

Multi-Regge kinematics and configurations of points on the Riemann sphere

Claude Duhr

in collaboration with V. Del Duca, S. Druc, J. Drummond, F. Dulat, R. Marzucca, G. Papathanasiou and B. Verbeek

Amplitudes 2016 Stockholm, 04-08 July 2016



Planar N=4 SYM



- Planar N=4 SYM is our favorite playground to explore the structure of scattering amplitudes in gauge theories.
- Many structures have been uncovered over the last years:
 - Singularities described by cluster algebra. [Golden, Goncharov, Spradlin, Vergu, Volovich]
 - Perturbative amplitudes are iterated integrals.
 - Dynamics encoded into collinear OPE. [Basso, Sever, Vieira]
 - Building blocks of OPE can be obtained from integrability.
- Very successful for six-point amplitude!
 - Hexagon bootstrap program.
 [Dixon, CD, Drummond, Henn, van Hippel, McLeod, Pennington]
 - Heptagon amplitude and cluster polylogarithms.

[Golden, Spradlin; Drummond, Papathanasiou, Spradlin]



Planar N=4 SYM



- Despite this progress:
 - Only 6 & 7 point MHV amplitudes known.
 - Only 6 point NMHV amplitude known.
- Reasons (among others):
 - ➡ Cluster algebra infinite starting from 8 points.
 - Non-polylogarithmic functions expected to appear for non-MHV amplitudes.
- Aim of this talk: Present a limit where all the mathematical structures act in harmony.
 - Results for many loops and legs for complicated helicity configurations.



Outline



- Multi-Regge Kinematics
- The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$
 - The geometry of multi-Regge kinematics
- MHV amplitudes in multi-Regge kinematics
 Convolutions & Factorisation
- Non-MHV amplitudes in multi-Regge kinematics
 Helicity flips & leading singularities

Multi-Regge kinematics





• Definition of MRK:

 $p_3^+ \gg p_4^+ \gg \dots p_{N-1}^+ \gg p_N^+, \quad |\mathbf{p}_3| \simeq \dots \simeq |\mathbf{p}_N| \quad \mathbf{p}_k = p_k^x + i p_k^y$

• Non-trivial kinematical dependence in transverse momenta.



 $\mathbf{x}_1 \bullet$

➡ Dual conformal invariance in transverse space implies dependence on N − 5 cross ratios:

$$z_{i} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3}) (\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})}{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1}) (\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}$$

• \mathbf{x}_{N-3} • \mathbf{x}_{N-3} • \mathbf{x}_{N-4}

Strong ordering in rapidities implies no collinear singularities, only soft:

$$\mathbf{k}_i \to 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \to \mathbf{x}_{i+2}$$



Multi-Regge kinematics



- In the Euclidean region, the amplitude vanishes in MRK.
- After analytic continuation to Mandelstam region, the amplitude does no longer vanish in the limit.
- Here: Leading logarithmic accuracy (LLA):

$$\mathcal{R}_{h_{1}\dots h_{N-4}}^{[p,q]} = 1 + a \, i\pi \, r_{h_{1}\dots h_{N-4}}^{[p,q],(1)} + a \, i\pi \, (-1)^{q-p} \left[\prod_{k=p}^{q-1} \sum_{n_{k}=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z_{k}}{\bar{z}_{k}} \right)^{n_{k}/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu_{k}}{2\pi} |z_{k}|^{2i\nu_{k}} \right] \\ \times \left[-1 + \prod_{k=p}^{q-1} \tau_{k}^{aE_{\nu_{k}n_{k}}} \right] \chi^{h_{p}}(\nu_{p}, n_{p}) \left[\prod_{k=p}^{q-2} C^{h_{k+1}}(\nu_{k}, n_{k}, \nu_{k+1}, n_{k+1}) \right] \chi^{-h_{q}}(\nu_{q-1}, n_{q-1})$$

 $E_{\nu n}$: BFKL eigenvalue large $\log \tau_k$ at every order.

