# Gravitational wave evolution from acoustic and vortical sources

- Pencil Code
- Correspondence between kinetic or magnetic spectra with GW spectra
- Inertial and subinertial range spectra
- Scalar and vector modes in vertical and acoustic (irrotational) turbulence
- Onset of GW energy and vorticity

Axel Brandenburg, Tina Kahniashvili, Arthur Kosowsky, Sayan Mandal, & Alberto Roper Pol

# Small Lorentz factors, $\gamma \sim 1$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial t} &= -\frac{4}{3} \left( \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln \rho \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B}) + \eta \boldsymbol{J}^2 \right], \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} &= -\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{\boldsymbol{u}}{3} \left( \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln \rho \right) \\ &- \frac{\boldsymbol{u}}{\rho} \left[ \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B}) + \eta \boldsymbol{J}^2 \right] - \frac{1}{4} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln \rho \\ &+ \frac{3}{4\rho} \boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B} + \frac{2}{\rho} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \nu \boldsymbol{S}) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}, \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} &= \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \eta \boldsymbol{J} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}), \end{split}$$

# **Treatment in Pencil Code**

Scale factor a  $\rightarrow$   $\tilde{h}_{ij} = ah_{ij}$  ...but then drop tilde

$$\left(\partial_{\bar{t}}^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) h_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, \bar{t}) = 6 T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \bar{t}) / \bar{t}$$

- No damping
  Except of the source
- Kolmogorov
- GW spectrum by *k*<sup>2</sup> steeper
- hdot not kh (=dashed)



# "Usual" 3<sup>rd</sup> order Runge-Kutta

 $\begin{pmatrix} h_{ij} \\ h'_{ij} \end{pmatrix}_{t+\delta t} \equiv \boldsymbol{q}_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{q}_i = \boldsymbol{q}_{i-1} + \beta_i \boldsymbol{w}_i, \quad \boldsymbol{w}_i = \alpha_i \boldsymbol{w}_{i-1} + \delta t \boldsymbol{Q}_{i-1}, \quad (\text{approach I}).$ 

with  $\alpha_1 = 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 = -5/9$ ,  $\alpha_3 = -153/128$ ,  $\beta_1 = 1/3$ ,  $\beta_2 = 15/16$ ,  $\beta_3 = 8/15$ , and

$$\boldsymbol{q}_{i-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h_{ij} \\ h'_{ij} \end{pmatrix}_t, \quad \boldsymbol{Q}_{i-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h'_{ij} \\ c^2 \nabla^2 h_{ij} + \mathcal{G} T_{ij} \end{pmatrix}_t$$



#### "Exact" between 2 time steps







- 2001 2007: cvs at Nordita (w/Dobler)
- 2007 2008: svn at Nordita (+Heinemann)
- 2008 2015: svn on google code
- 2015 now: svn & git on github
  - CUDA, particle & various other modules
  - PCSC  $\rightarrow$  Pencil Code Steering Committee

# Now 30,218 commits



# Updates since last year

A search using http:// adslabs.org or Bumblebee https: //ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/lists the papers in which the PEN-CIL CODE is being quoted. In the following we present the papers that are making use of the code either for their own scientific work of those authors, or for code comparison purposes. We include conference proceedings, which make up 15–20% of all papers. We classify the references by year and by topic, although the topics are often overlapping. The primary application of the Pencil Code lies in astrophysics, in which case we classify mostly by the field of research.



Figure 1: Number of papers since 2003 that make use of the PEN-CIL CODE. In red is shown the number of papers that reference it for code comparison or other purposes and in blue the papers that are not co-authored by Brandenburg. The enhanced number of papers during 2011–2013 results from publications related to his ERC Advanced Grant.

