

### Cyclotron Radiation Emission Spectroscopy for Neutron Decay

Brent A. VanDevender

Particle Physics With Neutrons at the ESS Nordic Institute for Theoretical Physics Stockholm, Sweden

**December 13, 2018** 



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy







- Motivation: evade the dominant systematics in measurements of Fierz interference ("little b") in neutron decay: constrain non-SM tensor (T) interactions
- A brief background on absolute neutrino mass measurements and the tritium endpoint method
- Cyclotron Radiation Emission Spectroscopy (CRES)
- CRES progress from the Project 8 neutrino mass experiment
- CRES challenges unique to the neutron decay experiment



# **Fierz Interference in Neutron Decay**

- Fierz interference term appears for non-SM S and T couplings ( $b_{SM}=0$ ).
  - S coupling is highly constrained by superallowed beta decay studies
  - neutron decay then primarily constrains T couplings
  - $\Delta b \lesssim 10^{-3}$  begins to compete with pion decay constraints on T
- *b* determined experimentally by a fit to the measured shape of the electron spectrum in free neutron decay:  $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \overline{\nu}_e$









### **Experimental Status of "little b"**

One directly determined limit by the UCNA experiment:

 $b = 0.067 \pm 0.005_{\text{stat}} \pm ^{0.090}_{0.061 \text{syst}}$ 

Hickerson et al. (UCNA Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 96 (2017).

- Precision dominated by detector-related systematics, primarily
  - Nonlinearity
  - Absolute energy calibration
- Nab plans  $\Delta b \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3}$  with cold neutrons at **ORNL's SNS**
- Nab precision also dominated by systematics
  - Energy calibration
  - Electron backgrounds

Počanić et al., NIM A611 (2009).







### **Nab Spectrometer and Detector**



# Hope, and a Suggestion for the $\Delta b < 10^{-3}$ Future **Beyond Nab**

- Measurement precision of b in neutron decays is and will continue to be dominated by systematics associated with electron detector response.
- The suggestion is therefore not to use a traditional solid or gas detector detector at all.
- Rather, detect the radiation from magnetically trapped electrons.
  - Convert the problem from the energy domain to frequency domain  $\rightarrow$  extreme precision and absolute reference calibrations.
  - The laws of E&M are absolutely linear.
  - It is expected that the background of accidentally trapped electrons is extremely small.
- This is the approach taken by Project 8, an experiment to determine the absolute neutrino mass scale...



### The Tritium Endpoint Method to Determine the **Absolute Neutrino Mass Scale**

- Tritium Beta Decay:  ${}^{3}\text{H} \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He} + e^{-} + \overline{\nu_{e}}$
- High-precision spectroscopy on the e<sup>-</sup>
- Neutrino mass manifests as a deviation at the energy endpoint
- Fit the spectral shape with  $m_{ve}^2$  as a free parameter:

$$m_{\nu_e}^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 |U_{ei}|^2 m_i^2$$



- Only extremely rare decays very near the endpoint are sensitive to  $m_{\nu}$
- Statistical sensitivity of  $m_{ve}^2$  is roughly ~1/ $N^{1/2}$ , that of  $m_{ve}$  is ~1/ $N^{1/4}$
- Each generation of tritium endpoint experiment must accommodate *much* more intense tritium source than the last



### The Quest for Statistical Sensitivity to **Neutrino Mass**

### Karlsruhe Tritium Neutrino (KATRIN) Experiment



- KATRIN uses the maximum possible source column density statistical sensitivity can only improve by expanding the source radially.
  - The spectrometer(s) must expand proportionally.
  - Sensitivity to inverted hierarchy  $m_{ve}$  required ~100s of meters diameter!
  - KATRIN is already the best possible experiment of its kind! It will determine  $m_{ve} < 0.200 \text{ eV}/c^2$  (90% c.l.).
- Improvement in neutrino mass statistical sensitivity will require a spectrometer with a better source scaling relation.



# **Cyclotron Radiation Emission Spectroscopy (CRES)**



- Project 8 will use CRES [Monreal and Formaggio, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009)]:
  - Detect microwave cyclotron radiation from magnetically trapped electrons.
  - Tritium source is transparent to  $\mu$  waves. Directly instrument the source region  $\rightarrow$  improved scaling ~volume.
  - Nondestructive frequency domain technique extreme precision w/ absolute standards.
- Kinetic energy and cyclotron frequency are related by relativistic kinematics:
  - Frequency depends only on kinetic energy (E) and magnetic field (B)
  - Frequency does *not* depend on pitch angle ( $\theta$ )

$$f = \frac{f_0}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{eB}{m_e + E/c^2} \qquad P = \frac{2\pi e^2 f_0^2}{3\varepsilon_0 c} \frac{\beta^2 s}{1-1}$$

- Tritium endpoint electrons (*E*=18.6 keV) emit *P*~1 fW at *f*≈26 GHz in a 1 T B-field.
- $\Delta E = 1 \text{ eV} \rightarrow \Delta f \approx 50 \text{ kHz}$  and requires ~10 µs observation time.





