Numerical Unitarity Method for Two-Loop Amplitudes in QCD

based on: [arXiv 1510.05626, HI]



DFG



Harald Ita

University of Freiburg, Germany

Aspects of Amplitudes program, Nordita Stockholm, June 27th 2016

Content

Physics Motivation

Loop Computations

Surface-Terms

Formal Structures







LHC Timeline



Α

٧s

Γ

Potential: new physics & percent-level cross sections.

Theory Input

- Precision theory predictions at the few percent level (input parameters, backgrounds, predictions)
- Broad range of predictions for indirect detection of New Physics
- Go deep by inclusion of multiple final states (new channels, as probes of hard scattering process)
- Complete including of higher-order effects (electroweak, final-state description)

Complex dynamic of proton collisions:



Precise predictions are a multi-layered problem:

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{ij,NNLO} = \int_{d\Phi_{n+2}} [d\hat{\sigma}_{ij,NNLO}^{RR} - d\hat{\sigma}_{ij,NNLO}^{S}] + \int_{d\Phi_{n+1}} [d\hat{\sigma}_{ij,NNLO}^{RV} - d\hat{\sigma}_{ij,NNLO}^{T}] + \int_{d\Phi_{n}} [d\hat{\sigma}_{ij,NNLO}^{VV} - d\hat{\sigma}_{ij,NNLO}^{U}]$$

[Antenna subtraction; Gehrmann-De Ridder, Gehrmann, Glover; Kosower]

Current frontier: NNLO computations in QCD

NLO Progress

Driving ideas & methods:

- Unitarity and numerical unitarity methods [Bern, Dixon, Kosowers; Britto, Cachazo Feng; Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau; Ellis, Giele, Kunszt, Melnikov]
- Integral reduction algorithms [e.g. COLLIER; Denner, Dittmaier; GOLEM; Binoth, Guillet, Pilon, Heinrich, Schubert]
- Refined techniques; single cuts, spinor helicity, color tricks, recursions [e.g. OpenLoops, many contributions from amplitudes field]

Current mature tools:

- Sherpa, Munich, Madgraph,...
- BlackHat, Hawk, GoSam, MCFM, Madgraph, NJet, OpenLoops, Prophecy4f, Prospino, Recola, Rocket,...



[OpenLoops; Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhofer, Pozzorini, Schonherr, '14] [GoSam; Cullen, van Deurzen, Greiner, Luisoni, Mastrolia, Mirabella, Ossola, Peraro, Tramontano, '13]



[NJet; Badger, Biedermann, Guffanti, Uwer, Yundin, '14]



[BlackHat; Bern, Dixon, Febres Cordero, HI, Kosower, Maitre, '13]



NNLO Progress (see D. Kosower's talk)

Recent highlights for proton collisions:

- di-photon: [Catani, Cieri, di Florian, Ferrera, Grazzini 11; Campbell, Ellis, Ye Li, Williams]
- W+I-jet: [Boughezal, Focke, Liu, Petriello 15]
- Z+I-jet: [Gehrmann-De Ridder, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss, Morgan 15; Boughezal, Campbell, Ellis, Focke, Giele, Liu, Petriello 15]
- H+I-jet: [Chen, Gehrmann, Glove, Jaquier 14; Caola, Melnikov, Schulze 15; Boughezal, Focke, Giele, Liu, Periello 15]
- tt: [Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov | 5]
- WW: [Gehrmann, Grazzini, Kallweit, Maierhöfer, v.Mannteuffel, Pozzerini, Rathlev, Tancredi 14]
- ZZ: [Cascioli, Gehrmann, Grazzini, Kallweit, Maierhöfer, v.Mannteuffel, Pozzerini, Rathlev, Tancredi, Weihs 14]
- Zphoton: [Grazzini, Kallweit, Rathlev, Torre 14]

A frontier:

• 3jets : amplitudes [Badger, Frellesvig, Zhang 15; Gehrmann, Henn, Lo Presti 15]

Three/more final states? Masses? Jets as probes of interactions? Complexity bottleneck?

Unitarity Approach @ Two-loops

Status:

- Selected analytic computations of QCD amplitudes (see S. Badger's talk)
- Many formal computations: super YM and gravity theories

Discussed here:

- Extend one-loop ideas for multi-loop amplitudes
- Numerical and stable algorithm for QFT

Spin-off:

- Methods for integral-reduction (see also K. Larsen's talk)
- A master integral count
- Better understanding of perturbative QFT



Carrots just didn't get me going anymore, so I switched to **NNLO** instead...

