Lattice Gauge Theory Calculations of NN Weak Amplitudes

Evan Berkowitz

Institut für Kernphysik Institute for Advanced Simulation Forschungszentrum Jülich

Particle Physics with Neutrons at the ESS NORDITA 11 December, 2018







David Brantley, Henry Monge Camacho, Chia Berkeley Cheng (Jason) Chang, Ken McElvain, André LBL

RBRC

FZJ

Liverpool

Plymouth







NVIDIA

University of Glasgow

TGERS

Bálint Joó JLab

EB

Nicolas Garron

Walker-Loud

Enrico Rinaldi

LLNL Pavlos Vranas

NERSC Thorsten Kurth

UNC **Amy Nicholson**

Kate Clark nVidia

Glasgow

William &

Mary

Chris Bouchard Rutgers

Chris Monahan

Kostas Orginos





Software	References
METAQ	Berkowitz arXiv:1702.06122 <u>github.com/evanberkowitz/metaq</u> Berkowitz et al. EPJ (LATTICE2017) 175 09007 (2018)
chroma QDP++	Edwards and Joo (SciDAC, LHPC and UKQCD Collaborations) Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl 140, 832 (2005)
QUDA	Clark et al. Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 1517 (2010) Babich et al. Supercomputing 11, 70
hdf5 in QDP++	Kurth et al PoS LATTICE2014 045 (2015)
qmp	Chen, Edwards, and Watson et al. https://github.com/usqcd-software/qmp
	Berkowitz et al. FPJ (LATTICE2017) 175 09007 (2018)

mpi_jm McElvain et al. <u>https://github.com/kenmcelvain/mpi_jm/</u>



Introduction to LQCD $\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 + \bar{\psi}(i\not{D} + m)\psi$

$$C(t) = \left\langle \mathcal{O}(t)\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(0) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}\psi \ \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \ \mathcal{D}U \ \mathcal{O}(t)\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(0)e^{-S[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}U \det \left(\not\!\!D + M \right) e^{-S[U]} \ \mathcal{O}(t)\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(0)$$

Introduction to LQCD $\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 + \bar{\psi}(i\not{D} + m)\psi$

$$\begin{split} C(t) &= \left\langle \mathcal{O}(t)\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(0) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}\psi \ \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \ \mathcal{D}U \ \mathcal{O}(t)\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(0)e^{-S[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}U \det \left(\not\!\!D + M \right) e^{-S[U]} \ \mathcal{O}(t)\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(0) \\ & \text{lattice} \\ & \text{finite volume} \end{split}$$

























LQCD Systematics





continuum limit



physical quark masses



infinite volume limit







Enrico's talk Thursday morning 12:10

GA

Enrico's talk Thursday morning 9:25

nn Oscillations

Rinaldi, Syritsyn, Wagman, Buchoff, Schroeder, and Wasem 1809.00246



Physical point						
🗙 continuum, infinite volume						
Operator	$\overline{\mathrm{MS}}(2 \text{ GeV}),$	$\frac{\overline{\text{MS}}(2 \text{ GeV})}{\text{MIT bag B}}$	Bare,	$\chi^2/{ m dof}$		
	10^{-5} GeV^6		10^{-5} l.u.			
Q_1	-44(19)	5.0	-3.7(1.6)	0.75		
Q_2	140(40)	12.8	11.8(3.2)	0.69		
Q_3	-79(23)	9.7	-6.6(1.9)	0.72		
Q_5	-1.43(64)	2.1	-0.096(42)	0.73		

enhancement means experiments have greater reach

CP Violating πN Coupling

Brantley, Joo, Mastropas, Mereghetti, Monge-Camacho, Tiburzi, and Walker-Loud arXiv:1612.07733 [See also PLB 766 (2017) 254-262]





NEDM Svritsvn, Obki, Izubuchi CIPANP 2018 18

 $V = 48^{3} \times 96 (\times 24 \text{ DWF})$ a = 0.114 fm m_{\pi} = 139.2 MeV

Syritsyn, Ohki, Izubuchi CIPANP 2018 1810.03721



n EDM

Dragos, Luu, Shindler, de Vries LATTICE 2017 EPJ Web Conf 175 (2018) 06018 arXiv:1711.04730



