Scattering via Riemann Spheres

Song He

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Based on works with Freddy Cachazo & Ellis Yuan (2013-15) + to appear with Yong Zhang

Aspects of Amplitudes, Nordita

Jun 20, 2016

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ○ ○

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) E の()

A miracle for n-gluon scattering [Parke, Taylor '86; Mangno, Parke, Xu '87]

$$M_n(1^+,\ldots,i^-,\ldots,j^-,\ldots,n^+) = \frac{\langle ij\rangle^4}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\cdots\langle n-1n\rangle\langle n1\rangle}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

A miracle for n-gluon scattering [Parke, Taylor '86; Mangno, Parke, Xu '87]

$$M_n(1^+,\ldots,i^-,\ldots,j^-,\ldots,n^+) = \frac{\langle ij\rangle^4}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\cdots\langle n-1n\rangle\langle n1\rangle}$$

Led to numerous progress for amplitudes in last 30 years

A miracle for n-gluon scattering [Parke,Taylor '86; Mangno, Parke, Xu '87]

$$M_n(1^+,\ldots,i^-,\ldots,j^-,\ldots,n^+) = \frac{\langle ij\rangle^4}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\cdots\langle n-1n\rangle\langle n1\rangle}$$

Led to numerous progress for amplitudes in last 30 years

The formula \rightarrow new formulations for gauge-theory S-matrix!

A miracle for *n*-gluon scattering [Parke,Taylor '86; Mangno, Parke, Xu '87]

$$M_n(1^+,\ldots,i^-,\ldots,j^-,\ldots,n^+) = \frac{\langle ij\rangle^4}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\cdots\langle n-1n\rangle\langle n1\rangle}$$

Led to numerous progress for amplitudes in last 30 years

The formula \rightarrow new formulations for gauge-theory S-matrix!

Key observation: the denominator from a (holomorphic) correlator on \mathbb{CP}^1 with punctures $\lambda_i \sim (z_i, 1)$ [Nair '88]

A miracle for *n*-gluon scattering [Parke,Taylor '86; Mangno, Parke, Xu '87]

$$M_n(1^+,\ldots,i^-,\ldots,j^-,\ldots,n^+) = \frac{\langle ij\rangle^4}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\cdots\langle n-1n\rangle\langle n1\rangle}$$

Led to numerous progress for amplitudes in last 30 years

The formula \rightarrow new formulations for gauge-theory S-matrix!

Key observation: the denominator from a (holomorphic) correlator on \mathbb{CP}^1 with punctures $\lambda_i \sim (z_i, 1)$ [Nair '88]

$$j_A(z)j_B(z') = \frac{f_{AB}^C j_C}{z - z'} + \dots, \rightarrow PT_n := \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_3)\cdots(z_n - z_1)}$$

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 9 9 9 9 9</p>

•

A worldsheet model for gluon ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) tree amplitudes: push forward of PT_n under a map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to $\mathbb{CP}^{3|4}$, $\mathcal{Z}(z_i)$.

A worldsheet model for gluon ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) tree amplitudes: push forward of PT_n under a map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to $\mathbb{CP}^{3|4}$, $\mathcal{Z}(z_i)$.

 $N^{k}MHV \text{ amps} \sim \text{degree-}(k-1) \text{ curves} \rightarrow \text{connected (RSV)}$ prescription: a closed formula with integrals localized!

A worldsheet model for gluon ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) tree amplitudes: push forward of PT_n under a map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to $\mathbb{CP}^{3|4}$, $\mathcal{Z}(z_i)$.

 $N^{k}MHV \text{ amps} \sim \text{degree-}(k-1) \text{ curves} \rightarrow \text{connected (RSV)}$ prescription: a closed formula with integrals localized!

$$M_{n,k}^{\mathcal{N}=4} \sim \int d\mu_{n,k}(z) \, \delta_{n,k}^{(\mathcal{N}=4)}(\mathcal{E}[\{\lambda,\tilde{\lambda},\eta;z\}]) \, PT(1,2,\ldots,n) \, .$$

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ○ ○

A worldsheet model for gluon ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) tree amplitudes: push forward of PT_n under a map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to $\mathbb{CP}^{3|4}$, $\mathcal{Z}(z_i)$.

N^{*k*}MHV amps ~ degree-(k-1) curves \rightarrow connected (RSV) prescription: a closed formula with integrals localized!

$$M_{n,k}^{\mathcal{N}=4} \sim \int d\mu_{n,k}(z) \, \delta_{n,k}^{(\mathcal{N}=4)}(\mathcal{E}[\{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}, \eta; z\}]) \, PT(1, 2, \ldots, n) \, .$$

1. sum over solutions of $\mathcal{E} = 0$: eulerian number $\langle \langle n-3, k-2 \rangle \rangle$

A worldsheet model for gluon ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) tree amplitudes: push forward of PT_n under a map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to $\mathbb{CP}^{3|4}$, $\mathcal{Z}(z_i)$.

N^{*k*}MHV amps ~ degree-(k-1) curves \rightarrow connected (RSV) prescription: a closed formula with integrals localized!

$$M_{n,k}^{\mathcal{N}=4} \sim \int d\mu_{n,k}(z) \, \delta_{n,k}^{(\mathcal{N}=4)}(\mathcal{E}[\{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}, \eta; z\}]) \, PT(1, 2, \ldots, n) \, .$$

1. sum over solutions of $\mathcal{E} = 0$: eulerian number $\langle \langle n-3, k-2 \rangle \rangle$

2. works for all helicity amplitudes (encoded in η 's)!

A worldsheet model for gluon ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) tree amplitudes: push forward of PT_n under a map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to $\mathbb{CP}^{3|4}$, $\mathcal{Z}(z_i)$.

 $N^{k}MHV$ amps ~ degree-(k-1) curves \rightarrow connected (RSV) prescription: a closed formula with integrals localized!

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,k}^{\mathcal{N}=4} \sim \int d\mu_{n,k}(z) \, \delta_{n,k}^{(\mathcal{N}=4)}(\mathcal{E}[\{\lambda,\tilde{\lambda},\eta;z\}]) \, PT(1,2,\ldots,n) \, .$$

1. sum over solutions of $\mathcal{E} = 0$: eulerian number $\langle \langle n-3, k-2 \rangle \rangle$

2. works for all helicity amplitudes (encoded in η 's)!

3. other twistor-string formulas e.g. for $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity: replace PT by determinants [Cachazo, Geyer; Cachazo, Skinner '12...]

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) E の()

These theories are still very special \Rightarrow natural questions:

These theories are still very special \Rightarrow natural questions:

- no supersymmetry? any spacetime dimension?
- ► general theories: gravity, YM (& standard model), EFT's...?
- generalizations to loop level?

These theories are still very special \Rightarrow natural questions:

- no supersymmetry? any spacetime dimension?
- ► general theories: gravity, YM (& standard model), EFT's...?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

generalizations to loop level?

our answer: massless scattering in any dim [CHY '13-]

These theories are still very special \Rightarrow natural questions:

- no supersymmetry? any spacetime dimension?
- ► general theories: gravity, YM (& standard model), EFT's...?
- generalizations to loop level?

our answer: massless scattering in any dim [CHY '13-]

- ► compact formulas for gravitons, gluons, fermions, scalars,...
- ► gauge invariance, soft theorems, double-copy etc. manifest
- ▶ loops from higher-genus correlators [Adamo et al' 13; Geyer et al '14]

< ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 < の < @</p>

These theories are still very special \Rightarrow natural questions:

- no supersymmetry? any spacetime dimension?
- ► general theories: gravity, YM (& standard model), EFT's...?
- generalizations to loop level?

our answer: massless scattering in any dim [CHY '13-]

- compact formulas for gravitons, gluons, fermions, scalars,...
- ► gauge invariance, soft theorems, double-copy etc. manifest
- ▶ loops from higher-genus correlators [Adamo et al' 13; Geyer et al '14]

String origin: ambi-twistor strings [Mason, Skinner '13], "chiral" field-theory limit [Berkovits '13; Siegel '15,...]... [c.f. talks last week]

Independent of theory ("kinetic part"): scattering equations

Independent of theory ("kinetic part"): scattering equations

$$E_{a} := \sum_{\substack{b=1\\b\neq a}}^{n} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} = 0, \quad \text{for } a = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ < ()

Independent of theory ("kinetic part"): scattering equations

$$E_{a} := \sum_{\substack{b=1\\b\neq a}}^{n} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} = 0, \quad \text{for } a = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Derivation: map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to null cone in any dim $P(z)^2 = 0$

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 1 目 9 9 9 9 9</p>

Independent of theory ("kinetic part"): scattering equations

$$E_{a} := \sum_{\substack{b=1\\b\neq a}}^{n} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} = 0, \quad \text{for } a = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Derivation: map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to null cone in any dim $P(z)^2 = 0$

$$k_a^{\mu} = \oint_{|z-\sigma_a|=\varepsilon} dz P^{\mu}(z) \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad P^{\mu}(z) = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{k_a^{\mu}}{z-\sigma_a}.$$
$$P^2(z) = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad k_a^2 = 0 \& E_a = 0, \forall a!$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ < ()

Independent of theory ("kinetic part"): scattering equations

$$E_{a} := \sum_{\substack{b=1\\b\neq a}}^{n} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} = 0, \quad \text{for } a = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Derivation: map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to null cone in any dim $P(z)^2 = 0$

$$k_a^{\mu} = \oint_{|z-\sigma_a|=\varepsilon} dz P^{\mu}(z) \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad P^{\mu}(z) = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{k_a^{\mu}}{z-\sigma_a}.$$
$$P^2(z) = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad k_a^2 = 0 \& E_a = 0, \forall a!$$

in 4d equivalent to RSV equations without spinors [CHY '13]

Independent of theory ("kinetic part"): scattering equations

$$E_{a} := \sum_{\substack{b=1\\b\neq a}}^{n} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} = 0, \quad \text{for } a = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Derivation: map from \mathbb{CP}^1 to null cone in any dim $P(z)^2 = 0$

$$k_a^{\mu} = \oint_{|z-\sigma_a|=\varepsilon} dz P^{\mu}(z) \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad P^{\mu}(z) = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{k_a^{\mu}}{z-\sigma_a}.$$
$$P^2(z) = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad k_a^2 = 0 \& E_a = 0, \forall a!$$

in 4d equivalent to RSV equations without spinors [CHY '13] also saddle points in high-energy limit [Gross, Mende '80]. ???

