Quantum Simulations & Quantum Devices with Ultracold Atoms in Optical Lattices

A. Trombettoni (CNR-IOM DEMOCRITOS & SISSA, Trieste)

Conference and Programme "Quantum Engineering of States and Devices", Nordita, Stockholm - 19 August 2014

## Outline

Ultracold atoms for quantum engineering of states and devices: a brief introduction

An example of quantum engineering of states with ultracold atoms in optical lattices → quantum simulation of the XXZ chain

### In collaboration with:







Davide Rossini (SNS - Pisa)

#### **Domenico Giuliano** (University of Cosenza)

Pasquale Sodano (IIP - Natal)









Luca Lepori (SISSA → Strasbourg) Michele Burrello (SISSA → Max-Planck, Garching)

### **Acknowledgement: Funding from European STREP MatterWave**

# Outline

### Ultracold atoms for quantum engineering of states and devices: a brief introduction

An example of quantum engineering of states with ultracold atoms in optical lattices → quantum simulation of the XXZ chain

### The ultracold family: Bosons &

### Fermions

18



 $\begin{bmatrix} numbers : 10^3 - 10^6 & atoms \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Typical values:** 

temperatures : 10–100 nanoKelvin sizes : 1–50 micrometers

### **Geometry control for ultracold atoms**

Magnetic harmonic potential:  $V(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2} m \left( \omega_x^2 x^2 + \omega_y^2 y^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2 \right)$ 



## **Achievements & Perspectives**

| 1995     | Bose-Einstein condensation of bosonic gases  |
|----------|--|
| 1995     | Feshbach resonances, superfluidity and rotating condensates, spinorial condensates |
| 1998     | Polarized (=ideal) Fermi gases at T <sub>F</sub>                                   |
| 2001     | Optical lattices   |
| 2005     | Dipolar gases  |
| 005-2006 | Interacting fermions   |
| 2008     | Anderson localization  |
| 2009     | Mott insulators for fermions   |
|          |  |

### quantum devices

### quantum simulators

# **Quantum Simulations**

Realization by purpose of a model or *quantum* states of interest (microscopic or phenomenological) in an experimental setup with highly tunable parameters

Need to:

compute from the microscopics the parameters of the model
check how reliable is the "simulation" of the desired

Hamiltonian (e.g., how the observable quantities match)

# Example

Desired Hamiltonian: Hubbard model (i.e., interacting particles on a lattice)

$$H = -t \sum_{i} b_{i}^{+} b_{i+1} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i} n_{i} (n_{i} - 1) + V \sum_{i} n_{i} n_{i+1}$$

→ use (suitable) ultracold atoms in a (suitable) optical lattice...

# Ultracold bosons in an optical lattice



 $V_{opt}(x) = V_0 \sin^2(kx)$ 

### e.g., a 1D lattice



- It is possible to control:
- barrier height

...

- interaction term
- the shape of the network
- the dimensionality (1D, 2D, ...)
- the tunneling among planes or among tubes (in order to have a layered structure)

# Effective Hamiltonian for ultracold bosons in optical lattices

$$\hat{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} (\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{j} + h.c.) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i} \hat{n}_{i} (\hat{n}_{i} - 1)$$

$$\hat{n}_{i} \equiv \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i} \quad N_{T} \text{ number of particles on N sites} \quad filling \ f = \frac{N_{T}}{N}$$

$$\underline{Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian}$$

$$ID \text{ by taken et al. DBL (1000)}$$

[D. Jaksch et al., PRL (1999)]

### t/U>>1 → <u>Superfluid</u>

dynamics described by the classical Bose-Hubbard [A. Trombettoni and A. Smerzi, PRL (2001)]

 $t/U << 1 \rightarrow Mott$ insulator quantum fluctuations dominate



# Main available "ingredients"

- Bosons and/or fermions
- Geometry (1D / 2D)
- Long-range interactions
- > Add disorder

Time-dependence (and to a certain extent spacedependence) of the parameters of the Hamiltonian

Simulate a magnetic field through a rotation or with optical tools

Explicit tuning of the interactions via Feshbach resonances

Optical lattices (i.e., periodic potentials and minima of the potential located on a lattice)

# Outline

Ultracold atoms for quantum engineering of states and devices: a brief introduction

