+1} + h.c.) = 2i\Delta(\gamma_{Bi}\gamma_{Ai+1} + \gamma_{Ai}\gamma_{Bi+1})$$

$$t(c_{i}^{\dagger}c_{i+1} + h.c.) = 2il(\gamma_{Bi}\gamma_{Ai+1} - \gamma_{Ai}\gamma_{Bi+1})$$

$$N-site fermion chain$$

$$t and \Delta couple different site MFs$$

$$\mu acts within the same site$$

$$t = \Delta$$







$$Z \sim \left(1 + \frac{\pi\Gamma}{\Delta}\right)^{-1}$$
 $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta(1 - Z)$ $\tilde{H}_R = H_R Z$
 $\tilde{B} = B Z$

Good proximity: Z~0

Maximum induced Gap but minimum B!

Bad proximity: Z~I

Minimum induced Gap but maximum B!

We have to optimize Z!

For α =0.2–0.8 K, even after carefully optimizing B, γ , and Δ_0 , only a *p*-wave pairing gap on the order of 0.1–0.4 K is achievable (with low Tc superconductors). Such small excitation gaps would require operating at temperatures T<<0.1 K in order to avoid thermal excitations! A.C. Potter and PA. Lee PRB & PRL 2011





Two bands model Hamiltonian for Ti

$$\begin{array}{l} \overset{\cdot}{\operatorname{B}}_{k}, \overset{B_{h,z}}{\to} & \overset{\cdot}{\operatorname{B}}_{y} \operatorname{Se}_{s}, \overset{B_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{B}}_{i,z} \operatorname{Se}_{s} \\ \overset{\cdot}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z}, \overset{B_{i,z}}{\to} & \overset{\cdot}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \operatorname{Se}_{s}, \overset{B_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \operatorname{Se}_{s} \\ \overset{\star}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z}, \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \overset{O}{\to} & \overset{i}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \operatorname{Se}_{s} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z}, \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \overset{O}{\to} & \overset{i}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \operatorname{Se}_{s} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z}, \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \operatorname{Se}_{s}, \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{O}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z}, \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z} \operatorname{Se}_{s}, \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z}, \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\operatorname{Bi}}_{z}, \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \\ \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \\ \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \\ \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} & \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \end{aligned} \right) \\ \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \\ \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \end{aligned} \right) \\ \overset{H_{i,z}}{\to} \overset{H_{i,z}}{$$



structure
$$\psi_{a\sigma} = \psi_{g\sigma} - i \ (-1)^{\sigma} \ \psi_{u\sigma}$$



Edge states are Majorana chiral states!

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{0} & \hat{\Delta} \\ \hat{\Delta}^{\dagger} & -\mathcal{H}_{0}^{T} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\qquad \mathbf{BdG}} h_{0}(x, y) - \mu \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}(y)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} [h_{0}(x, y) - \mu] & \Delta i (\partial_{x} - i\partial_{y}) \\ \Delta i (\partial_{x} + i\partial_{y}) & -[h_{0}(x, y) - \mu] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\epsilon}(x, y) \\ -c_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}(x, y) \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} c_{\epsilon}(x, y) \\ -c_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}(x, y) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\qquad \mathbf{X}}$$

$$H = -\sigma_{z} \left(\tilde{\mu}(y) - \Delta\sigma_{x}\partial_{y}\right) + i\Delta\sigma_{x}\partial_{x}$$

$$\gamma_{k}(\vec{r}) \propto \begin{pmatrix} c_{\epsilon_{\pm}}(\vec{r}) \\ -c_{\epsilon_{\pm}}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} = e^{\sigma_{x}\int_{0}^{y} dy'\tilde{\mu}(y')/\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mp 1 \end{pmatrix} \psi_{k}(x)$$

$$\Delta\partial_{x}\psi_{k}(x) \rightarrow \hbar v_{F}\partial_{x}\psi_{k}(x) = \epsilon_{k}\psi_{k}(x)$$

$$E=0 \text{ Majorana state}$$

$$c_{\epsilon=0}(\vec{r}) + c_{\epsilon=0}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \rightarrow e^{-\int_{0}^{y} dy'\tilde{\mu}(y')/\Delta}\psi_{0}(x)$$

Г





Summary

3D TI in the long wavelength continuum model:

- TI provides one helical Dirac boundary state at each surface
 - s-wave proximity gives spinless helical particles with effective p-wave pairing
 - HTc superconductors with TI offer new functionalities:

frustrated ring : $\lim_{t\to\infty} \langle \Phi \rangle = \mathbf{Tr} \{ \hat{\rho} \Phi \} \sim 0$