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 9 9 9 9 9</p>



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□



Determine locations of *n* punctures in terms of *n*-pt kinematics



Determine locations of *n* punctures in terms of *n*-pt kinematics SL(2, \mathbb{C}) redundancy: $\sigma_a \rightarrow \frac{\alpha \sigma_a + \beta}{\gamma \sigma_a + \gamma}$, $E_a \rightarrow (\gamma \sigma_a + \delta)^2 E_a$



Determine locations of *n* punctures in terms of *n*-pt kinematics SL(2, \mathbb{C}) redundancy: $\sigma_a \rightarrow \frac{\alpha \sigma_a + \beta}{\gamma \sigma_a + \gamma}$, $E_a \rightarrow (\gamma \sigma_a + \delta)^2 E_a$ fix 3 punctures $\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k$, remove 3 equations E_r, E_s, E_t .



Determine locations of *n* punctures in terms of *n*-pt kinematics SL(2, \mathbb{C}) redundancy: $\sigma_a \rightarrow \frac{\alpha \sigma_a + \beta}{\gamma \sigma_a + \gamma}$, $E_a \rightarrow (\gamma \sigma_a + \delta)^2 E_a$ fix 3 punctures $\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k$, remove 3 equations E_r, E_s, E_t . n - 3 eqs for n - 3 variables; non-trivially (n - 3)! solutions

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 9 9 9 9 9</p>





Determine locations of *n* punctures in terms of *n*-pt kinematics

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

990



Determine locations of *n* punctures in terms of *n*-pt kinematics Map singularities of kinematics space \mathcal{K}_n , to those of $M_{0,n}$

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

в

Dac
Scattering equations



Determine locations of *n* punctures in terms of *n*-pt kinematics Map singularities of kinematics space \mathcal{K}_n , to those of $M_{0,n}$ e.g. n = 4, $\sigma_1 = -\frac{s_{12}}{s_{14}} \rightarrow {\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4} = {0, 1, \infty}$ in the limits

Scattering equations



Determine locations of *n* punctures in terms of *n*-pt kinematics Map singularities of kinematics space \mathcal{K}_n , to those of $M_{0,n}$ e.g. n = 4, $\sigma_1 = -\frac{s_{12}}{s_{14}} \rightarrow {\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4} = {0, 1, \infty}$ in the limits

well known in string theory: Riemann knows a lot of physics!

Tree amps = contour integral in $M_{n,0}$ = sum over solutions

$$M_n = \int \underbrace{\frac{d^n \sigma}{\operatorname{vol} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \prod_{a}' \delta(E_a)}_{d\mu_n} \mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\}) = \sum_{\{\sigma\} \in \operatorname{solns.}} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\})}{\det' |\frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma}|}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Tree amps = contour integral in $\mathcal{M}_{n,0}$ = sum over solutions

$$M_n = \int \underbrace{\frac{d^n \sigma}{\operatorname{vol} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \prod_{a}' \delta(E_a)}_{d\mu_n} \mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\}) = \sum_{\{\sigma\} \in \operatorname{solns.}} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\})}{\det' |\frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma}|}$$

 $d\mu_n$ has n-3 integrals, n-3 delta functions; "CHY integrand" \mathcal{I}

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Tree amps = contour integral in $M_{n,0}$ = sum over solutions

$$M_n = \int \underbrace{\frac{d^n \sigma}{\operatorname{vol} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \prod_{a}' \delta(E_a)}_{d\mu_n} \mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\}) = \sum_{\{\sigma\} \in \operatorname{solns.}} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\})}{\det' |\frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma}|}$$

 $d\mu_n$ has n-3 integrals, n-3 delta functions; "CHY integrand" \mathcal{I}

A new picture for massless scattering via Riemann sphere: sum of (n - 3)! "virtual amps" ~ CHY integrand/correlator

Tree amps = contour integral in $M_{n,0}$ = sum over solutions

$$M_n = \int \underbrace{\frac{d^n \sigma}{\operatorname{vol} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \prod_{a}' \delta(E_a)}_{d\mu_n} \mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\}) = \sum_{\{\sigma\} \in \operatorname{solns.}} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\})}{\det' |\frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma}|}$$

 $d\mu_n$ has n-3 integrals, n-3 delta functions; "CHY integrand" \mathcal{I}

A new picture for massless scattering via Riemann sphere: sum of (n - 3)! "virtual amps" ~ CHY integrand/correlator

virtual amplitudes enjoy symmetries as the full amplitude; local interactions, unitarity and FD's all become emergent!

Tree amps = contour integral in $M_{n,0}$ = sum over solutions

$$M_n = \int \underbrace{\frac{d^n \sigma}{\operatorname{vol} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})} \prod_{a}' \delta(E_a)}_{d\mu_n} \mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\}) = \sum_{\{\sigma\} \in \operatorname{solns.}} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\{k, \epsilon, \sigma\})}{\det' |\frac{\partial E}{\partial \sigma}|}$$

 $d\mu_n$ has n-3 integrals, n-3 delta functions; "CHY integrand" \mathcal{I}

A new picture for massless scattering via Riemann sphere: sum of (n - 3)! "virtual amps" ~ CHY integrand/correlator virtual amplitudes enjoy symmetries as the full amplitude; local interactions, unitarity and FD's all become emergent!

Goal: find "dynamic part" (CHY integrand) for a given theory

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

$$\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}): \sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} \to \frac{\alpha \, \sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} + \beta}{\gamma \, \sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} + \delta}, \quad \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{SL(2,\mathbb{C})} \mathcal{I} \prod_{\boldsymbol{a}=1}^{n} (\gamma \, \sigma_{\boldsymbol{a}} + \delta)^{4}$$

$$\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}): \sigma_{a} \to \frac{\alpha \, \sigma_{a} + \beta}{\gamma \, \sigma_{a} + \delta}, \quad \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{SL(2,\mathbb{C})} \mathcal{I} \prod_{a=1}^{n} (\gamma \, \sigma_{a} + \delta)^{4}$$

 $PT_n \rightarrow \prod_{a=1}^n (\gamma \sigma_a + \delta)^2 PT_n$: correct weight as half-integrand

$$PT[\pi] := \frac{1}{(\sigma_{\pi(1)} - \sigma_{\pi(2)}) (\sigma_{\pi(2)} - \sigma_{\pi(3)}) \cdots (\sigma_{\pi(n)} - \sigma_{\pi(1)})}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

$$\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}): \sigma_{a} \to \frac{\alpha \, \sigma_{a} + \beta}{\gamma \, \sigma_{a} + \delta}, \quad \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{SL(2,\mathbb{C})} \mathcal{I} \prod_{a=1}^{''} (\gamma \, \sigma_{a} + \delta)^{4}$$

-

 $PT_n \rightarrow \prod_{a=1}^n (\gamma \sigma_a + \delta)^2 PT_n$: correct weight as half-integrand

$$PT[\pi] := \frac{1}{(\sigma_{\pi(1)} - \sigma_{\pi(2)}) (\sigma_{\pi(2)} - \sigma_{\pi(3)}) \cdots (\sigma_{\pi(n)} - \sigma_{\pi(1)})}$$

The simplest integrand: two copies of PT (SL(2, \mathbb{C}) weight)

$$m[\pi|\rho] := \int \frac{d^n \sigma}{\operatorname{vol}\,\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \prod_a' \delta(E_a) \operatorname{PT}[\pi] \operatorname{PT}[\rho].$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

$$\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}): \sigma_{a} \to \frac{\alpha \, \sigma_{a} + \beta}{\gamma \, \sigma_{a} + \delta}, \quad \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{SL(2,\mathbb{C})} \mathcal{I} \prod_{a=1}^{''} (\gamma \, \sigma_{a} + \delta)^{4}$$

-

 $PT_n \rightarrow \prod_{a=1}^n (\gamma \sigma_a + \delta)^2 PT_n$: correct weight as half-integrand

$$\Pr[\pi] := \frac{1}{(\sigma_{\pi(1)} - \sigma_{\pi(2)}) (\sigma_{\pi(2)} - \sigma_{\pi(3)}) \cdots (\sigma_{\pi(n)} - \sigma_{\pi(1)})}$$

The simplest integrand: two copies of PT (SL(2, \mathbb{C}) weight)

$$m[\pi|\rho] := \int \frac{d^n \sigma}{\operatorname{vol}\,\operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \prod_a' \delta(E_a) \operatorname{PT}[\pi] \operatorname{PT}[\rho].$$

What does the formula compute?