# **Available tools**

- Bosons and/or fermions
- Geometry (1D)
- Long-range interactions
- > Add disorder
- Time-dependence (and to a certain extent space-dependence) of the parameters of the Hamiltonian
- > Explicit tuning of the interactions via Feshbach resonances
- Simulate a magnetic field through a rotation or with optical tools
- Optical lattices (i.e., periodic potentials having minima located on a lattice)

### Low-energy Hamiltonian for ultracold bosons in optical lattices

$$H_{BH} = -t \sum_{i} b_{i}^{+} b_{i+1} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i} n_{i} (n_{i} - 1) + V \sum_{i} n_{i} n_{i+1}$$

 $n_i \equiv b_i^+ b_i^- N_T$  number of particles on L sites filling  $f = \frac{N_T}{I}$ 

(Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian)

For <u>U infinite</u> and filling f <u>half-integer</u> only two states are relevant:

$$f-\frac{1}{2}\rangle$$
,  $|f+\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ 

Putting

 $s_i^z = n_i - f$ 

one gets..

**... the XXZ Hamiltonian:**  $H_{XXZ} = -J \sum_{i} \left( s_{i}^{x} s_{i+1}^{x} + s_{i}^{y} s_{i+1}^{y} - \Delta s_{i}^{z} s_{i+1}^{z} \right)$   $f = 2t \left( f + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad J \Delta = V$ integrable in 1D

A lot is known also about correlation functions in the thermodynamic limit:

$$\langle \Psi_0 | s_i^z s_j^z | \Psi_0 \rangle = (-1)^{i-j} \frac{A_z}{|i-j|^{1/\eta}} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \eta (i-j)^2},$$

$$\langle \Psi_0 | s_i^x s_j^x | \Psi_0 \rangle = (-1)^{i-j} \frac{A_x}{|i-j|^{\eta}} - \frac{A_x}{|i-j|^{\eta+1/\eta}},$$

 $\eta = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \Delta$  (Luther and Peschel, PRB 1975)

Also the correlation amplitudes are known (Lukyanov and Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 1998, Lukyanov, PRB 1999):

$$\begin{aligned} A^x &= \frac{\mathcal{A}^\eta}{8(1-\eta)^2} \exp\left[-\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left(\frac{\sinh(\eta t)}{\sinh(t)\cosh[(1-\eta)t]} - \eta e^{-2t}\right)\right] \\ \tilde{A}^x &= \frac{\mathcal{A}^{\eta+1/\eta}}{2\eta(1-\eta)} \exp\left[-\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left(\frac{\cosh(\eta t)e^{-2t} - 1}{2\sinh(\eta t)\sinh(t)\cosh[(1-\eta)t]} + \frac{1}{\sinh(\eta t)} - \frac{\eta^2 + 1}{\eta}e^{-2t}\right)\right] \\ A^z &= \frac{2\mathcal{A}^{1/\eta}}{\pi^2} \exp\left[\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left(\frac{\sinh[(2\eta - 1)t]}{\sinh(\eta t)\cosh[(1-\eta)t]} - \frac{2\eta - 1}{\eta}e^{-2t}\right)\right] \\ \mathcal{A} &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\eta}{2(1-\eta)}\right)}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2(1-\eta)}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

(results available also for the XXZ *finite* chain)

### **Finite U effective Hamiltonian:**

# What happens at finite U? The effective Hamiltonian is not an XXZ chain, but...

**Similarity RG procedure** 

(Glazek and Wilson, PRB 1993)

-

### Luttinger representation

an effective XXZ Hamiltonian with renormalized parameters is found, giving correlation functions in excellent agreement with numerical results from the Bose-Hubbard model

[D. Giuliano, D. Rossini, P. Sodano, and A. Trombettoni, PRB (2013)]

### **Collecting all together:**

$$H^{(1)} = H^{(1)}_{\text{diag}} + H^{(1)}_{\text{offd}}$$

$$H_{\text{Diag}}^{(1)} = -\frac{4(\bar{n}+1)t^2}{U} \sum_{i} s_i^z - \frac{t^2}{U} \left(3\bar{n}^2 + 6\bar{n} + 4\right) \sum_{i} s_i^z s_{i+1}^z$$
$$H_{\text{Offd}}^{(1)} = -\frac{t^2(\bar{n}+1)^2}{U} \sum_{i} \left(s_{i+1}^+ s_{i-1}^- + s_{i+1}^- s_{i-1}^+\right) - \frac{2t^2(\bar{n}+1)}{U} \sum_{i} \left(s_{i+1}^+ s_{i-1}^- + s_{i+1}^- s_{i-1}^+\right) s_i^z$$

