

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)



# **Disordered Holographic Superconductors**

In collaboration with

A. Farahi, L.A. Pando-Zayas (Michigan, USA) I. Salazar Landea (La Plata, Argentina) A. Scardicchio (ICTP, Italy)

[1308.1920, 1407.7526, ...]

Daniel Areán Reykjavík, August 2014



,







## E \* Enhancement of SC







## Spectrum 'renormalization'



[also in brane intersections]



### Conductivities of disordered systems [for branes too]



#### 'noise lowers the conductivity'

# OUTLINE

- > Motivation: Strong coupling, Disorder, Superconductors
- > Review: Holographic Superconductors
- > Dirty Holographic (p-wave) Superconductors
- > Results: Phase diagram, spectrum, (some) noisy  $\sigma$
- > Future: Dirty Thin Films (islands of SC?), noisy  $\sigma$ , . . .

# > Challenges in Condensed Matter:

> Strong Coupling: High Tc Superconductors (strange metals), heavy fermions, ...
> Disorder + Interactions: Anderson localization in many body int. systems

+ AdS/CFT weak / strong coupling duality

> High Tc Superconductors

['gravities' + matter in ~ AdS]





Black holes with hair, domain wall geometries, electron stars . . .

- Superconducting phase  $\rightarrow$  BCS
- Strange metal → Non-Fermi liquid

# > Disorder and interactions

>Anderson Localization '58: disorder suppresses conductivity



**Disorder and superconductors?** 

# > Disorder and interactions

> Anderson Localization '58: disorder suppresses conductivity

> Disorder and superconductors?



> Disorder + many body interacting system -> difficult! (see cond-mat/0506617)

AdS/CFT ? — Pirty Holographic Superconductors !

### \* Holographic Superconductors (Hartnoll, Herzog, Horowitz,'08)



#### > Holographic p-wave Superconductor (Gubser'08)



SU(2) Fab Fab in

 $\sim 2 + 1 \text{ CFT} (T \neq 0)$ 

with with p-wave condensate  $A_x^1(z) \sim \langle \mathcal{J}_x^1 \rangle \quad [\langle U(1) \rangle]$  [ $\Rightarrow$  rotational invariance]



Adding Impurities!

> 2+1 Holographic Superconductors + Noisy chemical potential  $\mu = \mu(x)$ 



⇒ p-wave condensate picks a direction?



# [./Tech Specs/pwave\_1]

• Action  $S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{1}{4} F^c_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_c + \frac{R}{\mathcal{K}} + \frac{6}{\mathcal{K}L^2} \right)$ 

$$A_t^3(x,z) \sim \mu(x) \qquad \left( A_x^1(x,z), A_y^1(x,z) \right) \sim \left( \langle \mathcal{J}_x^1(x) \rangle, \langle \mathcal{J}_y^1(x) \rangle \right)$$

• UV boundary conditions (z=0)

Field content

 $A_t^3(x, z) = \mu(x) + \dots$   $A_i^1(x, z) = w_i^{(0)}(x) + \langle \mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(x) \rangle z + \dots$  $A_t^2(x, z) = \mu_2(x) - \rho_2(x) z + \dots$ 

4 Coupled PDEs

**Numerics** 



Charged impurities >>> Noisy chemical potential

• NOISE THROUGH RANDOM PHASES



•  $\mu_0 = 3.50$ ,  $\alpha = 1.50$ , w = 3.50  $[\mu_0 < \mu_c = 3.66]$ 



Charged impurities >>> Noisy chemical potential

• NOISE THROUGH RANDOM PHASES







# Condensate likes noise



> Free energy of competing solutions



> p-wave picks x:

- --- Normal phase
- ••••• Condensate  $\perp$  Noise

Condensate // Noise

always wins!

# **\*** Enhancement of SC



Spatial average of the condensate

### **\*** Enhancement of SC



### \* Spectrum 'renormalization'

>>> Noisy chemical potential





## \* Spectrum 'renormalization'

>input spectrum



> OUTPUT



A taste of 'disordered conductivities'

[WORK IN PROGRESS!] [see also Ryu et al 1103.6068]

- STUDY FLUCTUATIONS  $(a_x \sim j_x)$  [in the SC phase they'll see the noise, even in the probe limit]
- Averaged Conductivity  $\sigma_x(\omega) = \frac{\langle j_x(x,\omega) \rangle}{E_x(\omega)}$
- SC PHASE:  $\sigma_{DC} \rightarrow \infty$ . SUPERFLUID DENSITY  $n_s$ :  $\sigma_x \approx n_s \left( \pi \, \delta(\omega) + \frac{\imath}{\omega} \right)$



#### > FUTURE & ONGOING

>Disordered holo SCs: both s- and p-wave 🗸

>Enhancement of SC & 'spectrum renormalization' (thermo limit OK)

>Conductivity of disordered strongly coupled systems [....%%%%]

> Dirty Thin Films (islands of superfluidity?) [....%%%%]









#### > Noisy chemical potential



#### > Thermodynamic limit

• Thermo limit: Noise correlation length << System length

> Flat spectrum noise: correlation length  $\propto\,$  1 / (grid size)

• Condensate and Charge density are self-averaging in the thermo limit:

> X<sub>n</sub> is self-averaging when

$$\frac{\langle X_n^2 \rangle - \langle X_n \rangle^2}{\langle X_n \rangle^2} \to 0$$

Condensate



#### > Thermodynamic limit

• Thermo limit: Noise correlation length << System length

> Flat spectrum noise: correlation length  $\propto\,$  1 / (grid size)

• Condensate and Charge density are self-averaging in the thermo limit:

> X<sub>n</sub> is self-averaging when

$$\frac{\langle X_n^2 \rangle - \langle X_n \rangle^2}{\langle X_n \rangle^2} \to 0$$

Charge density



> Simulation #1

$$\mu(x) = \mu_0 + \epsilon \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_*} \sqrt{S_k} \cos(kx + \delta_k) = \mu_0 + \epsilon \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_*} \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}} \cos(kx + \delta_k)$$
$$w = 25\epsilon/\mu_0$$

•  $\mu_0 = 3.50$ ,  $\alpha = 1.50$ , w = 3.50  $[\mu_0 < \mu_c = 3.66]$ 





> Simulation #1

$$\mu(x) = \mu_0 + \epsilon \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_*} \sqrt{S_k} \cos(kx + \delta_k) = \mu_0 + \epsilon \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_*} \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}} \cos(kx + \delta_k)$$
$$w = 25\epsilon/\mu_0$$

•  $\mu_0 = 3.50$ ,  $\alpha = 1.50$ , w = 3.50  $[\mu_0 < \mu_c = 3.66]$ 



> Simulation #2 Flat Noise

$$\mu(x) = \mu_0 + \epsilon \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_*} \sqrt{S_k} \cos(kx + \delta_k) = \mu_0 + \epsilon \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_*} \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}} \cos(kx + \delta_k)$$
$$w = 25\epsilon/\mu_0$$

•  $\mu_0 = 3.50$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ , w = 3.50  $[\mu_0 < \mu_c = 3.66]$ 



> Simulation #2  $\mu(x) = \mu_0 + \epsilon \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_*} \sqrt{S_k} \cos(kx + \delta_k) = \mu_0 + \epsilon \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_*} \frac{1}{k^{\alpha}} \cos(kx + \delta_k)$   $w = 25\epsilon/\mu_0$ 

•  $\mu_0 = 3.50$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ , w = 3.50  $[\mu_0 < \mu_c = 3.66]$ 



 $L_x = 2\pi \rightarrow K_0 = 1$  $N_z \times N_x = 25 \times 75$