Extra-gaglactic background Light – Dieter Horns (Univ. Hamburg)


Daniel López
Observatorio del Teide, IAC

The sky is bright – the universe is not..



Leinert et al. 1998

The Extra-galactic background light in a box

- Counting photons in a 1 m^3 box:

  4 x 10^8 Cosmic-microwave background photons



Somewhere in Extra-galactic space



The Extra-galactic background light in a box

- Counting photons in a 1 m^3 box:



Somewhere in Extra-galactic space

4×10^8 Cosmic microwave background photons

$\sim 10^6$ Cosmic infra-red background photons



View from Earth

The Extra-galactic background light in a box

- Counting photons in a 1 m^3 box:



Somewhere in Extra-galactic space

4×10^8 Cosmic microwave background photons
$\sim 10^6$ Cosmic infra-red background photons
$\sim 10^4$ Cosmic optical photons



Dissecting the EBL with the Hubble telescope



Detail of the Hubble EDF
[arXiv:1305.1931]

Resolved Sources (galaxies):

$$\nu I_{\mathrm{EBL}} > 10\ \mathrm{nW/(m^2\ srad)}$$



[Madau&Pozzetti: Astro-ph/9907315]

Dissecting the EBL with the Hubble telescope

Detail of the Hubble EDF
[arXiv:1305.1931]

Unresolved Sources
(too faint)



[Madau&Pozzetti: Astro-ph/9907315]

Dissecting the EBL with the Hubble telescope

Detail of the Hubble EDF
[arXiv:1305.1931]

Unresolved and
unknown Sources
(too faint)



[Madau&Pozzetti: Astro-ph/9907315]

Dissecting the EBL with the Hubble telescope

Detail of the Hubble EDF
[arXiv:1305.1931]

Additional contribution
(particle decays,...)

The Unknown
Unknowns....

Cambresy et al. 2001
[astro-ph/0103078]

Dissecting the EBL with the Hubble telescope



Detail of the Hubble EDF
[arXiv:1305.1931]

Background fluctuations
Unresolved contribution
<1 nW/(m^{2}srad)

NIR / X-ray excess clustering at degree-scale

Yue et al. 2013 [arXiv:1305.5177]



Correlation with X-rays (Capelluti et al. 2013) also Helgason et al. 2012, 2014.

- No correlation with HST maps (Kashlinsky et al. 2007) → high z
- Field stars? (Cooray et al. 2013)
- Correlation with X-ray counts (Capelluti et al. 2013,Helgason 2012,2014) → Direct black hole collapse (Yue et al. 2013)
- 0.5-2 nW/m^{2}srad: Limiting DM star contribution (Maurer et al. 2012)

Models (one slide only)



Different models &
different methods →
Converging results!

The simplest type of model (Dwek et al. 1998, Kneiske et al. 2002)

$$P_\nu(z) = \nu I_\nu(z) = \nu \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_z^{z_m} \mathcal{E}_{\nu'}(z') \left| \frac{dt'}{dz'} \right| dz'$$

EBL

$$\mathcal{E}_\nu(z) = \int_z^{z_m} L_\nu(t(z) - t(z')) \dot{\rho}_*(z') \left| \frac{dt'}{dz'} \right| dz'$$

Emissivity

Stellar population spectra (SPS)

Star formation rate density (SFRD)

[Raue 2012]





Dark stars' contribution to the EBL

Synthetic model spectra for DS



Plug in your favorite model
and estimate the contribution



$$(\nu I_\nu)_{\max} = 2 \times 10^{-5}\ \mathrm{nW\,m^{-2}\,sr^{-1}} \times \left(\frac{\tau_{DS}}{10^7\ \mathrm{years}}\right) \times \left(\frac{\mathrm{SFR_{Norm}}}{10^{-5}}\right) \times \left(\frac{\mathrm{LMR}}{10^3\,\mathrm{L_\odot/\,M_\odot}}\right) \times \left(\frac{z_{\min}}{10}\right)^{-2.5}$$

Absolute Measurements of the EBL



Dwek & Krennrich
[arXiv:1209.4661]

Transparency of the Universe to gamma-rays

Astrophysics

Extra-galactic Background light (EBL)

Astroparticle Physics

Propagation of Extra-galactic Gamma-rays

Particle Physics

Peccei-Quinn symmetry And axion-like particles

→ A. Ringwald

Very high energy gamma-ray observations

Excellent review: Hillas (2013)

Gamma-ray attenuation via pair-production



$$\tau_\gamma(E, z_0) = \int_0^{z_0} d\ell(z) \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu \frac{1-\mu}{2} \int_{\epsilon_{\rm thr}}^{\infty} d\epsilon' n_{\rm EBL}(\epsilon', z) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(E, \epsilon', \mu)$$

$$\frac{dN_{\rm obs}}{dE} = \frac{dN_{\rm int}}{dE} \times \exp\left[-\tau_\gamma(E, z_0)\right]$$