# **Special Issue**

#### Special issue on ``Physics and Algorithms of the Pencil Code'' in Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics (GAFD)

0) Editorial (PDF, 5 pages)

http://norlx51nordita.org/~brandenb/tmp/editorial/paper.pdf

- Käpylä, P. J., Gent, F. A., Olspert, N., Käpylä, M. J., & Brandenburg, 7) A.: 2019, ``Sensitivity to luminosity, centrifugal force, and boundar conditions in spherical shell convection," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* (arXiv: 1807.09309, DOI: 10.1080/03091929.2019.1571586, PDF, 25 pages)
- Aarnes, J. R., Jin, T., Mao, C., Haugen, N. E. L., Luo, K., Andersson, H. I.: , 2018, ``Treatment of solid objects in the Pencil Code using an immersed boundary method and overset grids," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.*, published (<u>arXiv:1806.06776</u>, <u>DOI: 10.1080/03091929.2018.1492720</u>, <u>PDF</u>, 23 pages)
- Qian, C., Wang, C., Liu, J., Brandenburg, A., Haugen, N. E. L., & Liberman, M.: 2019, "Convergence properties of detonation simulations," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.*, in press (arXiv: 1902.03816, ADS, HTML, PDF, 17 pages)
- 4) Gent, F. A., Mac Low, M.-M., Kä, pylä, M. J., Sarson, G. R., Hollins, J. F., 2018, ``Modelling supernova driven turbulence," Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. (arXiv: 1806.01570, DOI: 10.1080/03091929.2019.1634705, PDF, 29 pages)

- 5) Schober, J., Brandenburg, A., & Rogachevskii, I.: 2019, ``Chiral fermion asymmetry in high-energy plasma simulations," Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. (arXiv: 1808.06624, ADS, DOI: 10.1080/03091929.2019.1591393, PDF, 24 pages)
- 6) Roper Pol, A., Brandenburg, A., Kahniashvili, T., Kosowsky, A., Mandal, S.: 2018, ``The timestep constraint in solving the gravitational wave equations sourced by hydromagnetic turbulence," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.*, in press (arXiv: 1807.05479, DOI: 10.1080/03091929.2019.1653460, PDF, 32 pages)
  - Brandenburg, A., & Das, U.: 2019, ``The time step constraint in radiation hydrodynamics,'' *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.*, submitted (<u>arXiv:190106385, ADS, HTML, PDF</u>, 29 pages)
- 8) Singh, N. K., Raichur, H., Käpylä, M. J., Rheinhardt, M., Brandenburg, A., & Käpylä, P. J.: 2018, ``g-mode strengthening from a localized bipolar subsurface magnetic field," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* (arXiv: 1808.08904 ADS, DOI: 10.1080/03091929.2019.1653461, PDF, 17 pages)
- Chatterjee, P., 2018, ``Testing Alfven wave propagation in a realistic set-up of the solar atmosphere," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid* Dyn., in press (<u>arXiv:1806.08166</u>, 17 pages)
- Warnecke, J., & Bingert, S.: 2018, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn., in press (<u>arXiv:1811.01572</u>, 17 pages)
- Bourdin, P. A.: 2018, ``Driving solar coronal MHD simulations on high-performance computers," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* (<u>arXiv: 1908.08557</u>, <u>DOI: 10.1080/03091929.2019.1643849</u>, <u>PDF</u>, 26 pages)

# **Definition of spectra**

$$E_{\mathrm{M}}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_- < |\mathbf{k}| \le k_+} |\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{k})|^2,$$
$$H_{\mathrm{M}}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_- < |\mathbf{k}| \le k_+} (\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^* + \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^* \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}}),$$

 $k_{\pm} = k \pm \delta k/2$  and  $\delta k = 2\pi/L$  is the

$$\int_0^\infty E(k) \, \mathrm{d}k = \frac{1}{2} \langle u^2 \rangle.$$

# Self-similar turbulent decay

$$E_{\mathrm{M}}(k\xi_{\mathrm{M}}(t),t) \approx \xi_{\mathrm{M}}^{-\beta_{\mathrm{M}}} \phi(k\xi_{\mathrm{M}}).$$
$$\int E_{i}(k,t) \,\mathrm{d}k = \mathcal{E}_{i} \quad \xi_{i}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} k^{-1} E_{i}(k,t) \,\mathrm{d}k/\mathcal{E}_{i}(t)$$

instantaneous  $p_i(t) = d \ln \mathcal{E}_i / d \ln t$ ,  $q_i(t) = d \ln \xi_i / d \ln t$ , scaling exponents  $1 + \beta_M = p_M / q_M$ 

