The thermoelectric properties of inhomogeneous holographic lattices Workshop on "Holographic Methods and Applications"

Aristomenis Donos<sup>1</sup> Jerome P. Gauntlett<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DAMTP, U. of Cambridge

<sup>2</sup>Imperial College London

August 21, 2014

**1** Introduction/Motivation

# 2 Inhomogeneous Lattice in Einstein-Maxwell

- Background black holes
- AC/DC Transport



### **1** Introduction/Motivation

# Inhomogeneous Lattice in Einstein-Maxwell Background black holes AC/DC Transport

AC/DC Transport



# The Cuprates



Strong coupling in the Cuprates leads to:

- Interesting phase diagram
- Peculiar transport properties
- Use holographic methods!



The recipe says:

Field Theory Start with  $CFT_d$ Chemical potential  $\mu$ Finite T2-point function  $G_{JJ}(\omega)$   $\begin{array}{c} \underline{\textbf{Bulk}}\\ AdS_{d+1} \text{ Asymptotics}\\ U(1) \text{ electric charge}\\ \text{ Killing horizon}\\ \text{Bulk perturbation } \delta A_x, \dots \end{array}$ 

Use Kubo's formula

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{G_{JJ}(\omega)}{\imath \omega}$$

## Perfect Holographic Conductor

Do it in D = 4 Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton with AdS asymptotics:

$$\mathcal{L}_{EMD} = R - \frac{Z(\varphi)}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \varphi)^2 - W(\varphi)$$
$$ds_4^2 = -U dt^2 + U^{-1} dr^2 + e^{2V} (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2)$$
$$A = a dt, \quad \varphi = \varphi(r)$$



Background black hole has temperature T , energy  $E, \mbox{ pressure } P, \mbox{ entropy } s$  and charge q.

## Perfect Holographic Conductor

- To calculate conductivity need to source  $\delta A_x = -e^{-\imath \omega t} \frac{E_x}{\imath \omega}$  on the boundary
- Momentum  $(\delta g_{tx})$  couples because of background charge



Generalisation from RN [Hartnoll, Herzog]

## Minimal Gauged SUGRAs

There is two interesting gauged SUGRAs with bosonic sectors coming from dim. reductions of string/M-theory [Gauntlett, Varela]

• N = 2, D = 4 just Einstein-Maxwell

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left( R + 6 - \frac{1}{4} F^2 \right)$$

• N = 1, D = 5 just Einstein-Maxwell with CS coupling

$$\mathcal{L} = *R + *12 - rac{1}{2}F \wedge *F - rac{1}{3^{rac{3}{2}}}A \wedge F \wedge F$$

• Chemical potential from  $U(1)_R$  charge

Stick with D = 4 Einstein-Maxwell for now. CS term will make a difference [AD, Hartnoll]

## AdS-RN black hole

AdS RN black hole

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left( R + 6 - \frac{1}{4} F^2 \right)$$

reads

$$ds_4^2 = r^2 \left( -f \, dt^2 + f^{-1} \, dr^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 \right)$$
$$A = \mu \left( 1 - \frac{r_+}{r} \right) \, dt$$
$$f = (r - r_+) \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{r_+}{r^2} + \frac{r_+}{r^3} - \frac{\mu^2 r_+}{4 \, r^4} \right)$$

•  $AdS_4$  boundary at  $r = \infty$ , BH horizon at  $r = r_+$ 

• Finite entropy density at T = 0,  $\frac{s}{\mu^2} = \frac{\pi}{3}$ 

Also semilocal

# AdS-RN black hole



#### [Hartnoll, Herzog]

- **Recover analytic expression for**  $\omega \ll \mu$
- For  $\omega >> \mu$  asymptotes to  $\sigma_{CFT} = 1$

## Sum Rules

- Conductivity is  $\sigma = \frac{G(\omega)}{\imath \omega}$
- Causality implies G analytic for  $\operatorname{Im} \omega \geq 0$
- Charge redistributes spectral weight

$$\operatorname{Re} G(0) - \operatorname{Re} G_{CFT}(0) = -\frac{2\mu}{\pi} \int_{0^{+}}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left(\sigma - \sigma_{CFT}\right)$$
$$H(y) = \int_{0}^{y} \left[\frac{\pi}{\mu} \frac{q^{2}}{E + T^{xx}} \delta\left(\frac{\omega}{\mu}\right) + \operatorname{Re} \sigma - \operatorname{Re} \sigma_{CFT}\right]$$