Gamma-ray attenuation via pair-production



$$\tau_\gamma(E, z_0) = \int_0^{z_0} d\ell(z) \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu \frac{1-\mu}{2} \int_{\epsilon_{\rm thr}}^{\infty} d\epsilon' n_{\rm EBL}(\epsilon', z) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(E, \epsilon', \mu)$$

$$\frac{dN_{\rm obs}}{dE} = \frac{dN_{\rm int}}{dE} \times \exp\left[-\tau_\gamma(E, z_0)\right]$$



Gamma-ray attenuation via pair-production



$$\tau_\gamma(E, z_0) = \int_0^{z_0} d\ell(z) \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu \frac{1-\mu}{2} \int_{\epsilon_{\rm thr}}^{\infty} d\epsilon' n_{\rm EBL}(\epsilon', z) \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(E, \epsilon', \mu)$$

$$\frac{dN_{\rm obs}}{dE} = \frac{dN_{\rm int}}{dE} \times \exp\left[-\tau_\gamma(E, z_0)\right]$$



Measuring the EBL with VHE gamma-ray attenuation

Raue & Mazin 2010
[arXiv:1005.1196]

z=0.116





First detection of the EBL (1-5 μm) at VHE (0.2-20 TeV) energies!!



PKS 2005−489 at VHE: four years of monitoring with HESS and simultaneous multi-wavelength observations

Discovery of VHE γ-rays from the distant BL Lacertae 1ES 0347-121*

New constraints on the mid-IR EBL from the HESS discovery of VHE γ-rays from 1ES 0229+200

Source	z	N_γ	$E_{min} - E_{max}$ [TeV]
Mrk 421 (*1*)	0.031	3381	0.95 – 41
Mrk 421 (*2*)	0.031	5548	0.95 – 37
Mrk 421 (*3*)	0.031	5156	0.95 – 45
PKS 2005-489 (*1*)	0.071	1540	0.16 – 37
PKS 2005-489 (*2*)	0.071	910	0.18 – 25
PKS 2155-304 (*2008*)	0.116	5279	0.13 – 19
PKS 2155-304 (*1*)	0.116	3499	0.13 – 5.7
PKS 2155-304 (*2*)	0.116	3470	0.13 – 9.3
PKS 2155-304 (*3*)	0.116	9555	0.13 – 14
PKS 2155-304 (*4*)	0.116	4606	0.18 – 4.6
PKS 2155-304 (*5*)	0.116	11901	0.13 – 5.7
PKS 2155-304 (*6*)	0.116	6494	0.15 – 5.7
PKS 2155-304 (*7*)	0.116	8253	0.20 – 7.6
1ES 0229+200	0.14	670	0.29 – 25
H 2356-309	0.165	1642	0.11 – 34
1ES 1101-232	0.186	1268	0.12 – 23
1ES 0347-121	0.188	604	0.13 – 11

Data sets on highly significant sources were divided and sorted by flux level

75 000 γ-rays from the seven brightest blazars, with 0.03 < z < 0.19, collected during 400 hours with H.E.S.S.

Biteau,
H.E.S.S coll. (2013)
[arXiv:1212.3459]

First detection of the EBL (1-5 μm) at VHE (0.2-20 TeV) energies!!

H.E.S.S coll. (2013)
[arXiv:1212.3459]

Fit of normalization: $\phi(E,z) = \Phi(E) \cdot \exp(-\alpha\tau(E,z))$





Detection of the EBL at high energies

Fermi-LAT coll.Science 2012 [arXiv:1211.1671]



Similar results
Gong&Cooray [arXiv:1305.5249]



Consistency of the EBL-measurements



DH & M. Meyer [arXiv:1309.3846]

Hidden treasures?

- EBL measurement leaves little room for additional components (QSO at UV, first stars, decaying dark matter, ...)
- In fact, the measured EBL falls below the lower limit from Galaxy counts

Indications for modified optical depth

- De Angelis et al. 2009, 2011, 2013:

  The observed TeV spectra are too hard.

Adapted from Dwek&Krennrich 2012



Indications for modified optical depth

- De Angelis et al. 2009, 2011, 2013:

  The observed TeV spectra are too hard.

- DH and Meyer 2012 [arXiv:1201.4711]:

  The attenuation of VHE spectra at τ>2 is too small (at ~4 σ)



Indications for modified optical depth

- De Angelis et al. 2009, 2011, 2013:

  The observed TeV spectra are too hard.
- DH and Meyer 2012 [arXiv:1201.4711]:

  The attenuation of VHE spectra at τ>2 is too small (at ~4 σ)



Indications for modified optical depth

- De Angelis et al. 2009, 2011, 2013:

  The observed TeV spectra are too hard.

