# Simulating EB-like events

S. Danilovic

IRIS-6 meeting June 21th, 2016

#### EBs - why do we care?

- peculiar signatures in different observables
  - $\bullet\,$  visible in  $H_{\alpha}$  and Ca II 8542 line  $_{\rm (Watanabe\,\,et\,\,al.\,\,2011)}$
  - not visible in Mg I b2 and Na I D1 lines (Rutten et al. 2015)
  - sometimes visible IRIS observables (Vissers et al. 2015)
  - CRISP does not show mixed polarity for every case (Vissers et al. 2013)
- part of a whole spectrum of phenomena that might have the same physical mechanism behind QSEBs (Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2016) at the low and IRIS bombs at the high-energy end of this spectrum (Peter et al. 2013,

Tian et al. 2016)



Finding clues in MHD simulations about their:

- morphology
- field topology
- evolution

#### An example of EB-like feature in MURaM

@  $\mu=1$  and  $\mu=0.67$ 



#### The 'event' - cut through



Morphology

#### The 'event' - cut through



Morphology 5 / 16

# Looking at it from different angles..



### The 'event' - simulated SST observations



### The 'event' - field configuration

- 2 features of opposite polarities pushed in by the flow
- horizontal plane show  $B_z @ \tau = 1$
- green shows temperature in the range 5700-6500 K



# Active region field topology - a bald patch?

- Pariat et al. 2004,2012
- Archontis & Hood (2009) horizontal flux sheet; emergence with successive reconnection locations of EB events







magnetic field lines; colorcoding corresponds to vertical velocity - blue: upflow 2D horizontal plane: vertical component of magnetic field at  $\tau = 1$  [-1,1] kG a sheet placed some 300 km below optical depth unity maximum field strength 5000G







Is the arch filament essential for the EB evolution? - Mark Cheung asked



#### And if we close the upper boundary?

a test - one run - closed vs open upper boundary - significant result?



Evolution 11 / 16

#### But still visible in Mg I b2 and Na I D1

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Mg I b<sub>2</sub> @ -0.09 nm

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

Na I D, @ -0.171 nm

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0

0.5

### And the real Sun - Sunrise/IMaX

# EB observed by Sunrise II - inverted IMaX vs. AIA 1700 thanks to Tino and the Sunrise team

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

Evolution 13 / 16

### And the real Sun - Sunrise/IMaX

# EB observed by Sunrise II - inverted IMaX vs. AIA 1700 thanks to Tino and the Sunrise team

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

Evolution 13 / 16

#### Simulations - top view

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Inverted Sunrise/IMaX

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### What did we learn?

- morphology
  - MURaM simulations show EB-like features (Nelson et al. 2013)
  - flames produced during cancellation of magnetic elements
  - increase in T and rho (Kitai 1983, Bello Gonzalez et al. 2013, Berlicki & Heinzel 2014,..)
  - length and intensity agree with QSEB characteristics
  - QSEB visible in Mg I b2 and Na I D1 lines?
- field topology
  - EB-like features appear naturally in serpentine field scenario (Georgoulis et al. 2002, Pariat et al 2004, Archontis Hood 2009, ...)
  - actual omega loops at formation height of these features
  - omega loops essential?
- evolution
  - presence of arch filament helps the formation
  - a thin magnetic sheet won't do it; more flux needed
  - large horizontal velocities essential? (Reid et al. 2015, Nelson et al?)