# DNS OF TURBULENT CHANNEL FLOWS LADEN WITH FINITE-SIZE PARTICLES AT HIGH VOLUME FRACTIONS

# F. Picano, W.-P. Breugem<sup>1</sup> & L. Brandt

Linné FLOW Centre, KTH Mechanics, Stockholm <sup>1</sup>Lab. for Aero and Hydrodynamics, TU-Delft, Delft





# **Suspensions of solid particles: Applications**

- Several processes and applications involve dense suspensions at high flow rates:
  - Waste slurries
  - Pharmaceutics
  - Cement industry
  - Paper making
  - Environmental flows: magma, mud, pyroclastic flows



FLOW



# **Modeling suspensions**

- Different coupling mainly depending on
  - ✓ Volume fraction  $\phi$
  - ✓ Mass fraction  $\psi = \varrho_p / \varrho_f \varphi$
- Different coupling mechanisms:
  - 1-way: particles are transported, but do not influence the flow
  - 2-way: particles influence the flow, but there is no mutual particle interaction
  - 4-way: all phases mutually interact: *dense* cases
- Point-particle approximation *may* apply to 1- and 2way regimes, but not in 4-way...

#### **Fully resolved particle simulations**



Morris & Guazzelli 2011 Balachandar & Eaton ARFM 2010





### Laminar suspensions of non-Brownian inertia-less spheres

- For athermal inertia-less spheres ( $Pe > 10^3, Re < 10^{-3}$ ), the effective viscosity of the suspension:  $10^{4}$ 
  - Depends only on the volume fraction 0

$$\mathbf{0} \qquad \mu_e/\mu_0 = \mu_r(\phi)$$

- Expressions for viscosity:
  - Einstein formula for dilute limit:  $\mu_r = 1 + 2.5\phi + O(\phi^2)$
  - Eilers' fitting:

$$\mu_r = \left(1 + \frac{1.25\phi}{1 - \phi/\phi_m}\right)^2$$



**STICKEL & POWELL ARFM 2005** MORRIS & GUAZZELLI 2011



# Laminar dense suspensions varying the shear rate



Phase-diagram of dense suspension

STICKEL & POWELL ARFM 2005





#### **Different regimes:**

- Viscous-dominated: Laminar regime, but influenced by the solid phase.
- *Particle-dominated:* Particle dynamics strongly affects rheological and macroscopic flow features
- **Turbulence-dominated** Turbulence is the leading phenomenon, even if modulated by the particle presence...

MATAS ET AL. PRL 2003 PICANO ET AL PRL 2013 Shao et al. JFM 2012 Yeo & Maxey PF 2013 Kidanemariam et al. NJP 2013



# Turbulent channel of particle suspension:REAL conditionsvsDNS conditions



- Pipe diameter: D=0.1-1 m
- Flow Velocity: U<sub>0</sub>=1-10 ms<sup>-1</sup>
- Reynolds number: Re=10<sup>5</sup>-10<sup>7</sup>
- Friction Reynolds n.:  $Re_{\tau} = 10^4 10^5$
- Viscous length  $\delta_v = D/Re_\tau = 1-100 \ \mu m$
- Particle size d=1-1000 μm
- Particle size/ Viscous length d<sup>+</sup>=1-1000
- Particle size/ Pipe Diameter d/D=10<sup>-6</sup>-10<sup>-2</sup>



Matching on the inner scales:

- Particle size/ Viscous length d<sup>+</sup>= 20
- Particle size/ Channel width d/2h= 5 10<sup>-2</sup>
- Channel width : 2h=0.01 m
- Flow Velocity: U<sub>0</sub>=0.5 ms<sup>-1</sup>
- Reynolds number: Re=5600
- Friction Reynolds n.:  $Re_{\tau}=180$
- Viscous length  $\delta_v = h/Re_{\tau} = 28 \ \mu m$
- Particle size  $d=550 \ \mu m$





# **Turbulent channel flow simulations**

- Volume fractions  $\varphi = [0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2]$
- Bulk Reynolds number:  $Re_b = U_0 2h/\nu = 5600$
- Friction Reynolds number  $Re_{\tau} = 180 \ (\phi = 0)$
- Domain size (x=6h, y=2h, z=3h)
- Up to 10000 finite size particles
- Particle radius a=h/36 ( $a^+\approx 10$ )
- Mesh (864, 288, 432), 8 points per *a*
- Immersed Boundaries Method





BREUGEM JCP 2012 LAMBERT ET AL JFM 2013 PICANO ET AL PRL 2013



## **Turbulent channel flow instantaneous snapshots**



# Mean fluid velocity (rescaled in inner units)



 $U^{+}=U/U_{*}; y^{+}=y/(v/U_{*})$ 

Φ	0	0.05	0.1	0.2
Re <sub>T</sub>	180	195	204	216
1/k	2.5	2.8	3.1	4.5
В	5.5	2.7	0.27	-6.3

Re<sub>T</sub>=U<sub>\*</sub>h/v with U<sub>\*</sub>= $(\tau_w/\rho)^{0.5}$  measures the drag: the overall drag increases with  $\phi$ 

Mean fluid velocity in  $y^+=[50-150]$ : U<sup>+</sup>=(1/k) log(y<sup>+</sup>)+B

<u>B decreases, but 1/k increases with  $\phi$ :</u>

Drag reduction at higher Re with wider log layers?