Loop Computations

Loop Computations

Feynman amplitude:



Challenges: number of diagrams, integral reduction, integrals, algebra, numerical stability

Is there a viable process-independent approach to obtain coefficients?

Canonical Approach

Isolate tensor integrals:



2-loop methods: Tensor reduction [Tarasov 96; Anastasiou, Glover, Oleari 99], Integration-byparts identities [Tkachov, Chetyrkin 81], Lorentz invariance identities [Gehrmann, Remiddi 99], Laporta algorithm [Laporta 01]

Programs in use: Reduze [Mannteuffel, Studerus], AIR [Anastasiou, Lazopoulos], FIRE [Smirnov, Smirnov], LiteRed [Lee], SecDec [Borowka, Heinrich, Jahn, Jones, Kerner, Schlenk, Zirke]; COLLIER [Denner, Dittmaier], GOLEM [Binoth, Guillet, Pilon, Heinrich, Schubert]

Unitarity Approach

Exploit analytic structure and use cutting rules:

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} [\text{dLIPS}] \ \tilde{A}_1(\ell, p_i) \times \dots \times \tilde{A}_m(\ell, p_i)$$
$$= \sum_{\text{integrals with cuts}} c_j(p_i) \ \int_{\mathcal{C}} [\text{dLIPS}] \ \frac{m_j(\ell, p_i)}{(\text{uncut propagator terms})}$$

Properties:

- Fine-grained set of equations
- Organise equations: from maximal to minimal number of cut propagators
- Remaining integration
- Analytic approach for very compact results

Multi-loop pioneers [Bern, Dixon, Kosower, Dunbar 94; Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Perelstein, Rozowsky 98; Bern, Dixon, Kosower 00]





Recently: duality between master integrals and contours [Kosower, Larsen 11; Caron-Huot, Larsen 12; Georgoudis, Zhang 15; Sogaard, Zhang 14; HI 15]

Unitarity Approach - Integrands

Use integrand basis and remove integration:

$$\tilde{A}(\ell, p_i) = \sum_{\text{j in integrand basis}} c_j(p_i) \frac{m_j(\ell, p_i)}{\rho^1 \cdots \rho^N}$$

Classification of integrands [Badger, Frellesvig, Zhang. 13; Mastrolia, Mirabella, Ossola, Peraro 12]

Factorisation in loop momenta [Ellis, Giele, Kunszt]:

$$\lim_{\{\rho^i\}\to 0} \tilde{A}(\ell, p_i) \to \tilde{A}_1(\ell, p_i) \times \dots \times \tilde{A}_m(\ell, p_i) \frac{1}{(\text{large propagator terms})}$$

Algebraic equations using tree-level data:

$$\tilde{A}_{1}(\ell, p_{i}) \times \cdots \times \tilde{A}_{m}(\ell, p_{i}) = \sum_{\substack{j \text{ in large integrands}}} c_{j}(p_{i}) m_{j}(\ell, p_{i}) + previously computed topologies$$



Properties:

- Universal and numerical
- But: additional integral reduction required

Numerical Unitarity Approach

Integrand basis as direct sum of vanishing integrals and master integrals:

$$\tilde{A}(\ell, p_i) = \sum_{\text{j in master integrands}} c_j(p_i) \frac{m_j(\ell, p_i)}{\rho^1 \cdots \rho^N} + \sum_{\text{j in surface terms}} \hat{c}_j(p_i) \frac{\hat{m}_j(\ell, p_i)}{\rho^1 \cdots \rho^N}$$

@ one-loop [Ossola
 Papadopoulos, Pittau 07; Ellis,
 Giele Kunszt 07; Giele Kunszt,
 Melnikov 08]

@ two-loop [HII5]

Properties:

- Unitarity cuts give fine set of equations for coefficients
- Integral reduction implicit: just drop surface terms
- Process & multiplicity independent numerical approach

How to construct vanishing integrals?

• Use particular integration-by-parts identities [HI 15]!





