Comments on the Wick-Cutkosky model

+ No-precession of supersymmetric BH's

Simon Caron-Huot McGill University

on work with Zahra Zahraee, 1810.04694

QCD meets Gravity, December 2018, Stockholm



The model has interesting symmetries. [Cutkosky '54] showed that mass& CM energy enter only through:

$$u = \frac{s - m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2m_1m_2}$$

 Solvable thanks to hidden SO(4,2) [Cutkosky '54 'dual conformal symmetry' Itzykzon&Bender ~70]

- Obviously not a realistic model! (even for QED)
 Symmetry is not realistic either.
- Surprising fact: a SO(1,2) subgroup actually is a symmetry of GR @ IPM (⇒EOB).
 [Buonanno& Damour '99]

This is what I'll now comment on.

• In '54, solution required to invent Wick rotation

Dual conformal symmetry = conformal SYM in mom space

For any planar graph, introduce 6-vector in each region



Idea: propagators \Rightarrow dot products $L \cdot Y_1, \quad L \cdot Y_2, \quad L \cdot Y_3, \quad L \cdot L' \dots$



easy to find explicit 6-vectors ('Dirac embedding')

$$L_{i}^{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{i}^{\mu} \\ \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(\Lambda^{2} - \ell_{i}^{2}) \\ \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(\Lambda^{2} + \ell_{i}^{2}) \end{pmatrix}^{-+++} Y_{i}^{A} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{i}^{\mu} \\ \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(\Lambda^{2} - y_{i}^{2} - m_{i}^{2}) \\ \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(\Lambda^{2} + y_{i}^{2} + m_{i}^{2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

region momenta: $y_1^{\mu} = 0$, $y_2^{\mu} = p_1^{\mu}$, $y_2^{\mu} = (p_1 + p_2)^{\mu}$,...

Properties:

$$-2L_{i} \cdot L_{j} = (\ell_{i} - \ell_{j})^{2} + -$$

$$-2L_{i} \cdot Y_{j} = (\ell_{i} - y_{j})^{2} + m_{j}^{2} + M_{i}^{2} + Y_{i}^{5} = \Lambda$$

$$-2Y_{i} \cdot Y_{j} = (y_{i} - y_{j})^{2} + m_{i}^{2} + m_{j}^{2}$$

[cf App of Henn+SCH '18]



Since all denominators are 6D dot products, they transform nicely under SO(4,2) rotations

Obviously, dilatations and special conformal transformations are not symmetries when $m \neq 0$. They are covariances of the model.



Two interesting subgroups:

- I. symmetries of bound states = SO(4) which preserves Y₁,Y₃ (thus m₁,m₂,E)
- 2. symmetries of rest frame = $SO(3) \times SO(1,2)$ which acts on m₁,m₂,E

The SO(4) symmetry is well-known.

 \vec{J}, \vec{A} = rotations+Laplace-Runge-Lenz

$$\vec{A} = \vec{p} \times \vec{L} - mk\frac{\vec{x}}{x} = \text{constant}$$



SO(4) \Rightarrow -no perihelion precession (closed orbits) -quantum degeneracies of H ($E_{n,\ell} = E_n$)

Is an exact (relativistic) symmetry of Wick-Cutkosky model

This SO(4) clearly is not a symmetry of GR, and it won't be our focus today

Claim: SO(1,2) is a symmetry of GR @ IPM

$$Y_1^A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vec{0} \\ \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(\Lambda^2 - m_1^2) \\ \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(\Lambda^2 + m_1^2) \end{pmatrix} \overset{-}{\overset{+}{\overset{+}{\overset{+}{\overset{+}{\overset{+}{\overset{+}{\overset{-}}{\overset{-}}}}}}_{-} Y_3^A = \begin{pmatrix} E \equiv E_1 + E_2 \\ \vec{0} \\ \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(\Lambda^2 + E^2 - m_2^2) \\ \frac{1}{2\Lambda}(\Lambda^2 - E^2 + m_2^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

SO(1,2) acts on E,m_1,m_2 , but preserves the cross-ratios:

$$\frac{Y_1 \cdot Y_3}{\sqrt{Y_1^2 Y_3^2}} = \frac{E_{\text{real}}^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2m_1 m_2} \equiv \frac{E_{\text{eff}}}{\mu}$$