 $m[\pi|\rho]$ computes the sum of trivalent scalar diagrams (massless propagators) that are consistent with both π , ρ orderings

$$m[\pi|\rho] = \sum_{g \in T(\pi) \cap T(\rho)} \prod_{e \in E(g)} \frac{1}{P_e^2}$$

 $m[\pi|\rho]$ computes the sum of trivalent scalar diagrams (massless propagators) that are consistent with both π , ρ orderings

$$m[\pi|\rho] = \sum_{g \in T(\pi) \cap T(\rho)} \prod_{e \in E(g)} \frac{1}{P_e^2}$$

Sum of trivalent scalar diagrams \Leftrightarrow certain $m[\pi|\rho]$. Examples:

 $m[\pi|\rho]$ computes the sum of trivalent scalar diagrams (massless propagators) that are consistent with both π, ρ orderings

$$m[\pi|\rho] = \sum_{g \in T(\pi) \cap T(\rho)} \prod_{e \in E(g)} \frac{1}{P_e^2}$$

Sum of trivalent scalar diagrams \Leftrightarrow certain $m[\pi|\rho]$. Examples:

$$m[1234|1243] = \frac{1}{s_{12}}, m[1234|1324] = \frac{1}{s_{14}}, m[1234|1234] = \frac{1}{s_{12}} + \frac{1}{s_{14}}$$

 $m[\pi|\rho]$ computes the sum of trivalent scalar diagrams (massless propagators) that are consistent with both π , ρ orderings

$$m[\pi|\rho] = \sum_{g \in T(\pi) \cap T(\rho)} \prod_{e \in E(g)} \frac{1}{P_e^2}$$

Sum of trivalent scalar diagrams \Leftrightarrow certain $m[\pi|\rho]$. Examples:

$$m[1234|1243] = \frac{1}{s_{12}}, m[1234|1324] = \frac{1}{s_{14}}, m[1234|1234] = \frac{1}{s_{12}} + \frac{1}{s_{14}}$$
$$m[12345|12534] = \frac{1}{s_{12}}, m[12345|12543] = \frac{1}{s_{12}} + \frac{1}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $m[\pi|\rho]$ computes the sum of trivalent scalar diagrams (massless propagators) that are consistent with both π , ρ orderings

$$m[\pi|\rho] = \sum_{g \in T(\pi) \cap T(\rho)} \prod_{e \in E(g)} \frac{1}{P_e^2}$$

Sum of trivalent scalar diagrams \Leftrightarrow certain $m[\pi|\rho]$. Examples:



<ロト < 個 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の < ()</p>

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 9 9 9 9 9</p>

Theorem: there exists \mathcal{I} for any local, unitary massless tree

Theorem: there exists \mathcal{I} for any local, unitary massless tree However, generally very complicated, no closed formula

Theorem: there exists \mathcal{I} for any local, unitary massless tree However, generally very complicated, no closed formula

 ϕ^3 theory with flavors, e.g. in bi-adjoint of U(*N*)× U(*N'*): vertex $f^{IJK}f^{I'J'K'}\phi_{II'}\phi_{JJ'}\phi_{KK'} \Rightarrow$ trivalent graphs with f's

Theorem: there exists \mathcal{I} for any local, unitary massless tree However, generally very complicated, no closed formula

 ϕ^3 theory with flavors, e.g. in bi-adjoint of U(*N*)× U(*N'*): vertex $f^{IJK}f^{I'J'K'}\phi_{II'}\phi_{JJ'}\phi_{KK'} \Rightarrow$ trivalent graphs with f's

Similar to gluons, define color-dressed PT for each group,

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n/Z_n} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{T}^{I_{\pi(1)}} \cdots \mathcal{T}^{I_{\pi(n)}}) \operatorname{PT}[\pi],$$

Theorem: there exists \mathcal{I} for any local, unitary massless tree However, generally very complicated, no closed formula

 ϕ^3 theory with flavors, e.g. in bi-adjoint of U(*N*)× U(*N'*): vertex $f^{IJK}f^{I'J'K'}\phi_{II'}\phi_{JJ'}\phi_{KK'} \Rightarrow$ trivalent graphs with f's

Similar to gluons, define color-dressed PT for each group,

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n/Z_n} \operatorname{Tr}(T^{I_{\pi(1)}} \cdots T^{I_{\pi(n)}}) \operatorname{PT}[\pi],$$

CHY formula for bi-adjoint ϕ^3 amplitudes: gives sum of all $m[\pi|\rho]$'s with flavor factors (note permutation invariance)

$$\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\phi^{3}} = \int d\mu_{n} \, \mathcal{C} \, \mathcal{C}' = \sum_{\pi,\rho} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{T}^{I_{\pi(1)}} \cdots \mathcal{T}^{I_{\pi(n)}}) \, \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{T}^{I_{\rho(1)}} \cdots \mathcal{T}^{I_{\rho(n)}}) \, m[\pi|\rho]$$

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 9 9 9 9 9</p>

Need a building block to encode gluon polarizations:

Need a building block to encode gluon polarizations:

► Carry half of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ weight, mass dimension $[M]^{n-2}$

Need a building block to encode gluon polarizations:

► Carry half of *SL*(2, ℂ) weight, mass dimension [*M*]^{*n*-2}

< ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 < の < @</p>

• permutation invariant, multi-linear in $\{\epsilon_a\}$

Need a building block to encode gluon polarizations:

- ► Carry half of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ weight, mass dimension $[M]^{n-2}$
- permutation invariant, multi-linear in $\{\epsilon_a\}$
- most important: gauge invariance

Need a building block to encode gluon polarizations:

- ► Carry half of *SL*(2, ℂ) weight, mass dimension [*M*]^{*n*-2}
- permutation invariant, multi-linear in $\{\epsilon_a\}$
- most important: gauge invariance

Introduce $2n \times 2n$ skew matrix Ψ , with four $n \times n$ blocks

$$\Psi := \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & -C^{\mathcal{T}} \\ C & B \end{array} \right),$$

Need a building block to encode gluon polarizations:

- ► Carry half of *SL*(2, ℂ) weight, mass dimension [*M*]^{*n*-2}
- permutation invariant, multi-linear in $\{\epsilon_a\}$
- most important: gauge invariance

Introduce $2n \times 2n$ skew matrix Ψ , with four $n \times n$ blocks

$$\Psi := \begin{pmatrix} A & -C^{T} \\ C & B \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A_{a,b} := \begin{cases} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a,b}} & a \neq b \\ 0 & a = b \end{cases}, \quad B_{a,b} := \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon_{a} \cdot \epsilon_{b}}{\sigma_{a,b}} & a \neq b \\ 0 & a = b \end{cases},$$
$$C_{a,b} := \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a,b}} & a \neq b \\ -\sum_{c \neq a} C_{a,c} & a = b \end{cases}$$

< ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 < ① < ② < ④</p>

The building block should be pfaffian of Ψ (multilinear in ϵ 's)

The building block should be pfaffian of Ψ (multilinear in ϵ 's) a subtlety: Ψ is degenerate \Rightarrow reduced pfaffian: $Pf'\Psi := \frac{Pf|\Psi|_{i,j}^{i,j}}{\sigma_{i,i}}$

The building block should be pfaffian of Ψ (multilinear in ϵ 's) a subtlety: Ψ is degenerate \Rightarrow reduced pfaffian: $Pf'\Psi := \frac{Pf|\Psi|_{i,j}^{i,j}}{\sigma_{i,j}}$

The other copy is the Parke-Taylor factor, or C for colors:

$$M_n^{\mathrm{YM}}[\pi] = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{PT}[\pi] \operatorname{Pf}' \Psi \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n^{\mathrm{YM}} = \int d\mu_n \, \mathcal{C} \, \operatorname{Pf}' \Psi$$

The building block should be pfaffian of Ψ (multilinear in ϵ 's) a subtlety: Ψ is degenerate \Rightarrow reduced pfaffian: $Pf'\Psi := \frac{Pf|\Psi|_{i,j}^{i,j}}{\sigma_{i,j}}$

The other copy is the Parke-Taylor factor, or C for colors:

$$M_n^{\mathrm{YM}}[\pi] = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{PT}[\pi] \operatorname{Pf}' \Psi \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n^{\mathrm{YM}} = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Pf}' \Psi$$

Complete S-matrix for any number of gluons in any dimension

The building block should be pfaffian of Ψ (multilinear in ϵ 's) a subtlety: Ψ is degenerate \Rightarrow reduced pfaffian: $Pf'\Psi := \frac{Pf|\Psi|_{i,j}^{i,j}}{\sigma_{i,j}}$

The other copy is the Parke-Taylor factor, or C for colors:

$$M_n^{\mathrm{YM}}[\pi] = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{PT}[\pi] \operatorname{Pf}' \Psi \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n^{\mathrm{YM}} = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{Pf}' \Psi$$

Complete S-matrix for any number of gluons in any dimension

The origin of $Pf'\Psi$: by scattering equations, it is exactly given by open-string correlators in the field-theory limit

$$\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi \sim \langle V^{(0)}(\sigma_1) \dots V^{(-1)}(\sigma_i) \dots V^{(-1)}(\sigma_j) \dots V^{(0)}(\sigma_n) \rangle$$

Gauge invariance

Gauge invariance of gluons: $\epsilon^{\mu}_{a} \sim \epsilon^{\mu}_{a} + \alpha k^{\mu}_{a}$
Gauge invariance

Gauge invariance of gluons: $\epsilon_a^{\mu} \sim \epsilon_a^{\mu} + \alpha k_a^{\mu}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \sum_{b=2}^{n} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{1,b}} & \cdots \\ \frac{k_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{k_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{k_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{k_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ -\sum_{b=2}^{n} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{1,b}} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ \frac{\epsilon_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\epsilon_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Gauge invariance

Gauge invariance of gluons: $\epsilon_a^{\mu} \sim \epsilon_a^{\mu} + \alpha k_a^{\mu}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \sum_{b=2}^{n} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{1,b}} & \cdots \\ \frac{k_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{k_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ \frac{k_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{k_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ -\sum_{b=2}^{n} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{1,b}} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \\ \frac{\epsilon_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\epsilon_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Substituting $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow k_1 \operatorname{Pf}' \Psi = 0$ for each solution of scattering equations \Longrightarrow gauge invariance manifest from CHY formula

 $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}' \Rightarrow$ bi-adjoint scalars, $\mathcal{C} \times Pf' \Psi \Rightarrow$ Yang-Mills

 $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}' \Rightarrow$ bi-adjoint scalars, $\mathcal{C} \times Pf' \Psi \Rightarrow$ Yang-Mills