Vanishing Integrals

Surface Terms

Integration-by-parts identities:

$$0 = \int [d^{((n=2)D)}\ell] \left[\partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{u^{\mu}}{\rho^{1} \cdots \rho^{N}} \right) + \tilde{\partial}_{\nu} \left(\frac{\tilde{u}^{\nu}}{\rho^{1} \cdots \rho^{N}} \right) \right]$$

[Tkachov, Chetyrkin 81]

Doubled propagators entangle unitarity equations:

 $\partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{u^{\mu}}{\rho^{i}} \right) = \frac{1}{\rho^{i}} \partial_{\mu} u^{\mu} - \frac{1}{(\rho^{i})^{2}} u^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \rho^{i}$ **IBP-generating vectors:** $(u^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} + \tilde{u}^{\nu} \tilde{\partial}_{\nu}) \rho^{i} = f^{i}(\ell, \tilde{\ell}) \rho^{i} \quad \text{for all } \rho^{i}$

[Gluza, Kajda, Kosower 10]

Geometric interpretation [HI 15, see similar Zhang 14]:

- Unitarity-cut surface: $\{\rho^i = 0\}$
- $(u^{\mu}, \tilde{u}^{\nu})$ tangent vector to unitarity cut surface
- Intrinsic structure of unitarity cut

Unitarity-cut surface and IBP-generating vector field:



Natural Coordinates (1)

Need to solve

 $(u^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \tilde{u}^{\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu})\rho^{i} = f^{i}(\ell,\tilde{\ell})\rho^{i} \qquad \text{for all } \rho^{i}$

solutions with computer algebra [Gluza, Kajda, Kosower 10; Schabinger 11]; explicit solutions [HI 15]; see also [Larsen, Zhang 15]

General coordinate transformation [HI 15]

 $(\ell, \tilde{\ell}) \rightarrow (\rho^{i}, \alpha^{a})$ auxiliary coordinates $\left(u^{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho^{j}} + u^{a}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha^{a}}\right)\rho^{i} = u^{j}\delta^{i}_{j} = f^{i}\rho^{i}$ $(u^{i}, u^{a}) = (f^{i}\rho^{i}, u^{a})$

Properties:

- Simple solution
- But: want polynomial vector fields for polynomial surface terms; careful treatment of algebraic structure — no problem

Organising IBPs

Classification of IBP-generating vectors:

• Horizontal:

$$(u^i, u^a) = (0, u^a)$$

• Vertical:

$$(u^i, u^a) = (f^i \rho^i, 0)$$

$$(u^i, u^a) = (f^i \rho^i, u^a)$$

Role of intrinsic vector component u^a :

- Local translations
- Conformal transformations (massless integrals)

vertical
$$u^i = \rho^i f^i$$

S
 u^a
horizontal

foliation of momentum space in ρ^i = const. slices

relations within integral topology

relations between distinct integral topologies

massless integrals, D-dependent relations

IBP-generating vectors (1)



- $\rightarrow \quad v^{i\mu}p_{j\mu} = (G^{-1}G)^i_j = \delta^i_j$ $\rightarrow v^{i\mu}$ in span of p_i^{μ}
- Transverse space

van Neerven Vermaseren basis:

External momenta

Dual basis

$$n_a$$
, with $n^{\mu}_a p_{i\mu} = 0$



one NV basis: n_a







graphic from M. Jaquier

IBP-generating vectors (2)

One-loop integrals:

Inverse propagators

$$\ell^2, (\ell - p_1)^2 - m_1^2, (\ell - p_1 - p_2)^2 - m_2^2, \dots$$

• IBP-generating vectors are rotation generators

$$u^{\nu}_{[ab]}\partial_{\nu} = n^{\mu}_{[a|}\ell_{\mu}n^{\nu}_{[b]}\partial_{\nu}$$

• Check

$$\begin{split} u^{\nu}_{[ab]} \partial_{\nu}(\ell^{2}) &= 2 \, n^{\mu}_{[a|} \ell_{\mu} n^{\nu}_{|b]} \ell_{\nu} = 0 & \text{(anti-symmetry)} \\ u^{\nu}_{[ab]} \partial_{\nu}(\ell^{\sigma} p^{\sigma}_{i}) &= 2 \, n^{\mu}_{[a|} \ell_{\mu} n^{\nu}_{|b]} p_{i\nu} = 0 & \text{(transversality)} \end{split}$$

Remark: complete for generic massive integrals

 p_3 p_2 p_1 p_N

one NV basis: n_a

IBP-generating vectors (3)

Two-loop integrals: planar, massive

Combine vectors of sub loops:

- Type (a) $u^{\nu}_{[abc]}\partial_{\nu} = n^{\kappa}_{[a|}\hat{\ell}_{\kappa}n^{\mu}_{|b|}\ell_{\mu}n^{\nu}_{|c]}\partial_{\nu}$
- Type (b) $n^{\mu}_{[a|}\ell_{\mu}n^{\nu}_{|b]}\partial_{\nu} + n^{\mu}_{[a|}\tilde{\ell}_{\mu}n^{\nu}_{|b]}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu}$ for $n_a = \tilde{n}_a$
- Type (c) $\tilde{u}^{\nu}_{[ab]}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu}(\hat{\ell}^2)u^{\mu}_{[cd]}\partial_{\mu} u^{\mu}_{[cd]}\partial_{\mu}(\hat{\ell}^2)\tilde{u}^{\nu}_{[ab]}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu}$



Properties:

- Integral topology determines types and number of vectors
- Multiplication with loop momentum polynomial give complete set of IBP-generating vectors
- Relations from type (b) known as `spurious terms' [Badger, Frellesvig, Zhang; Mastrolia, Ossola]

Surface terms

Properties of IBP-generating vectors:

- Horizontal: $[u^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \tilde{u}^{\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu}]\rho^{i} = 0$
- Divergence free (observation): $\partial_{\mu}u^{\mu} + \tilde{\partial}_{\nu}\tilde{u}^{\nu} = 0$

Surface terms:

$$\left[\partial_{\mu}\left(\frac{g\,u^{\mu}}{\rho^{1}\cdots\rho^{N}}\right) + \tilde{\partial}_{\nu}\left(\frac{g\,\tilde{u}^{\nu}}{\rho^{1}\cdots\rho^{N}}\right)\right] = \frac{\left[u^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \tilde{u}^{\nu}\tilde{\partial}_{\nu}\right]g}{\rho^{1}\cdots\rho^{N}}$$

Construction algorithm:

- Input: numerator polynomials
- Directional derivatives
- Remove linear dependent terms

Reproduces known results @ one-loop level.

Construction of IBP-vectors

Natural Coordinates (2)

Loop momentum parametrization:

 $\ell = v^i r_i + \alpha^a n^a$ and $\tilde{\ell} = \tilde{v}^i \tilde{r}_i + \tilde{\alpha}^a \tilde{n}^a$

- Linear equations: $(p_i, \ell) = -\frac{1}{2} \left((\rho^i + m_i^2 q_i^2) (\rho^{i-1} + m_{i-1}^2 q_{i-1}^2) \right) = r_i$
- Quadratic equations (implicit):

$$c(\rho,\alpha) := (\ell^2 - m_0^2) - \rho^0 = \sum_{a=1}^{D_t} (\alpha^a)^2 + (G^{-1})^{ij} r_i r_j - \rho^0 - m_0^2 = 0$$

• Each strand gives a quadratic expression:

(a) I-loops:
$$c(\rho, \alpha)$$

(a) 2-loops: $c(\rho, \alpha)$, $\tilde{c}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\alpha})$ and $\hat{c}(\rho, \alpha, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\alpha}) = \left(\ell + \tilde{\ell} + p_b\right)^2 - \hat{\rho}^0 - \hat{m}_0^2$



... as before



graphic from M. Jaquier

Polynomial data

Numerators give polynomials

 $t^{\mu_1\dots\mu_k}\ell_{\mu_1}\cdots\ell_{\mu_k} \sim (\rho,\alpha) - \text{polynomials}$

- Auxiliary space as coordinate space
- Momentum space as algebraic variety from $\{c, \tilde{c}, \hat{c}\} = 0$

Vector fields:

- Map polynomials to polynomials polynomial components
- Tangent to momentum space $(u^a \partial_a + u^k \partial_k) \{c, \tilde{c}, \hat{c}\} = 0$

• From above:
$$(u^i, u^a) = (f^i \rho^i, u^a)$$

equations give rotation generators as solutions

$$(\rho, \alpha) \text{ auxiliary space}$$

syzygy

equations

 $\{c, \tilde{c}, \hat{c}\} = 0$

One-loop Example

One-loop relation:

$$c(\alpha, \rho, \mu) := (\ell^2 - m_0^2) - \rho^0 = C(\rho) + \sum_{a=1}^{D_t} (\alpha^a)^2 + \sum (\mu^b)^2 = 0$$

