### Future Scintillators for WIMPs







### Neil Spooner, University of Sheffield

- WIMP world confusion, scintillators, ultimate sensitivity
- Noble liquid comments
- Scintillation signals CRESST, DAMA...
- Future of Annual Modulation tests....
- DM-ICE

### The WIMP World

Progress, confusion, hints, limits, tensions..... scintillators



## The WIMP World

Does confusion at low WIMP mass reflect poor understanding of detector technology - calibrations, noise, backgrounds....?



Conclusion: either we have a WIMP signal or we need better detectors?



from Paolo Gondolo

## **Nal Scintillation Recoil Calibrations**

Recent questions raised over NaI quench factors



## **LXe/LAr Scintillation Calibrations**

Questions also raised over LXe and LAr QF and  $L_{eff}$ 

(see previous talks at this workshop)



## **LXe/LAr Scintillation Calibrations**

Questions also raised over LXe and LAr QF and  $L_{eff}$ 

LUX Preliminary \_≝ 10<sup>-1</sup> Sys. Error on Blue Points (one sigma) Blue Crosses - LUX DD Black Dashed Line - NEST 10<sup>°</sup>  $10^{2}$ 10 Energy (keV \_\_\_\_) Current analysis 3keVnr cut-off lonization Yield [electrons / keV nra] 10 Sys. Error on Blue Points (one sigma) Blue Crosses - LUX DD Black Dashed Line - NEST LUX Preliminary 10<sup>0</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> 10 Energy Measured from Scattering Angle [keV ....]

(see previous talks at this workshop)

observation of a significant dependence (up to 32%) on drift field of liquid argon scintillation from nuclear recoils of energies between 10.8 keVand 49.9 keV.



## Aside - A better detector?

A directional detector might beat the neutrino limit and give a real galactic signal - measure the <u>direction</u> of the recoils from WIMPs



an unambiguous galactic signal?



### DRIFT III new lab @ Boulby

**DRIFT II** 









## **Directional Scale-up?**

- DRIFT is the ultimate WIMP experiment because it seeks a SIGNAL
- DRIFT III is the next step upgrade by ~x30
- Ultimate volumes for directionality are tough but not absurd nor necessarily unaffordable (?)

Existing particle physics detector volumes and equivalent mass of DRIFT gas....





- It's directional so in principle no known background, <u>not even solar neutrinos</u>?
- 24 m<sup>3</sup> DRIFT-III with low threshold upgrade
  24 tons, SK volume is ~64 tons at 1kg/m<sup>3</sup>
  240 tons

 this is a thought experiment - just used scaling here so needs more work

## Scintillators rule (Nal) - 1995-2002



## Scintillators rule (Nal) - 1995-2002



### Noble Liquid Scintillator (LXe) - 2004+

Combining charge collection and scintillation in noble gases gives discrimination - has led to several big LXe efforts...



Advance of Liquid Noble Gas - Liquid Xenon or Liquid Argon

- LUX to LZ, XENON 100 to XENON 1T, XMASS, PandaX, DARWEN...
- DarkSide, DEAP, ArDM

## XENON 1T/XENONnT (2012-2022)

- 100 cm drift TPC 3300 kg/7000 kg
- Fast track to data starting 2014 (1T)?

#### XENON1T: overview

- Two-phase TPC with 1 meter drift and ~1 m diameter electrodes filled with ~3.3 tonnes of Xe
- Experiment designed to enable a fast upgrade to a larger diameter TPC with ~7 tonnes of Xe
- Detector/associated systems use largely proven technologies developed for XENON100
- New challenges presented by the scale-up addressed with multiple R&D set-ups
- New 3 inch PMTs developed for XENON1T: average QE~36% at 178 nm and low activity
- Detector shielded by water instrumented as Cherenkov muon veto
- Status: under construction commissioning of major plants at LNGS starts May 2014
- Science Goal: 10<sup>47</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> with 2 ton-years of data or by 2017
- Funding: 50% of capital cost covered by NSF
- Proposal submitted by US groups for an upgrade in 2018





## XENON 1T/XENONnT (2012-2022)



### XENON 1T/XENONnT (2012-2022)



## LUX-ZEPLIN (2016 + )

• 8 tonne Xe, 5.6t fiducial

•

New Gd-LS veto for alpha-n Awaits G2 selection Would start installation in Davis Complex, Sanford Lab in 2016 .UX / DOE