Impact factors & central emission blocks

$$= 1 + a \, i\pi \, r_{h_1...h_{N-4}}^{[p,q],(1)} + 2\pi i \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1+...+i_{N-5}=i-1}^{\infty} a^i \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-5} \frac{1}{i_k!} \log^{i_k} \tau_k\right) g_{h_1,...,h_{N-4}}^{(i_1,...,i_{N-5})}$$

Perturbative coefficient (labelled by powers of logs and helicities)





- Six-point MHV and NMHV amplitudes.
 - ➡ Known to arbitrary loop order at LLA.
 - Can be expressed via single-valued harmonic polylogarithms.

[Lipatov, Prygarin; Dixon, Drummond, Henn; Dixon, CD, Pennington; Pennington; Brödel, Sprenger; Bartels, Kormilitzin, Lipatov, Prygarin]

- Two-loop MHV amplitudes at LLA.
 - ➡ Factorise into six-point amplitudes.

[Prygarin, Spradlin, Vergu, Volovich; Bartels, Prygarin, Lipatov]

• Aim of this talk: Generalise the LLA results to higher loop orders and to arbitrary helicity configurations.

The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$

The geometry of multi-Regge kinematics



The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$



- $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$ = moduli space space of Riemann spheres with *n* marked points.
 - = space of configurations of *n* points on the Riemann sphere.
- MRK is defined by a configuration of n = N 2 points in the transverse place, which we identify with the Riemann sphere.

$$\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{M}_{0,n} = n - 3$$

$$\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{M}_{0,n} = n - 3$$

$$\operatorname{coordinates are collection of } n - 3 = N - 5$$

$$\operatorname{cross ratios} z_{i} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})}{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}$$

$$\operatorname{cross ratios} z_{i} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}$$

$$\operatorname{cross ratios} z_{i} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}$$

$$\operatorname{cross ratios} z_{i} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{i+1})(\mathbf{x}_{i+2} - \mathbf{x}_{i+3})}$$

Cluster algebra picture





- Two complex conjugate copies of the cluster algebra A_{N-5} .
- A_{N-5} is the cluster algebra associated to

$$\operatorname{Conf}_{N-2}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{0,N-2}$$







- Iterated integrals on $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$ have alphabet $d \log(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j)$.
- Gauge fixing (Simplicial coordinates): [Brown]
 (x₁,...,x_n) = (0,1,∞,t₁,...,t_{n-3})
 Alphabet reduces to d log t_i, d log(1 − t_i), d log(t_i − t_j).
- Alphabet is linear in all simplicial coordinates.
 - ➡ Can always be evaluated in terms of multiple polylogarithms.

$$G(a_1, \dots, a_n; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \dots, a_n; z)$$

$$G(a; z) = \log\left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right)$$
 $G(0; z) = \log z$ $G(0, 1; z) = -\text{Li}_2(z)$



MRK and $\mathfrak{M}_{0,n}$



- Issues:
 - ➡ We have two complex conjugate copies of this alphabet.
 - ➡ Branch cuts are constraint by unitarity.
- Unitarity forces the iterated integrals in MRK to be single-valued functions on $\mathfrak{M}_{0,N-2}$.

• Conclusion:

N-point scattering amplitudes in planar N=4 SYM in MRK are single-valued iterated integrals on $\mathfrak{M}_{0,N-2}$.

- Generalises the 6-point SVHPL story to arbitrary numbers of points, loops and helicity configurations.
- Only polylogarithms appear in MRK!

Single-valued functions



- Single-valued polylogarithms = combinations of poylogarithms and their complex conjugates such that all branch cuts cancel.
- One way to construct them: A map **s** that assigns to each polylogarithm its single-valued version:

$$\mathbf{s}=\mu(ilde{S}\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta$$
 [cf. Brown for MZV case]

