UHE neutrino and cosmic ray emission from GRBs: revising the models and clarifying the cosmic ray-neutrino connection

Mauricio Bustamante

In collaboration with Philipp Baerwald and Walter Winter

Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik
Universität Würzburg

Very Large Volume Neutrino Telescope Workshop
Stockholm, August 05, 2013

Introduction

The origin of UHE CRs ($\gtrsim 10^9$ GeV) and ν's is still unknown:

- *how* are they produced?
- *where* are they produced?

GRBs are among the best candidate sources:

- radiated energy of $\sim 10^{52} - 10^{53}$ erg
- intense magnetic fields of $\sim 10^5$ G
- magnetically-confined p's shock-accelerated to $\sim 10^{12}$ GeV

Problem: experiments (IceCube, ANTARES) are starting to strongly constrain the simplest emission models

Solution: we need to build more realistic models!

Internal shocks in the fireball model

Long-duration GRB ($\geq$ 2 s):
a compact object ($\sim 10^3$ km)
emits relativistically expanding
baryonic-loaded matter ejecta





The standard "neutron model" of emission

Joint production of UHECRs, ν's, and γ's:

$$p\gamma \to \Delta^+(1232) \to \begin{cases} n\pi^+ , & \text{BR} = 1/3 \\ p\pi^0 , & \text{BR} = 2/3 \end{cases}$$

$$\pi^+ \to \mu^+\nu_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_\mu e^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu$$

$$\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$$

$$n \text{ (escapes)} \to p e^- \bar{\nu}_e$$

(Δ^+: ~50% of all $p\gamma$ interactions)



After propagation, with flavour mixing:

$$\nu_e : \nu_\mu : \nu_\tau : p = 1 : 1 : 1 : 1$$

("one ν_μ per cosmic ray")

The *simplest* neutron model is now strongly disfavoured ▶

The neutron model under tension?



IceCube Collaboration:

- ν flux normalised to GRB γ fluence:

$$\int_0^\infty dE_\nu E_\nu F_\nu\left(E_\nu\right) \propto \int_{1\text{ keV}}^{10\text{ MeV}} d\varepsilon_\gamma \varepsilon_\gamma F_\gamma\left(\varepsilon_\gamma\right)$$

- quasi-diffuse ν flux from 117 GRBs
- analytical calculation – in tension with upper bounds

ICECUBE COLL., *Nature* **484**, 351 (2012)
AHLERS ET AL. *Astropart. Phys.* **35**, 87 (2011)
GUETTA ET AL. *Astropart. Phys.* **20**, 429 (2004)

The neutron model under tension?



More detailed particle physics (NeuCosmA):

- extra multi-π, K, n production modes
- synchrotron losses of secondaries
- adiabatic cooling
- full photon spectrum

ν flux $\sim$ one order of magnitude lower

BAERWALD, HÜMMER, WINTER, *PRL* **108**, 231101 (2012)

See also: HE, LIU, WANG, *ApJ* **752**, 29 (2012)

IceCube Collaboration:

- ν flux normalised to GRB γ fluence:

$$\int_0^\infty dE_\nu E_\nu F_\nu(E_\nu) \propto \int_{1\ \mathrm{keV}}^{10\ \mathrm{MeV}} d\varepsilon_\gamma \varepsilon_\gamma F_\gamma(\varepsilon_\gamma)$$

- quasi-diffuse ν flux from 117 GRBs
- analytical calculation – in tension with upper bounds

ICECUBE COLL., *Nature* **484**, 351 (2012)
AHLERS ET AL. *Astropart. Phys.* **35**, 87 (2011)
GUETTA ET AL. *Astropart. Phys.* **20**, 429 (2004)



The neutron model under tension?