How about gravity? no color, polarization tensor $h^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu}\epsilon^{\nu}$

 $C \times C' \Rightarrow$ bi-adjoint scalars, $C \times Pf'\Psi \Rightarrow$ Yang-Mills How about gravity? no color, polarization tensor $h^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu}\epsilon^{\nu}$

In general $\epsilon^{\mu} \epsilon^{\prime \nu}$ gives $h^{\mu\nu} + B^{\mu\nu} + \phi$; CHY formula for gravity

$$M_n^{h+B+\phi} = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{Pf}'\Psi(\epsilon) \operatorname{Pf}'\Psi(\epsilon') \longrightarrow M_n^{\operatorname{GR}} = \int d\mu_n \det'\Psi(\epsilon)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ○ ○

 $C \times C' \Rightarrow$ bi-adjoint scalars, $C \times Pf'\Psi \Rightarrow$ Yang-Mills How about gravity? no color, polarization tensor $h^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu}\epsilon^{\nu}$

In general $\epsilon^{\mu} \epsilon^{\prime \nu}$ gives $h^{\mu\nu} + B^{\mu\nu} + \phi$; CHY formula for gravity

$$M_n^{h+B+\phi} = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{Pf}'\Psi(\epsilon) \operatorname{Pf}'\Psi(\epsilon') \longrightarrow M_n^{\operatorname{GR}} = \int d\mu_n \det'\Psi(\epsilon)$$

Compete S-matrix of gravitons \Rightarrow hidden simplicity of GR

 $C \times C' \Rightarrow$ bi-adjoint scalars, $C \times Pf'\Psi \Rightarrow$ Yang-Mills How about gravity? no color, polarization tensor $h^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu}\epsilon^{\nu}$

In general $\epsilon^{\mu} \epsilon^{\prime \nu}$ gives $h^{\mu\nu} + B^{\mu\nu} + \phi$; CHY formula for gravity

$$M_n^{h+B+\phi} = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{Pf}'\Psi(\epsilon) \operatorname{Pf}'\Psi(\epsilon') \longrightarrow M_n^{\operatorname{GR}} = \int d\mu_n \det'\Psi(\epsilon)$$

Compete S-matrix of gravitons \Rightarrow hidden simplicity of GR

Unified formula for massless theories with spin s = 0, 1, 2

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{spin s}} = \mathcal{C}^{2-s} \times (\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi)^{s}$$

 $C \times C' \Rightarrow$ bi-adjoint scalars, $C \times Pf'\Psi \Rightarrow$ Yang-Mills How about gravity? no color, polarization tensor $h^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu}\epsilon^{\nu}$

In general $\epsilon^{\mu} \epsilon^{\prime \nu}$ gives $h^{\mu\nu} + B^{\mu\nu} + \phi$; CHY formula for gravity

$$M_n^{h+B+\phi} = \int d\mu_n \operatorname{Pf}'\Psi(\epsilon) \operatorname{Pf}'\Psi(\epsilon') \longrightarrow M_n^{\operatorname{GR}} = \int d\mu_n \det'\Psi(\epsilon)$$

Compete S-matrix of gravitons \Rightarrow hidden simplicity of GR

Unified formula for massless theories with spin s = 0, 1, 2

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{spin \ s}} = \mathcal{C}^{2-s} \times (\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi)^{s}$$

GR $\sim YM \otimes ~YM''$ or precisely "GR $= YM^2/\phi^3$ " [KLT '86, BCJ' 08].

Diffeomorphism invariance

Again manifest in CHY formulation: det' $\Psi = 0$ as $\epsilon_a \rightarrow k_a$

Diffeomorphism invariance

Again manifest in CHY formulation: det' $\Psi = 0$ as $\epsilon_a \rightarrow k_a$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \sum_{b=2}^{n} \frac{k_{1} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{1,b}} & \cdots \\ \frac{k_{2} \cdot k_{1}}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{k_{2} \cdot k_{1}}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ \frac{k_{n} \cdot k_{1}}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{k_{n} \cdot k_{1}}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ -\sum_{b=2}^{n} \frac{k_{1} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{1,b}} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{1}}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{1}}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ \frac{\epsilon_{n} \cdot k_{1}}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\epsilon_{n} \cdot k_{1}}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ < ()

Diffeomorphism invariance

Again manifest in CHY formulation: det' $\Psi = 0$ as $\epsilon_a \rightarrow k_a$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \sum_{b=2}^{n} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{1,b}} & \cdots \\ \frac{k_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{k_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ \frac{k_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{k_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ -\sum_{b=2}^{n} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{1,b}} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ \frac{\epsilon_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots & \frac{\epsilon_n \cdot k_1}{\sigma_{2,1}} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

 $Pf'\Psi(\epsilon) \times Pf'\Psi(\epsilon')$ correspond to closed-string correlator by using scattering equations: closed-string = open-string ²

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 9 9 9 9 9</p>

KLT relations: closed $\sim \sum open^2 \rightarrow GR \sim \sum YM^2 \; (\alpha' \rightarrow 0)$

KLT relations: closed ~ \sum open² \rightarrow GR ~ \sum YM² ($\alpha' \rightarrow 0$) CHY formula with two vectors **L**, **R** and a diagonal matrix **J**

$$M_n = \int d\mu_n \ L \ R \ = \ \sum_{I=1}^{(n-3)!} \ \frac{L_I \ R_I}{J_I} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R} \,,$$

< ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 < ① < ② < ④</p>

KLT relations: closed ~ \sum open² \rightarrow GR ~ \sum YM² ($\alpha' \rightarrow 0$) CHY formula with two vectors **L**, **R** and a diagonal matrix **J**

$$M_n = \int d\mu_n \ L \ R \ = \ \sum_{I=1}^{(n-3)!} \ \frac{L_I \ R_I}{J_I} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R}$$

PT's provide a change of basis to $\alpha \in S_{n-3}$, $E_I^{\alpha} = PT[\alpha]_I$, which relates J^{-1} to double-partial amps, $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}$.

KLT relations: closed ~ \sum open² \rightarrow GR ~ \sum YM² ($\alpha' \rightarrow 0$) CHY formula with two vectors **L**, **R** and a diagonal matrix **J**

$$M_n = \int d\mu_n \ L \ R \ = \ \sum_{I=1}^{(n-3)!} \ \frac{L_I \ R_I}{J_I} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R}$$

PT's provide a change of basis to $\alpha \in S_{n-3}$, $E_I^{\alpha} = PT[\alpha]_I$, which relates J^{-1} to double-partial amps, $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}$.

$$M_n = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{m}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in S_{n-3}} M_n^L[\alpha] \ m^{-1}[\alpha|\beta] \ M_n^R[\beta],$$

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ○ ○

KLT relations: closed ~ \sum open² \rightarrow GR ~ \sum YM² ($\alpha' \rightarrow 0$) CHY formula with two vectors **L**, **R** and a diagonal matrix **J**

$$M_n = \int d\mu_n \ L \ R \ = \ \sum_{I=1}^{(n-3)!} \ \frac{L_I \ R_I}{J_I} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R}$$

PT's provide a change of basis to $\alpha \in S_{n-3}$, $E_I^{\alpha} = PT[\alpha]_I$, which relates J^{-1} to double-partial amps, $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}$.

$$M_n = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{m}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{R} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in S_{n-3}} M_n^L[\alpha] \ m^{-1}[\alpha|\beta] \ M_n^R[\beta],$$

General double-copy relations from splitting CHY formula into two \rightarrow BCJ for partial amps: $M_n[\pi] = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} m[\pi|\alpha] m^{-1}[\alpha|\beta] M_n[\beta]$.

シック 単 (ボッ・ボッ・(中)・(ロ・

New formulas from $\mathrm{Pf}'A\to EFT's$ with massless scalars

New formulas from $\mathrm{Pf}'A\to EFT's$ with massless scalars

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\mathrm{Pf}'A)^2 \, \mathcal{C}$? U(N)-flavored scalars with two derivatives?

New formulas from $Pf'A \rightarrow EFT's$ with massless scalars

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\text{Pf}'A)^2 \, \mathcal{C} ? \, \text{U(N)-flavored scalars with two derivatives?}$ The chiral Lagrangian (NLSM), $\mathcal{L} = \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu U^+ \partial^\mu U) !$

New formulas from $Pf'A \rightarrow EFT's$ with massless scalars

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\mathrm{Pf}'A)^2 \, \mathcal{C} ? \, \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{N}) \text{-flavored scalars with two derivatives}?$ The chiral Lagrangian (NLSM), $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Tr}(\partial_\mu U^+ \partial^\mu U) !$

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\mathrm{Pf}' A)^2 \, \mathrm{Pf}' \Psi(\tilde{\epsilon})$? photons with higher derivatives

New formulas from $Pf'A \to EFT's$ with massless scalars $\int d\mu_n (Pf'A)^2 C$? U(N)-flavored scalars with two derivatives? The chiral Lagrangian (NLSM), $\mathcal{L} = Tr(\partial_\mu U^+ \partial^\mu U)$!

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\mathrm{Pf}'A)^2 \, \mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(\tilde{\epsilon}) ? \text{ photons with higher derivatives}$ Born-Infeld, $\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu})} ! \text{ compactify} \to \mathrm{DBI}.$

New formulas from $Pf'A \rightarrow EFT's$ with massless scalars

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\mathrm{Pf}'A)^2 \, \mathcal{C} ? \, \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{N}) \text{-flavored scalars with two derivatives}?$ The chiral Lagrangian (NLSM), $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Tr}(\partial_\mu U^+ \partial^\mu U) !$

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\mathrm{Pf}'A)^2 \, \mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(\tilde{\epsilon}) ? \text{ photons with higher derivatives}$ Born-Infeld, $\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu})} ! \text{ compactify} \to \mathrm{DBI}.$

The strangest is a special Galileon theory (a scalar theory with many derivatives) [Cheung et al '14,...], $M_n^{sGal} = \int d\mu_n (Pf'A)^4$.