- $\mu = \text{multiplication} \qquad \qquad \tilde{S} = \begin{array}{l} \text{Complex conjugate of the} \\ \Delta = \text{coproduct} \end{array} \qquad \qquad \tilde{S} = \begin{array}{l} \text{Complex conjugate of the} \\ \text{antipode (up to a sign)} \end{array}$
- Examples: $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a}; z) = \mathbf{s}(G(\vec{a}; z))$ $\mathcal{G}_a(z) = G_a(z) + G_{\bar{a}}(\bar{z}) = \log \left|1 - \frac{z}{a}\right|^2$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{a,b}(z) &= G_{a,b}(z) + G_{\bar{b},\bar{a}}\left(\bar{z}\right) + G_{b}(a)G_{\bar{a}}\left(\bar{z}\right) + G_{\bar{b}}\left(\bar{a}\right)G_{\bar{a}}\left(\bar{z}\right) \\ &- G_{a}(b)G_{\bar{b}}\left(\bar{z}\right) + G_{a}(z)G_{\bar{b}}\left(\bar{z}\right) - G_{\bar{a}}\left(\bar{b}\right)G_{\bar{b}}\left(\bar{z}\right) \,. \end{aligned}$



Single-valued functions



- Preserves multiplication: $\mathbf{s}(a \cdot b) = \mathbf{s}(a) \cdot \mathbf{s}(b)$
- Preserves functional equations.
- Commutes with holomorphic differentiation: $\partial_z \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} \partial_z$
- Antipode corresponds to complex conjugation: s̄ = s S̃
 → Example:

$$\mathcal{G}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}; \bar{z}) = \bar{\mathbf{s}}(G(a, b; z))$$
$$= \mathcal{G}(b, a; z) + \mathcal{G}(b; a) \mathcal{G}(a; z) - \mathcal{G}(a; b) \mathcal{G}(b; z)$$

Does not commute with anti-holomorphic differentiation:
 Example:

$$\bar{\partial}_z \mathcal{G}(a,b;z) = \frac{1}{\bar{z} - \bar{a}} \mathcal{G}(b;a) + \frac{1}{\bar{z} - \bar{b}} (\mathcal{G}(a;z) - \mathcal{G}(a;b))$$

MHV amplitudes in MRK

Convolutions & Factorisation



Convolutions



• Master formula:

$$g_{h_1,\dots,h_{N-4}}^{(i_1,\dots,i_{N-5})}(z_1,\dots,z_{N-5}) = \frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{2} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{N-5} \sum_{n_k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z_k}{\bar{z}_k} \right)^{n_k/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu_k}{2\pi} |z_k|^{2i\nu_k} E_{\nu_k n_k}^{i_k} \right] \\ \times \chi^{h_1}(\nu_1,n_1) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N-6} C^{h_j}(\nu_j,n_j,\nu_{j+1},n_{j+1}) \right] \chi^{-h_{N-5}}(\nu_{N-5},n_{N-5}) .$$

- Fourier-Mellin transform: $\mathcal{F}[F(\nu,n)] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z}{\overline{z}}\right)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} |z|^{2i\nu} F(\nu,n)$
- FM transform maps products to convolutions: $\mathcal{F}[F \cdot G] = \mathcal{F}[F] * \mathcal{F}[G] = f * g = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2w}{|w|^2} f(w) g\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)$

Translates into a recursion in the number of loops:

$$g_{+\dots+}^{(i_1,\dots,i_k+1,\dots,i_{N-5})}(z_1,\dots,z_{N-5}) = \mathcal{E}(z_k) * g_{+\dots+}^{(i_1,\dots,i_{N-5})}(z_1,\dots,z_{N-5})$$

$$\mathcal{E}(z) = \mathcal{F}[E_{\nu n}] = -\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2|1 - z|^2}$$

B Stokes' theorem & residues



- Single-valuedness implies that the integral can easily be performed in terms of Stokes' theorem.
 - ➡ All singularities are isolated.
 - Can integrate over the boundary of the punctured complex plane.



$$\int \frac{d^2 z}{\pi} f(z) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=\infty} F(z) - \sum_{i} \operatorname{Res}_{z=a_i} F(z) \qquad \overline{\partial}_z F = f$$
[Schnetz]

- Convolution appearing in the loop recursion reduces to a simple residue computation.
- Start of the recursion: Two-loop MHV amplitude:

$$\mathcal{R}_{+\dots+}^{(2)} = \sum_{1 \le i \le N-5} \log \tau_i \, g_{++}^{(1)}(\rho_i)$$

