



Relationship between magnetic field and Mg II line profiles in a tornado-like prominence

P. J. Levens¹

N. Labrosse¹, B. Schmieder², A. López Ariste³, L. Fletcher¹

University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK
CNRS LESIA Observatoire de Paris, Meudon, France
CNRS IRAP, Toulouse, France

Email: p.levens.1@research.gla.ac.uk



IRIS-6: The Chromosphere, Stockholm, Sweden, 23 June 2016



Introduction

'Tornadoes':

- Apparently rotating columns
- Dense prominence material

Previous studies have measured:

Plane-of-sky velocities

• (Su et al. 2012, Li et al. 2012, Panasenco et al. 2014)

• L.O.S. velocity

• EUV (Su et al. 2014, Levens et al. 2015), Hα (Wedemeyer et al. 2013, Schmieder et al. submitted), He I 10830 Å (Orozco Suárez et al. 2012)

•Densities, DEMs

• (Levens et al. 2015)

Magnetic field structure

• (Levens et al. 2016a, 2016b, Martínez González et al. 2016)

Relationship between magnetic field and plasma parameters remains unclear



Coordinated observations

- IHOP 255 "Magnetic field structure of prominences and solar tornadoes"
- Observation of two tornadoes on 15 July 2014 with THEMIS



- Observations covered in detail in:
 - > Levens et al. 2016a, ApJ, 818, 1, 31
 - > Levens et al. 2016b, ArXiv eprints, 1605.05964

- Data sets co-aligned by 2D cross correlation using Mean Absolute Difference algorithm
- Instruments used:



SDO AIA_4 304 15-Jul-2014 10:59:43 UT

900

950

X (arcsecs)

1000

1050

- Data sets co-aligned by 2D cross correlation using Mean Absolute Difference algorithm
- Instruments used:



IRIS SJI SDO 15-Jul-2014 10:50:31.140 UT

- Data sets co-aligned by 2D cross correlation using Mean Absolute Difference algorithm
- Instruments used:



HINODE SOT Ca II 15-Jul-2014 10:50:51 UT

980

960

1000

920

940

X (arcsecs)

- Data sets co-aligned by 2D cross correlation using Mean Absolute Difference algorithm
- Instruments used: IRIS MgII_2796.20 15-Jul-2014 10:21:46 UT
 - SDO AIA (304 Å)
 - Hinode SOT (Ca II)
 - ➢ IRIS SJI (Mg II)
 - IRIS raster (Mg II)
 - > THEMIS (He I D_3)



IRIS vs. THEMIS

• We focus on overlap between IRIS and THEMIS to look for correlations:

340 320 300 280 260 240 880 900 920 940 960 980 X (arcsecs)

THEMIS He D3 intensity 15-Jul-2015 14:41:00 UT

IRIS vs. THEMIS

• We focus on overlap between IRIS and THEMIS to look for correlations:



IRIS/THEMIS overlap mask

IRIS vs. THEMIS

IRIS/THEMIS tornadoes mask

• We focus on overlap between IRIS and THEMIS to look for correlations:



IRIS/THEMIS non-tornadoes mask

Distinguish two regions - 'Tornadoes' and 'rest of prominence'

Variety of Mg II profiles

We find both **reversed** and **single-peaked** profiles in this data:



... And some that are more **complex**.

Peak finder algorithm: Waller et al. (in prep)

Mg II reversal level

 I_{k2}/I_{k3} ratio vs. B field strength:





Mg II k/h ratio

 I_k/I_h ratio vs. B field strength:





Summary of results

- Magnetic field strength higher in tornadoes than in rest of prominence
- No apparent correlation between magnetic field and Mg II parameters here
 - Could be due to optical thickness effects
 - Incomplete overlap, as much of northern tornado not overlapping with IRIS raster
- Central reversal of Mg II in tornadoes mostly between 1 (single peaked) and 2.7
- k/h ratio between ~1.5 and 3.5 in tornadoes, can be lower in the rest of the prominence

• Values similar to those found previously, slightly higher (Schmieder et al. 2014, Heinzel et al. 2014 Harra et al. 2014, Liu et al. 2015, Vial et al. 2015)

Conclusion

- Coordinated observations carefully cross-correlated
- Searched for correlations between magnetic field parameters and Mg II line profile parameters
- No clear correlation found in this data set
- Mg II k2/k3 ratio in tornadoes between 1 and 2.7
- Higher magnetic field in tornadoes than outside
- k/h ratio generally between 1.5 and 3.5 in tornadoes, can be lower elsewhere
- Need new models to fully explain Mg II profiles
- Magnetic field parameters will help constrain these