JOHN WETTLAUFER

Yale University

NORDITA

University of Oxford











What has happened in the past appears to be almost as vague and uncertain as what will happen in the future...Note that observers of automobile accidents almost invariably disagree as to the actual sequence of events. And so it is, even in science. We can reconstruct the history of the solar system with little more confidence than we can predict its future. Actually, we possess only a fragmentary knowledge of the system today and have inadequate theoretical tools to deal with many of the physical processes that have taken place.

F.L. Whipple, *PNAS* **52**, 565 (1964)



HAT-P-26b: A Neptune-mass exoplanet with a well-constrained heavy element abundance

Hannah R. Wakeford,¹*[†] David K. Sing,²[†] Tiffany Kataria,³ Drake Deming,⁴ Nikolay Nikolov,² Eric D. Lopez,^{1,5} Pascal Tremblin,⁶ David S. Amundsen,^{7,8} Nikole K. Lewis,⁹ Avi M. Mandell,¹ Jonathan J. Fortney,¹⁰ Heather Knutson,¹¹ Björn Benneke,¹¹ Thomas M. Evans²

Science **356**, 628–631 (2017) 12 May 2017



HAT-P-26b: A Neptune-mass exoplanet with a well-constrained heavy element abundance

Hannah R. Wakeford,¹*[†] David K. Sing,²[†] Tiffany Kataria,³ Drake Deming,⁴ Nikolay Nikolov,² Eric D. Lopez,^{1,5} Pascal Tremblin,⁶ David S. Amundsen,^{7,8} Nikole K. Lewis,⁹ Avi M. Mandell,¹ Jonathan J. Fortney,¹⁰ Heather Knutson,¹¹ Björn Benneke,¹¹ Thomas M. Evans²

Science **356**, 628–631 (2017) 12 May 2017

It's Friday-start with something we experience in a cocktail

Bulk Phases of Water





Bulk Phases of Water





Bulk Phases of Water





Bulk Phases of Water

M. Chaplin, LSBU



Let us move along a line of two-phase coexistence?

Some Surface Phases...

Equilibrium Transitions

(1) Surface Roughening(2) Surface Melting

(1) Faceted Orientations



(1) Faceted Orientations



Can Become Rough Orientations $\xi = A \exp[c (T_R - T)^{-1/2}]$



(2) Faceted Orientations



Can Coexist with Rough Orientations



(1)



The Roughening Transition

 Balibar, Alles & Parshin Rev Mod Phys 77, 317 (2005)

 Dash, Rempel & JSW
 Rev Mod Phys 78, 695 (2006)





⁴He; Best Test Bed





Balibar, Alles & Parshin Rev Mod Phys 77, 317 (2005)

⁴He; Best Test Bed



What about the Vapor Surface near the bulk melting point?



Balibar, Alles & Parshin Rev Mod Phys 77, 317 (2005)

Solid-Vapor Coexistence Exhibits some Differences



Solid-Vapor Coexistence Exhibits some Differences



Solid-Vapor Coexistence Exhibits some Differences



Y. Furukawa







 $\cos\theta = \frac{\gamma_{sa} - \gamma_{s\ell}}{\gamma_{\ell a}}$



 $\cos\theta = \frac{\gamma_{sa} - \gamma_{s\ell}}{\gamma_{\ell a}}$







Frenken & van der Veen *Phys Rev Lett* **54**, 134 (1985)

Elbaum, Lipson & Dash J Cryst Growth 129, 491 (1993)



Frenken & van der Veen *Phys Rev Lett* **54**, 134 (1985)

Elbaum, Lipson & Dash J Cryst Growth 129, 491 (1993)

Interfacial premelting-Warm Up

Equilibrium in an external field; T and μ are constant

 $\mu(T, p, z) = \mu_0(T, p) + U(z) = \text{constant}$

> $\mu(T, p, z) = \mu_0(T, p) + U(z) = \text{constant}$ $\mu_0(T, p)$ "Bare" value