[Prygarin, Spradlin, Vergu, Volovich; Bartels, Prygarin, Lipatov; Bargheer, Schomerus, Papathanasiou]



Factorisation



• Convolutions imply a factorisation theorem!

$$g_{h_1...h_{N-4}}^{(i_1,...,i_{N-5})}(\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_{N-5}) = 1 \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \rho_1 \ i_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_{N-5} \ i_{N-5} \\ p_{N-4} \\ \infty \end{array}$$

$$z_i = \frac{(\rho_i - \rho_{i-1})(\rho_{i+1} - 1)}{(\rho_i - \rho_{i+1})(\rho_{i-1} - 1)}$$

• Factorisation theorem:



[Del Duca, Druc, Drummond, CD ,Dulat, Marzucca, Papathanasiou, Verbeek; see also recent work by Bargheer on 7-point MHV three-loop symbol]

• N.B.: Factorisation is not restricted to MHV!



Factorisation for MHV



• For MHV amplitudes, the factorisation implies that we can drop all 0's:

$$g_{+\dots+}^{(0,\dots,0,i_{a_1},0,\dots,0,i_{a_2},0,\dots,0,i_{a_k},0,\dots,0)}(\rho_1,\dots,\rho_{N-5}) = g_{+\dots+}^{(i_{a_1},i_{a_2},\dots,i_{a_k})}(\rho_{i_{a_1}},\rho_{i_{a_2}},\dots,\rho_{i_{a_k}})$$

- Consequence: At L loops an MHV amplitudes in MRK at LLA is determined by amplitudes with at most (L+4) external legs.
- Two loops: Reduces to known factorisation:

$$\mathcal{R}_{+\dots+}^{(2)} = \sum_{1 \le i \le N-5} \log \tau_i \, g_{++}^{(1)}(\rho_i)$$

• Three loops:

$$\mathcal{R}_{+\dots+}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le N-5} \log^2 \tau_i \, g_{++}^{(2)}(\rho_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N-5} \log \tau_i \, \log \tau_j \, g_{+++}^{(1,1)}(\rho_i, \rho_j) \, .$$

Factorisation for MHV



• Four loops:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{(4)}_{+\dots+} &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{1 \le i \le N-5} \log^3 \tau_i \, g^{(3)}_{++}(\rho_i) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N-5} \left[\log^2 \tau_i \, \log \tau_j \, g^{(2,1)}_{+++}(\rho_i,\rho_j) + \log \tau_i \, \log^2 \tau_j \, g^{(1,2)}_{+++}(\rho_i,\rho_j) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{1 \le i < j < k \le N-5} \log \tau_i \, \log \tau_j \, \log \tau_k \, g^{(1,1,1)}_{++++}(\rho_i,\rho_j,\rho_k) \,. \end{aligned}$$

- We have computed all MHV building blocks up to five loops.
 - Explicit analytic results for all MHV amplitudes in MRK at LLA up to five loops!

[Parallel work by Brödel and Spenger on 7-point amplitude through 5 loops, and by Bargheer on 7-point MHV symbol at three loops.]

Non-MHV amplitudes in MRK

Helicity flips & leading singularities



Helicity flips



• Master formula:

$$g_{h_1,\dots,h_{N-4}}^{(i_1,\dots,i_{N-5})}(z_1,\dots,z_{N-5}) = \frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{2} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{N-5} \sum_{n_k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z_k}{\bar{z}_k} \right)^{n_k/2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu_k}{2\pi} |z_k|^{2i\nu_k} E_{\nu_k n_k}^{i_k} \right] \\ \times \chi^{h_1}(\nu_1,n_1) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N-6} C^{h_j}(\nu_j,n_j,\nu_{j+1},n_{j+1}) \right] \chi^{-h_{N-5}}(\nu_{N-5},n_{N-5}).$$

• It is easy to flip helicities in FM space:

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\chi^{+}(\nu,n) F(\nu,n)\right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left[\chi^{-}(\nu,n) F(\nu,n)\right]$$