GA Quenching NPLQCD PRL 120 (2018) 15 152002 arXiv:1712.03221

m_{π} ~ 800 MeV; a~0.145 fm



PP Fusion NPLQCD PRL 119 (2017) 06 062002 arXiv:1610.04545

$m_{\pi} \sim 800$ MeV; $a \sim 0.145$ fm



$L_{1,A}=3.9(0.2)^{stat}(1.0)^{fit}(0.4)^{mass}(0.9)^{EFT}$

- Pionless EFT
- Dineutron bound at 800 MeV
- Just need binding energies, matrix element from the lattice

Isotensor Polarizability ($2\beta vv$) $m_{\pi} \sim 800$ MeV; a~0.145 fm

NPLQCD PRL 119 (2017) 06 062003 arXiv:1701.03456



Short Range Ονββ CalLat PRL 121 (2018) 17 172501 arXiv:1805.02634







 $O(p^{-2})$ long-range π exchange



$O(p^{-1})$ new πN vertex



(p⁰) NN contact operator Can be promoted, as in Cirigliano, Dekens, Mereghetti and

Walker-Loud PRC 97 (2018) 06 065501 arXiv:1710.01729

Prézeau, Ramsey-Musolf, Vogel PRD68 034016 hep-ph/0303205

Short Distance $0\nu\beta\beta$ $\pi^+\pi^-$ Transition

CalLat PRL 121 (2018) 17 172501 arXiv:1805.02634





Short-distance 0vββ

CalLat PRL 121 (2018) 17 172501 arXiv:1805.02634



Data + jupyter notebook available on GitHub https://github.com/callat-qcd/project_0vbb

Wasem PRC85 (2012) 022501 arXiv:1108.1151

$\mathcal{L}_{PV}^{\pi NN} = h_{\pi NN}^1 \left(\bar{p}\pi^+ n - \bar{n}\pi^- p \right)$

 $h_{\pi NN}^{1,con} = (1.099 \pm 0.505^{+0.058}_{-0.064}) \ 10^{-7}$







 $m_{\pi} \sim 389$ MeV; a~0.123 fm



Wasem PRC85 (2012) 022501 arXiv:1108.1151

$\mathcal{L}_{PV}^{\pi NN} = h_{\pi NN}^1 \left(\bar{p}\pi^+ n - \bar{n}\pi^- p \right)$

 $h_{\pi NN}^{1,con} = (1.099 \pm 0.505^{+0.058}_{-0.064}) \ 10^{-7}$







 $m_{\pi} \sim 389$ MeV; a~0.123 fm

 $PV \neq VP$



$m_{\pi} \sim 800$ MeV; $a \sim 0.145$ fm

CalLat PoS(LATTICE 2015)329 arXiv:1511.02260





 $PV \neq VP$



CalLat PoS(LATTICE 2015)329 arXiv:1511.02260

- e- \overline{v}_{e} $\Delta I=2$ $^{3}P_{0}$ $1S_{0}$
- ³P₀ is not bound
- Need ¹S₀ binding energy,
 ³P₀ phase shift +
 derivative, and matrix
 element from the lattice



CalLat PoS(LATTICE 2015)329 arXiv:1511.02260











- ³P₀ is not bound
- Need ¹S₀ binding energy,
 ³P₀ phase shift +
 derivative, and matrix
 element from the lattice

Hadronic Parity Violation CalLat PoS(LATTICE 2015)329 arXiv:1511.02260









Summary

Outlook

- g_A, nn
 : Looking good, but you'll hear more

 Thursday
- θEDM + cEDM: progress, but indistinguishable from zero; Weinberg operator: not as much progress
- CP violating πN coupling
- NN: g_A quenching, pp fusion, isotensor polarizability ($2\nu\beta\beta$)
- $\pi^+\pi^-$ transition ($0\nu\beta\beta$)
- $\Delta I=2$ Hadronic PV

Single-nucleon quark-bilinear matrix elements: form factors, radii, σ term, polarizabilities

- ttEFT can help enormously
- four-quark operators require EFT understanding / new methods (meson transitions are much simpler, for example)
- New computers + methods will yield a dramatic improvement.