# **Cyclotron Radiation Emission Spectroscopy (CRES)**

- Real experiments must confine electrons in a magnetic trap for sufficient observation time:
  - *B* is the average field sampled by the electron in an observation time window.
  - Introduces pitch angle ( $\theta$ ) dependence.

Pacific

Northwest

• Harmonic traps  $(B \sim z^2)$  have an analytical solution for instantaneous frequency:

$$\omega(t) \approx \Omega_c \left( \left( 1 + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{2\sin^2 \theta} \right) + \frac{Pt}{\gamma_0 m_e c^2} + \frac{z_{max} \Omega_a}{v_p} \cos(\Omega_a t) \right)$$

- 1st term is "naïve" cyclotron frequency plus a correction due to slightly different field variations sampled by electrons w/ different starting angles  $\theta$ . Correction can be significant. (~10<sup>-4</sup>, or <10 MHz ~ 200 eV).
- $2^{nd}$  term is a *chirp* due to energy lost to cyclotron radiation power P (~kHz/µs).
- 3<sup>rd</sup> term is a *warble* due to reflections at the end of the trap leads to doppler sidebands in frequency spectra.
- Range of trapped pitch angles  $\delta\theta$  only depends on the ratio of magnetic fields.
- Fraction of trapped isotropically emitted electrons  $\varepsilon_{T}$  also depends only on the fields.

$$\epsilon_{\rm T} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{B}{B_{\rm max}}}.$$
  $\delta\theta_{\rm max} = \cos^{-1}\sqrt{\frac{B}{B_{\rm max}}}.$ 







1 2 - 5 - 5		25	
1000			
-			
		20	
		20	
			$\sim$
ALC: NO			1
			<u></u>
			Ð
-			
1. A.			E
			-
		15	<u>.</u>
		10	Ħ
			ίΩ.
			-
			θ
-			<u>.</u> 0
			0
Sec. Sec.			Ĺ
and the second second			7
		10	5
			1
1.2.2			b
			č
and a second			5
-			: <u> </u>
			S
The second			
		-	
	-	5	
		-	
-			* <b>^</b>
			A
-			ho
			ng
- and the second			۵\/۵
			210



Just in case the projector fails to render the previous view









1 2 - 5 - 5	 25	
	20	
	20	
		$\sim$
1.		<u>آ</u> لک
		g
		Θ
1		E,
		-
	15	0
	10	Ξ
		σ,
		-
-		Ð
		<u>s</u>
		<u></u>
		č
-		Ī
	10	0
	10	Ŧ
1000		Ы
		2
and the second		5
		. <u> </u>
		S
the state of		
	 5	
	<u> </u>	
-		
		* ^
		Â
14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ha
		pe
		0.11
	l	eve



1 MHz ≈ 20 eV

792

790

788

786

784

782

780

778

0

GHz (MHz)

24

Frequency

electron scatters inelastically, losing energy and changing pitch angle.

> frequency chirps linearly, corresponding to ~1 fW radiative loss.

> > 4

start frequency of the first track gives kinetic energy.

2

Time (ms)

3



		05	
1		20	
-			
	_	20	
		20	
			-
			2
			2
			2
1000			.>
			1
	-	15	->
			Ť
			Ľ,
Concerne and			2
			g
			2
		-	
		10	÷
		_	_
14 A 1			0
			<u> </u>
			τ
			1
			0
		E	
Charles and		Э	
1.00			
			*
			b
			~
Carlo and			е
			-





		05	
1 1 1 1 1 1		25	
and the second			
1000			
		20	
		20	
			_
			<u>`</u>
-			g
			Q
-			2
			Ξ
10 E 24		15	<u>_</u> C
		10	+
			- G
			-
			Q
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			<u>.</u>
			C
the second			Ē
-			Ţ
		10	5
			1
1.25			0
			è
			- 7
			U
Carl Street			
		_	
		5	
		-	
			*
			h
			D
and the			Δ
	_		C



# **CRES Demonstration With <sup>83m</sup>Kr (Phase I)**





10.7 mm





Frequency (GHz) 25.6 25.4 25.2 25.0 24.8 0.30 600 0.25 Counts per second per 40 eV L3 500 e< 0.20  $L_2$ ★ 400 per 300 0.15 Counts 200 0.10 Κ 100 Μ 0.05 30.1 30.2 30.3 30.4 30.5 32 16 18 20 26 28 30 34 22 24 Reconstructed energy (keV)

Asner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015)

Very good energy resolution, with low-*E* (high-*f*) tails expected for a harmonic trap:

Pacific

Northwest

"Linearity" of frequency-toenergy conversion at constant *B* indicated by ratio of conversion electron frequencies:

$$\Delta E_{L3} = 15 \text{ eV} (\text{FWHM})$$

(1.023870(60) measured)predicted 1.023875(2)



- More Recently: 3.3 eV FWHM w/more uniform "bathtub."
- 3.3 eV resolution includes 1.4 eV of natural line width.
- Resolution is understood to follow from *B*-field variations.