> $\mu(T, p, z) = \mu_0(T, p) + U(z) = \text{constant}$ $\mu_0(T, p)$ "Bare" value U(z) = mgz Field energy/molecule

 $\mu(T, p, z) = \mu_0(T, p) + U(z) = \text{constant}$

 $\mu_0(T,p)$ "Bare" value

U(z) = mgz Field energy/molecule

 $d\mu(T, p, z) = \left\lfloor \frac{\partial \mu(T, p, z)}{\partial p} \right\rfloor_{T, z} dp + \left\lfloor \frac{\partial \mu(T, p, z)}{\partial z} \right\rfloor_{p, T} dz = 0$

 $\mu(T, p, z) = \mu_0(T, p) + U(z) = \text{constant}$

 $\mu_0(T,p)$ "Bare" value

U(z) = mgz Field energy/molecule

 $d\mu(T, p, z) = \left[\frac{\partial\mu(T, p, z)}{\partial p}\right]_{T, z} dp + \left[\frac{\partial\mu(T, p, z)}{\partial z}\right]_{p, T} dz = 0$ $\implies dp = -\frac{m}{m}gdz \equiv -\rho gdz$

 $\mu_s(T, p) = \mu_\ell(T, p) \quad \underline{\text{Bulk Coexistence}}$ $\mu_f(T, p, d) \equiv \mu_\ell(T, p) + U(d) = \mu_s(T, p)$ $\mu_s(T, p) - \mu_\ell(T, p) \equiv \Delta \mu = U(d)$



$$U(d) = -\frac{2|\Delta\gamma|\sigma^2}{\rho_\ell d^3} = -\frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{6\pi\rho_\ell d^3}$$

$$\Delta \mu \approx \left[\frac{\partial \Delta \mu}{\partial T}\right]_{T_m, P_m} (T - T_m) + \left[\frac{\partial \Delta \mu}{\partial P}\right]_{T_m, P_m} (P - P_m) + \text{h.o.t.}$$

$$\Delta \mu \approx -q_m \frac{T_m - T}{T_m} \equiv -q_m t \qquad \Longrightarrow \left| d \propto t^{-1/3} \right|$$

The Truth...for Solid/Liquid/X $U(d) = -\frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{6\pi\rho_{\ell}d^3}$ where $\mathcal{A} \equiv \lim_{d \to 0} \left[-12\pi d^2 I(d)\right]$

 $I(d) = \frac{kT}{8\pi d^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} dx \, x \left\{ \ell n \left[1 - \frac{(x - x_i)(x - x_s)}{(x + x_i)(x + x_s)} e^{-x} \right] + \ell n \left[1 - \frac{(\epsilon_s x - \epsilon_w x_s)(\epsilon_i x - \epsilon_w x_i)}{(\epsilon_s x + \epsilon_w x_s)(\epsilon_i x + \epsilon_w x_i)} e^{-x} \right] \right\}$ $x_j = \left[x^2 - r_n^2 \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{\epsilon_w} \right) \right]^{1/2} \quad (j = i, s)$

 $r_n = 2d(\epsilon_\ell)^{1/2} \xi_n / c$

 $\epsilon(i\xi)$ required in the integral is evaluated at $i\xi_n = i(2\pi kT/\hbar)n$ and obtained by analytic continuation of the material dielectric function $\epsilon(\omega)$ to imaginary frequencies using

$$\epsilon(\omega) = 1 + \sum_{j} \frac{f_j}{e_j^2 - i\hbar\omega g_j - (\hbar\omega)^2}$$
The Truth...for Solid/Liquid/X $U(d) = -\frac{|\mathcal{A}|}{6\pi\rho_{\ell}d^3}$ where $\mathcal{A} \equiv \lim_{d \to 0} \left[-12\pi d^2 I(d)\right]$

