Bounding Transport and Chaos in Condensed Matter and Holography @ Nordita, August 21st, 2018

Space-Time in the SYK Model

Kenta Suzuki

S. Das, A. Ghosh, A. Jevicki, & KS; 1712.02725 [hep-th]

MOTIVATION & INTRODUCTION

- Simple and Solvable examples of AdS/CFT are needed for better understanding of holography itself and quantum gravity problems.
- Based on earlier Sachdev-Ye model [Sachdev & Ye '93], Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model was proposed as a simpler version of AdS/CFT, which is a quantum mechanical many-body system based on $N(\gg 1)$ fermionic sites. [Kitaev '15]
- From maximally chaotic behavior of the model, dual gravity theory was conjectured to be AdS_2 black hole theory. [Kitaev '15]
- \bullet As a first step of understanding of this SYK duality, in this talk I will explore some aspects of the SYK model, in the large N limit.

Outline

- 1 SYK MODEL
- 2 QUADRATIC FLUCTUATION
- 3 RADON TRANSFORM and LEG FACTORS
- 4 IMPLICATIONS of the LEG FACTORS

1 SYK MODEL

• SYK model [Kitaev '15] consists of Majorana fermions on N sites $(N \gg 1)$:

$$H \,=\, \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^N J_{ijkl} \, \chi_i \, \chi_j \, \chi_k \, \chi_l \,,$$

with

$$\{\chi_i, \chi_j\} = \delta_{ij}.$$

• J_{ijkl} are all-to-all & random; distributions are Gaussian:

$$P(J_{ijkl}) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{N^3 J_{ijkl}^2}{12 J^2}\right)$$

• Generalization to q-point interaction model is straightforward.

O(N) Gauge Symmetry

• At least in the leading order of 1/N, the random coupling of this model can be treated by Replica Trick. [Sachdev & Ye '93], [Anninos, Anous & Denef '16].

• After evaluating the disorder average [Sachdev '15]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z^n \rangle_J &= \int \mathcal{D}\chi_i \operatorname{Texp} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dt \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^n \chi_i^a \partial_t \chi_i^a \right. \\ &+ \frac{J^2}{2qN^{q-1}} \int dt_1 dt_2 \sum_{a,b=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i^a(t_1) \chi_i^b(t_2) \right)^q \right] \end{aligned}$$

• Now O(N) symmetry $\chi_i \rightarrow O_{ij}\chi_j$ dynamically appeared. Therefore,

SYK Model $\approx O(N)$ Vector Model !

Collective Theory

• The Large N theory is represented through a (replica diagonal) bi-local collective field:

$$\Psi(t_1, t_2) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i(t_1) \chi_i(t_2) \,.$$

The corresponding path-integral is

$$Z = \int \prod_{t_1, t_2} \mathcal{D}\Psi(t_1, t_2) e^{-S_{\text{col}}[\Psi]},$$

where S_{col} is the (Euclidean time) collective action [Jevicki, K.S. & Yoon '16]:

$$S_{\rm col}[\Psi] = \frac{N}{2} \int dt \left[\partial_t \Psi(t,t') \right]_{t'=t} + \frac{N}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \log \Psi - \frac{J^2 N}{2q} \int dt_1 dt_2 \Psi^q(t_1,t_2) \,.$$

Saddle-Point

• In strongly coupling limit $J|t| \gg 1$ (critical IR fixed point), one can drop the Kinetic term:

$$S_{\rm c} = \frac{N}{2} {\rm Tr}(\log \Psi) - \frac{J^2 N}{2q} \int dt_1 dt_2 \left[\Psi(t_1, t_2) \right]^q.$$

• Saddle-Point Equation:

$$0 = \frac{\delta S_{\rm c}}{\delta \Psi(t_1, t_2)} = \frac{N}{2} \left[\Psi^{-1}(t_2, t_1) - J^2 \Psi^{q-1}(t_1, t_2) \right].$$

• Critical Saddle-Point Solution [Kitaev '15]:

$$\Psi_0(t_1, t_2) = \frac{b}{J^{\frac{2}{q}}} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(t_{12})}{|t_{12}|^{\frac{2}{q}}},$$

where b is a dimensionless number depending on q and $t_{12} \equiv t_1 - t_2$.