New formulas from $Pf'A \rightarrow EFT's$ with massless scalars

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\mathrm{Pf}'A)^2 \, \mathcal{C} ? \, \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{N}) \text{-flavored scalars with two derivatives}?$ The chiral Lagrangian (NLSM), $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Tr}(\partial_\mu U^+ \partial^\mu U) !$

 $\int d\mu_n \, (\mathrm{Pf}'A)^2 \, \mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(\tilde{\epsilon}) ? \text{ photons with higher derivatives}$ Born-Infeld, $\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu})} ! \text{ compactify} \to \mathrm{DBI}.$

The strangest is a special Galileon theory (a scalar theory with many derivatives) [Cheung et al '14,...], $M_n^{sGal} = \int d\mu_n (Pf'A)^4$.

Why these EFT's special? Goldstone bosons with enhanced Adler's zero! For NLSM, scalar DBI, sGal, $M_n \sim \tau^1, \tau^2, \tau^3 \rightarrow 0$ with soft emission $p^{\mu} \sim \tau \rightarrow 0$ [Cheung et al '14; CHY '14].

シック 単 (ボッ・ボッ・(中)・(ロ・

Hidden simplicity of special EFT's: soft limit plays the role gauge inv. More theories from soft limit? [Cachazo et al '16]

Hidden simplicity of special EFT's: soft limit plays the role gauge inv. More theories from soft limit? [Cachazo et al '16]

 $"\otimes": EMs \sim YMs^2, \quad DBI \sim NLSM \otimes YMs, \quad sGal \sim NLSM^2.$

Hidden simplicity of special EFT's: soft limit plays the role gauge inv. More theories from soft limit? [Cachazo et al '16]

 $\label{eq:main_series} ``\otimes'': EMs \sim YMs^2, \quad DBI \sim NLSM \otimes YMs, \quad sGal \sim NLSM^2.$

A new operation to add non-abelian interactions, " \oplus ": YMs \rightarrow YM $\oplus \phi^3$, EM \rightarrow GR \oplus YM e.g. single- & double-trace:

Hidden simplicity of special EFT's: soft limit plays the role gauge inv. More theories from soft limit? [Cachazo et al '16]

 $"\otimes": EMs \sim YMs^2, \quad DBI \sim NLSM \otimes YMs, \quad sGal \sim NLSM^2.$

A new operation to add non-abelian interactions, " \oplus ": YMs \rightarrow YM $\oplus \phi^3$, EM \rightarrow GR \oplus YM e.g. single- & double-trace:

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{M}_n^{(1,\ldots,m)}(g;h) = \int d\mu_n \ PT(1,\ldots,m) \ \mathrm{Pf}[\Psi]_h \ \mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(\tilde{\epsilon}) \,, \\ & \mathcal{M}_n^{(1,\ldots,r)(r+1,\ldots,n)} = \int d\mu_n \ \mathbf{s}_{1,\ldots,r} \ PT(1,\ldots,r) PT(r+1,\ldots,n) \ \mathrm{Pf}'\Psi_n(\tilde{\epsilon}) \,. \end{split}$$

Hidden simplicity of special EFT's: soft limit plays the role gauge inv. More theories from soft limit? [Cachazo et al '16]

 $"\otimes": EMs \sim YMs^2, \quad DBI \sim NLSM \otimes YMs, \quad sGal \sim NLSM^2.$

A new operation to add non-abelian interactions, " \oplus ": YMs \rightarrow YM $\oplus \phi^3$, EM \rightarrow GR \oplus YM e.g. single- & double-trace:

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{M}_n^{(1,\ldots,m)}(g;h) = \int d\mu_n \ PT(1,\ldots,m) \ \mathrm{Pf}[\Psi]_h \ \mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(\tilde{\epsilon}) \,, \\ & \mathcal{M}_n^{(1,\ldots,r)(r+1,\ldots,n)} = \int d\mu_n \ \mathfrak{s}_{1,\ldots,r} \ PT(1,\ldots,r) PT(r+1,\ldots,n) \ \mathrm{Pf}'\Psi_n(\tilde{\epsilon}) \,. \end{split}$$

Compact formula for all gluon-graviton amps in GR \oplus YM (& YM $\oplus \phi^3$). New ambitwistor-string models [Geyer et al' 15].

A landscape of massless theories



▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ 三 ト ▲ 三 ト ● ○ ○ ○ ○

・ロト・4日ト・4日ト・4日ト 日 900

Ambitwistor string @ $g = 1 \rightarrow$ one-loop formula [Adamo et al '14]

Ambitwistor string @ $g = 1 \rightarrow$ one-loop formula [Adamo et al '14] $q \rightarrow 0$: one-loop scattering eqs on a sphere [Geyer et al '15]

$$\mathcal{E}_{a} = \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} + \frac{k_{a} \cdot \ell}{\sigma_{a}}, \quad \text{for } a = 1, \dots, n.$$

Ambitwistor string @ $g = 1 \rightarrow$ one-loop formula [Adamo et al '14] $q \rightarrow 0$: one-loop scattering eqs on a sphere [Geyer et al '15]

$$\mathcal{E}_{a} = \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} + \frac{k_{a} \cdot \ell}{\sigma_{a}}, \quad \text{for } a = 1, \dots, n.$$

Imposing $\delta(\mathcal{E})$'s gives formula for one-loop amplitudes

$$M_n^{(1)} = \int d^D \ell \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu_n^{(1)} \mathcal{I}_n(\{\sigma, k, \epsilon\}; \ell),$$

< ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 < の < @</p>
One-loop formula

Ambitwistor string @ $g = 1 \rightarrow$ one-loop formula [Adamo et al '14] $q \rightarrow 0$: one-loop scattering eqs on a sphere [Geyer et al '15]

$$\mathcal{E}_{a} = \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} + \frac{k_{a} \cdot \ell}{\sigma_{a}}, \quad \text{for } a = 1, \dots, n.$$

Imposing $\delta(\mathcal{E})$'s gives formula for one-loop amplitudes

$$M_n^{(1)} = \int d^D \ell \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu_n^{(1)} \, \mathcal{I}_n(\{\sigma, k, \epsilon\}; \ell) \,,$$

seems to give "wrong" integrands: propagators of the form $1/((\ell + P)^2 - \ell^2)$, but the difference integrates to zero.

One-loop formula

Ambitwistor string @ $g = 1 \rightarrow$ one-loop formula [Adamo et al '14] $q \rightarrow 0$: one-loop scattering eqs on a sphere [Geyer et al '15]

$$\left| \mathcal{E}_{a} = \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}} + \frac{k_{a} \cdot \ell}{\sigma_{a}}, \quad \text{for } a = 1, \dots, n. \right|$$

Imposing $\delta(\mathcal{E})$'s gives formula for one-loop amplitudes

$$M_n^{(1)} = \int d^D \ell \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu_n^{(1)} \mathcal{I}_n(\{\sigma, k, \epsilon\}; \ell),$$

seems to give "wrong" integrands: propagators of the form $1/((\ell + P)^2 - \ell^2)$, but the difference integrates to zero.

New rep of loop integrands: a rational function with no ambiguities (treat all propagators equally) [c.f. Baadsgaard et al '15]

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー つんぐ

One-loop amp as forward limit of tree amp in higher dim:

$$M_n^{\rm 1-loop} ~\sim~ \int \frac{d^D \ell}{\ell^2} \sum_{I_+=I_-,\epsilon_+=(\epsilon_-)^*} M_{n+2}^{\rm tree}(\;\{(k_i;0)\},\;\;\pm(\ell,|\ell|)\;)\,,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

with divergences regulated by CHY formula of trees.

One-loop amp as forward limit of tree amp in higher dim:

$$M_n^{\rm 1-loop} ~\sim~ \int \frac{d^D \ell}{\ell^2} \sum_{I_+=I_-,\epsilon_+=(\epsilon_-)^*} M_{n+2}^{\rm tree}(\;\{(k_i;0)\},\;\;\pm(\ell,|\ell|)\;)\,,$$

with divergences regulated by CHY formula of trees.

Color-sum gives one-loop color structures \rightarrow one-loop PT's $\operatorname{PT}_{n}^{(1)}[1, 2, \dots, n] := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{PT}_{n+2}[1, \dots, i, +, -, i+1, \dots, n].$

One-loop amp as forward limit of tree amp in higher dim:

$$M_n^{\rm 1-loop} ~\sim~ \int \frac{d^D \ell}{\ell^2} \sum_{I_+=I_-,\epsilon_+=(\epsilon_-)^*} M_{n+2}^{\rm tree}(\;\{(k_i;0)\},\;\;\pm(\ell,|\ell|)\;)\,,$$

with divergences regulated by CHY formula of trees.

