Constraining Gravitational Waves from Inflation

Ema Dimastrogiovanni

The University of New South Wales

Nordita— September 2019

Outline

Brief intro + current bounds

• Particle sources during inflation

1806.05474 - ED, Fasiello, Hardwick, Assadullahi, Koyama, Wands
1608.04216 - ED, Fasiello, Fujita
1411.3029 - Biagetti, ED, Fasiello, Peloso

Tensor fossils

1906.07204 - ED, Fasiello, Tasinato
1504.05993 - ED, Fasiello, Kamionkowski
1407.8204 - ED, Fasiello, Jeong, Kamionkowski

Polarized Sunyaev–Zeldovich tomography

1810.09463 - Deutsch, ED, Fasiello, Johnson, Muenchmeyer 1707.08129 - Deutsch, ED, Johnson, Muenchmeyer, Terrana

Outline

• Brief intro + current bounds

Particle sources during inflation

• Tensor fossils

Polarized Sunyaev–Zeldovich tomography

The universe over time



Cosmic microwave background



blackbody spectrum: $\bar{T}_{CMB} \sim 2.7 \, K$ nearly isotropic: $\Delta T \sim 10^{-5}$

Inflation

- era of accelerated exponential expansion
- explains why CMB is nearly uniform
- explains how those fluctuations are generated
- stochastic gravitational wave background is generated (a key prediction!)



Inflation

Simplest realization: single-scalar field in slow-roll (SFSR)



Inflation

Simplest realization: single-scalar field in slow-roll (SFSR)









$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t) \left(\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}\right) dx^{i} dx^{j}$$

 $\gamma_i^i = \partial_i \gamma_{ij} = 0$ two polarization states of the graviton



$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t) \left(\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij}\right) dx^{i} dx^{j}$$

 $\gamma_i^i = \partial_i \gamma_{ij} = 0$ two polarization states of the graviton

$$\ddot{\gamma}_{ij} + 3H\dot{\gamma}_{ij} + k^2\gamma_{ij} = 16\pi G \Pi_{ij}^{TT} \qquad \text{anise}_{test}$$

anisotropic stress-energy tensor

- homogeneous solution: GWs from vacuum fluctuations
- inhomogeneous solution: GWs from sources



• homogeneous solution: GWs from vacuum fluctuations

energy scale of inflation

$$\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^{\text{vacuum}}(k) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_T} \qquad \text{red tilt:} \\ n_T = -r/8 \quad \text{amplitude decreases} \\ \text{as we go towards} \\ \text{smaller scales} \\ \text{sm$$

•

Observational bounds/sensitivities

 $r_{0.002\,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}} < 0.056$

(Planck+BICEP2/KECK)

 $V^{1/4} \lesssim 1.6 \times 10^{16} \text{GeV}$

Upper bound on the energy scale of inflation

 $\sigma(r) \rightarrow 0.0005$

<u>Next generation</u>: BICEP Array, SPT-3G, Simons Observatory, CMB-S4, LiteBIRD, PICO, ...

Scales — Experiments



Scales — Experiments



Observational bounds/sensitivities



Outline

Brief intro + current bounds

• Particle sources during inflation

• Tensor fossils

Polarized Sunyaev–Zeldovich tomography

$$\ddot{\gamma}_{ij} + 3H\dot{\gamma}_{ij} + k^2\gamma_{ij} = 16\pi G \Pi_{ij}^{TT}$$

• **inhomogeneous** solution: GWs from **sources**



What kind of sources?

Spectator fields with small sound speed

$$\ddot{\gamma}_{ij} + 3H\dot{\gamma}_{ij} + k^2\gamma_{ij} = 16\pi G \Pi_{ij}^{TT} \propto \partial_i \sigma \partial_j \sigma$$

[Biagetti, Fasiello, Riotto 2012, Biagetti, ED, Fasiello, Peloso 2014, ...]

Auxiliary scalars with time-varying mass

 $\frac{g^2}{2} \left(\phi - \phi_*\right)^2 \chi^2$

 $\frac{\lambda\chi}{4\,f}F\tilde{F}$

[Chung et al. 2000, Senatore et al 2011, ...]

Axion-gauge field models

- naturally light inflaton
- sub-Planckian axion decay constant
- support reheating
- interesting for baryogenesis

[Anber - Sorbo 2009, Cook - Sorbo 2011, Barnaby - Peloso 2011, Adshead - Wyman 2011, Maleknejad - Sheikh-Jabbari, 2011, ED - Fasiello - Tolley 2012, ED - Peloso 2012, Namba - ED - Peloso 2013, Adshead - Martinec -Wyman 2013, ED - Fasiello - Fujita 2016, Garcia-Bellido - Peloso - Unal 2016, Agrawal - Fujita - Komatsu 2017, ...]