More detailed particle physics (NeuCosmA):

- extra multi-π, K, n production modes
- synchrotron losses of secondaries

ude lower
31101 (2012)
12)

IceCube Collabo

- ν flux norm

$$\int_0^\infty dE_\nu\, E_\nu$$

- quasi-diffus
- analytical c
  upper bour

ICECUBE COLL., *Nature* **484**, 351 (2012)
AHLERS ET AL. *Astropart. Phys.* **35**, 87 (2011)
GUETTA ET AL. *Astropart. Phys.* **20**, 429 (2004)

Recent search by ANTARES optimised for NeuCosmA:



ANTARES Collab., 1307.0304 – **see talk by J. Schmid**



Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Detailed $p\gamma$ cross section



Implemented as fast SOPHIA-based parametrisation

S. Hümmer, M. Rüger, F. Spanier, and W. Winter, *Astrophys. J.* **721**, 630 (2010)

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Contributions to the full photohadronic cross section



"WB flux":
traditional, analytical Waxman-Bahcall prediction

$$E_\nu^2 \phi_\nu = 0.45 \times 10^{-8} \frac{f_\pi}{0.2}$$

Use this to normalise the proton and photon spectra – and to study spectral changes

"WB Δ^+–approx.": explicit synchrotron cooling of pions

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Contributions to the full photohadronic cross section



Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

• Contributions to the full photohadronic cross section

Contributions to $(\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu)$ flux from $\pi^\pm$ decay divided in:

- $\Delta(1232)$-resonance



P. Baerwald, S. Hümmer, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 067303 (2011)

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Contributions to the full photohadronic cross section

Contributions to $(\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu)$ flux from $\pi^\pm$ decay divided in:

- $\Delta(1232)$-resonance
- Higher resonances



P. Baerwald, S. Hümmer, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 067303 (2011)

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

• Contributions to the full photohadronic cross section

Contributions to $(\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu)$ flux from $\pi^\pm$ decay divided in:

- $\Delta(1232)$-resonance
- Higher resonances
- t-channel (direct production)



P. Baerwald, S. Hümmer, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 067303 (2011)

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Contributions to the full photohadronic cross section

Contributions to $(\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu)$ flux from $\pi^\pm$ decay divided in:

- $\Delta(1232)$-resonance
- Higher resonances
- t-channel (direct production)
- High energy processes (multiple π)

P. Baerwald, S. Hümmer, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 067303 (2011)



Especially "Multi π" contribution leads to change of flux shape; neutrino flux higher by up to a factor of 3 compared to WB treatment

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Further particle decays

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$$
$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$

$$\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$
$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$$

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$$

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Further particle decays

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$$
$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$

$$\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$
$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$$

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$$

Resulting ν_e flux (at the observer)



P. Baerwald, S. Hümmer, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 067303 (2011)

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Further particle decays

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$$
$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$$

$$\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu \,,$$
$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$$

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$$

Resulting ν_μ flux (at the observer)



P. Baerwald, S. Hümmer, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 067303 (2011)

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

- Neutrino spectra including flavour mixing

Electron neutrino spectrum



Muon neutrino spectrum



P. Baerwald, S. Hümmer, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 067303 (2011)

Characteristic double peak structure from μ and π decay in both flavours, additional peak from K^+ decay at 10^8 to 10^9 GeV

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

• How the spectrum changes...



S. Hümmer, P. Baerwald, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 231101 (2012)

Corrections to the analytical model:

- shape revised:
  - shift of first break (correction of photohadronic threshold)
  - different cooling breaks for μ's and π's
  - $(1+z)$ correction on the variability scale of the GRB
- Correction cf_π to π prod. efficiency:
  - f_{C_γ}: full spectral shape of photons
  - $f_\approx = 0.69$: rounding error in analytical calculation
  - $f_\sigma \simeq 2/3$: from neglecting the width of the Δ-resonance
- Correction c_S:
  - energy losses of secondaries
  - energy dependence of the mean free path of protons

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

• How the spectrum changes ... (cont.)