Color-sum gives one-loop color structures \rightarrow one-loop PT's $\operatorname{PT}_{n}^{(1)}[1, 2, \dots, n] := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{PT}_{n+2}[1, \dots, i, +, -, i+1, \dots, n].$

One-loop "Pfaffians" from forward-limit of tree ones, e.g.

$$\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{+,-}^2} \mathrm{Pf} \Psi_n(\ell), \quad \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)} = \sum_{\epsilon_+ = (\epsilon_-)^*} \mathrm{Pf}' \Psi_{n+2}(\ell), \quad \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(1)} = \dots$$

< ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 ・ つへぐ

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー つんぐ

Formulas for ϕ^3 , Yang-Mills and gravity at one loop

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{\phi^3} = (\mathrm{PT}_n^{(1)})^2, \quad \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{YM}} = \mathrm{PT}_n^{(1)} \operatorname{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)}, \quad \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{GR}} = (\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)})^2 - c_d (\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(1)})^2,$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ < ()

Formulas for ϕ^3 , Yang-Mills and gravity at one loop $\mathcal{I}_n^{\phi^3} = (\mathrm{PT}_n^{(1)})^2$, $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{YM}} = \mathrm{PT}_n^{(1)} \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{GR}} = (\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)})^2 - c_d (\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(1)})^2$,

Including fermions to give one-loop SYM and SUGRA:

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{SYM}} = \mathrm{PT}_n^{(1)} \left(\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)} - c_d \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(1)} \right), \quad \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{SUGRA}} = (\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)} - c_d \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(1)})^2.$$

Formulas for ϕ^3 , Yang-Mills and gravity at one loop $\mathcal{I}_n^{\phi^3} = (\mathrm{PT}_n^{(1)})^2$, $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{YM}} = \mathrm{PT}_n^{(1)} \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{GR}} = (\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)})^2 - c_d (\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(1)})^2$,

Including fermions to give one-loop SYM and SUGRA:

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{SYM}} = \mathrm{PT}_n^{(1)} \left(\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)} - c_d \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(1)} \right), \quad \mathcal{I}_n^{\mathrm{SUGRA}} = (\mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(1)} - c_d \mathrm{Pf}_{\mathbf{f}}^{(1)})^2.$$

Gauge invariance, soft theorems, unitarity cuts, SUSY ... natural one-loop KLT and BCJ relations at integrand level: e.g.

SUGRA =
$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{(n-1)!-2(n-2)!}$$
 SYM[α] (ϕ_3)⁻¹[$\alpha|\beta$] SYM[α].

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

•

What is special in 4d? scattering eqs fall into n-3 sectors, k = 2, 3, ..., n-2; solutions $(n-3)! = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \langle \langle n-3, k-2 \rangle \rangle$.

.

What is special in 4d? scattering eqs fall into n-3 sectors, k = 2, 3, ..., n-2; solutions $(n-3)! = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \langle \langle n-3, k-2 \rangle \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} P^{2}(z) &= 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \; \lambda(z), \, \tilde{\lambda}(z), \; \text{s.t.} \; P^{\alpha \, \dot{\alpha}}(z) = \lambda^{\alpha}(z) \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}(z) \, . \\ \text{ansatz} : \quad \lambda(z) &:= \sum_{I=1}^{k} \frac{t_{I} \lambda_{I}}{z - \sigma_{I}} \, , \quad \tilde{\lambda}(z) := \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{t_{i} \tilde{\lambda}_{i}}{z - \sigma_{i}} \, , \end{aligned}$$

.

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ○ ○

What is special in 4d? scattering eqs fall into n-3 sectors, k = 2, 3, ..., n-2; solutions $(n-3)! = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \langle \langle n-3, k-2 \rangle \rangle$.

$$P^{2}(z) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \ \lambda(z), \tilde{\lambda}(z), \text{ s.t. } P^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}(z) = \lambda^{\alpha}(z) \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}(z) \,.$$

ansatz : $\lambda(z) := \sum_{I=1}^{k} \frac{t_{I} \lambda_{I}}{z - \sigma_{I}}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}(z) := \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{t_{i} \tilde{\lambda}_{i}}{z - \sigma_{i}},$

 \implies 4d scattering eqs $\lambda_i = t_i \lambda(\sigma_i)$, $\tilde{\lambda}_I = t_I \tilde{\lambda}(\sigma_I)$ [Geyer et al '14;]:

.

What is special in 4d? scattering eqs fall into n-3 sectors, k = 2, 3, ..., n-2; solutions $(n-3)! = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \langle \langle n-3, k-2 \rangle \rangle$.

$$P^{2}(z) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \ \lambda(z), \tilde{\lambda}(z), \text{ s.t. } P^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}(z) = \lambda^{\alpha}(z) \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}(z) \,.$$

ansatz : $\lambda(z) := \sum_{I=1}^{k} \frac{t_{I} \lambda_{I}}{z - \sigma_{I}}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}(z) := \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{t_{i} \tilde{\lambda}_{i}}{z - \sigma_{i}},$

 \implies 4d scattering eqs $\lambda_i = t_i \lambda(\sigma_i)$, $\tilde{\lambda}_I = t_I \tilde{\lambda}(\sigma_I)$ [Geyer et al '14;]:

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{I}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{\dot{\alpha}}}{(I\,i)} = 0, \ I = 1, \dots, k; \quad \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} - \sum_{I=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{I}^{\alpha}}{(i\,I)} = 0, \ i = k+1, \dots, n.$$

<ロト < 得ト < ヨト < ヨト = ヨ = のへの

What is special in 4d? scattering eqs fall into n-3 sectors, k = 2, 3, ..., n-2; solutions $(n-3)! = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \langle \langle n-3, k-2 \rangle \rangle$.

$$P^{2}(z) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \ \lambda(z), \tilde{\lambda}(z), \text{ s.t. } P^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}(z) = \lambda^{\alpha}(z) \tilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}(z) \,.$$

ansatz : $\lambda(z) := \sum_{I=1}^{k} \frac{t_{I} \lambda_{I}}{z - \sigma_{I}}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}(z) := \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{t_{i} \tilde{\lambda}_{i}}{z - \sigma_{i}},$

 \implies 4d scattering eqs $\lambda_i = t_i \lambda(\sigma_i)$, $\tilde{\lambda}_I = t_I \tilde{\lambda}(\sigma_I)$ [Geyer et al '14;]:

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{I}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{i}^{\dot{\alpha}}}{(I\,i)} = 0, \ I = 1, \dots, k; \quad \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} - \sum_{I=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{I}^{\alpha}}{(i\,I)} = 0, \ i = k+1, \dots, n.$$

 $(a b) := \frac{(\sigma_a - \sigma_b)}{t_a t_b}$; GL(2, \mathbb{C}): 4 for momentum-conservation. Equivalent to RSV-Witten equations (GL(*k*)-fixed) [He et al '16].

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Remarkable factorization identity: on any solution of sector k,

$$\det' \left| \frac{\partial \{ E \}}{\partial \{ \sigma \}} \right| = J_{n,k} \det' H_k \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}; \quad H_{l \neq J} = \frac{\langle I J \rangle}{(I J)}, \ \tilde{H}_{i \neq j} = \frac{[i j]}{(i j)},$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > <

and $J_{n,k} = \det' \left| \frac{\partial \{\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\}}{\partial \{\sigma, t\}} \right|$ is the Jacobian of 4d eqs of sector *k*.

Remarkable factorization identity: on any solution of sector *k*,

$$\det' \left| \frac{\partial \{ E \}}{\partial \{ \sigma \}} \right| = J_{n,k} \det' H_k \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}; \quad H_{l \neq J} = \frac{\langle I J \rangle}{(I J)}, \ \tilde{H}_{i \neq j} = \frac{[i j]}{(i j)},$$

< ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 < の < @</p>

and $J_{n,k} = \det' \left| \frac{\partial \{\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\}}{\partial \{\sigma, t\}} \right|$ is the Jacobian of 4d eqs of sector *k*.

Proof: plug in eqs on LHS, same rational function as RHS!

Remarkable factorization identity: on any solution of sector *k*,

$$\det' \left| \frac{\partial \{E\}}{\partial \{\sigma\}} \right| = J_{n,k} \det' H_k \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}; \quad H_{l \neq J} = \frac{\langle I J \rangle}{(IJ)}, \ \tilde{H}_{i \neq j} = \frac{[ij]}{(ij)},$$

and $J_{n,k} = \det' \left| \frac{\partial \{\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\}}{\partial \{\sigma, t\}} \right|$ is the Jacobian of 4d eqs of sector *k*.

Proof: plug in eqs on LHS, same rational function as RHS!

The reduction to 4d is sector dependent with a sum over *k*:

Remarkable factorization identity: on any solution of sector *k*,

$$\det' \left| \frac{\partial \{E\}}{\partial \{\sigma\}} \right| = J_{n,k} \det' H_k \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}; \quad H_{l \neq J} = \frac{\langle I J \rangle}{(IJ)}, \ \tilde{H}_{i \neq j} = \frac{[ij]}{(ij)},$$

and $J_{n,k} = \det' \left| \frac{\partial \{\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\}}{\partial \{\sigma, t\}} \right|$ is the Jacobian of 4d eqs of sector *k*.

Proof: plug in eqs on LHS, same rational function as RHS!

The reduction to 4d is sector dependent with a sum over *k*:

$$M_n = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \sum_{k-\mathrm{sec. \ soln.}} \frac{\mathcal{I}_n(\{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}, \sigma\})}{\det' H_k \ \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k} \ J_{n,k}} = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \int d\mu_{n,k}^{\mathrm{4d}} \ \mathcal{I}_{n,k}^{\mathrm{4d}} \,,$$

Remarkable factorization identity: on any solution of sector *k*,

$$\det' \left| \frac{\partial \{E\}}{\partial \{\sigma\}} \right| = J_{n,k} \det' H_k \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}; \quad H_{l \neq J} = \frac{\langle I J \rangle}{(IJ)}, \ \tilde{H}_{i \neq j} = \frac{[ij]}{(ij)},$$

and $J_{n,k} = \det' \left| \frac{\partial \{\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\}}{\partial \{\sigma, t\}} \right|$ is the Jacobian of 4d eqs of sector *k*.

Proof: plug in eqs on LHS, same rational function as RHS!