 $I(d) = \frac{kT}{8\pi d^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} dx \, x \left\{ \ell n \left[1 - \frac{(x-x_i)(x-x_s)}{(x+x_i)(x+x_s)} e^{-x} \right] + \ell n \left[1 - \frac{(\epsilon_s x - \epsilon_w x_s)(\epsilon_i x - \epsilon_w x_i)}{(\epsilon_s x + \epsilon_w x_s)(\epsilon_i x + \epsilon_w x_i)} e^{-x} \right] \right\}$ $x_j = \left[x^2 - r_n^2 \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_j}{\epsilon_w} \right) \right]^{1/2} \quad (j = i, s)$

 $r_n = 2d(\epsilon_\ell)^{1/2} \xi_n / c$

 $\epsilon(i\xi)$ required in the integral is evaluated at $i\xi_n = i(2\pi kT/\hbar)n$ and obtained by analytic continuation of the material dielectric function $\epsilon(\omega)$ to imaginary frequencies using

$$\epsilon(\omega) = 1 + \sum_{j} \frac{f_j}{e_j^2 - i\hbar\omega g_j - (\hbar\omega)^2}$$

Casimir-Polder...Long Story in and of itself

... Some More Surface Physics

Disequilibrium Transitions

(1) Kinetic Roughening(2) Damage Assisted Surface Melting

Growth & kinetic roughening



Ice In Water ($T = -22 \circ C$, P = 2000 bar)

Melting ______



0.5mm

A. Cahoon, M. Maruyama, and W. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 255502 (2006).

Physics Today

Snow and ice crystals

Yoshinori Furukawa and John S. Wettlaufer

Highly dendritic snowflakes are an aesthetic source of wonder, but the greater challenge for physicists lies in understanding the "simpler" prismatic and planar forms.



Damage Assisted Melting: Some fraction of the collision energy breaks bonds and lowers the chemical potential of the liquid phase

Damage Assisted Melting: Some fraction of the collision energy breaks bonds and lowers the chemical potential of the liquid phase

 $\mu_f(T, P, d, V_c) = \mu_\ell(T, P) - |\mu_\mathcal{I}(d)| - |\mu_\mathcal{D}(V_c)|$

Damage Assisted Melting: Some fraction of the collision energy breaks bonds and lowers the chemical potential of the liquid phase

 $\mu_f(T, P, d, V_c) = \mu_\ell(T, P) - |\mu_\mathcal{I}(d)| - |\mu_\mathcal{D}(V_c)|$

$$|\mu_{\mathcal{I}}(d)| = \frac{|\mathcal{A}_H|}{\rho_\ell d^3}$$

Damage Assisted Melting: Some fraction of the collision energy breaks bonds and lowers the chemical potential of the liquid phase

 $\mu_f(T, P, d, V_c) = \mu_\ell(T, P) - |\mu_\mathcal{I}(d)| - |\mu_\mathcal{D}(V_c)|$

$$|\mu_{\mathcal{I}}(d)| = \frac{|\mathcal{A}_H|}{\rho_\ell d^3}$$

 $|\mu_{\mathcal{I}}(d)| + |\mu_{\mathcal{D}}(V_c)| = q_m \left(\frac{T_m - T}{T_m}\right) + \left(\frac{\rho_{\ell} - \rho_s}{\rho_{\ell}\rho_s}\right) (P_m - P)$

Damage Assisted Melting: Some fraction of the collision energy breaks bonds and lowers the chemical potential of the liquid phase