At example of GRB080603A:

1. Correction to analytical model (IC-FC → RFC)
2. Change due to full numerical calculation

IC-FC: IceCube-Fireball Calculation
RFC: Revised Fireball Calculation
NFC: Numerical Fireball Calculation

S. Hümmer, P. Baerwald, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 231101 (2012)

Revising the neutron model: NeuCosmA

• The new prediction of the quasi-diffuse GRB ν flux

- Same $n = 117$ GRBs, effective area, and parameters as used by the IC-40 analysis
- Calculate the associated neutrino flux for each burst and the stacked flux $F_\nu\left(E_\nu\right)$
- Quasidiffuse flux:

$$\phi_\nu\left(E_\nu\right) = F_\nu\left(E_\nu\right)\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{n}\frac{667\ \text{bursts}}{\text{yr}}$$

- Statistical uncertainty: extrapolation of a few bursts to a quasidiffuse flux
- Astrophysical uncertainty:
  - $0.001 \leq t_v$ [s] ≤ 0.1
  - $200 \leq \Gamma \leq 500$
  - $1.8 \leq \alpha_p \leq 2.2$
  - $0.1 \leq \epsilon_e/\epsilon_B \leq 10$



S. Hümmer, P. Baerwald, and W. Winter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 231101 (2012)

Further revisions: direct proton escape

The neutron model hinges on:

1. p's magnetically confined, only n's escape
2. p's interact at most once, n's do not (*optically thin source*)

However, under the "one ν_μ per CR" hypothesis, GRBs are disfavoured to be the sole source of UHECRs (AHLERS *et al.*).

M. AHLERS, M. GONZÁLEZ-GARCÍA, F. HALZEN *Astropart. Phys.* **35**, 87 (2011)

Further revisions: direct proton escape

The neutron model hinges on:

1. p's magnetically confined, only n's escape
2. p's interact at most once, n's do not (*optically thin source*)

However, under the "one ν_μ per CR" hypothesis, GRBs are disfavoured to be the sole source of UHECRs (AHLERS *et al.*).

What if 1 and 2 are violated?

- p's "leak out", not accompanied by (direct) ν production
- multiple p interactions enhance the ν flux
- in *optically thick sources*, only n's at the borders escape

M. AHLERS, M. GONZÁLEZ-GARCÍA, F. HALZEN *Astropart. Phys.* **35**, 87 (2011)

A two-component model of CR emission

Optical depth:

$$\tau_n = \left.\frac{t_{p\gamma}^{-1}}{t_{\text{dyn}}^{-1}}\right|_{E_{p,\max}} = \begin{cases} \lesssim 1\,, & \text{optically } \textbf{thin} \text{ source} \\ > 1\,, & \text{optically } \textbf{thick} \text{ source} \end{cases}$$

$E_{p,\text{max}}$ determined from a competition of processes:

$$t'_{\text{acc}}\left(E'_{p,\text{max}}\right) = \min\left[t'_{\text{dyn}}, t'_{\text{syn}}, t'_{p\gamma}\left(E'_{p,\text{max}}\right)\right]$$

Acceleration efficiency, η: $\quad t'_{\text{acc}}\left(E'_p\right) = \dfrac{E'_p}{\eta c e B'}$

Particles can escape from within a shell of thickness λ'_{mfp}:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \lambda'_{p,\text{mfp}}\left(E'\right) &= \min\left[\Delta r', R'_L\left(E'\right), ct'_{p\gamma}\left(E'\right)\right] \\ \lambda'_{n,\text{mfp}}\left(E'\right) &= \min\left[\Delta r', ct'_{p\gamma}\left(E'\right)\right] \end{aligned}\right\} f_{\text{esc}} = \frac{\lambda'_{\text{mfp}}}{\Delta r'}$$

fraction of escaping particles

A two-component model of CR emission

Optically **thin** source:



Optically **thick** source:



P. BAERWALD, MB, W. WINTER, *ApJ* **768**, 186 (2013)

A two-component model of CR emission

Scan of the GRB emission parameter space:

acceleration efficiency $\longrightarrow$ $\eta = 0.1$ $\qquad$ $\eta = 1.0$





P. Baerwald, MB, W. Winter, *ApJ* **768**, 186 (2013)

The UHECR and UHE ν fluxes at Earth

We use a Boltzmann equation to transport protons to Earth:

- Comoving number density of protons (GeV^{-1} cm^{-3}):

$$Y_p\left(E,z\right) = n_p\left(E,z\right) / \left(1+z\right)^3 \ ,$$

with n_p the real number density

- Transport equation (comoving source frame):

$$\dot{Y}_p = \partial_E\left(HEY_p\right) + \partial_E\left(b_{e^+e^-}Y_p\right) + \partial_E\left(b_{p\gamma}Y_p\right) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{CR}}$$

adiabatic losses

pair production losses

photohadronic losses

CR injection from sources

$$Q_{\text{CR}}\left(E\right) \propto E^{-\alpha_p} e^{-E/E_{p,\text{max}}}$$

The UHECR and UHE ν fluxes at Earth

UHECR flux at Earth from n and direct p escape:



The UHECR and UHE ν fluxes at Earth

UHECR flux at Earth from n and direct p escape:



◀ the direct p escape enhances the high-energy peak

The UHECR and UHE ν fluxes at Earth

UHECR flux at Earth from n and direct p escape:



◀ the direct p escape enhances the high-energy peak

Our two-component model *is* able to fit the UHECR data

The UHECR and UHE ν fluxes at Earth

neutron model vs. two-component model:
prompt and cosmogenic ν's





The big (multi-messenger) picture



Summary

- We have revised the GRB n model of ν emission:
  - corrected, full numerical calculation with detailed particle physics
  - yields a quasi-diffuse flux $\sim$ 1 order magnitude below the analytical one by IceCube
- We have explored a GRB emission model with:
  - the standard n escape component, plus
  - an explicit direct p escape component
    – improves the fit to the UHECR observations
- The directly-escaping protons . . .
  - affect the prompt ν flux,
  - but not (much) the cosmogenic flux

By clarifying the UHE γ–CR–ν connection, we might rule out large regions of emission + propagation parameter space

Backup slides

UHE ν's in the GRB internal shock model

Secondary injection of neutrons, neutrinos (GeV^{-1} cm^{-3} s^{-1})

$$Q'\left(E'\right) = \int_{E'}^{\infty} \frac{dE'_p}{E'_p} N'_p\left(E'_p\right) \int_0^{\infty} c d\varepsilon' N'_\gamma\left(\varepsilon'\right) R\left(E', E'_p, \varepsilon'\right)$$

Normalisation to the observed GRB photon flux F_γ

$$\int \varepsilon' N'_\gamma\left(\varepsilon'\right) d\varepsilon' = \frac{E'^{\rm sh}_{\rm iso}}{V'_{\rm iso}} \propto F_\gamma \ , \quad \int E'_p N'_p\left(E'_p\right) dE'_p = \frac{1}{f_e} \frac{E'^{\rm sh}_{\rm iso}}{V'_{\rm iso}} \propto \frac{F_\gamma}{f_e}$$

Fluence per shell, at Earth (GeV^{-1} cm^{-2})

$$\mathcal{F}^{\rm sh} = t_v V'_{\rm iso} \frac{\left(1+z\right)^2}{4\pi d_L^2} Q'$$

UHE ν's in the GRB internal shock model

Secondary injection of neutrons, neutrinos (GeV^{-1} cm^{-3} s^{-1})

$$Q'(E') = \int_{E'}^{\infty} \frac{dE'_p}{E'_p} N'_p(E'_p) \int_0^{\infty} c d\varepsilon' N'_\gamma(\varepsilon') R(E', E'_p, \varepsilon')$$