## **Scintillation Rules - Why and What**

But good old NaI has surprisingly low INTRINSIC background



- So actually the recoil discrimination by scint:heat ratio is not stellar, and will not be the dominant factor in background suppression
- LAr can also do discrimination by scint:heat ratio at a similar level - but actually in LAr pulse shape discrimination is probably much more powerful

## LAr and return to Scintillation PSD

## DarkSide (see Aldo's talk)

### DarkSide-G2

- 3600 kg fiducial, two phase
- Can be built inside present DS-50 neutron veto
- Expected sensitivity 10<sup>-47</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>





## LAr - PSD only, single phase

**DEAP3600** at SNOLAB

Pulse shape discrimination in LAr is a phenomenal improvement over NaI by >  $x 10^8$ 





(PSD) achieved with DEAP-1 is 10<sup>(-8)</sup> at 43 keV<sub>ee</sub>

0.7

0.8

0.9



## Passive shielding rules.... e.g. XMASS..

1m diameter detector, 835kg of LXe for sensitive region. (total 1t)

- 642 low background PMTs.
- Use outer xenon region as shield and make center region as low background fiducial



Also, engineering data of 0.31 ton year allowed start on annual modulation analysis (DAMA/LIBRA 1.33 ton year, 14 cycles)





### **CRESST - scintillation with heat**

Located in hall A of LNGS, Scintillating CaWO<sub>4</sub> target crystals, 33 crystals in modular structure (10 kg target mass), discrimination by observing phonons and scintillation





4.7 σ for M1 4.2 σ for M2

	M1	M2
$e/\gamma$ -events	$8.00\pm0.05$	$8.00\pm0.05$
$\alpha$ -events	$11.5^{+2.6}_{-2.3}$	$11.2^{+2.5}_{-2.3}$
neutron events	$7.5{}^{+6.3}_{-5.5}$	$9.7  {}^{+6.1}_{-5.1}$
Pb recoils	$15.0^{+5.2}_{-5.1}$	$18.7^{+4.9}_{-4.7}$
signal events	$29.4^{+8.6}_{-7.7}$	$24.2^{+8.1}_{-7.2}$
$m_{\chi} \ [\text{GeV}]$	25.3	11.6
$\sigma_{\rm WN}$ [pb]	$1.6\cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.7\cdot 10^{-5}$

67 low energy nuclear recoils observed in acceptance region for a WIMP signal in 730 kg days of data.

For ultimate clarification reduction is necessary to reduce possible uncertainties in modelling of these backgrounds.

### **CRESST - scintillation with heat**

### • Background issues in that data

low energy  $\alpha$ -events  $\rightarrow \alpha$ contamination in clamps holding the crystals

<sup>206</sup>Pb nuclei from <sup>210</sup>Po  $\alpha$ -decays  $\rightarrow$ <sup>210</sup>Po contamination on non scintillating Ag surface of clamps

• New runs underway using new designs of crystal holder- data

new projected sensitivity

### New collected until January 2014

Main goal is lower neutron, α and <sup>206</sup>Pb recoil backgrounds by more than an order of magnitude to either confirm or reject the low mass WIMP hypothesis with high confidence.



### DAMA/LIBRA - it continues...



- DAMA/LIBRA essentially assume WIMPs have been detected, so they say what is needed is more statistics to investigate the signal
  - LIBRA (inc. phase II, 2010) upgrade has lower threshold (~ 1 keV), higher mass (250 kg) and lower background (~10<sup>-12</sup> g/g <sup>238</sup>U..) a good way to investigate the origin of the modulation this is not the motive!
  - 2012 installed new pre-amps and triggers
  - Current total exposure, inc. all previous runs, ~ 1.67 ton x years
  - No release of new modulation data until ~6 years of new data is collected, though recently some "engineering data" has been seen
  - Stability is < 1 %: temperature, humidity, radon, noise rates

### DAMA/LIBRA

- Example Phase II WIMP characterisation studies
- Lower threshold and lower background allows discrimination between models via spectrum of modulation component e.g.:



- Improved statistics on phase measurement allows discrimination between halo models including existence of streams (caustics, Sun focussing etc) e.g.:



## **DAMA/LIBRA - a conclusion**

Frank Calaprice

DAMA/LIBRA observes an annual modulation in count rate on NaI(Tl) target consistent with a WIMP dark matter signal.

- The modulation has very high (~9  $\sigma$ ) statistical significance.
- No explanation yet of the modulation due to normal-matter effects.
- Results favour light WIMPS, but are seemingly inconsistent with experiments using other targets. (LUX, XENON, CDMS...)
- Other hints of light WIMPS come from CoGeNT, CDMS-Si, and CRESST.
- Confirmation or refutation by another NaI(Tl) experiment is lacking.

