MEASURES OF DIFFUSION REGIONS APPLIED TO 2D PIC RECONNECTION SIMULATIONS

Martin V Goldman University of Colorado (in collaboration with D.L. Newman and G. Lapenta)

Nordita Workshop Stockholm Aug 2015

A central goal of MMS is to study diffusion regions during magnetic reconnection.

- "Diffusion region" is ambiguous
  - Many physically different measures
  - Electrons, ions or MHD?
- □ **Measures of diffusion regions** (Goldman, et al, Sp. Sc. Rev, 2015)
  - Generalized Ohm's laws (fluid moments)
    - "Slippage" of particle motion from field line motions
    - Conservation of magnetic flux
    - Work
  - Agyrotropy (kinetic or fluid)
  - Magnetic null or  $\beta >> 1$

What do measures reveal when applied to 2D PIC?

### Generalized Ohm's law for each electron or ion *fluid* $\Rightarrow$ measures of e or i diffusion region

$$\{\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_{s} \times \mathbf{B}\} = \mathbf{R}_{s} \text{ (kinetic) } s = e, i$$
  
velocity slippage: 
$$\{ \ \} (\times \mathbf{B} / B^{2}) = \mathbf{u}_{s\perp} - \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} / B^{2}, \ \mathbf{E}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{R}_{s\parallel}$$
  
magnetic flux: 
$$\nabla \times \{ \ \} = -\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times (\mathbf{u}_{s} \times \mathbf{B})$$
  
work: 
$$\mathbf{J}_{s} \cdot \{ \ \} = \mathbf{J}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

Ideal fluid:  $\mathbf{R}_s = 0$  (no reconnection)Resistive fluid:  $\mathbf{R}_s = \eta_s \mathbf{J}_s$  $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}$  convective field $\mathbf{W}_{s\perp} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} / B^2$  field lines frozen-in to fluid,  $\mathbf{E}_{\parallel} = 0$ Momentum loss $\partial_t \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B})$  flux conserv. (when integrated) $\lambda_t \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}) - \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}$  magnetic diffusion $\mathbf{J}_s \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$  no work done by electric field on e/i-fluid $\mathbf{J}_s \cdot \mathbf{E} = \eta_s J_s^2$  work causes e or i Joule heating

#### Language of diffusion/resistive (non-ideal) regions

### R<sub>e</sub> in collisionless kinetic plasma

□ Separate Vlasov eqs. for electrons and ions ⇒ separate electron/ion fluid momentum eqns
 □ R<sub>e</sub> (or R<sub>i</sub>) can be calculated from PIC simulation

$$\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{u}_{e}}{C} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}_{e} \equiv \left[\frac{1}{ne} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_{e}\right]_{pressure} + \left[\frac{-m_{e}}{e} \frac{D\mathbf{u}_{e}}{Dt}\right]_{inertial}$$

# Hall physics for $R_i$ leads to separate electron and ion diffusion regions

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_e \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{R}_e \quad \text{Add} \quad \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_e = \frac{\mathbf{J}}{ne} \text{ to both sides}$$
$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_i \times \mathbf{B} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{J}}{ne} \times \mathbf{B}\right]_{Hall} + \mathbf{R}_e$$

**"Hall"** 
$$\rightarrow$$
  $\mathbf{R}_{e} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{E}_{H} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{J}}{nec} \times \mathbf{B}\right]_{Hall}$ 

Observers use  $E_H \neq [JxB/ne]_{Hall}$  as evidence that electrons are NOT ideal. ( $R_e \neq 0$ )

#### MHD generalized Ohm's law





### Work criteria for diffusion regions. Do convective E-fields perform work?

7

$$\mathbf{E}_{conv} = -\mathbf{u}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}, \text{ of electron fluid does no work on } \mathbf{J}_{e}$$
$$\mathbf{J}_{e} \cdot (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{J}_{e} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \text{ because } \mathbf{u}_{e} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{conv} = -\mathbf{u}_{i} \times \mathbf{B}, \text{ of ion fluid does } no \text{ work on } \mathbf{J}_{i}$$
$$\mathbf{J}_{i} \cdot (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_{i} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{J}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \text{ because } \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_{i} \times \mathbf{B}) = 0$$

Convective field, E<sub>conv</sub> = -Ux B, of ideal MHD fluid <u>does</u> work on total (MHD) current, J

$$\mathbf{J} \cdot \left( \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \neq \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

