



Magnetic reconnection as the driver of eruptions in an emerging magnetic flux tube

Klaus Galsgaard

Niels Bohr Institute University of Copenhagen Denmark

Fernando Moreno-Insertis IAC Tenerife Spaine



3D reconnection

Lots of what was leaned from 2D has to be almost completely reconsidered





Observations

- EUV and X-ray observations of the Sun
 - Highlights the plasma in the upper atmosphere
 - Weak emission in the polar regions
 - Open field region (large scale dipolar field region)
- Extrapolation model









Jets in Coronal Hole regions

- Hinode obs of CH, January 10, 1997
- Jets are associated with bright regions, indicating an interaction between a bipolar region and the open background field



60 per day 10-15 minutes duration Two types Eiffel tower Blowouts V_jet order a few 100 km/s

An eruptive CH jet

30 minutes duration

60.000x60.000 km





The Standard or Eiffel-tower jet

- Order 13 minutes duration
- Bright point, Jet axis, position change of jet with time
- Reversed image black is strong emission



Figure 2 Negative X-ray images showing the small jet evolution between 01:53 UT and 02:06 UT on 23 November 2006. This jet is visible in Figure 1, labeled A. The white segment at the top of each image is produced by a speck of dust in front of the CCD detector.



Moore et al. 2010

"Blowout" jets

- Typically follows an Eiffel-tower jet period
- Eruption of the lower regions
- Looks like a mini CME
- Hot and cold plasma observed simultaneous



Eruptions found to occur several times from the same region.

Madjarska 2011 found 4 eruptions within 30 minutes

Innes et al. 2010 – *Mini CME* Morre et al. 2010 – *jets*

Models:

Pariat et al. 2009, 10, Moreno-Insertis et al. 2010, 13 Archontis et al. 2013 Fang et al. 2015 Jui Lee et al. 2015

There does not exist any unique theoretical model for this type of event



"Standard" 3D cartoon emergence setup

- Twisted flux loop inside the convection zone
- Tilted uniform background magnetic field
- Stratified hydrostatic model atmosphere

Shibata et al. Fan et al. Manchester et al. Archontis et al. Magara et al. Moreno-Insertis et al.

. . . .







Numerical approach

- 3D resistive MHD
- Simple energy equation
 - Ignoring radiation and heat conduction
- High order finite difference Copenhagen Stagger Code
 - Staggered grids
 - 6th order derivatives
 - 5th order interpolation
 - 3rd order time integration
 - High order numerical diffusion



Jet models 2D slice time view

Relevant fraction of the domain



Later ones are not as clearly observed in this 2D plane



5 eruptions in about 35 minutes



Magnetogram

Location of ERs



Example of the field line evolution



Plasmoid formation in the current sheet



Small coloured squares are 3D null points, mainly complex nulls Twisted magnetic field lines, |J|/|B| surface Complicated magnetic reconnection processes Null points slow down the reconnection

Eruption 1: Tether cutting of emerging loop system

MANCHESTER ET AL.





Creates a twisted flux rope above the rec site Near potential loop system below the rec site Increases the twist of the remaining loop system



Yan Xu et al 2010

Eruption 1: Field line structures - Domains



Tether cutting evolution



Destruction of the rising flux robe





Eruption 2: "Domain decomposition"





Located above the negative polarity flux concentration

Loop system partly grown by eruption 1

Region 1: origin of 2nd eruption Region 2: perturbed flux after 1st eruption Region 3: hot loop system after the steady state jet phase

|J|/|B| surface

Flux into the area from above Twisted rope low down (red)

Magnetogram at the base

Region closely related to the negative flux concentration



Eruption 2: "initial configuration"

• Structure of the magnetic field of the erupting region





Magnetogram



Field lines traced from within the red box Coloured with vertical flow speed



Eruption 2: Vertical flow speed at a later time







Eruption 2: Acceleration terms



Eruption 2: Onset of the eruption



Flow speeds







Shear driven collapse of a 2D null

Two potential ways to perturb a single null

- Shear motion (the most generic)
 - Across the spine or fan plane
- Rotation
 - Spine or fan plane







Fig.4. Current amplitude at a number of characteristic instances in the dynamical evolution. The individual frames are scaled to their local dynamical range to enhance the visual appearance. The images represent the z = 0 plane for run "C3" at times t = (0.4, 1.0, 2.2, 4.4, 9.2, 19.0).







Symmetric null Continued driving



Null region seen from the side Different distance from the symmetry line Four colours, two above/below fan plane



Topology evolution of the null point





Summary

- Simple initial magnetic model
- Complicated dynamical evolution
- Several reconnection scenarios
 - No null reconnection
 - Tether cutting of various types of magnetic field lines
 - Null reconnection
 - Spine fan reconnection type
 - Bifurcation of the single null when dynamically stressed
 - Complicated magnetic field region
- Takes place on a dynamical time scale
 - Fast magnetic reconnection