This is starting to be addressed more seriously by several new experiments... DM-ICE, SABRE, KIMS, ANAIS....

### SABRE

Propose new Ann. Mod search with NaI (Tl) with focus on production of lower background material and scintillator veto

 $Na_2CO_3$  (aq) + 2HI (aq)  $\rightarrow$  2 NaI (aq) + H<sub>2</sub>O + CO<sub>2</sub> (g)

Princeton University: F. Calaprice, C. Galbiati, J. Benziger, F. Froborg, M. Wada, J. Xu, E. Shields, S. Westerdale, A. Nelson University of Houston: E. Hungerford, S. Davini, G. Korga LNGS: A. Razeto, Aldo Ianni Milano University: D. D'Angelo PNNL: E. Hoppe, J. Orrell, C. Overman

- Current goal is to demonstrate high radio-purity, high light-yield 3-inch crystal modules.
- Under construction with NSF funding.
- Shielded in Darkside liquid scintillator veto.
- Hamamatsu 3" R11065-X PMTs.
- Electroformed copper encapsulation.
- Schedule: Expect to start taking data summer 2014.

Next goal, not yet funded, will be an array of 8-kg NaI(Tl) modules (50-60 kg) using new 4" PMTs.

- Start construction in 2015 and 2 years to complete.
- Taking data as modules are constructed is an option.

Possible full 70 kg experiment in DarkSide veto also proposed as an option



### SABRE

With standard WIMP halo, a 10 GeV WIMP has most nuclear recoil energy spectrum below the 2 keV DL threshold.

- Observed modulation implies following non-modulating rates for 10 GeV WIMP:
- Whole nuclear recoil spectrum: 1.13 cpd/keV/kg
- ROI (2-4 keV): 0.13 cpd/keV/kg.
- The modulation and total WIMP in ROI imply a 14% modulation for 10 GeV WIMP.
- The modulation is diluted by background.

The SABRE 50-kg array with expected low background should detect big modulation.

![](_page_26_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **ANAIS** Constructing a 250 kg NaI(Tl) array at the Canfranc Underground Laboratory (LSC)

Propose active muon vetoes, anti-radon box etc Extensive study of crystal purity, **Goal:** <**20** ppb of natK (0.6 mBq/kg)

ANAIS-25: two NaI(Tl) crystals (12.5 kg each) from Alpha Spectra

- Main goal of ANAIS-25 to determine potassium content of the new crystals
- Powder "Alpha Spectra B":
- ${}^{40}$ K: 1.25±0.11 mBq/kg or natK: (41.7±3.7) ppb 210Pb: 3.15mBq/kg

Implemented several improvements in crystal purification. U/Th reduction by factor  $\sim$ 3 expected, while maintaining K levels.

![](_page_27_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **KINS** WIMP search using CsI(Tl) at the Yangyang Underground Laboratory

- A 12-module array of low background CsI(Tl) detectors, total mass 103.4 kg
- Each module is 8 x 8 x 30 cm<sup>3</sup>, with green-enhanced PMTs, 4-5 p.e./keV
- Total data exposed as published 24,324.3 kg.days

# (1) WIMP search with PSD in CsI(Tl)

- Nuclear recoil (NR) event rate limit below DAMA/LIBRA annual modulation, disfavouring iDM model
- Electron equivalent 3-11 keV
- NR rate for 3.6-5.8 keV corresponds to 2-4 keV in NaI
   (Tl) is 0.0098 cts/kg/keV/day 10<sup>4</sup>
- But QF issues also in CsI(Tl)!
- Now indicate ~30% correction?

![](_page_28_Figure_10.jpeg)

### **KIMS**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

## KIMS

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

### (2) Low WIMP mass such - no PSD

- Results of a search for low-mass WIMPs using 2 keV energy threshold applied to data from 2009-2010 runs.
- Previously used 3 keV to allow PSD

### (3) Annual modulation attempt

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

- Change PMTs to more sensitive and lower noise ones: lower threshold ~1.5 keV,

### (5) NaI(Tl) development

- Programme on low background NaI(Tl) in collaboration (DM-ICE, ANAIS)
- First new NaI (Tl) crystals planned for 2014 in Y2L site

### KIMS

Annual modulation amplitude is obtained including the exponential decay of <sup>134</sup>Cs for 2.5 years of data.