 $\mu_f(T, P, d, V_c) = \mu_\ell(T, P) - |\mu_\mathcal{I}(d)| - |\mu_\mathcal{D}(V_c)|$

$$|\mu_{\mathcal{I}}(d)| = \frac{|\mathcal{A}_H|}{\rho_\ell d^3}$$

$$|\mu_{\mathcal{I}}(d)| + |\mu_{\mathcal{D}}(V_c)| = q_m \left(\frac{T_m - T}{T_m}\right) + \left(\frac{\rho_{\ell} - \rho_s}{\rho_{\ell}\rho_s}\right) (P_m - P)$$

$$d = \left[\frac{|\mathcal{A}_H|}{\rho_{\ell} \frac{q_m}{T_m}(T_m - T) + \left(\frac{\rho_{\ell} - \rho_s}{\rho_s}\right)(P_m - P) - u_{\mathcal{D}}}\right]^{1/3}$$

Damage Assisted Melting: Some fraction of the collision energy breaks bonds and lowers the chemical potential of the liquid phase

 $\mu_f(T, P, d, V_c) = \mu_\ell(T, P) - |\mu_\mathcal{I}(d)| - |\mu_\mathcal{D}(V_c)|$

$$|\mu_{\mathcal{I}}(d)| = \frac{|\mathcal{A}_H|}{\rho_\ell d^3}$$

$$|\mu_{\mathcal{I}}(d)| + |\mu_{\mathcal{D}}(V_c)| = q_m \left(\frac{T_m - T}{T_m}\right) + \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho_s}{\rho_\ell \rho_s}\right) (P_m - P)$$

/3

$$d = \left[\frac{|\mathcal{A}_H|}{\rho_{\ell} \frac{q_m}{T_m}(T_m - T) + \left(\frac{\rho_{\ell} - \rho_s}{\rho_s}\right)(P_m - P) - u_{\mathcal{D}}}\right]^1$$

 $u_{\mathcal{D}} \equiv \rho_{\ell} |\mu_{\mathcal{D}}(V_c)|$

Every solid is finite and hence has a surface

Every solid is finite and hence has a surface These phenomena define and hence control material behavior

Every solid is finite and hence has a surface These phenomena define and hence control material behavior

Examples abound...protoplanetary discs are filled with solids...



Phil Armitage, JILA



Phil Armitage, JILA



Collapse ~ 0.1 Myr



Collapse ~ 0.1 Myr Accretion & Primary Planetesimals Form ~ 1 Myr



Phil Armitage, JILA

Collapse ~ 0.1 Myr

Accretion & Primary Planetesimals Form ~ 1 Myr

Slow Accretion & then clearing by photoevaporative wind ~ I Myr + 0.1 Myr



Phil Armitage, JILA

Collapse ~ 0.1 Myr

Accretion & Primary Planetesimals Form ~ I Myr

Slow Accretion & then clearing by photoevaporative wind ~ 1 Myr + 0.1 Myr

. Need to make planets fast!

 $\frac{v_{\phi}^2}{r} = \frac{GM_*}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r}$ $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} \sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{P}{r}$ Estimating $\sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\rho c_s^2}{r}$ $h = c_s / \Omega$ $\sim -\frac{GM_*}{r^2}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \equiv -\frac{v_k^2}{r}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2$ $\Rightarrow \qquad v_{\phi}^2 = v_K^2 \left| 1 - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \right|$ With $P = P_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-n} \Rightarrow v_\phi = v_K (1-\eta)^{1/2}$ with $\eta = n \frac{c_s^2}{v_W^2}$.

 $\frac{v_{\phi}^2}{r} = \frac{GM_*}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r}$ $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} \sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{P}{r}$ Estimating $\sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\rho c_s^2}{r}$ $h = c_s / \Omega$ $\sim -\frac{GM_*}{r^2} \left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \equiv -\frac{v_k^2}{r} \left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2$ $\Rightarrow \qquad v_{\phi}^2 = v_K^2 \left| 1 - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \right|$ With $P = P_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-n} \Rightarrow v_\phi = v_K (1-\eta)^{1/2}$ with $\eta = n \frac{c_s^2}{v_K^2}$.