The reduction to 4d is sector dependent with a sum over *k*:

$$M_n = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \sum_{k-\text{sec. soln.}} \frac{\mathcal{I}_n(\{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}, \sigma\})}{\det' H_k \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k} J_{n,k}} = \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \int d\mu_{n,k}^{\text{4d}} \mathcal{I}_{n,k}^{\text{4d}},$$

4d measure $d\mu_{n,k}^{\text{4d}}$ (2*n*–4 integrals & delta functions); 4d integrand $\mathcal{I}_{n,k}^{\text{4d}} := \mathcal{I}_n/(\det' H_k \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k})$, from a sector-dependent reduction.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Pfaffian as a filter: solution-sector k and helicity-sector k'

 $\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(1^-,\ldots,k'^-,(k'+1)^+,\ldots,n^+)|_{\mathrm{soln},k}=\delta_k^{k'}\det'H_k\det'\tilde{H}_{n-k}\,.$

Pfaffian as a filter: solution-sector k and helicity-sector k'

 $\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(1^-,\ldots,k'^-,(k'+1)^+,\ldots,n^+)|_{\mathrm{soln}.k}=\delta_k^{k'}\det' H_k\det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}.$

Proof: plug in 4d eqs, the two factors vanish for k > k' or k < k'

Pfaffian as a filter: solution-sector k and helicity-sector k'

$$\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(1^-,\ldots,k'^-,(k'+1)^+,\ldots,n^+)|_{\mathrm{soln}.k}=\delta_k^{k'}\det' H_k\det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}.$$

Proof: plug in 4d eqs, the two factors vanish for k > k' or k < k'simplified: only one soln. sec. for a helicity sec., $n! \rightarrow k! \times (n-k)!$

Pfaffian as a filter: solution-sector k and helicity-sector k'

$$\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(1^-,\ldots,k'^-,(k'+1)^+,\ldots,n^+)|_{\mathrm{soln}.k}=\delta_k^{k'}\det' H_k\det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}.$$

Proof: plug in 4d eqs, the two factors vanish for k > k' or k < k'simplified: only one soln. sec. for a helicity sec., $n! \rightarrow k! \times (n-k)!$ much more: one Pf' Ψ got cancelled; PT_n for YM and Pf' Ψ _n for GR!

Pfaffian as a filter: solution-sector k and helicity-sector k'

$$\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(1^-,\ldots,k'^-,(k'+1)^+,\ldots,n^+)|_{\mathrm{soln.}k}=\delta_k^{k'}\det'H_k\det'\tilde{H}_{n-k}$$

Proof: plug in 4d eqs, the two factors vanish for k > k' or k < k'simplified: only one soln. sec. for a helicity sec., $n! \rightarrow k! \times (n-k)!$ much more: one Pf' Ψ got cancelled; PT_n for YM and Pf' Ψ _n for GR!

$$\begin{split} M_n^{\rm YM}(1^-,\ldots,k^-,(k+1)^+,\ldots,n^+) &= \int d\mu_{n,k}^{\rm 4d} \,\, \mathrm{PT}_n\,, \\ M_n^{\rm GR}(1^-,\ldots,k^-,(k+1)^+,\ldots,n^+) &= \int d\mu_{n,k}^{\rm 4d} \,\, \det' H_k \,\, \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k}\,. \end{split}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ◆ ○ ○ ○

Pfaffian as a filter: solution-sector k and helicity-sector k'

$$\mathrm{Pf}'\Psi(1^-,\ldots,k'^-,(k'+1)^+,\ldots,n^+)|_{\mathrm{soln.}k}=\delta_k^{k'}\det'H_k\det' ilde H_{n-k}$$

Proof: plug in 4d eqs, the two factors vanish for k > k' or k < k'simplified: only one soln. sec. for a helicity sec., $n! \rightarrow k! \times (n-k)!$ much more: one Pf' Ψ got cancelled; PT_n for YM and Pf' Ψ _n for GR!

$$\begin{split} M_n^{\rm YM}(1^-,\ldots,k^-,(k+1)^+,\ldots,n^+) &= \int d\mu_{n,k}^{\rm 4d} \, \mathrm{PT}_n \,, \\ M_n^{\rm GR}(1^-,\ldots,k^-,(k+1)^+,\ldots,n^+) &= \int d\mu_{n,k}^{\rm 4d} \, \det' H_k \, \det' \tilde{H}_{n-k} \,. \end{split}$$

Natural for SUSY (fermionic delta functions in $d\mu_{n,k}$); equivalent to RSV-Witten & Cachazo-Skinner forms [Geyer et al '14; He et al '16]

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Similarly for Pf'A: only non-vanishing for middle sector $k = \frac{n}{2}$:

$$\mathrm{Pf}' A|_{\mathrm{soln.}\frac{n}{2}} = \det' H_{\frac{n}{2}} \det' \tilde{H}_{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\prod_{I < J} (IJ) \prod_{i < j} (ij)}{\prod_{I,i} (Ii)}.$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ < ()

Similarly for Pf'A: only non-vanishing for middle sector $k = \frac{n}{2}$:

$$Pf'A|_{\text{soln.}\frac{n}{2}} = \det'H_{\frac{n}{2}} \det'\tilde{H}_{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\prod_{I < J}(IJ)\prod_{i < j}(ij)}{\prod_{I,i}(Ii)}$$

Scalars in NLSM, DBI, sGal etc. know about sectors in 4d! Exception: bi-adjoint scalars \rightarrow need sum over *k*, no simplifications.

Similarly for Pf'A: only non-vanishing for middle sector $k = \frac{n}{2}$:

$$Pf'A|_{\text{soln.}\frac{n}{2}} = \det'H_{\frac{n}{2}} \det'\tilde{H}_{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\prod_{I < J}(IJ)\prod_{i < j}(ij)}{\prod_{I,i}(Ii)}$$

Scalars in NLSM, DBI, sGal etc. know about sectors in 4d! Exception: bi-adjoint scalars \rightarrow need sum over *k*, no simplifications.

Natural to supersymmetrize DBI \rightarrow DBI-VA \sim NLSM $\,\otimes\,\,\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

Similarly for Pf'A: only non-vanishing for middle sector $k = \frac{n}{2}$:

$$Pf'A|_{\text{soln.}\frac{n}{2}} = \det'H_{\frac{n}{2}} \det'\tilde{H}_{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\prod_{I < J}(IJ)\prod_{i < j}(ij)}{\prod_{I,i}(Ii)}$$

Scalars in NLSM, DBI, sGal etc. know about sectors in 4d! Exception: bi-adjoint scalars \rightarrow need sum over *k*, no simplifications.

Natural to supersymmetrize DBI \rightarrow DBI-VA \sim NLSM $\otimes \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM Soft-scalar/fermion probing (super)symmetry breaking [Huang et al' 14; He et al' 16]; similar formulas for 4d EYM etc. [Adamo et al' 15]

Similarly for Pf'A: only non-vanishing for middle sector $k = \frac{n}{2}$:

$$Pf'A|_{\text{soln.}\frac{n}{2}} = \det'H_{\frac{n}{2}} \det'\tilde{H}_{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\prod_{I < J}(IJ)\prod_{i < j}(ij)}{\prod_{I,i}(Ii)}$$

Scalars in NLSM, DBI, sGal etc. know about sectors in 4d! Exception: bi-adjoint scalars \rightarrow need sum over *k*, no simplifications.

Natural to supersymmetrize DBI \rightarrow DBI-VA \sim NLSM $\otimes \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM Soft-scalar/fermion probing (super)symmetry breaking [Huang et al' 14; He et al' 16]; similar formulas for 4d EYM etc. [Adamo et al' 15]

Summary: CHY in 4d naturally splits into sectors, much simpler with (conformal) YM the simplest, and trivial to incorporate SUSY!

Similarly for Pf'A: only non-vanishing for middle sector $k = \frac{n}{2}$:

$$Pf'A|_{\text{soln.}\frac{n}{2}} = \det'H_{\frac{n}{2}} \det'\tilde{H}_{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\prod_{I < J}(IJ)\prod_{i < j}(ij)}{\prod_{I,i}(Ii)}$$

Scalars in NLSM, DBI, sGal etc. know about sectors in 4d! Exception: bi-adjoint scalars \rightarrow need sum over *k*, no simplifications.

Natural to supersymmetrize DBI \rightarrow DBI-VA \sim NLSM $\otimes \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM Soft-scalar/fermion probing (super)symmetry breaking [Huang et al' 14; He et al' 16]; similar formulas for 4d EYM etc. [Adamo et al' 15]

Summary: CHY in 4d naturally splits into sectors, much simpler with (conformal) YM the simplest, and trivial to incorporate SUSY!

More realistic theories, e.g. incorporating quarks, Higgs boson etc.?


<ロト 4 個 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 9 4 0 0</p>

Including gluinos with a Jacobian from integrating out η 's:

$$M_n = \int d\mu_{n,k} \operatorname{PT}_n \operatorname{det} J_{|\psi| \times |\psi|}, \quad J_{I \in \psi^-, i \in \bar{\psi}^+} = \frac{\delta^{A_I A_i}}{(I \, i)}.$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ < ()

Including gluinos with a Jacobian from integrating out η 's:

$$M_n = \int d\mu_{n,k} \operatorname{PT}_n \operatorname{det} J_{|\psi| \times |\psi|}, \quad J_{I \in \psi^-, i \in \bar{\psi}^+} = \frac{\delta^{A_I A_i}}{(I \, i)}.$$

Amplitudes with massless quarks obtained from them [Dixon et al '10], e.g. one pair of quarks= gluinos, Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_{1^q,2^{\bar{q}}} = \frac{1}{(12)}$.

Including gluinos with a Jacobian from integrating out η 's:

$$M_n = \int d\mu_{n,k} \operatorname{PT}_n \operatorname{det} J_{|\psi| \times |\psi|}, \quad J_{I \in \psi^-, i \in \bar{\psi}^+} = \frac{\delta^{A_I A_i}}{(I \, i)}.$$

Amplitudes with massless quarks obtained from them [Dixon et al '10], e.g. one pair of quarks= gluinos, Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_{1^q,2^{\bar{q}}} = \frac{1}{(12)}$.