2 QUADRATIC FLUCTUATION

 \bullet Expansion around the critical IR background Ψ_0 as

$$\Psi(t_1, t_2) = \Psi_0(t_1, t_2) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \eta(t_1, t_2),$$

the collective action $S_{\rm col}$ leads to the systematic 1/N expansion:

$$S_{\rm col} = N S_{(0)} + S_{(2)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} S_{(3)} + \cdots$$

• Bi-local Quadratic Action:

$$S_{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \prod_{i=1}^{4} dt_i \ \eta(t_1, t_2) \ \mathcal{K}(t_1, t_2; t_3, t_4) \ \eta(t_3, t_4) \,.$$

$SL(2,\mathcal{R})$ Casimir

• Quadratic kernel \mathcal{K} is a function of the bi-local $SL(2,\mathcal{R})$ Casimir

$$C_{1+2} = \left(\hat{D}_1 + \hat{D}_2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{P}_1 + \hat{P}_2\right)\left(\hat{K}_1 + \hat{K}_2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{K}_1 + \hat{K}_2\right)\left(\hat{P}_1 + \hat{P}_2\right)$$

= $-(t_1 - t_2)^2 \partial_1 \partial_2$.

• The eigenfunctions of the bi-local $SL(2, \mathcal{R})$ Casimir C_{1+2} are, because of the properties of conformal blocks, given by the three-point function of the form

$$\left\langle \mathcal{O}_h(t_0) \, \mathcal{O}_\Delta(t_1) \, \mathcal{O}_\Delta(t_2) \right\rangle \, = \, \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(t_{12})}{|t_{10}|^h |t_{20}|^h |t_{12}|^{2\Delta - h}} \, .$$

Diagonalizing the Kernel

• It is more useful to Fourier transform from t_0 to ω by

$$\left\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{h}}(\omega) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t_{1}) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t_{2}) \right\rangle \equiv \int dt_{0} e^{i\omega t_{0}} \left\langle \mathcal{O}_{h}(t_{0}) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t_{1}) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(t_{2}) \right\rangle$$

$$\propto \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(t_{12})}{|t_{12}|^{2\Delta - \frac{1}{2}}} e^{i\omega(\frac{t_{1} + t_{2}}{2})} Z_{\nu}(|\frac{\omega t_{12}}{2}|),$$

where $h=\nu+1/2$ and

$$Z_{\nu}(x) \equiv J_{\nu}(x) + \xi_{\nu} J_{-\nu}(x), \qquad \xi_{\nu} \equiv \frac{\tan(\pi\nu/2) + 1}{\tan(\pi\nu/2) - 1}$$

• The complete set of ν can be fixed from the representation theory of the conformal group [Kitaev '17]. The discrete modes $\nu = 2n + 3/2$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ and the continuous modes $\nu = ir$ $(0 < r < \infty)$.

Space-Time in the SYK Model

Bi-local Propagator

• Therefore, the bi-local propagator ($\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{K}^{-1}$) is

$$\mathcal{D}(t_1, t_2; t_1', t_2') \propto J^{-1} \left[\int_0^\infty dr \int_{-\infty}^\infty dw \, \frac{r}{\sinh(\pi r)} \frac{u_{ir,w}^*(t_1, t_2) \, u_{ir,w}(t_1', t_2')}{\widetilde{g}(ir) - 1} \right] \\ + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty dw \, \frac{\nu \, u_{\nu w}^*(t_1, t_2) \, u_{\nu w}(t_1', t_2')}{\widetilde{g}(\nu) - 1} \bigg|_{\nu = \frac{3}{2} + 2n} \right],$$

where for q = 4

$$\widetilde{g}(\nu) \equiv -\frac{2\nu}{3}\cot\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right)$$

• The zero mode $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$, (n = 0) gives a divergence since

$$\widetilde{g}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = 1$$
, (for any q)

3 RADON TRANSFORM and LEG FACTORS

• After change of coordinates by

$$t \equiv \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}, \qquad \eta \equiv \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2},$$

the bi-local $SL(2, \mathcal{R})$ Casimir becomes

$$C_{1+2} = -\eta^2 (-\partial_\eta^2 + \partial_t^2),$$

which is the Laplacian of Lorentzian dS_2 space (or AdS_2)

$$ds^2 = \frac{-d\eta^2 + dt^2}{\eta^2}$$

• In fact, the eigenfunctions

$$u_{\nu,\omega}(\eta,t) = \operatorname{sgn}(\eta) |\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\omega t} Z_{\nu}(|\omega\eta|),$$

are particular choice of α -vacuum wave functions in Lorentzian dS₂ space.