## Drude peaks



 Drude peaks are guaranteed [Hartnoll, Hofman]

$$\sigma = \frac{nq^2}{m} \frac{\tau}{1 - \imath \omega \tau}$$

When the momentum relaxation rate τ is parametrically the largest scale

• Without collisions  $\tau \to \infty \Rightarrow \sigma = \frac{nq^2}{m} \left( \delta(\omega) + \frac{\imath}{\omega} \right)$ 

# Holographic Lattice

To add momentum dissipation introduce a UV benign lattice:

- UV relevant deformation  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  with period L
- To be "Drude" at low T the IR operator should be irrelevant
- Solve the PDEs and show that the IR remained the same
- Charge density is a universal relevant operator in our constructions  $\Rightarrow$  Impose  $A_t = \mu(x) J^t(x) r^{-1} + \cdots$ [Hartnoll, Hofman][Horowitz, Santos, Tong]

$$\mu(x) = \mu_0 + A(x), \quad \langle A \rangle_L = 0$$

•  $\mu_0 \Rightarrow$  chemical potential,  $A'(x) \Rightarrow$  periodic electric field

# Inhomogeneity

Why bother with inhomogeneous lattices/impurities?:

- Deform the theory by a single mode  $\phi(x) \sim \lambda A(r) \cos(kx)$ of a relevant operator
- Higher harmonics sourced due to non-linearities but suppressed
- Q-lattices (also helical) fine-tuned situation where higher modes consistently drop out
  - $\rightarrow$  Momentum dissipation
  - → Metal Insulator transitions [AD, Hartnoll], [AD,Gauntlett], [Andrade, Withers], [Gouteraux], [AD, Gouteraux, Kiritsis], [AD, Blake]
- Sourcing higher modes does have further impact on mid-IR physics. Perhaps interesting

**1** Introduction/Motivation

# 2 Inhomogeneous Lattice in Einstein-Maxwell

- Background black holes
- AC/DC Transport





Add a lattice perturbation on top:

$$\begin{split} \delta g^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\left(r,x\right) &= \varepsilon \,\delta h^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\left(r\right)\,\cos\left(k\,x\right), \quad (\mu,\nu) = \{tt,\,xx,\,yy\}\\ \delta A_t\left(r,x\right) &= \varepsilon \,\delta a_t\left(r\right)\,\cos\left(k\,x\right), \quad \varepsilon <<\mu \end{split}$$

Horizon + Infinity are singular points for the ODEs
 Find converging modes close to the singular points

Three modes close to  $AdS_2$ 

$$\delta h^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = c^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \left( r - \mu/\sqrt{12} \right)^{\delta} + \cdots$$
$$\delta a_t = c_t \left( r - \mu/\sqrt{12} \right)^{1+\delta} + \cdots$$

$$\delta_{\pm}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -1 + \sqrt{5 + 8\frac{k^2}{\mu^2} \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\frac{k^2}{\mu^2}}} \right), \quad \delta_g = 0$$

- Use it to find horizon profiles at small  $T << \varepsilon << \mu$ .
- Leading mode that breaks translations on the horizon has e.g.

$$\delta g^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \sim T^{\delta_{-}(k)} \cos\left(k x\right), \quad \delta A_t \sim T^{\delta_{-}(k)} \cos\left(k x\right)$$

• Notice  $\delta_{-}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow$  Large periods lead to tiny exponents

# "Floppy" Horizons?

 Nice setup but recent numerical study suggested this is not the whole story [Hartnoll, Santos]



#### Extremal RN

- Extremal RN + Perturbative Lattice
- Nonperturbative solution
  - Shouldn't see  $AdS_2$  in the full solution

Non-linear ansatz:

$$ds_4^2 = -U H_{tt} + \frac{H_{rr}}{U} dr^2 + \Sigma \left[ e^B (dx + W dr)^2 + e^{-B} dy^2 \right]$$
  
A = a<sub>t</sub> dt

- Plan is to use DeTurck's method [Headrick, Kitchen, Wiseman][Figueras, Lucietti, Wiseman]
- A Smarr-type relation has to hold since ∂<sub>y</sub> is Killing and non-deganarate
   [AD, Gauntlett]

$$\int \left[T^{tt}(x) + T^{yy}(x) - \mu(x) J^{t}(x)\right] = T S$$

• Reduces to  $E + P - \mu q - TS = 0$ 

$$ds_4^2 = -U H_{tt} dt^2 + \frac{H_{rr}}{U} dr^2 + \Sigma \left[ e^B (dx + W dr)^2 + e^{-B} dy^2 \right]$$
  
$$A = a_t dt$$

Say we have our horizon at r = 0. Analyticity + Killing implies

$$U(r) = 4\pi T r + \dots, \quad a_t(r, x) = a_t^{(0)}(x) r + \dots$$
$$H_{tt}(r, x) = H_{tt}^{(0)}(x) + \dots, \quad H_{rr}(r, x) = H_{tt}^{(0)}(x) + \dots$$
$$\Sigma(r, x) = \Sigma^{(0)}(x) + \dots, \quad B(r, x) = B^{(0)}(x) + \dots$$