β	p	q	inv.	dim.
4	$10/7 \approx 1.43$	$2/7 \approx 0.286$	$\mathcal{L}$	$[x]^7 [t]^{-2}$
3	$8/6 \approx 1.33$	$2/6 \approx 0.333$		
2	6/5 = 1.20	2/5 = 0.400		
1	4/4 = 1.00	2/4 = 0.500	$\langle oldsymbol{A}_{ m 2D}^2  angle$	$[x]^4[t]^{-2}$
0	$2/3 \approx 0.67$	$2/3 \approx 0.667$	$\langle {m A} \cdot {m B}  angle$	$[x]^{3}[t]^{-2}$
-1	0/2 = 0.00	2/1 = 1.000		

#### Collapsed spectra and pq diagrams



#### Nonhelical inverse transfer: k<sup>-2</sup> spectrum





helical vs nonhelical

So-called "weak" (or wave) turbulence

 $E_{\mathrm{WT}}(k,t) = C_{\mathrm{WT}}(\epsilon v_A k_M)^{1/2} k^{-2},$ 

#### Horizon scales for k<sup>4</sup> spectrum



Correspondence of spectra  

$$\left(\partial_{\bar{t}}^2 - c^2 \nabla^2\right) h_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, \bar{t}) = 6 T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \bar{t})/\bar{t}$$
  
 $T_{ij} = (p + \rho) \gamma^2 u_i u_j - B_i B_j + (p + B^2/2) \delta_{ij}$ 

- If spectral slope of *B* is -5/3, then
- Spectral slope of  $B^2$  is -5/3-2 = -11/3
- But for slope 4, we don't get 4-2 = 2, but 0.



# Spectra of the source



16

## Experiments with scalar fields s



- Spectrum of source agrees with spectrum of  $d^2h/dt^2$
- Spectrum of  $d^2h/dt^2$  agrees with that of kdh/dt
- Therefore, spectrum of h is  $k^{-2}$  times that of source 17

## Same for positive slopes



- $k^2$  spectrum is that of while noise (shell integrated!)
- Its square is also that of white noise
- Even a bluer spectrum becomes white again

#### Intermediate cases



- For slopes btw -2 and 2: more complicated
- For red spectra (negative slope): same
- For blue spectra (steeper than 2): always 2 (white)

#### Energy per linear & logarithmic k interval

slope of	naive exp	new	Kol	Gol
$E_{\rm M}$	4		-5/3	-11/3
$E_{\rm GW} \propto S_{\dot{h}}$	2	0	-11/3	-17/3
$\Omega_{\rm GW} \propto k S_{\dot{h}}$	3	1	-8/3	-14/3
$S_h$	0	-2	-17/3	-23/3
$kS_h$	1	-1	-14/3	-20/3
$h_{\rm c}$	1/2	-1/2	-7/3	-10/3

• Different sign of slope of characteristic strain!

#### GW energy & strain spectra



#### Spectrum of gravitational radiation from primordial turbulence

Grigol Gogoberidze,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Tina Kahniashvili,<sup>3,2,†</sup> and Arthur Kosowsky<sup>4,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Plasma Astrophysics, K.U. Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>National Abastumani Astrophysical Observatory, 2A Kazbegi Ave, GE-0160 Tbilisi, Georgia

<sup>3</sup>Center for Cosmology and Particle Physics, New York University, 4 Washington Plaza, New York, New York 10003, USA <sup>4</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, 3941 O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, USA (Received 4 May 2007; published 5 October 2007)





#### Gravitational radiation from primordial helical inverse cascade magnetohydrodynamic turbulence

Tina Kahniashvili,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Leonardo Campanelli,<sup>4,5</sup> Grigol Gogoberidze,<sup>1,3,6</sup> Yurii Maravin,<sup>1</sup> and Bharat Ratra<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Kansas State University, 116 Cardwell Hall, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Laurentian University, Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury, ON P3E 2C6, Canada

<sup>3</sup>National Astrophysical Observatory, Ilia Chavchavadze State University, 2A Kazbegi Ave, Tbilisi, GE-0160, Georgia