= $\mathcal{F}\left[\chi^{-}(\nu,n)/\chi^{+}(\nu,n)\right] * \mathcal{F}\left[\chi^{+}(\nu,n) F(\nu,n)\right]$
= $\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{i\nu + \frac{n}{2}}{i\nu - \frac{n}{2}}\right] * \mathcal{F}\left[\chi^{+}(\nu,n) F(\nu,n)\right] .$

➡ Helicity flip kernel:

$$\mathcal{H}(z) \equiv \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{i\nu + \frac{n}{2}}{i\nu - \frac{n}{2}}\right] = -\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$$

• Flipping helicities on central emission blocks is similar.



Helicity flips



- Helicity flip kernel has a double pole: H(z) = z/((1-z)^2)
 → Acts as a 'derivative' in residue computation.
 - Produces rational prefactors (leading singularities).
 - ➡ Non-MHV amplitudes are not pure functions!
- Factorisation theorem still holds for non-MHV amplitudes.
 - Unlike MHV, there is an infinite number building blocks already at two loops.
 - ➡ Irreducible building blocks: alternating helicities (-+-+-+...).

• Example:

$$\mathcal{R}_{-+\dots}^{(2)} = \log \tau_1 g_{-+}^{(1)}(\rho_1) + \sum_{j=2}^{N-5} \log \tau_j g_{-++}^{(0,1)}(\rho_1, \rho_j)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{+-+\dots}^{(2)} = \log \tau_1 g_{+-+}^{(1,0)}(\rho_1, \rho_2) + \log \tau_2 g_{+-+}^{(0,1)}(\rho_1, \rho_2) + \sum_{j=3}^{N-5} \log \tau_j g_{+-++}^{(0,0,1)}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_j)$$



An algorithm



- All MRK amplitudes at LLA can be computed via a sequence of the following three elementary operations:
 - ➡ Flip helicity with helicity flip kernel:

$$g_{+++}^{(1,2)} \to g_{-++}^{(1,2)} = \mathcal{H}(z_1) * g_{+++}^{(1,2)}$$

Add particles with the same helicity without BFKL eigenvalue insertion, e.g.,

$$g_{-++}^{(1,2)} \to g_{--++}^{(0,0,1,2)} = g_{-++}^{(1,2)}$$

➡ Increase loop number with BFKL eigenvalue:

$$g_{--++}^{(0,0,1,2)} \to g_{--++}^{(2,0,1,2)} = \mathcal{E}(z_1) * \mathcal{E}(z_1) * g_{--++}^{(0,0,1,2)}$$

• We have explicitly computed all non-MHV amplitudes up to four loops and eight legs.



Convolutions with helicity flip kernel preserve the weight.
 Example:

Analytic structure

$$\mathcal{R}_{-+\dots+} = \mathcal{H}(z_1) * \mathcal{R}_{++\dots+} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H}(z) = -\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$$
$$= z_1 \partial_{z_1} \int \frac{d\bar{w}}{\bar{w}} \mathcal{R}_{++\dots+}(w, z_2 \dots, z_{N-5})$$
weight -1 weight +1
$$\mathcal{E}(z) = -\frac{z + \bar{z}}{2|1-z|^2}$$

- Convolutions with BFKL eigenvalue increase the weight by 1.
 - BFKL eigenvalues has simple holomorphic and antiholomorphic poles!
 - Anti-holomorphic primitive increases the weight by 1.
 - Simple holomorphic pole does not lower the weight.





• One can analyse the convolution integral and obtain an upper bound on leading singularities for a given helicity configuration!



- Interfaces = places where helicity flips kernels were inserted.
- Rule for leading singularities:
 - At each interface *a* we can insert at most one (anti-) holomorphic cross ratio

$$R_{bac} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a)(\mathbf{x}_c - \mathbf{x}_1)}{(\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_c)(\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_1)}$$



Leading singularities



- Rule for leading singularities:
 - At each interface a we can insert at most one (anti-) holomorphic cross ratio R_{bac} .
 - \blacktriangleright Ranges of *b* and *c* restricted as follows:

• Asymmetry reflects the fact that there are non linear relations among the cross ratios:

$$R_{23c} + R_{234} R_{4ac} = R_{23c} R_{4ac} + R_{234} R_{2ac}$$

- ► Effect can appear for the first time with 3 interfaces: (+-+-)
- ➡ It does indeed appear!