**Final step:** passing to Jordan-Wigner fermions and bosonizing via the Luttinger representation

## **Final result**

$$H_{XXZ}^{\text{eff}} = -J_{\text{eff}} \sum_{j} \left( s_{j}^{x} s_{j+1}^{x} + s_{j}^{y} s_{j+1}^{y} - \Delta_{\text{eff}} s_{j}^{z} s_{j+1}^{z} \right)$$
$$\Delta_{\text{eff}} = \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2J}{U} \cos(k_{F})} \right] \Delta$$
$$\Delta = \frac{V}{J} - \frac{t^{2} (3\bar{n}^{2} + 6\bar{n} + 4)}{JU} - \frac{4t^{2} (\bar{n} + 1)^{2}}{JU}$$
$$J_{\text{eff}} = J \left( 1 - \frac{2J}{U} \cos k_{F} \right)$$

 $\cos k_F = \frac{U(\bar{n}+1)}{2J} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{U(\bar{n}+1)}{2J}\right)^2 + \bar{n} + 2}$ 

### **Comparison between (XXZ) analytical and (Bose-Hubbard) numerical results (I):**



**Blue: infinite U result** 

### Comparison between (XXZ) analytical and (Bose-Hubbard) numerical results (II):



Black: numerical Bose-Hubbard results Red: XXZ numerical results Green: XXZ analytical results Blue: infinite U result Magenta: XXZ results with non GW rotated operators

### Stability of results varying the size...



U=10t; V=0.5t; filling=0.5; J/U=0.2

Black: numerical Bose-Hubbard results Red: XXZ numerical results Blue: infinite U result

### ...and varying the interaction



V=0.5t; filling=0.5; L=150  $\rightarrow$  J/U=0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6

Black: numerical Bose-Hubbard results Red: XXZ numerical results Blue: infinite U result

# Outline

Ultracold atoms for quantum engineering of states and devices: a brief introduction

# Main available "ingredients"

- Bosons and/or <u>(attractively interacting) fermions</u>
- Geometry (1D / 2D)
- Long-range interactions
- > Add disorder

Time-dependence (and to a certain extent spacedependence) of the parameters of the Hamiltonian

Simulate a magnetic field through a rotation or with optical tools

Explicit tuning of the interactions via Feshbach resonances

Optical lattices or double well potentials

# Ultracold atoms as quantum simulators of:

Strongly interacting lattice systems (e.g., Fermi and/or Bose Hubbard-like models)

- > Quantum magnetism
- Dirac and relativistic field theories
- Low-dimensional systems
- Quantum Hall physics
- > (BCS) Superconductors with Cooper pairs
- Josephson junctions

# Ultracold weak link: a Josephson junction





A two-component Fermi mixture in a double well (T<T<sub>c</sub>) is a fermionic Josephson junction [bosonic junctions  $\rightarrow$ F.S. Cataliotti et al., Science (2001) – M. Albiez et al. PRL (2005)]:



### Single system (I)

We describe a single, uncoupled, system by the socalled Richardson model: N levels, M pairs

$$H_{R} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{\alpha} \left( c_{\alpha\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha\uparrow} + c_{\alpha\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha\downarrow} \right) - 2g \sum_{\alpha\beta=1}^{N} c_{\alpha\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\beta\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\beta\downarrow} c_{\beta\uparrow}$$

Introducing the pair operator  $b_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha\downarrow}c_{\alpha\uparrow}$ and ignoring single fermions (only pairs) one gets

$$H_R = 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \varepsilon_\alpha b_\alpha^\dagger b_\alpha - 2g \sum_{\alpha\beta=1}^N b_\alpha^\dagger b_\beta$$

The model is solvable by Bethe ansatz [R.W. Richardson, Phys. Lett. (1963)] and it gives a fair description of the BCS-BEC crossover for systems with few attractively interacting fermions [G. Ortiz and M. Dukelsky, PRA (2005)].