Axion-Gauge fields models: SU(2)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{inflaton}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial \chi \right)^2 - U(\chi) - \frac{1}{4} FF + \frac{\lambda \chi}{4f} F\tilde{F}$$

$$P_{\gamma, \text{vacuum}} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{spectator}} \longrightarrow P_{\gamma, \text{sourced}}$$

- Inflaton field dominates energy density of the universe
- Spectator sector contribution to curvature fluctuations negligible



[ED-Fasiello-Fujita 2016]

Axion-Gauge fields models: SU(2)

One helicity of the gauge field fluctuations is amplified from coupling with axion \longrightarrow the same helicity of the tensor mode is amplified



[ED-Fasiello-Fujita 2016]

Axion-Gauge fields models: signatures

• Scale dependence

Chirality

Non-Gaussianity

Outline

Brief intro + current bounds

• Particle sources during inflation

• Tensor fossils

Polarized Sunyaev–Zeldovich tomography

Squeezed non-Gaussianity



amplitude of long-wavelength modes coupled with amplitude of short-wavelength modes







 $\vec{k}_1^{\prime\prime\prime}$

 \vec{k}_{2}



super-Hubble K: constrain tensor modes amplitude/interactions with induced quadrupole anisotropy

$$P_{\gamma}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}_{c})|_{\gamma_{L}} = P_{\gamma}(k) \left(1 + \mathcal{Q}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{x}_{c}, \mathbf{k}) \hat{k}_{\ell} \hat{k}_{m} \right)$$

[ED, Fasiello, Tasinato 2019]



$$P_{\gamma}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}_{c})|_{\gamma_{L}} = P_{\gamma}(k) \left(1 + \mathcal{Q}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{x}_{c}, \mathbf{k}) \hat{k}_{\ell} \hat{k}_{m} \right)$$

[ED, Fasiello, Tasinato 2019]

<u>Important remark</u>: primordial bispectrum highly suppressed on small scales (superposition of signals from a large number of Hubble patches + Shapiro time-delay)

[Bartolo, De Luca, Franciolini, Lewis, Peloso, Riotto 2018]



$$P_{\gamma}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}_{c})|_{\gamma_{L}} = P_{\gamma}(k) \left(1 + \mathcal{Q}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{x}_{c}, \mathbf{k}) \hat{k}_{\ell} \hat{k}_{m} \right)$$

Crucial observable for tensor non-Gaussianity at interferometer scales!

[ED, Fasiello, Tasinato 2019]

Soft limits in inflation

SINGLE-FIELD (single-clock) inflation: soft-limits not observable



Intuitive understanding :

Super-horizon modes freeze-out

• Standard initial conditions

Soft mode rescales background for hard modes Effect can be gauged away!

[Maldacena 2003, Creminelli, Zaldarriaga 2004]

Soft limits in inflation





Soft limits reveal (extra) fields mediating inflaton or graviton interactions

squeezed bispectrum delivers info on mass spectrum!!!



Soft limits in inflation

Extra fields [Chen - Wang 2009, ED - Fasiello - Kamionkowski 2015, Biagetti - ED - Fasiello 2017, ...]

Non-Bunch Davies initial states [Holman - Tolley 2007, Ganc - Komatsu 2012, Brahma - Nelson - Shandera 2013, ...]

Broken space diffs

(e.g. space-dependent background) [Endlich et al. 2013, ED - Fasiello - Jeong - Kamionkowski 2014, Ricciardone - Tasinato 2016, ...]

> probe for (extra) fields, pre-inflationary dynamics, (non-standard) symmetry patterns

Tensor fossils

• Learning about primordial gravitational waves through non-Gaussian effects

- Local observables affected by long modes (anisotropic effects / off-diagonal correlations)
- Quadrupole anisotropy crucial observable for tensor non-Gaussianity at interferometer scales
- Effects from "squeezed" tensor-scalar-scalar bispectrum particularly effective at constraining inflation!

Outline

Brief intro + current bounds

• Particle sources during inflation

• Tensor fossils

Polarized Sunyaev–Zeldovich tomography



Polarized Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect



- Polarization from Thomson scattering of (quadrupolar) radiation by free electrons
- Used to obtain a map of the remote (= locally observed)
 CMB quadrupole
- Additional information w.r.t. primary CMB (scattered photons from off our past light cone)

Polarized Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect

$$(Q \pm iU)(\hat{n}_e)\big|_{pSZ} = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{10}\sigma_T \int d\chi_e \ a(\chi_e) \ n_e(\hat{n}_e, \chi_e) \underbrace{\tilde{q}_{eff}^{\pm}(\hat{n}_e, \chi_e)}_{(qeff)}$$

$$\tilde{q}_{\text{eff}}^{\pm}(\hat{n}_{e},\chi) = \sum_{m=-2}^{2} q_{\text{eff}}^{m}(\hat{n}_{e},\chi_{e}) \pm 2Y_{2m}(\hat{n}_{e})$$

$$q_{\text{eff}}^{m}(\hat{n}_{e},\chi_{e}) = \int d^{2}\hat{n} \left[\Theta(\hat{n}_{e},\chi_{e},\hat{n}) + \Theta^{T}(\hat{n}_{e},\chi_{e},\hat{n})\right] Y_{2m}^{*}(\hat{n})$$
"Denote" (choose used at the location of the secttorer) CMD subdrupple