Horizon invariants to check "Floppiness"  
• 
$$\varpi = \frac{\max ||\partial_y||^2}{\min ||\partial_y||^2} - 1$$
  
[Santos, Hartnoll]  
•  $\Upsilon = \int \frac{1}{\Sigma^{(0)}} \left[ \partial_x \ln \frac{e^{B^{(0)}}}{\Sigma^{(0)}} \right]^2$   
If  $AdS_2$  is the IR geometry then at  $T << \mu$   
 $\varpi \sim T^{\delta_-(k/\lambda)}, \quad \Upsilon \sim T^{2\delta_-(k/\lambda)}$   
•  $\lambda$  would be fixed from by a low  $T$  limit  
•  $\sigma_{DC}$  and  $\bar{\kappa}_{DC}$  also suitable



- Fix  $\mu(x) = \mu_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\cos(kx)\right)$  for  $\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}\mu_0} = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, 1$
- LogLog plots suggest we have power laws with k-dependent exponents at low T
- k-independent power laws for hight  $T \rightarrow$  related to UV scaling dimensions



- Log derivatives with T give scaling exponents
   Estimate exponents based on AdS, expansion
- Estimate exponents based on AdS<sub>2</sub> expansion

 $\delta_{-} = 0.059, \, 0.105, \, 0.204, \, 0.987$ 

Good agreement with low T Log derivatives

#### Logical possibilities:

- We are not low enough in T. Something happens at lower T
- There is a disconnected branch of black holes
- $\blacksquare$  The continuum limit of the domain walls with Floppy horizons is just  $AdS_2\times \mathbb{R}^2$



#### Logical possibilities:

- We are not low enough in T. Something happens at lower T
- There is a disconnected branch of black holes
- The continuum limit of the domain walls with Floppy horizons is just  $AdS_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$



#### Logical possibilities:

- We are not low enough in T. Something happens at lower T
- There is a disconnected branch of black holes
- The continuum limit of the domain walls with Floppy horizons is just  $AdS_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$



# AC/DC Transport properties

Need to introduce sources to study transport

- AC transport:
- Electric Field:  $\delta A_x \sim -\imath E \omega^{-1} e^{-\imath \omega t}$

[Horowitz, Santos, Tong]

$$\delta g_{tt}, \, \delta g_{tx}, \, \delta g_{xx}, \, \delta g_{yy}, \, \delta A_t, \, \delta A_x$$
$$\sigma \left( \omega \right) = (\imath \, \omega)^{-1} \, G_{x,x} \left( \omega \right)$$

DC transport:

[Iqbal, Liu][Iqbal, Liu][Davison][Blake, Tong, Vegh][Andrade, Withers]

- Electric field:  $\delta A_x \sim -Et$
- Temperature gradient:  $\delta g_{tx} \sim -r^2 \zeta t$ ,  $\delta A_x \sim \mu(x) \zeta t$ where  $\zeta = \nabla_x T/T$

Introduce time dependent perturbation

$$\delta ds^2 = \delta g_{\mu\nu} (r, x) \, dx^{\mu} \, dx^{\nu}$$
$$\delta A = \delta a_{\mu} (r, x) \, dx^{\mu} - Et \, dx$$

Once again there is a Killing vector  $k = \partial_t$ 

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\mu} \left( \sqrt{-g} \, F^{\mu\nu} \right) &= 0 \\ \partial_{\mu} \left( \sqrt{-g} \, G^{\mu\nu} \right) &= 3 \, k^{\nu} \end{split}$$

1		
÷	$\sim$	r
	U	L

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \nabla^{\mu}k^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}k^{[\mu}F^{\nu]\sigma}A_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{4}(\psi - 2\theta)F^{\mu\nu}$$
$$L_{k}A = d\psi, \quad i_{k}F = d\theta$$

#### Define

$$J = \sqrt{-g} F^{rx}, \quad Q = 2\sqrt{-g} G^{rx}$$

• Use previous to show  $\partial_{\mu}J = 0$ ,  $\partial_{\mu}Q = 0$ 

Fall offs at infinity give J is the electric current and

$$Q = T^{tx} - \mu J$$

is the heat current.