- Photon density, shock rest frame (GeV^{-1} cm^{-3}):

$$N'_\gamma(\varepsilon') \propto \begin{cases} (\varepsilon')^{-\alpha_\gamma}, & \varepsilon'_{\gamma,\text{min}} = 0.2\ \text{eV} \leq \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon'_{\gamma,\text{break}} \\ (\varepsilon')^{-\beta_\gamma}, & \varepsilon'_{\gamma,\text{break}} \leq \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon'_{\gamma,\text{max}} = 300 \times \varepsilon'_{\gamma,\text{min}} \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon'_{\gamma,\text{break}} = \mathcal{O}(\text{keV}), \alpha_\gamma \approx 1, \beta_\gamma \approx 2$$

- Proton density:

$$N'_p(E'_p) \propto (E'_p)^{-\alpha_p} \times \exp\left[-\left(E'_p/E'_{p,\text{max}}\right)^2\right] \quad (\alpha_p \approx 2)$$

Maximum proton energy limited by energy losses:

$$t'_{\text{acc}}(E'_{p,\text{max}}) = \min\left[t'_{\text{dyn}}, t'_{\text{syn}}(E'_{p,\text{max}}), t'_{p\gamma}(E'_{p,\text{max}})\right]$$

UHE ν's in the GRB internal shock model

Secondary injection of neutrons, neutrinos (GeV^{-1} cm^{-3} s^{-1})

$$Q'\left(E'\right) = \int_{E'}^{\infty} \frac{dE'_p}{E'_p} N'_p\left(E'_p\right) \int_0^{\infty} c d\varepsilon' N'_\gamma\left(\varepsilon'\right) R\left(E', E'_p, \varepsilon'\right)$$

Normalisation to the observed GRB photon flux F_γ

$$\int \varepsilon' N'_\gamma\left(\varepsilon'\right) d\varepsilon' = \frac{E'^{\mathrm{sh}}_{\mathrm{iso}}}{V'_{\mathrm{iso}}} \propto F_\gamma \ , \quad \int E'_p N'_p\left(E'_p\right) dE'_p = \frac{1}{f_e} \frac{E'^{\mathrm{sh}}_{\mathrm{iso}}}{V'_{\mathrm{iso}}} \propto \frac{F_\gamma}{f_e}$$

UHE ν's in the GRB internal shock model

Secondary injection of neutrons, neutrinos (GeV^{-1} cm^{-3} s^{-1})

$$Q'\left(E'\right) = \int_{E'}^{\infty} \frac{dE'_p}{E'_p} N'_p\left(E'_p\right) \int_0^{\infty} c d\varepsilon' N'_\gamma\left(\varepsilon'\right) R\left(E', E'_p, \varepsilon'\right)$$

Normalisation to the observed GRB photon flux F_γ

$$\int \varepsilon' N'_\gamma\left(\varepsilon'\right) d\varepsilon' = \frac{E'^{\rm sh}_{\rm iso}}{V'_{\rm iso}} \propto F_\gamma \ , \quad \int E'_p N'_p\left(E'_p\right) dE'_p = \frac{1}{f_e} \frac{E'^{\rm sh}_{\rm iso}}{V'_{\rm iso}} \propto \frac{F_\gamma}{f_e}$$

Fluence per shell, at Earth (GeV^{-1} cm^{-2})

$$\mathcal{F}^{\rm sh} = t_v V'_{\rm iso} \frac{\left(1+z\right)^2}{4\pi d_L^2} Q'$$

Optically thin sources

Optically **thin** sources ($\tau_n < 1$):



P. Baerwald, MB, W. Winter,
ApJ **768**, 186 (2013)

Optically thick sources

Optically **thick** sources ($\tau_n > 1$):



P. Baerwald, MB, W. Winter,
ApJ **768**, 186 (2013)



Three emission regimes

Optically thin to neutron escape regime

- the standard emission scenario
- p's magnetically confined: n's and ν's from $p\gamma$ interactions
- n's escape and decay to produce UHECRs