<sup>4</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy

<sup>5</sup>INFN - Sezione di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy

<sup>6</sup>Centre for Plasma Astrophysics, K.U. Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Leuven, Belgium (Received 10 September 2008; published 16 December 2008)



- Inverse cascade
- Duration of source
- Peak moves left
- Now negative slope
- Agreement with simulations?
- Still -10/3, not -7/3



# Effect of inv cascade on GW spectrum

- Significant transfer to the left
- Yet no increase of GW energy
- Was determined by maximum





from Alberto's talk

• Relation between peak and GW energy

 $10^{-8}$ 

 $10^{-9}$ 

10<sup>-11</sup>

10<sup>-12</sup>

0.001

 ${}^{MO}_{Z} U_{Z}^{0} U_{Z}^{0} \eta$ 

ac i=K



# Non-abrupt end of driving



- Larger GW energy from graceful exit
- GW energy can be ~3x larger
- To understand slope-amplitude relation

# Longer runs



- Indeed: GW
   energy can be
   ~3x larger
- stops growing
   when Ω<sub>GW</sub>
   drops below
   certain value
- About 20% of maximum?

# Spectra from driven case



- Sharp drop
- Long subinertial range

# Irrotational $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ Vortical



- Irrotational: scalar & vector dominant
- Vortical: subdominant, so full~projected!

# Irrotational: no dynamo, & hardly any vorticity



$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{\omega}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{\omega}) + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{\omega} + \nabla \times (\mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \ln \rho)$$

**Table 1.** Results for the normalized vorticity,  $\omega_{\rm rms}/(u_{\rm rms} k_{\rm peak})$ , as a function of Re and resolution. The durations of the runs vary between  $N_{\rm turn} = 16$  and 250 turnover times, and  $\nu$  varies between  $10^{-3}$  and  $5 \times 10^{-5}$ . For the high resolution run with  $512^3$  mesh points and  $\nu = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ , we have  $N_{\rm turn} = 59$  turnover times.

Re	512 <sup>3</sup>	256 <sup>3</sup>	128 <sup>3</sup>
50	$1.4 + \times 10^{-3}$	$8.7 \times 10^{-3}$	
25		$1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.9 \times 10^{-3}$
12		$1.6 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$
4		$7.6 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$

# GW and vorticity



- Vorticity from obique shocks
- GW perhaps consequence of vorticity

# Simple example

$$\left(\partial_t^2 + 3H\partial_t - c^2\nabla^2\right)h_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \frac{16\pi G}{c^2}T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$$
$$T_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \left(p/c^2 + \rho\right)\gamma^2 u_i u_j - B_i B_j + (\boldsymbol{B}^2/2 + p)\delta_{ij}$$

Example

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \sin kx \\ \cos kx \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sin kx \\ \cos kx \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sin kx \\ \cos kx \end{pmatrix} = k\boldsymbol{B}$$

Traceless-transverse  

$$T_{ij}(x) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{M}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\cos 2kx & \sigma \sin 2kx \\ 0 & \sigma \sin 2kx & \cos 2kx \end{pmatrix}$$

# Early examples

Projection  $\hat{T}_{ij}^{\text{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = (P_{il}P_{jm} - \frac{1}{2}P_{ij}P_{lm})\hat{T}_{lm}(\boldsymbol{k},t)$ 



- Magnetic energy spectrum  $\int E_{\rm M}(k,t) dk = \langle B^2 \rangle / 2$
- Positive helicity (red), negative (blue)
- GW energy spectra

# Conclusion

- Pencil Code: GW advanced exactly
- For  $E(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$  we get  $\Omega(k) \sim k^{-8/3}$  and  $h_c(k) \sim k^{-7/3}$ - not -14/3 and -10/3
- but  $E(k) \sim k^4$  leads to  $\Omega(k) \sim k$  and  $h_c(k) \sim k^{-1/2}$ - not 3 and +1/2
- Vortical turbulence: vector & scalar modes weak
- Irrotational (acoustic) turbulence: they are strong, especially at small scales
  - GW generation coincides with onset of vorticity generation