**Work** measure of diffusion region in MHD fluid is related to Joule heating and to  $D_e$ 

8

- Frame-invariant measure:  $D_e = \mathbf{J} \cdot [\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_e \times \mathbf{B}] \rho \mathbf{u}_e \cdot \mathbf{E} \neq 0$  (Zenitani) Quasineutral:  $D_e = \mathbf{J} \cdot (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_e \times \mathbf{B})$
- Define  $W' = -\mathbf{J}\cdot(\mathbf{u}_e \times \mathbf{B}) = -\mathbf{J}\cdot(\mathbf{u}_i \times \mathbf{B}) \leftarrow \text{from } \mathbf{u}_e = \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{J} / en$

**Physical** form of W' — work done by MHD-convective field on **J**, etc

$$W' = -\mathbf{J}\cdot(\mathbf{U}\times\mathbf{B}), \ \mathbf{D}_e = \mathbf{J}\cdot[\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{U}\times\mathbf{B}]$$

For resistive MHD:  $\mathbf{R}_{MHD} = \eta_{MHD} \mathbf{J}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_e = \eta_{MHD} J^2$  Joule heating

Also note that:  $W' = \mathbf{U} \cdot (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B})$ 

Application of diffusion-region measures in 2D tail reconnection *simulations* 

- Initiated by Harris sheet + perturbation
  - artificial onset but good agreement with tail measurements
- $\square$  Small guide field  $B_g = 0.1B_0$ 
  - not antiparallel reconnection but not inconsistent with tail measurement



## Flux non-conservation measure of diffusion region in fluid, s

 $\nabla \times [\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_{s} \times \mathbf{B}] = \nabla \times \mathbf{R}_{s} \neq \mathbf{0}$ 

Magnitude of at least one component must differ significantly from zero to violate flux conservation

> Even if no slippage ( $\mathbf{E}_{\perp} + \mathbf{u}_{s} \times \mathbf{B} = 0$ ) can still **violate flux conservation** if

> > $\nabla \times [\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_{s} \times \mathbf{B}] = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{||} \neq \mathbf{0}$

11

### Flux non-conservation measure of electron diff. region in PIC reconnection simulation

12



2.5

-2.5

-5.0

2.5

-2.5

-5.0



## What do electron and ion slippage measures of diffusion regions look like in simulation?





## More detail: Slippage measure of electron diffusion region around x-line



### Simulation diffusion regions found by different fluid measures



### Agyrotropy in velocity distribution



### Kinetic agyrotropy requires spatial inhomogeneity scale length, L $\lesssim$ <code>electron gyroradius</code>

- $\Box$  L  $\lesssim$  R<sub>e-gyro</sub>
- □ Vlasov:
  - Stationary inhomogeneous agyrotropic equilibrium
    f<sub>e</sub>(v) obeys

$$\left[v_{y}\partial_{y}+\Omega_{e}\partial_{\phi}\right]f=0$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{y}/(\Omega_{e}L_{y}) \gtrsim 1$$

- Fluid-moment: 3 different temps in diagonalized pressure tensor.
  - 3D kinetic distribution (FPI on MMS) better!



**Thermal electron gyroradius,**  $R_{e-gyro}$  (sim. with  $B_g = 0.1B_0$ )



#### Agyrotropy in electron diffusion region



## **Gyrotropy** on axis behind DF and elsewhere in exhaust

20

 $\square$  Magnetic field is direction  $\mathbf{e}_1$  so isotropy is in  $\mathbf{v}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_3$ 



### Large $\beta$ (magnetic near-nulls) measure of electron diffusion regions in 2D simulations (B<sub>g</sub>=0.1B<sub>0</sub>)



21

### Diffusion region measures in magnetopause simulations (Pritchett and Mozer)



### Summary

- Generalized Ohm's laws and consequences for diffusion regions
  - Slippage, flux and work signatures of diffusion regions
    - "Diffusion region" makes implicit reference to a particular fluid
    - Electron, ion . MHD fluids can be ideal. resistive or collisionless
- Diffusion regions in 2D PIC reconnection simulations
  - Non-ideal fluid measures include slippage, flux nonconservation, work
    - Diffusion regions near fronts seen in work in ion/electron fluids
- Agyrotropy large in electron diffusion region.
  - Kinetic better than moments.
    - Need high-res 3D distributions (FPI on MMS)
- $\Box$  Large  $\beta >> 1$  in diffusion regions (magnetic nulls & near-nulls)
- Future: 3D simulations, diffusion regions to be studied by MMS