Rotation IBP vector:

$$u_{[ab]} = \alpha^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^b} - \alpha^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^a}$$

$$u_s = f_s \, \alpha^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^a} + \sum_i f_s^i \rho^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho^i} \qquad \text{for} \qquad C(0) = 0$$

f's fixed by syzygy equations

D-dim rotation:

$$u_a = \alpha^a \mu^b \partial_{\mu^b} - (\mu^b \mu^b) \partial_{\alpha^a}$$

Numerator Basis

Numerators and unitarity cuts:

- Numerators modulo lower topologies = modulo inverse propagators: $\rho^i \sim 0$
- Consider functions on on-shell varieties

$$ho^i \sim 0$$
 and $\{c, ilde{c}, \hat{c}\} \sim 0$

• Remove redundant surface terms on-shell

Finally use the linear independent surface terms in offshell version. independent integrals





Formal Structures

Adapted Coordinates

Coordinate change exposes fiber structure:

$$\mathcal{I}[t] = \int \frac{[d\rho]}{\rho^0 \cdots \tilde{\rho}^{(\tilde{N}-1)}} \, \times \, t(\rho, \alpha) \, \mu(\rho, \alpha)[d\alpha]$$

Integrate over fibers first



Functions on internal spaces important for full integral.

@ one loop: internal spaces complexified spheres;
 all non-constant harmonic functions integrate to
 zero = IBP relations, IBP-generating vectors are
 rotation generators

In general: need to understand topology of internal space to understand non-trivial integrals.

Counting IBP-relations

Cutting loop integral:

$$\int \frac{t}{\rho^1 \cdots \rho^N} J[d\alpha d\rho] \quad \xrightarrow{\text{cut}} \int t J[d\alpha]$$

exact form

holomorphic form

IBP-relations give exact forms on shell:

$$\int \left[\left(\sum_{i} \frac{\rho^{i} \partial_{i}(f^{i} J)}{\rho^{1} \cdots \rho^{N}} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial_{a}(u^{a} J)}{\rho^{1} \cdots \rho^{N}} \right) \right] [d\alpha d\rho] \xrightarrow{\text{cut}} \int \partial_{a}(u^{a} J)[d\alpha]$$

Master integrands:

 (holomorphic forms) modulo (exact forms) = (cohomology of unitarity cut variety)

Completeness of generators:

• #(exact forms) = #(on-shell IBP-relations) — OK!

Topological count gives master integrands



Formal structures

IBP-generating vectors:

 Rotation/scaling generators for each rung, consistent with momentum conservation at vertices.



Geometric structures:

• Lie-algebra & representation theory:

 $[u_a, u_b] = f_{ab}^c(\ell, p_i)u_c$

- Role of purely vertical IBP-generators
- Counting cycles...



Conclusions

Methods for numerical unitarity approach presented

• Numerical, universal approach

Next steps:

- Special cases (massless topologies, D-dim)
- Explicit proof-of-principle computation

Structures:

- Unitarity-cut phase spaces
- Coordinates adapted to integrals
- Classification of IBP-generating vectors

... continued

Open questions:

- Direkt understanding of current algebra?
- Further lessons from natural coordinates (integrals, diff. equations)?
- Cycles of unitarity-cut phase-spaces?
- Efficiency of numerical approach?
- Doubled propagators (cut-less approach).

Thanks!

The LHC Era



 \bigcirc





ATLAS

History of Unitarity Method

Origins in **bootstrap** program for strong interactions in 60s:

- Reconstruct amplitudes directly from analytic properties:
 - Poles explained by factorization.
 - Branch cuts from optical theorem.

Replaced in 70s by rise of field theory (QCD) and Feynman rules. Return of analyticity within perturbative field theory:

- Cutting rules, on-shell and unitarity methods.
- Adding to field theory methods.

Numerical unitarity methods are algorithm to construct complicated loop amplitudes from simpler tree amplitudes:

• Built from analytic inspiration, N=4 SYM, etc

[Chew, Mandelstam; Eden, Landshoff, Olive, Polkinghorne; Veneziano; Virasoro, Shapiro; ...]



[Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Kosover]



Many contributors: [Badger, Berger, Bern, Bjerrum-Bohr, Brandhumber, Britto, Cachazo, Dixon, Dunbar, Ellis, Febres-Cordero, Feng, Forde, Giele, Harmeren, Kosower, Kunszt, Mastrolia, Melnikov, Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Schwinn, Spence, Travaglini, Weinzierl, Witten]