[Cutkosky '54]

Since translations of y^0 do not affect kinematics, what matters is actually the 2D coset SO(1,2)/SO(0,2)

One generator = (4,5)-plane boost, which is just dilatation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0_{4\times4} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cosh\alpha & -\sinh\alpha\\ 0 & -\sinh\alpha & \cosh\alpha \end{pmatrix} : Y_i^A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} y^\mu & y^\mu$$

After rescaling $Y_i \mapsto e^{\alpha} Y_i$ to restore $Y_4 + Y_5 = \Lambda$

$$(y_i^{\mu}, m_i) \mapsto (e^{\alpha} y_i^{\mu}, e^{\alpha} m_i)$$

The other SO(1,2) boost is more interesting: can use it to map any kinematics to probe limit $m_1 \rightarrow \infty$



This is the EOB map, realized as dual conformal transformation [Buoannano& Damor '99]

$$E_{\text{eff}} = \frac{E_{\text{real}}^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2(m_1 + m_2)}, \quad \vec{p}_{\text{eff}} = \vec{p_1} \frac{E_1 + E_2}{m_1 + m_2}, \quad m_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$$

Consider Post-Minkowski EFT:

$$H_{\text{real}}|\vec{p_1}\rangle = (\sqrt{\vec{p_1}^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{\vec{p_1}^2 + m_2^2})|\vec{p_1}\rangle + \int_{\vec{p_1'}} V(\vec{p_1}, \vec{p_1'})|\vec{p_1'}\rangle$$

in form of [Cheung,Rothstein&Solon '18]

Apply EOB change of variable:

$$|\vec{p_1}\rangle \mapsto \left|\frac{\partial \vec{p_{\text{eff}}}}{\partial \vec{p_1}}\right|^{-1/2} |\vec{p_{\text{eff}}}\rangle$$

$$H_{\rm eff}|\vec{p}_{\rm eff}\rangle = \sqrt{\vec{p}_{\rm eff}^2 + \mu^2}|\vec{p}_{\rm eff}\rangle + \int_{p_{\rm eff}'} V_{EOB}(\vec{p}_{\rm eff}, \vec{p}_{\rm eff}')|\vec{p}_{\rm eff}'\rangle$$

In Wick-Cutkowski model, $V_{EOB} = V$

What about GR?

$$V^{1PM}(p_1, p_2) \sim G_N \frac{\cosh 2\eta}{q^2} = G_N \frac{2(\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2)^2 - \beta_1^2 \beta_2^2}{q^2}$$

[on-shell: $p_1^2 = p_1'^2$]
 $-2\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 \equiv \frac{-2p_1 \cdot p_2}{m_1 m_2} = \frac{E^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2}{m_1 m_2} = \frac{2E_{\text{eff}}}{\mu} = \text{SO}(1, 2)\text{-invariant}$

In the EOB frame, $\beta_1^{\mu} = (1, \vec{0})$: IPM Hamiltonian = probe of mass μ in Schwartzschild There is nothing special about GR here; we could have a dilaton and/or electric charges

At IPM, the EOB-frame potential is always the same as the original one, up to some rescaling of the charges.

SO(1,2) = symmetry of IPM approximation.

Generalizes that 'physics depends only on reduced mass'.

Q: -Does it first break at 2PM or higher? [Damour et al] -How does SO(1,2) acts on spin?

Part II: N=8 no-precession

Is there a gravity theory with hidden (SO(4,2)?) symmetry?

In planar N=4 SYM, SO(4,2) is exact

[Bern,Dixon&Smirnov(+Kosower,Anastasiou); Drummond,Henn,Smirnov&Sokatchev; Alday&Maldacena,...]

[SCH& Henn, '14]

Can get masses via Higgs mechanism. Hydrogen-like bound states have SO(4) LRL symmetry.