### Single system (II)

 $\mu$  vs g



M = N/2 - 1

...typical of the BCS/BEC crossover

### Weakly coupled systems

The Hamiltonian for two coupled Richardson models is:

$$H = H_R + H_L + H_T \qquad \qquad H_T = -\eta \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^N (c_{\alpha\sigma,L}^{\dagger} c_{\beta\sigma,R} + \text{H.c.})$$

The effective Hamiltonian for weak coupling  $\lambda <<1$  is [B.D. Josephson, Phys. Lett (1962); D. Gobert, U. Schollwock, and J. von Delft, Eur. Phys. J. B (2004)] reads:

$$H^{(2)} \approx -\lambda \Delta_{\rm BCS} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{b_{\alpha,L}^{\dagger} b_{\beta,R} + b_{\alpha,L} b_{\beta,R}^{\dagger}}{E_{\alpha} + E_{\beta}}$$

$$E_{\alpha} = \sqrt{\xi_{\alpha}^2 + \Delta_{BCS}^2}, \ \xi_{\alpha} = \varepsilon_{\alpha} - \mu, \ \text{and} \ \lambda = 2\eta^2 / \Delta_{BCS}$$

### **Tunneling dynamics**

We studied the exact dynamics up to 20 total levels (and 15 pairs): we choose an umbalaced initial state

$$\ket{\Psi(t=0)} = rac{1}{\sqrt{1+\xi^2}} \left( \left| \Phi^{(L)}_{M_0} 
ight
angle \otimes \left| \Phi^{(R)}_{M_0-D} 
ight
angle + e^{i\phi_0} \xi \left| \Phi^{(L)}_{M_0-D} 
ight
angle \otimes \left| \Phi^{(R)}_{M_0} 
ight
angle 
ight)$$

### Definition and formation of the relative phase

We considered two different ways of defining a relative phase (when the relative phase is well defined they should agree):

$$w_{\alpha}(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | b_{\alpha,L}^{\dagger} b_{\alpha,R} | \Psi(t) \rangle \equiv |w_{\alpha}(t)| e^{i\delta\phi_{W}(t;\alpha)}$$

$$z_{\alpha}(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | b_{\alpha,L}^{\dagger} b_{N/2,R} | \Psi(t) \rangle \equiv |z_{\alpha}(t)| e^{i\delta\phi_{z}(t;\alpha)}$$

$$\delta\phi_{W,z}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \delta\phi_{W,z}(t;\alpha) \qquad \sigma_{W,z}^{2}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\delta\phi_{W,z}(t;\alpha) - \delta\phi_{W,z}(t))^{2}$$

A typical result: For each system: N=8, M=4, g=0.6 Initial state with D=2  $(\lambda=0.1)$ 



[F. Buccheri and A. Trombettoni, PRB (2013)]

### **Definition and formation of the relative phase: results**



Example of relative phase vs time

Time average of the variance of the relative phase (bottom) << time average of its mean (top) – <u>notice that this is valid also for numbers</u> of pairs as low 10

### **Phase portrait and Josephson coupling**



Population imbalancerelative phase portrait N=10 - M=7g=0.5



Current vs relative phase for different times



Josephson frequency vs g: maximum at the unitary limit

[in qualitative agreement with results obtained by mean-field / Bogoliubov-De Gennes approaches by the groups of Camerino (PRL 2007, Phys. Rep. 2010), Padova (PRA 2008, PRA 2009) and Trento (PRA 2008, PRA 2009)].

[F. Buccheri and A. Trombettoni, PRB (2013)]

## Summary

- Ultracold atoms are routinely used/proposed for <u>quantum engineering of states and devices</u>
- Optical lattices can be added, realizing lattice models and Josephson physics with ultracold atoms
  - Quantum simulation of the XXZ chain → from one side, ultracold bosonic lattices can be used to perform a quantum simulation of the XXZ chain from the other correlation functions of the Bose-Hubbard model at half-filling very well captured by analytical formulas from an effective (integrable) XXZ model
  - Josephson junctions realizable with ultracold fermions in double-well or multi-well potentials → a definite relative phase forms also for rather small number of fermions (as low as 10)

