Introduction to Computer Architecture

Erik Hagersten
Uppsala University

≈35 years ago: APZ 212 @ 5MHz

”the Ericsson supercomputer”



APZ 212
marketing brochure quotes:

- ”Very compact”
  - 6 times the performance
  - 1/6:th the size
  - 1/5 the power consumption (1.5kW)
- ”A breakthrough in computer science”
- ”Why more CPU power?”
- ”All the power needed for future development”
- ”…800,000 BHCA, should that ever be needed”
- ”SPC computer science at its most elegance”
- ”Using 64 kbit memory chips”

CPU Improvements



How to get efficient architectures…

Very hard today

- ~~Increase clock frequency~~
- Create and explore locality:
  a) Spatial locality
  b) Temporal locality
- Create and explore parallelism
  a) Instruction level parallelism (ILP)
  b) Thread level parallelism (TLP)
  c) Memory level parallelism (MLP)
- Speculative execution
  a) Out-of-order execution
  b) Branch prediction
  c) Prefetching

Outline of these lectures

1. Processor implementations
2. Caches and memory system
3. Multiprocessors
4. HW optimizations
5. Multicores
6. *SW optimizations (if there is time…)*



CPU architecture overview

Erik Hagersten
Uppsala University

How fast is a computer today?


CPU
Memory

Load/Store architecture (e.g., "RISC")

ALU ops: **Reg <--> Reg**
Mem ops: **Reg <--> Mem**



Lifting the CPU hood (simplified…)

Instructions:



Pipeline

Instructions:





Pipeline



Pipeline



Pipeline



Pipeline:



I = Instruction fetch
R = Read register
X = Execute
W= Write register/mem

Register Operations [ALU operation]

ADD R1, R2, R3
(a.k.a. R1 := R2 + R3)



Load Operation:

LD R1, mem[cnst+R2]



Store Operation:

ST R1, mem[cnst+R2]



Branch Operations:

if (R1 < Const) GOTO mem[R2]



PC = Program Counter.
A special register pointing to the next instruction to execute

Initially


D
IF RegC < 100 GOTO A
C
RegC := RegC + 1
B
RegB := RegA + 1
A
LD RegA, (100 + RegC)
PC
I
R
X
W
Regs
Mem

Cycle 1


D
IF RegC < 100 GOTO A
C
RegC := RegC + 1
B
RegB := RegA + 1
PC
A
LD RegA, (100 + RegC)
I
R
X
W
Regs
Mem

Cycle 2


D
C
B
A
IF RegC < 100 GOTO A
RegC := RegC + 1
RegB := RegA + 1
LD RegA, (100 + RegC)
PC
I
R
X
W
Regs
Mem

Cycle 3


D
C
B
PC
IF RegC < 100 GOTO A
RegC := RegC + 1
RegB := RegA + 1
LD RegA, (100 + RegC)
+
I
B
X
W
Regs
Mem

Cycle 4


PC
D
C
IF RegC < 100 GOTO A
RegC := RegC + 1
RegB := RegA + 1
LD RegA, (100 + RegC)
+
I
P
X
W
Regs
Mem

Cycle 5


PC
IF RegC < 100 GOTO A
RegC := RegC + 1
RegB := RegA + 1
LD RegA, (100 + RegC)
+
I
R
X
W
Regs
Mem

Cycle 6


PC
IF RegC < 100 GOTO A
RegC := RegC + 1
RegB := RegA + 1
LD RegA, (100 + RegC)
<
I
R
X
W
Regs
Mem

Cycle 7

PC ➡





Branch:
Write addr. of "A" to PC

Mem

Cycle 8



First example of "Instruction Level Parallelism" (ILP) -- working on several instructions simultaneously. Improves "throughput" of instructions, not latency

Data dependency ☹
Previous execution example wrong!





Data dependency fix 1: pipeline stalls





Data dependency fix 2: Compiler instruction scheduling





Branch delays ☹



9 cycles per iteration of 4 instructions ☹

Need longer "basic blocks" with independent instr.

Pipeline Challenges

- Balance the pipeline stages
- Setup and hold time overhead
- Minimize pipeline stalls
- Predict and perform speculative work
- Undo speculative work

It is actually a lot worse!

Modern CPUs:"superscalars" with ≈4 parallel pipelines



+More ILP → Higher throughput
- More complicated architecture
- Branch delay more expensive (more instr. wasted)
- Harder to find "enough" independent instr. (need 4 instr. between write and use)

It is actually a lot worse!
Modern CPUs: ~10-20 stages/pipe



+Explores <u>even</u> more ILP
+Shorter cycletime (higher frequency)
- Branch delay <u>even</u> more expensive
- <u>Even</u> harder to find ”enough” independent instr.

It is actually a lot worse!
DRAM access: ~150 CPU cycles



Pipeline delays gets worse





Fix: Use a cache