$\alpha ext{-Vacuum}$

• There is a unique vacuum for Lorentzian de-Sitter space, which satisfies the Hadamard condition: Euclidean (Bunch-Davis) vacuum [Bunch & Davis '78]

$$\phi^{\rm E}_{\omega}(\eta) = \eta^{\frac{1}{2}} H^{(2)}_{\nu}(|\omega|\eta) \,.$$

• The α -vacuum wave function is defined by Bogoliubov transformation from this Euclidean wave function [Mottola '84] [Allen '85] as

$$\begin{split} \phi^{\alpha}_{\omega}(\eta) &\equiv N_{\alpha} \Big[\phi^{E}_{\omega}(\eta) \,+\, e^{\alpha} \phi^{E*}_{\omega}(\eta) \Big] \\ &= N_{\alpha} \,\eta^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big[H^{(2)}_{\nu}(|\omega|\eta) \,+\, e^{\alpha} H^{(1)}_{\nu}(|\omega|\eta) \Big] \,. \end{split}$$

• The choice with $\alpha=i\pi(\nu+1/2)$ recovers the SYK eigenfunctions.

"i"-Problem

- However, this Lorentzian dS₂ space cannot be the correct dual spacetime.
- If the dual gravity theory is on Lorentzian dS_2 , it must has

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi_m \exp\left[i\left(S_{\text{grav}}[\Phi] + S_{\text{matter}}[\Phi]\right)\right],$$

while the SYK partition function is

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \, e^{-S_{\rm col}[\Psi]} \, .$$

• The mismatch of the factor "*i*" proceeds for all *n*-point functions [Das, Ghosh, Jevicki & K.S. '17].

Radon Transform

 \bullet There is a natural transform from Euclidean AdS to Lorentzian dS, given by Radon transform:

$$\left[\mathcal{R}f\right](\eta,t) = 2\eta \int_{t-\eta}^{t+\eta} d\tau \int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{z} \,\delta\left(\eta^2 - (\tau-t)^2 - z^2\right) f\left(\tau,z\right) \,.$$

• The inverse transformation is

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1} \overline{\psi}_{\omega,\nu}^{(\mathrm{dS}_2)}(\eta,t) = L^{-1}(\nu) \overline{\phi}_{\omega,\nu}^{(\mathrm{EAdS}_2)}(\tau,z) \,.$$

where

$$L(\nu) \equiv (\text{Leg Factor}) = -2i\sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\nu}{2})}.$$

Space-Time in the SYK Model

Bi-local Propagator and Leg factors

• The bi-local propagator with $\eta = (t_1 - t_2)/2$ and $t = (t_1 + t_2)/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\eta,t;\eta',t') \propto \int d\omega \Biggl[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left. \frac{4\sin\pi\nu_n}{\widetilde{g}(\nu_n) - 1} \left. \overline{\psi}^*_{\omega,\nu_n}(\eta,t) \, \overline{\psi}_{\omega,\nu_n}(\eta',t') \right|_{\nu_n = 2n + \frac{3}{2}} \\ &+ \int_0^{\infty} dr \left. \frac{\overline{\psi}^*_{\omega,\nu}(\eta,t) \, \overline{\psi}_{\omega,\nu}(\eta',t')}{\widetilde{g}(\nu) - 1} \right|_{\nu = ir} \Biggr]. \end{aligned}$$

• Using the inverse Radon transform to bring the dS wave functions into the EAdS wave functions:

$$G(\tau, z; \tau', z') \propto \int d\omega \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4\sin\pi\nu_n}{\widetilde{g}(\nu_n) - 1} |L^{-1}(\nu_n)|^2 \,\overline{\phi}^*_{\omega,\nu_n}(\tau, z) \,\overline{\phi}_{\omega,\nu_n}(\tau', z') \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^\infty dr \, |L^{-1}(\nu)|^2 \, \frac{\overline{\phi}^*_{\omega,\nu}(\tau, z) \,\overline{\phi}_{\omega,\nu}(\tau', z')}{\widetilde{g}(\nu) - 1} \right|_{\nu=ir} \right].$$

4 IMPLICATIONS of the LEG FACTORS

- The extra Leg factors $|L^{-1}(\nu)|^2$ in the integrand leads to additional poles in the propagator.
- This is analog to the "old" c = 1 matrix model/2D string duality, where the extra leg pole factors are characterizing the so-called "discrete modes" (gauge d.o.f.) in the 2D string theory.
- In fact, higher spin theory in AdS_2 also has similar discrete modes. [Work in progress; Jevicki, K.S. & Yoon]
- We speculate that our leg factors in the SYK model also play a central role to identify the gauge degree of freedom in the dual gravity theory. [Das, Ghosh, Jevicki & K.S. '17]

Thank you!