. (a) $v_{\phi} = 0.996 v_K$ for n = 3

 $\frac{v_{\phi}^2}{r} = \frac{GM_*}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r}$ $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} \sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{P}{r}$ Estimating $\sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\rho c_s^2}{r}$ $h = c_s / \Omega$ $\sim -\frac{GM_*}{r^2}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \equiv -\frac{v_k^2}{r}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2$ $\Rightarrow \qquad v_{\phi}^2 = v_K^2 \left| 1 - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \right|$ With $P = P_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-n} \Rightarrow v_\phi = v_K (1-\eta)^{1/2}$ with $\eta = n \frac{c_s^2}{v_K^2}$.

 $\therefore \quad (a) \quad v_{\phi} = 0.996 v_K \text{ for } n = 3 \qquad \text{Particle Headwind}$

 $\frac{v_{\phi}^2}{r} = \frac{GM_*}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r}$ $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} \sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{P}{r}$ Estimating $\sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\rho c_s^2}{r}$ $h = c_s / \Omega$ $\sim -\frac{GM_*}{r^2} \left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \equiv -\frac{v_k^2}{r} \left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2$ $\Rightarrow \qquad v_{\phi}^2 = v_K^2 \left| 1 - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \right|$ With $P = P_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-n} \Rightarrow v_\phi = v_K (1-\eta)^{1/2}$ with $\eta = n \frac{c_s^2}{v_W^2}$.

 $\therefore \quad \text{(a)} \quad v_{\phi} = 0.996 v_K \text{ for } n = 3 \quad \text{Particle Headwind}$ $\text{(b)} \quad l = r^2 \Omega = \sqrt{GM_* r}$

 $\frac{v_{\phi}^2}{r} = \frac{GM_*}{r^2} + \frac{1}{o}\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r}$ $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} \sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{P}{r}$ Estimating $\sim -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\rho c_s^2}{r}$ $h = c_s / \Omega$ $\sim -\frac{GM_*}{r^2} \left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \equiv -\frac{v_k^2}{r} \left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2$ $\Rightarrow \qquad v_{\phi}^2 = v_K^2 \left| 1 - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^2 \right|$ With $P = P_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-n} \Rightarrow v_\phi = v_K (1-\eta)^{1/2}$ with $\eta = n \frac{c_s^2}{v_K^2}$.

Particle Motion in the Disk:



E.g., Papaloizou & Terquem, Rep Prog Phys 69, 119 (2006)

Particle Motion in the Disk:

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \left| \frac{v_r}{v_K} = \frac{-\eta}{St + St^{-1}} \right|$$

$$St \equiv t_{\mathrm{fric}} \Omega_K$$



E.g., Papaloizou & Terquem, Rep Prog Phys 69, 119 (2006)

Particle Motion in the Disk:

$$\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \quad \left| \frac{v_r}{v_K} = \frac{-\eta}{St + St^{-1}} \right|$$

$$St \equiv t_{\mathrm{fric}} \Omega_K$$



E.g., Papaloizou & Terquem, Rep Prog Phys 69, 119 (2006)

WHAT HAPPENS IN A HIGH SPEED CENTRAL COLLISION?



STICKING TOGETHER-COLLISIONAL FUSION

$$d = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 \left[\rho_\ell \frac{q_m}{T_m} (T_m - T) + \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho_s}{\rho_s} \right) (P_m - P) \right]}$$



$$d = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 \left[\rho_\ell \frac{q_m}{T_m} (T_m - T) + \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho_s}{\rho_s}\right) (P_m - P)\right]}$$

$$U_c = \frac{1}{2}MV_c^2 \qquad M = \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)} \qquad u_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 d}$$

Ingredients:

$$d = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 \left[\rho_\ell \frac{q_m}{T_m} (T_m - T) + \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho_s}{\rho_s}\right) (P_m - P)\right]}$$

$$U_c = \frac{1}{2}MV_c^2 \qquad M = \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)} \qquad u_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 d}$$