2 pairs (flavor a & b): alternating: $\mathcal{J}_{a_1^-, a_2^+, b_1^-, b_2^+} = \frac{1}{(a_1 a_2)} \frac{1}{(b_1 b_2)}$, vs. splitting $\mathcal{J}_{a_1^-, b_1^-, b_2^+, a_2^+} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_1 a_2)} & \frac{1}{(a_1 b_2)} \\ \frac{1}{(b_1 a_2)} & \frac{1}{(b_1 b_2)} \end{vmatrix}$

Including gluinos with a Jacobian from integrating out η 's:

$$M_n = \int d\mu_{n,k} \operatorname{PT}_n \operatorname{det} J_{|\psi| \times |\psi|}, \quad J_{I \in \psi^-, i \in \bar{\psi}^+} = \frac{\delta^{A_I A_i}}{(I \, i)}.$$

Amplitudes with massless quarks obtained from them [Dixon et al '10], e.g. one pair of quarks= gluinos, Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_{1^q,2^{\bar{q}}} = \frac{1}{(12)}$.



(ロト 4 昼 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト うえぐ



<ロト 4 個 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 9 4 0 0</p>

3 pairs (a,b & c): alternating and splitting cases as before, also

$$\mathcal{J}_{a_{1}^{-},b_{1}^{-},b_{2}^{+},a_{2}^{+},c_{1}^{-},c_{2}^{+}} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_{1}b_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{1}b_{2})} \\ \frac{1}{(b_{1}b_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{1}a_{2})} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} & (factorized) and \\ \frac{1}{(a_{1}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(a_{1}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(a_{1}b_{1})} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{(b_{2}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{2}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(b_{2}c_{2})} \\ \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} & \frac{1}{(c_{1}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} \end{vmatrix}$$

3 pairs (a,b & c): alternating and splitting cases as before, also $\mathcal{J}_{a_{1}^{-},b_{1}^{-},b_{2}^{+},a_{2}^{+},c_{1}^{-},c_{2}^{+}} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_{1}b_{2})} & \frac{1}{(a_{1}a_{2})} \\ \frac{1}{(b_{1}b_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{1}a_{2})} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} & (factorized) \\ \frac{1}{(a_{1}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(a_{1}b_{1})} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{(b_{2}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{2}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(b_{2}c_{2})} \\ \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} & \frac{1}{(c_{1}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix}.$

General rules \rightarrow a closed-formula for massless QCD:

$$M_{n,k}(g;q\bar{q}) = \int d\mu_{n,k} \operatorname{PT}_n \mathcal{J}_{\operatorname{ferm}},$$

<ロト < 得ト < ヨト < ヨト = ヨ = のへの

3 pairs (a,b & c): alternating and splitting cases as before, also $\mathcal{J}_{a_{1}^{-},b_{1}^{-},b_{2}^{+},a_{2}^{+},c_{1}^{-},c_{2}^{+}} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_{1}b_{2})} & \frac{1}{(a_{1}a_{2})} \\ \frac{1}{(b_{1}b_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{1}a_{2})} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} & (\text{factorized}) \\ \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} & \frac{1}{(c_{1}b_{1})} \end{vmatrix} \\
\mathcal{J}_{a_{1}^{-},a_{2}^{+},b_{1}^{+},b_{2}^{-},c_{1}^{-},c_{2}^{+}} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_{1}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(a_{1}b_{1})} \\ \frac{1}{(b_{2}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{2}b_{1})} \\ \frac{1}{(c_{1}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(b_{2}c_{2})} \\ \frac{1}{(c_{1}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix}.$

General rules \rightarrow a closed-formula for massless QCD:

$$M_{n,k}(g;q\bar{q}) = \int d\mu_{n,k} \operatorname{PT}_n \mathcal{J}_{\operatorname{ferm}},$$

Equivalent reps: vanishing identities (crossed fermion lines).

3 pairs (a,b & c): alternating and splitting cases as before, also $\mathcal{J}_{a_{1}^{-},b_{1}^{-},b_{2}^{+},a_{2}^{+},c_{1}^{-},c_{2}^{+}} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(a_{1}b_{2})} & \frac{1}{(a_{1}a_{2})} \\ \frac{1}{(b_{1}b_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{1}a_{2})} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} & (\text{factorized}) \\ \frac{1}{(a_{1}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(a_{1}b_{1})} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{(b_{2}a_{2})} & \frac{1}{(b_{2}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(b_{2}c_{2})} \\ \frac{1}{(c_{1}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(c_{1}b_{1})} & \frac{1}{(c_{1}c_{2})} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix}.$

General rules \rightarrow a closed-formula for massless QCD:

$$M_{n,k}(g;q\bar{q}) = \int d\mu_{n,k} \operatorname{PT}_n \mathcal{J}_{\operatorname{ferm}},$$

Equivalent reps: vanishing identities (crossed fermion lines). Remarkable simplicities in 4d; CHY vs. interaction vertices???

CHY formula give massive amps via dim reduction [Naculich '14,...]. What about Higgs amp = form factors with $tr F^2$?

CHY formula give massive amps via dim reduction [Naculich '14,...]. What about Higgs amp = form factors with $tr F^2$?

In 4d, Higgs effective coupling $H \operatorname{tr} F^2$ decomposed to (anti-) self-dual parts with $H = \phi + \phi^{\dagger}$. Trees computed [Dixon et al '04]:

$$M_{\rm MHV}(\phi; n_g) = \frac{\langle ij \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \cdots \langle n1 \rangle}, \quad M_{\rm all-minus}(\phi; n_g) = \frac{m_H^4}{[12][23] \cdots [n1]}, \text{etc.}$$

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)
 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

 (1)

CHY formula give massive amps via dim reduction [Naculich '14,...]. What about Higgs amp = form factors with $tr F^2$?

In 4d, Higgs effective coupling $H \operatorname{tr} F^2$ decomposed to (anti-) self-dual parts with $H = \phi + \phi^{\dagger}$. Trees computed [Dixon et al '04]:

$$M_{\rm MHV}(\phi; n_g) = \frac{\langle ij \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \cdots \langle n1 \rangle}, \quad M_{\rm all-minus}(\phi; n_g) = \frac{m_H^4}{[12][23] \cdots [n1]}, \text{etc.}$$

 $k = 2, ..., n \rightarrow$ introduce two positive legs $p_{\phi} = \lambda \tilde{\lambda} + \mu \tilde{\mu}$ which do NOT appear in the PT \rightarrow a closed-formula for $\phi + n_g$:

CHY formula give massive amps via dim reduction [Naculich '14,...]. What about Higgs amp = form factors with $tr F^2$?

In 4d, Higgs effective coupling $H \operatorname{tr} F^2$ decomposed to (anti-) self-dual parts with $H = \phi + \phi^{\dagger}$. Trees computed [Dixon et al '04]:

$$M_{\rm MHV}(\phi; n_g) = \frac{\langle ij \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \cdots \langle n1 \rangle}, \quad M_{\rm all-minus}(\phi; n_g) = \frac{m_H^4}{[12][23] \cdots [n1]}, \text{etc.}$$

 $k = 2, ..., n \rightarrow$ introduce two positive legs $p_{\phi} = \lambda \tilde{\lambda} + \mu \tilde{\mu}$ which do NOT appear in the PT \rightarrow a closed-formula for $\phi + n_g$:

$$M_{n+1,k}(\phi; n_g) = m_H^4 \int d\mu'_{n+2,k} \operatorname{PT}_n, \quad \sigma_\lambda, \sigma_\mu \text{ fixed}; \lambda, \mu \text{ eqs removed}.$$

k = 2, *n* reproduced with MHV/anti-MHV solution; generally, solns. for (*n*+2)-pt, sec. *k*, checked up to n = 6 [Lance et al' 04]

k = 2, *n* reproduced with MHV/anti-MHV solution; generally, solns. for (*n*+2)-pt, sec. *k*, checked up to n = 6 [Lance et al' 04] Proof using factorization, almost identical to pure gluon cases.

k = 2, *n* reproduced with MHV/anti-MHV solution; generally, solns. for (*n*+2)-pt, sec. *k*, checked up to n = 6 [Lance et al' 04] Proof using factorization, almost identical to pure gluon cases. Amps with W, Z bosons? Higgs mechanism in CHY?

k = 2, *n* reproduced with MHV/anti-MHV solution; generally, solns. for (*n*+2)-pt, sec. *k*, checked up to n = 6 [Lance et al' 04] Proof using factorization, almost identical to pure gluon cases. Amps with W, Z bosons? Higgs mechanism in CHY?

Evaluating 4d formulas (much easier than general dim!): connected \rightarrow disconnected (sum) by residue theorem:

$$M_{n,k} = \int d\mu_{n,k} \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{4d}} = \sum \text{ residues}.$$

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 一 三 一 の Q (や)</p>

k = 2, *n* reproduced with MHV/anti-MHV solution; generally, solns. for (*n*+2)-pt, sec. *k*, checked up to n = 6 [Lance et al' 04] Proof using factorization, almost identical to pure gluon cases. Amps with W, Z bosons? Higgs mechanism in CHY?

Evaluating 4d formulas (much easier than general dim!): connected \rightarrow disconnected (sum) by residue theorem:

$$M_{n,k} = \int d\mu_{n,k} \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{4d}} = \sum \mathrm{residues}.$$

Canonical rep [Arkani-Hamed et al 08, 10]: connected formula for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ /gluons \rightarrow BCFW/CSW form; now we expect a whole zoo of new reps, also for QCD, Higgs, form factors etc.

Outlook

New picture: massless particles scattering via punctures on a sphere. Suggest a weak-weak duality of QFT & strings for S-matrix?

Web of theories connected by e.g. \oplus (interaction) & \otimes (double-copy)

Huge simplifications in $4d \rightarrow old$ and new connected formulas

QCD, Higgs, form factor? Scope of QFTs natural in CHY?

Loops: integrands \rightarrow CHY for integrated amplitudes?

Stringy origin: twistor vs. "chiral" strings, Gross-Mende limit, ...?

Thank you!



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