Direct escape regime

- directly-escaping p's from the borders dominate
- subdominant n production
- more CRs emitted, so "one ν_μ per CR" no longer valid

Optically thick to neutron escape regime

- n's and p's in the bulk trapped by multiple $p\gamma$ interactions
- they only escape from the borders
- ν production enhanced

NeuCosmA

We use a sophisticated prediction of the GRB neutrino flux (HÜMMER ET AL.):

- full background photon spectrum (not only peak energy)
- energy dependence of the mean free path of protons
- cooling of secondaries
- high-energy photopion processes
- neutrinos from decay of $\mu^{\pm}$, $\pi^{\pm}$, K, n
- helicity dependence of μ decays
- flavour mixing

One order of magnitude below prediction of benchmark models used by IceCube

S. HÜMMER, P. BAERWALD, W. WINTER, *PRL* **108**, 231101 (2012) [1112.1076]

S. HÜMMER, M. RUGER, F. SPANIER, W. WINTER, *Astrophys. J.* **721**, 630 (2010) [1002.1310]

Interaction with the photon backgrounds

- Energy loss rate (GeV s^{-1}):

$$b\left(E\right) \equiv \frac{dE}{dt}$$

- For pair production $p\gamma \longrightarrow pe^{+}e^{-}$:

$$b_{e^+e^-}\left(E,z\right) = -\alpha r_0^2 \left(m_e c^2\right)^2 c \int_2^\infty d\xi n_\gamma \left(\frac{\xi m_e c^2}{2\gamma}, z\right) \frac{\phi\left(\xi\right)}{\xi^2}$$

  - n_γ: isotropic photon background (GeV^{-1} cm^{-3})
  - ξ: photon energy in units of $m_e c^2$
  - proton energy: $E = \gamma m_p c^2$ ($\gamma \gg 1$)
  - $\phi\left(\xi\right)$: (tabulated) integral in energy of outgoing e^-

G. Blumenthal, *Phys. Rev.* **D 1**, 1596 (1970)
H. Bethe, W. Heitler, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* **A146**, 83 (1934)

Interaction with the photon backgrounds

Photohadronic interactions – $p\gamma$ interaction rate (s^{-1} per particle):

$$\Gamma_{p\gamma\to p'b}\left(E,z\right)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{m_p^2}{E^2}\int_{\frac{\epsilon_{\text{th}}m_p}{2E}}^{\infty}d\epsilon\frac{n_\gamma\left(\epsilon,z\right)}{\epsilon^2}\int_{\epsilon_{\text{th}}}^{2E\epsilon/m_p}d\epsilon_r\epsilon_r\sigma^{\text{tot}}_{p\gamma\to p'b}\left(\epsilon_r\right)$$

1. For given values of E and z, NeuCosmA calculates the cooling rate $t_{p\gamma}^{-1}\equiv-\left(1/E\right)b_{p\gamma}$ (s^{-1}) as

$$t_{p\gamma}^{-1}\left(E,z\right)=\sum_{i}^{\text{all channels}}\Gamma^i_{p\to p}\left(E,z\right)K^i\,,$$

   with K^iE the loss of energy per interaction
2. From this, we calculate back $b_{p\gamma}$ (GeV s^{-1}) ...
3. ... and the corresponding energy-loss term in the transport equation, $\partial_E\left(b_{p\gamma}Y_p\right)$.

S. Hümmer, M. Rüger, F. Spanier, W. Winter, *Astrophys. J.* **721**, 630 (2010) [1002.1310]

Interaction lengths

Note that $L_{\text{CIB}} \gg L_{\text{CMB}}$:



Matches, e.g., H. Takami, K. Murase, S. Nagataki, K. Sato, *Astropart. Phys.* **31**, 201 (2009) [0704.0979]