Leading singularities



• Example:

5

$$\begin{split} g_{+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) &= \mathfrak{a}_{+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) + \overline{R}_{234} \, \mathfrak{b}_{1,+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) \\ &+ \overline{R}_{235} \, \mathfrak{b}_{2,+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) + \overline{R}_{356} \, \mathfrak{b}_{3,+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) + \overline{R}_{456} \, \mathfrak{b}_{4,+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) \\ &+ \overline{R}_{234} \, \overline{R}_{356} \, \mathfrak{c}_{1,+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) + \overline{R}_{234} \, \overline{R}_{456} \, \mathfrak{c}_{2,+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) \\ &+ \overline{R}_{235} \, \overline{R}_{356} \, \mathfrak{c}_{3,+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) + \overline{R}_{235} \, \overline{R}_{456} \, \mathfrak{c}_{4,+--+}^{(i_{1},i_{2},i_{3})}\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}\right) \,, \end{split}$$

 $\rightarrow \overline{R}_{236}$ does not appear, because we have only two interfaces!







• Pole structure of LS is R_{bac} is not random!

$$R_{bac} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a)(\mathbf{x}_c - \mathbf{x}_1)}{(\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_c)(\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_1)}$$

- There is no pole in soft limit, because b < a < c.
 - ➡ No weight drop in soft limit!
- Soft limits of NMHV amplitudes can be MHV, i.e., pure.

➡ Example:

- $g_{+-+}^{(i_1,i_2)} = \mathfrak{a}_{+-+}^{(i_1,i_2)} + \overline{R}_{234} \,\mathfrak{b}_{1,+-+}^{(i_1,i_2)} + R_{345} \,\mathfrak{b}_{2,+-+}^{(i_1,i_2)} + \overline{R}_{234} \,R_{345} \,\mathfrak{c}_{1,+-+}^{(i_1,i_2)}$
- ➡ In the limit all rational factors disappear:

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x}_b \to \mathbf{x}_a} R_{bac} = 0 \qquad \qquad \lim_{\mathbf{x}_c \to \mathbf{x}_a} R_{bac} = 1$$



Conclusion & Outlook



- *N*-point scattering amplitudes in planar N=4 SYM in MRK are single-valued iterated integrals on $\mathfrak{M}_{0,N-2}$.
- Consequences:
 - ➡ Convolutions can be computed using Stokes' theorem.
 - ➡ Algorithmic construction of all MRK amplitudes at LLA.
 - ➡ Classification of leading singularities in MRK at LLA.
 - ➡ All amplitudes have uniform weight.
 - Explicit results for all MHV amplitudes up to 5 loops, and all non-MRK amplitude with up to 8 legs and 4 loops.





- Generalisation beyond LLA?
 - ➡ Formalism is general!
 - BFKL eigenvalue & impact factor known to all orders from integrability.
 - Central emission block only known to LO.
 - ➡ Multi-Reggeon bound state exchanges? [See Basso's talk]
- Are there other cases where we can 'control' the geometry?
 - ➡ Amplitudes in 2D kinematics?
 - ➡ Limits where the cluster algebras are all of finite type?

4th School of Analytic Computing in Theoretical High- nergy Physics Atrani Italy

Miguel Paulos | CERN Introduction to Conformal Field Theories

> Marius de Leeuw | Niels Bohr Inst. Introduction to Integrability

> > Luis F. Alday | Oxford U. The Conformal Bootstrap

Volker Schomerus | DESY Integrability and BFKL

Amit Sever | Tel Aviv U. Integrability and Amplitudes

International Advisory Committee Niklas Beisert | ETH Zürich Lance Dixon | SLAC

Local Organizing Committee Maria Cristina D'Amato | INFN Frascati Vittorio del Duca | ETH Zürich & INFN Frascati Claude Duhr | UC Louvain & CERN