Best candidate for gravity with hidden symmetries:

$$\mathcal{N} = 8$$
 = $(\mathcal{N} = 4)^2$
sugra sym

our strategy: check a physical observable

do two-body subsystems have a conserved LRL? [more precise: degeneracy of S-matrix poles; such exclusive amplitudes suppress radiation] we'll compute the analog of mercury precession of perihelion for half-BPS black holes in $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity



Setup

two extremal (half-BPS) black holes



Setup

two extremal (half-BPS) black holes



Classifying misalignments

Recall $\mathcal{N} = 8$ graviton multiplet:

 g^{++} $\chi_I^{+3/2}$ 8 28 A_{IJ}^+ electric charges is 56 $\psi_{LIK}^{+1/2}$ 8x8 antisymmetric matrix 70 ϕ_{IJKL} 56 charges are 8x8 matrices; 28 3 angles between 1/2-BPS charges 8 [SCH+Zarahee '18]

1. Special Special case: I angle $\phi_3 = \phi_4 = -\phi_1 = -\phi_2$ equivalent to KK graviton from 10D IIA on T⁶ \Rightarrow integrand known to 5 loops!

2. Special case: 2 angles

 $\phi_3 = -\phi_1, \quad \phi_4 = -\phi_2$

T-even so can be realized without mag charge

3. General case: 3 angles Re C_B = electric Im C_B = magnetic $Re C_B$ = magnetic $Re C_B$ = magnetic HUGE literature on BPS states

- BPS bound states [static] ['wall crossing']
- Motion at low velocities [Denef& Anynos, ...]

[...]

velocity expansion for D0 branes, etc

We want: relativistic velocities and non-aligned charges

Instead of piecing results together, we just computed from scratch

Long range forces = exchange of massless particles



On-shell susy Ward identities fix 3&4-point amplitudes

long distances = t-channel cut

[Feinberg& Sucher, '80s; Bjerrrum-Bohr, Donoghue, Holstein, Vanhove, ...]



Precession?

Link between scattering problem & closed orbits: EFT



Precession?

Link between scattering problem & closed orbits: EFT



EFT
$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)}|_{1/\sqrt{-t}} = [4A\alpha^2 + \alpha(2B+C) + D]$$

matching: $\frac{d}{dt}\vec{A}^{(0)} = \frac{d}{dt}(\ldots) -\vec{A}^{(1)}$ same!
 $+\{1/L_z,\vec{A}\} \times [4A\alpha^2 + \alpha(2B+C) + D]$
[Kol]

Clearer link would be desirable between these observables

Probe limit

N=8 sugra = 10D IIA compactified on T⁶. 'large' black hole: take D6-brane, preserves symmetries

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{H(r)}}dt^{2} + \sqrt{H(r)}(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{H(r)}}\sum_{i=1}^{6}dx^{i}dx^{i}$$
$$e^{-2\Phi} = H(r)^{3/2} \qquad H(r) = 1 + \frac{4G_{N}m_{A}}{r}$$

probe action:

$$S_{p} = m \int d\tau e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-g_{MN}\partial_{\tau}X^{M}\partial_{\tau}X_{M}} + q \int A_{M} \frac{dx^{M}}{d\tau}$$

Example effective metrics for the probe:

D0-D6
$$d\tilde{s}^2 = -H(r)dt^2 + H^2(r)(dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2)$$

$$H(r) = 1 + \frac{4G_N m_A}{r} \qquad \vec{A}(r) = \frac{N_6}{2r} \frac{[\vec{r} \times \vec{n}]}{r - (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{n})}$$

We also looked at D6-D6 with fluxes

Using conservation laws, we compute, in all cases:

$$\Delta \phi = \int_{r_{min}}^{r_{max}} dr \frac{d\phi}{dr} = \int_{r_{min}}^{r_{max}} dr \frac{1}{r\sqrt{\alpha r^2 + \beta r + 1}} = 2\pi \quad \text{No Precession!}$$

Summary

- I. IPM approximation has so(1,2) dual conformal symmetry, even in GR
 (⇔EOB)
- 2. Extended no-triangle to 1/2BPS black holes in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ no precession \Leftrightarrow no-triangle
 - Hope: reverse the logic : 'no-triangle' at higher-loops?
 - -put higher-PM potential in N=8 in EOB form; can we make 'no-precession' manifest?
 - Can the symmetry be formulated at higher-points?