"Remote" (observed at the location of the scatterer) CMB quadrupole

<u>Notice</u>: $q_{\text{eff}}^m(\mathbf{\hat{n}_e}, \chi_e \to 0) = a_{2m}^T$



pSZ tomography

Reconstructing the remote quadrupole field from CMB-LSS cross-correlation:

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{l} (Q \pm iU) \Big|_{pSZ} \left(\delta(\bar{\chi}_e) \right) \right\rangle \sim \left\langle \delta q^{\pm} \delta \right\rangle \sim q^{\pm}(\hat{n}_e, \bar{\chi}_e) \left\langle \delta \delta \right\rangle(\bar{\chi}_e)$$

tracer of electron
number density ensemble average
over small-scales
(q treated as a fixed
deterministic field) long-wavelength
modulation of
small-scale power

[Kamionkowski, Loeb 1997, Alizadeh, Hirata 2012, Deutsch, ED, Johnson, Muenchmeyer, Terrana - 2017]

pSZ tomography



Bin-averaged quadrupole field moments decomposition:

$$\bar{q}^{\pm \alpha}(\hat{n}_e) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^{q \pm \alpha}{}_{\pm 2} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}_e)$$

$$\begin{cases} a_{\ell m}^{q,E\,\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(a_{\ell m}^{q+\alpha} + a_{\ell m}^{q-\alpha} \right) & \longleftarrow \text{ scalars/}\\ a_{\ell m}^{q,B\,\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2i} \left(a_{\ell m}^{q+\alpha} - a_{\ell m}^{q-\alpha} \right) & \longleftarrow \text{ tensors}\\ \text{only} \end{cases}$$

binned

$$\underbrace{\text{Optimal unbiased estimator}}_{\hat{a}_{\ell m}^{q,X\,\alpha}} : X = E, B$$

$$\hat{a}_{\ell m}^{q,X\,\alpha} = \sum_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2} \left(W_{\ell m \ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2}^{X,E} a_{\ell_1 m_1}^E + W_{\ell m \ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2}^{X,B} a_{\ell_1 m_1}^B \right) \Delta \tau_{\ell_2 m_2}^{\alpha}$$

[A.-S. Deutsch, ED, M.C. Johnson, M. Muenchmeyer, A. Terrana - 2017]

Primordial gravitational wave phenomenology with pSZ tomography

full set of correlations between primary CMB and reconstructed remote quadrupole field



$$C_{\ell,\alpha\alpha'}^{XX} = \int d\ln k \, \Delta_{\ell\alpha}^{X}(k) \Delta_{\ell\alpha'}^{X}(k) \, \mathcal{P}_{h}, \quad X = \{qE, qB\}$$

$$C_{\ell,\alpha}^{qEX} = \int d\ln k \, \Delta_{\ell\alpha}^{qE}(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{X}(k) \, \mathcal{P}_{h}, \quad X = \{T, E\}$$

$$C_{\ell,\alpha}^{qBB} = \int d\ln k \, \Delta_{\ell\alpha}^{qB}(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{B}(k) \, \mathcal{P}_{h}, \quad X, Y = \{T, E\}$$

$$C_{\ell,\alpha\alpha'}^{qEqB} = \Delta_{c} \int d\ln k \, \Delta_{\ell\alpha}^{qE}(k) \Delta_{\ell\alpha'}^{qB}(k) \, \mathcal{P}_{h}, \quad X, Y = \{T, E\}$$

$$C_{\ell,\alpha}^{qEB} = \Delta_{c} \int d\ln k \, \Delta_{\ell\alpha}^{qE}(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{B}(k) \, \mathcal{P}_{h}, \quad X = \{T, E\}$$

$$C_{\ell\alpha}^{qBX} = \Delta_{c} \int d\ln k \, \Delta_{\ell\alpha}^{qB}(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{X}(k) \, \mathcal{P}_{h}, \quad X = \{T, E\}$$

$$C_{\ell}^{XB} = (\Delta_{c}) \int d\ln k \, \Delta_{\ell\alpha}^{qB}(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{B}(k) \, \mathcal{P}_{h}, \quad X = \{T, E\}$$
chirality
primordial tensor power spectrum

Fisher matrix forecast to derive exclusion bounds

• Our parameters:

amplitude rscale-dependence n_T chirality Δ_c

Forecasted parameter constraints



- green: zero-noise cosmic variance limit using primary CMB T, E, B
- red: T, E, B, qE, qB with instrumental noise $1 \mu K$ arcmin
- blue: T, E, B, qE, qB with instrumental noise $0.1 \,\mu K$ arcmin
- grey: T, E, B, qE, qB with no instrumental noise

PGW phenomenology with pSZ tomography

improvements on constraints on phenomenological models of the tensor sector w.r.t. using the primary CMB (only)

Observers: optimize future missions to go after these signals

Primordial gravitational waves

- a very important probe of inflation
- can lead to discovery of new physics

- testable on a vast range of scales (and from cross-correlations of different probes!)
- different observables (amplitude, chirality, scale dependence, non-Gaussianity) to characterize them and identify their sources

Thank you!