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **DM-ICE**

Recognises advantage of using an environment/location with different systematics - Southern Hemisphere

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

 ~85 muons/m<sup>2</sup>/day at bottom of IceCube, IceCube/DeepCore veto reduces rate by ~1-2 orders of magnitude, Ice is a good neutron moderator

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **DM-ICE**

An international collaboration with demonstrated track record in: XENON, CUORE, NaIAD, SNO, IceCube, Daya Bay, KamLAND

Boulby Underground Science Facility S. Paling

Columbia University E. Aprile, A. Melgarejo

#### Fermilab

L. Hsu

### NIST-Gaithersburg

P. Mumm

#### **Purdue University**

A. Brown, R. Lang

#### SNOLAB

B. Cleveland

University of Alberta D. Grant 30 scientists 11 institutions

University of Sheffield V. Kudryavtsev, M. Robinson, N. Spooner, S. Telfer, L. Thompson, D. Walker

University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign L. Yang

University of Wisconsin-Madison J. Cherwinka, M. DuVernois, F. Halzen, A. Hubbard, A. Karle, M. Kauer, W. Pettus, Z. Pierpoint, B. Reilly, T. Wise

Yale University J. Ashenfelter, K. Heeger, <u>R. Maruyama\*</u>, B. Russell

\*contact and spokesperson

### **DM-ICE - a phased programme**

### Features - low-background Nal(TI) target

- movable detector array
- can run at the same time as DAMA, starting in 2016
- access to both Northern & Southern Hemispheres

### DM-lce17

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

Test Detector at South Pole

![](_page_34_Figure_8.jpeg)

in operation since 2011

### DM-Ice250 North

![](_page_34_Picture_11.jpeg)

Modulation Search in Northern Hemisphere

![](_page_34_Picture_13.jpeg)

portable 250kg Nal(TI) array existing shield, ready in 2015

### DM-Ice250 South

![](_page_34_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### Modulation Search at South

![](_page_34_Picture_18.jpeg)

if modulation seen in North and environmental effects ruled out

### DM-ICE250 at LNGS - proposed phase I

Proposal to use XENON-100 shield for annual modulation search with NaI(Tl) starting 2015, prior to move to southern hemisphere

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

Detectors: Use 250kg of new, low-background Nal(TI) detectors developed with Alpha Spectra, Inc in US. 36kg in hand and being tested. Remaining 220kg can be ready by end of 2015.

Shield: Make use of existing, well-understood XENON shield

- existing radio-assay of all shield materials
- Radon control (<1 Bq/m3)
- validated GEANT simulations

Infrastructure&Personnel: Modest upgrades required to hut for environmental control. Synergies with personnel of other experiments at LNGS.

### **DM-ICE17 - South Pole proof of concept**

17 kg test at South Pole - deployment, operation, data taking

### Built in summer 2010

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Deployed at the South Pole in December 2010

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

### DM-ICE17 - re-use of NaIAD crystals Tests of DM-ICE17 NaI at Boulby Lab at 1.1 km depth

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Both crystals and PMTs tested and found to be in excellent condition
- Packed and shipped to Madison....

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **DM-ICE17 - detector internals**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **DM-ICE17 - first data**

### Test Detector Operation & Data

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

uses NaIAD crystals

#### Light Collection and Energy Resolution DM-Ice17: 4-6 pe/keV

![](_page_39_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Data run since June 2011, 99.8% uptime

![](_page_39_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### Energy Spectrum < 100 keV

![](_page_39_Figure_10.jpeg)

## **DM-ICE17 - operational stability**

modulation analysis is hard

Detector has run continuously for ~2.5 years, demonstrating feasibility of SP deployment. Careful analysis does reveal the challenge of searching for a modulation signal that is not an experimental artefact particularly with use of "internal" calibration. It is quite easy to find fluctuations!

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **DM-ICE17 - operational stability**

modulation analysis is hard

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Even a <0.8% gain shift over 24 months is important to understand in detail because of the potential effect in noise cuts
- The combination of slight gain shifts, any changes in the rate of different noise classes, any residual cosmogenic decay can easily mimic both rises and falls in signal rates in the 2-6 keV region
- Probably at >3 years data will be needed to allow a full check

### **DM-ICE250 - sensitivities**

### **Model-Independent:** Assume DAMA-like signal, statistics

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

DAMA size

250 kg

1.71

2.96

3.82

4.52

2.42

4.18

5.40

6.39

3.26

5.64

7.29

8.62

4.61

7.98

10.31

12.19

14.57

25.24

32.59

38.56

### Conclusions

- Scintillation continues to play a key part in the quest
- But as ever the key issue, that probably causes the confusion is:

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

from Paolo Gondolo