Constant in x is just boundary Ward identities

• Constant in r is used to relate J, Q to EThe same quantities constant when introducing a T gradient on the boundary

In the end:

$$\begin{split} \sigma &= \frac{1}{C} + \frac{M^2}{X C}, \quad \bar{\alpha} = \alpha = 4\pi \frac{M}{X}, \\ \bar{\kappa} &= \frac{(4\pi)^2 T C}{X}, \quad \kappa = \frac{(4\pi)^2 T C}{X + M^2} \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} X &= \left(\int e^{B^{(0)}}\right) \left(\int e^{B^{(0)}} \left(\frac{a_t^{(0)}}{H_{tt}^{(0)}}\right)^2\right) - \left(\int e^{B^{(0)}} \frac{a_t^{(0)}}{H_{tt}^{(0)}}\right)^2 \\ &+ C\Upsilon \\ C &= \int e^{B^{(0)}}, \quad M = \int e^{B^{(0)}} \frac{a_t^{(0)}}{H_{tt}^{(0)}} \end{split}$$

Similar structure with homogeneous lattices but not quite the same

• At  $T >> \mu$  $\sigma = 1 + \frac{(\int \mu)^2}{\int \mu^2 - (\int \mu)^2}, \qquad \alpha = \frac{(4\pi)^2}{3} \frac{\int \mu}{\int \mu^2 - (\int \mu)^2} T$  $\bar{\kappa} = \frac{(4\pi)^4}{9} \frac{1}{\int u^2 - (\int u)^2} T^3, \qquad \kappa = \frac{(4\pi)^4}{9} \frac{1}{\int u^2} T^3$ • At  $T \ll \mu$  and assuming  $AdS_2$  $\sigma \sim T^{-2\delta_{-}(k/\lambda)}, \quad \alpha \sim T^{-2\delta_{-}(k/\lambda)}, \quad \bar{\kappa} \sim T^{1-2\delta_{-}(k/\lambda)}$  $\kappa \sim T$ 

Agrees with memory matrix formalism [Hartnoll, Hofman]



Fixed  $\mu(x)/\mu = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\cos(kx)$ Different  $k/(\sqrt{2}\mu) = 1/3, 2/5, 1/2, 1$ 

Examine Wiedemann-Franz type of laws

• Form the Lorentz ratio  $\bar{L} = \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\sigma T}$ . At low temps or small lattices

$$\bar{L} \rightarrow \frac{s^2}{q^2}$$

Agrees with memory matrix formalism

Interesting to also examine

$$\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\alpha} = \frac{4\pi T \int e^{B^{(0)}}}{\int e^{B^{(0)}} \frac{a_t^{(0)}}{H_{tt}^{(0)}}}$$

• Different from homogeneous lattices but the same for small temperature or lattice  $\frac{Ts}{q}$ 



• Fix  $\mu(x)/\mu = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(kx)$  with  $k/\mu = 2^{-1/2}$ 

 At low frequencies ω < T there is now a "Drude" peak [Horowitz, Santos, Tong]



Plot 1 + <sup>ω</sup>/<sub>μ</sub> σ'' but no clear sign of scaling laws
 Sum rule seems to work

$$S(y) = \int_0^y (\operatorname{Re}\sigma - \operatorname{Re}\sigma_{CFT})$$

Lattice resolves the delta function at the origin

• Deform by  $\mu(x)/\mu = 1 + A \cos{(k x)}$  for fixed  $k/\mu = \left(3\sqrt{2}\right)^{-1}$ 



• CFT result for  $\omega >> k, T$ 

- Large lattices shift more spectral weight to mid-infrared
- Mid-infrared peak

 At linearised level, background lattice couples current to longitudinal modes of fluctuations of undeformed RN bh

 $\delta g_{tt}, \, \delta g_{tx}, \, \delta g_{xx}, \, \delta g_{yy}, \, \delta A_t, \, \delta A_x$ 

 Sound mode of RN bh lies in this sector [Policastro, Son, Starinets][Edalati, Jottar, Leigh]



• Sound mode has  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k \to$  Peak in  $\sigma$  at  $\omega \sim k/\sqrt{2}$ 

• Deform by higher harmonics  $\mu(x)/\mu = 1 + A \cos(kx) + B \cos(2kx)$ 



- Second peak appears
- $\Rightarrow$  Sourcing higher modes affects the mid-infrared. How?



- Consider a "dirty lattice"
- Small frequency regime  $\omega < T < k, A$  gives a "Drude" peak



• Used horizon data to find  $\sigma_{DC}$ 

1 Introduction/Motivation

# Inhomogeneous Lattice in Einstein-Maxwell Background black holes AC/DC Transport

AC/DC Transport



- Examined low temperature behaviour of lattice deformed RN black hole
- Showed analytic expressions of transport coefficients in terms of bh horizon data
- Revisited intermediate scaling laws in the optical conductivity
- Connection between sourced lattice modes and optical conductivity peaks