Ingredients: Phase Diagram for High P, Low T

$$d = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 \left[\rho_\ell \frac{q_m}{T_m} (T_m - T) + \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho_s}{\rho_s}\right) (P_m - P)\right]}$$

$$U_c = \frac{1}{2}MV_c^2 \qquad M = \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)} \qquad u_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 d}$$

Ingredients:

Phase Diagram for High P, Low T Constraint on fraction of damage

E

$$d = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 \left[\rho_\ell \frac{q_m}{T_m} (T_m - T) + \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho_s}{\rho_s} \right) (P_m - P) \right]}$$

$$U_c = \frac{1}{2}MV_c^2 \qquad M = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2) \qquad u_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 d}$$

Ingredients:

Phase Diagram for High P, Low T Constraint on fraction of damage ξ Collision Dynamics; bouncing/freezing

$$d = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 \left[\rho_\ell \frac{q_m}{T_m} (T_m - T) + \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho_s}{\rho_s} \right) (P_m - P) \right]}$$

$$U_c = \frac{1}{2}MV_c^2 \qquad M = \frac{m_1 m_2}{(m_1 + m_2)} \qquad u_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\xi U_c}{\pi r_c^2 d}$$

Ingredients:

Phase Diagram for High P, Low T Constraint on fraction of damage ξ Collision Dynamics; bouncing/freezing Nebular Setting & Drifter growth
Combining it all...



Hertz Beyond Belief

Andong He & JSW *Soft Matter*, **10**, 2264 (2014)

T_m = 1694K Inner "Solar System"



Impact of H-passivated Si nanosphere with H-passivated substrate

Impact speed = 900 m/s

Nikiforov, Suri, Dumitrică, Phys. Rev. B 78, 081405(R) (2008)

T_m = 1694K Inner "Solar System"



Impact of H-passivated Si nanosphere with H-passivated substrate

Impact speed = 900 m/s

Nikiforov, Suri, Dumitrică, Phys. Rev. B 78, 081405(R) (2008)

Impact of a 5-nm radius Si nanoparticle, equilibrated at 1000 K and travelling at 1640 m/s, onto a crystalline Si substrate at 800 K.



Suri and Dumitrică, Phys. Rev. B 78, 081405(R) (2008); Valentini and Dumitrică, Phys. Rev. B 75, 224106 (2007)

Impact of a 5-nm radius Si nanoparticle, equilibrated at 1000 K and travelling at 1640 m/s, onto a crystalline Si substrate at 800 K.



Suri and Dumitrică, Phys. Rev. B 78, 081405(R) (2008); Valentini and Dumitrică, Phys. Rev. B 75, 224106 (2007)

What happens in a high speed central collision? Constraint on degree of damage $\ \xi$



Data: Higa et al., Icarus 133, 310 (1998). Chokshi et al., ApJ 407, 806 (1993).

Nebular Setting and Drifter Growth Drifter Trajectory: $\Delta R(t) = \frac{6V_c}{\Omega(R)} \ell n \left[\frac{r(t)}{r_{po}} \right]$



7 Au, T = 105 K 3 Au, T = 150 K Slowing of Trajectory due to collisional accretion



Dhruba Mitra & Axel Brandenburg, NORDITA

Mitra et al., ApJ, 773:120 (2013)



Dhruba Mitra & Axel Brandenburg, NORDITA

Mitra et al., ApJ, 773:120 (2013)





Summary

(1) All Materials are finite and have surfaces where phase transitions are initiated.

(2) The meter bottleneck problem can be overcome by

Growth by particle accretion and ASSUMING perfect sticking for all collisional speeds Weidenschilling & Cuzzi

(a) This assumption violated previously understood collisional physics

(b) The mechanism described here provides the collisional physics that underlies sticking

Support from Swedish Research Council



АрЈ **719**, 540 (2010) АрЈ, **773**,120 (2013) Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 695 (2006) Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1887 (2010)

Thank You

Eyjafjallajokul