# **Turbulent fluid velocity fluctuations**



The streamwise fluctuation peak strongly decreases with  $\phi$  and move outwards The wall-normal fluctuation peak decreases and moves inwards The spanwise peak moves inwards, increases up to  $\phi$ =0.1 then decreases Close to the wall, all fluctuations increases: *particle layering and wall interaction* 

✓ The denser case  $\phi$ =0.2 shows a strong change of turbulence features in the entire channel





**Particle concentration and mean velocity** 



Mean particle and fluid velocity are almost identical since y<sup>+</sup>≈20≈d<sup>+</sup>

Particle wall-layering is present, the first layer moves with an almost constant velocity that decreases with  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ 





# **Particle velocity fluctuations**



The particles usually fluctuate less than the fluid in the bulk region, but more in the near wall region.

The particle-wall interaction enhances the particle velocity fluctuations especially in the wall-normal direction





# **Mean Momentum equation**

• Averaging the total momentum equation and considering "phaseensemble average", the momentum equation become

$$\begin{split} &\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ (1-\phi)U^f + \phi U^p \right] + \rho \nabla \cdot \left[ (1-\phi) < U^f U^f > + \phi < U^p U^p > \right] \\ &= \nabla \cdot \left[ -(1-\phi)P^f I + 2(1-\phi)\mu E^f ) \right] + \nabla \cdot (\phi < \sigma^p >) - \rho \nabla \cdot \left[ (1-\phi) < u^f u^f > + \phi < u^p u^p > \right] \end{split}$$

• Considering the channel flow symmetries, total stress is:

$$\tau(y) = -(1-\phi) < u^{f}v^{f} > -\phi < u^{p}v^{p} > +\nu(1-\phi)\frac{\partial U^{f}}{\partial y} + \frac{\phi}{\rho} < \sigma_{xy}^{p} > = \nu\frac{\partial U^{f}}{\partial y}|_{w}(1-\frac{y}{h})$$
$$\tau(y) = - < u^{t}v^{t} > +\nu(1-\phi)\frac{\partial U^{f}}{\partial y} + \frac{\phi}{\rho} < \sigma_{xy}^{p} > = u_{*}^{2}(1-\frac{y}{h})$$

with 
$$< u^t v^t > = (1 - \phi) < u^f v^f > + \phi < u^p v^p >$$



MARCHIORO ET AL. IJMF 1999 Zhang & Prosperetti PF 2011



Momentum balance (normalized by  $U_*^2 = \tau_w / \rho$ )

• Single phase and  $\phi=0.05$ 







Momentum balance (normalized by  $U_*^2 = \tau_w / \rho$ )

• Single phase and  $\phi=0.1$ 







Momentum balance (normalized by  $U_*^2 = \tau_w / \rho$ )

• Single phase and  $\phi=0.2$ 











# Total drag increases, but Turbulent Drag reduction at $\phi = 0.2$



$$u_*^2|_{turb} = \frac{d}{dy}(\langle u^t v^t \rangle)|_{y=0}$$

At 
$$\phi = 0 \ u_*^2|_{turb} = u_*^2 + O(1/Re_h)$$



The *turbulent* friction Reynolds number:

$$Re_T = u_*|_{turb} h/\nu$$

increases up to  $\phi=0.1$ , but strongly decreases at to  $\phi=0.2$ : Reduced turbulence activity at high  $\phi$ 







Weaker and wider streaks increasing the volume fraction







 $\phi$ =0.2--> Formation of super-streaks (twice wider) without wallnormal correlation: Drag reduction??





# **Final remarks**

- DNS of channel flow at high volume fractions  $\varphi=0.2$
- Total Drag (Friction Reynolds number) increases with φ
- The turbulence activity measured by Reynolds stress increases up  $\varphi=0.1$ , but reduces at  $\varphi=0.2$
- The attenuated turbulence at φ=0.2 induces a strong reduction of the Von Karman constant k: *Drag reduction at high Reynolds number?*





# Effective viscosity, Friction velocity & Reynolds number





# **Immersed Boundary: a brief description**

No direct imposition of boundary conditions, but instead additional force:

$$\rho_f \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \right) = -\nabla p_e - \nabla p + \mu_f \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \rho_f \mathbf{f}$$

IB force that accounts for presence of solid obstacles (instead of using b.c.'s)



- Pros:
  - simple grids allow use of efficient solvers
  - no regridding needed for moving obstacles
  - "easy" implementation in numerical code
- Cons:
  - imposition of IB forces not straightforward
  - (possible) effects on accuracy, stability, consistency, conservation properties etc of used numerical method





# **Immersed Boundary Forcing**

• Like standard, but with 2<sup>nd</sup> prediction velocity that includes IB forcing:

$$\underbrace{\left[\underline{u}^{n+1} + \Delta t \cdot \nabla \tilde{p}\right]}_{\underline{u}^{**}} = \underbrace{\underline{u}^{n} + \Delta t \cdot \left(-\nabla p^{n-1/2} + \underline{r}^{n+1/2}\right)}_{\underline{u}^{*}} + \Delta t \cdot \underline{f}^{n+1/2}}$$

$$\nabla^{2} \tilde{p} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \nabla \cdot \underline{u}^{**}$$
First prediction velocity:  
no account of solid obstacles.  

$$\underbrace{\underline{u}^{n+1}}_{p^{n+1/2}} = \underbrace{\underline{u}^{**} - \Delta t \cdot \nabla \tilde{p}}_{p^{n-1/2} + \tilde{p}}$$
Correction pressure kept small  
by updating pressure =>  

$$\underbrace{\underline{u}^{**}}_{\underline{u}^{**}} \approx \underline{u}^{n+1}$$

• Compute IB force from requirement that at solid boundary:

$$\underline{u}^{**} = \underline{u}_d \text{ (} = \text{desired velocity at solid boundary}$$
$$\underline{f}^{n+1/2} \left(\underline{x}_{ijk}\right) = \frac{\underline{u}_d - \underline{u}^*}{\Delta t}$$