- DH and Meyer 2012 [arXiv:1201.4711]:

  The attenuation of VHE spectra at τ>2 is too small (at ~4 σ)



Indications for modified optical depth

- De Angelis et al. 2009, 2011, 2013:

  The observed TeV spectra are too hard.
- DH and Meyer 2012 [arXiv:1201.4711]:
  The attenuation of VHE spectra at τ>2 is too small (at ~4 σ)
- Meyer 2013 (desy-thesis 2013-33),
  DH and Meyer 2013[arXiv:1309.3846]:
  Fermi-LAT photon (~3.5σ) excess at τ>2
  (similar: [arXiv:1207.1962]



Indications for modified optical depth

- De Angelis et al. 2009, 2011, 2013:

  The observed TeV spectra are too hard.
- DH and Meyer 2012 [arXiv:1201.4711]:
  The attenuation of VHE spectra at τ>2 is too small (at ~4 σ)
- Meyer 2013 (desy-thesis 2013-33),
  DH and Meyer 2013[arXiv:1309.3846]:
  Fermi-LAT photon (~3.5σ) excess at τ>2
  (similar: [arXiv:1207.1962]
- Very similar analysis of individual spectra
  (e.g. [arXiv:1308.380])



Proposed ways to explain the transparency anomaly:

- Gamma-ray/cosmic-ray induced cascades → TeV emission is secondary nature (e.g., Essay & Kusenko 2010)
- Lorentz invariance violation (e.g., Jacob&Piran 2008, Shao&Ma 2010)
- Oscillations into hidden photons (e.g., Jaeckel&Ringwald 2010; Jaeckel 2013 for reviews)
- Oscillations into axion-like particles (e.g., Csaki et al. 2003, Jaeckel&Ringwald 2013, Carosi et al. 2013)

Transparency of the Universe to gamma-rays

Astrophysics

Extra-galactic Background light (EBL)

Astroparticle Physics

Propagation of Extra-galactic Gamma-rays

Particle Physics

Peccei-Quinn symmetry And axion-like particles

→ A. Ringwald

Peccei-Quinn symmetries ↔ Axion & ALPs

- Additional symmetry to cure strong CP problem (Peccei&Quinn 77), leading „axion“ particle (Weinberg 78, Wilczek 78) with non-vanishing coupling to photons
- Generic $U(1)_{PQ}$ → $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{g_{a\gamma}}{4} a F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$
  - Motivated by string compactifications („top bottom“) → axiverse
  - Phenomenological solution to CP-Problem, Dark Matter, neutrino-masses, baryon asymmetry, stability of vacuum
- *Rich phenomenology through photon coupling of ALPs (Jaeckel&Ringwald 2010)*


(credit M.Meyer)
Source
B ~ G,
L ~ 0.1 pc
Host galaxy
B ~ μG,
L ~ 1 kpc
Galaxy cluster
B ~ μG,
L ~ 10 kpc
Intergalactic
Medium
B < nG,
L ~ 1 Mpc
Milky Way
B ~ μG,
L ~ 10 kpc
a
a
a
B
B
[e.g., De Angelis et al., 2007,2011; Mirizzi et al., 2007; Simet et al., 2008;
Sanchez-Condé et al., 2009; Horns et al. 2012; Tavecchio et al. 2012]

Effect of gamma-ALPs mixing on propagation

(DH, Maccione, Meyer, Mirizzi, Montanino, Roncadelli, arXiv: 1207.0776)



Effect of gamma-ALPs mixing on propagation

(DH, Maccione, Meyer, Mirizzi, Montanino, Roncadelli, arXiv: 1207.0776)



Effect of gamma-ALPs mixing on propagation

(DH, Maccione, Meyer, Mirizzi, Montanino, elli, arXiv: 1207.0776)



Spectral **irregularities** can be searched for in fit residuals
[Östman & Mörtsell 2005; Wouters & Brun 2012]

Effect of gamma-ALPs mixing on propagation

(DH, Maccione, Meyer, Mirizzi, Montanino, [illegible]delli, arXiv: 1207.0776)



Lower limit on coupling $g_{a\gamma}$



- Coupling within reach of future helioscope IAXO (Irastorza et al. 2013), light-shining-through the wall ALPS-II (Bähre et al. [arXiv:1302.5647])
- Coupling consistent with anomalous cooling of WD (Isern et al. 2008)

A closer look on PKS 1424+240



A closer look on PKS 1424+240



Summary

- Extra-galactic background light constrained by galaxy counts and direct measurements: 10..30 nW/(m^2 srad) in the optical/NIR
- Detection of absorption feature with Fermi-LAT and HESS at 8..18 nW/(m^2 srad) ↔ tension with galaxy counts
- Indications (4 σ) for excess transparency at large optical depth (both with Fermi-LAT and Cherenkov telescopes)
- Observations consistent with photon/ALPs mixing (m_a<100 neV, g_{av}>10^{-12} GeV^{-1}

Outlook

- New VHE spectra available since ICRC 2013 (confirms and strengthens previous finding)
- Improved Fermi-LAT calibration/background rejection, more exposure, higher energy reach (up to 3 TeV) soon
- HESS-II accumulates data
- CTA in preparation (>2017)
- ALPS-II ideal laboratory experiment to search for gamma-ALPs mixing