### First CRES Continuous (Tritium) Spectrum **Measurement (Phase II)** Northwest 5<sup>0.6</sup> NATIONAL LABORATORY



Pacific









### Linearity in **B**



- Field-Shifting Solenoid (FSS) surrounds the CRES insert shown on the previous slide.
- Used to scan *B*, while observing the cyclotron frequency and intensity of the 17.8 keV <sup>83m</sup>Kr conversion electron (constant *E*).
- Demonstrates expected linearity of relation  $f \propto B$ 
  - 70 MHz range (≈1.5 keV energy equivalent)
  - Residuals ~10 kHz (~0.1 eV energy equivalent)





### **Lineshape and Intrinsic Resolution**



# In addition to asymmetric tail from non-flat traps,



## More Tritium for Statistical Sensitivity (Phase III)

- Must accommodate more tritium for statistical sensitivity
- Larger source too big for waveguide  $\rightarrow$  free space environment sensed by antennas:
  - 10-cm-diameter cylindrical array
  - Resonant patch antenna elements (copper)
  - Passively fed along  $B \propto z$
  - Actively instrumented around  $\theta$
- Electron trap provided by coils completely outside the array (green)



Actively combine around  $\theta$ , dynamically focus by tuning relative phases  $w_i$ 

200 cm<sup>3</sup> fiducial volume 10-cm diameter patch antenna array



Example: focus in the center (*w<sub>i</sub>*=0, *i*=1...n)





# **Challenges for Neutrinos and Neutrons**

- Common Challenges: •
  - Calibration provided by gaseous conversion electron sources
  - Linearity built in to Maxwell's equations
  - B-field Uniformity Well known techniques borrowed from NMR/MRI experience
  - Line shape follows from field non-uniformity and scattering effects
  - Increased volume leave waveguide to accommodate source exposure required for stat. sensitivity
  - Data volume, triggering, and reduction
- Challenges Unique to the Neutrino Mass Measurement: •
  - Extreme field uniformity  $\delta B/B \sim 10^{-7}$
  - Atomic tritium to avoid molecular final states
  - Very large volumes ~10—100 m<sup>3</sup> required
  - Required to reach minimum neutrino masses  $m_{\nu} \approx 50$  meV allowed by the inverted ordering
- Challenges Unique to the Neutron Measurement:
  - Extremely wide microwave bandwith.
  - Low-density source of neutrons with short trap transit time



# Pacific Northwest

# **CRES Bandwidth for Neutrinos and Neutrons**



- The entire tritium spectrum (0—18.6 keV) is only  $\delta f \approx 1$  GHz wide
- Only the last  $\leq 100 \text{ eV}$  (include  $\delta f$  magnitude) or less is useful to determine  $m_v$
- Including the last ~keV to include <sup>83m</sup>Kr K-c.e. at 17.8 keV (gray bands) is still  $\delta f \lesssim 100 MHz$
- Implications: •
  - Narrow bandwidth antennas with simple feeds are sufficient
  - Digitizer and signal processing hardware and software is readily available from radioastronomy community (CASPAR)
  - K-band analog electronics commercially available



- Even if the field is reduced to B = 0.5T and only the lowest 300 keV is measured,  $\delta f \approx 5 \text{ GHz}$  (about 9—14 GHz)
- And consider, if you reduce the field:
  - The radiated cyclotron power is reduced  $\sim B^2$
  - The cyclotron radius increases ~B<sup>-1</sup>
- Implications:
  - No single set of antenna, analog and digital electronics is likely to simultaneously observe the necessary range of the neutron spectrum





### **Bandwidth and Antenna Design**



- A tritium endpoint experiment is monochromatic to good approximation for antenna design:
  - Simple resonant patches
  - Simple series feeds for passive combining
  - Currently validating with monte carlo simulation campaign
- Wider bandwidth experiments will require:
  - Different antennas for broad frequency response
  - A corporate feed to passively combine different  $\lambda$ s coherently
  - To deal with transmission losses and physical space constraints of the corporate feed



### Corporate feed, focus at ∞



# **Non-simultaneous Spectral Measurement**

- <sup>6</sup>He-CRES exploring CRES up to several MeV energies [ $E_0$ (<sup>6</sup>He) = 3.5 MeV] in waveguide •
- Goal to probe  $\delta b \lesssim 10^{-3}$ •
- Prototype under construction now at the University of Washington •



6-GHz-wide windows  $\rightarrow$  3 2-GHz analog channels  $\rightarrow$  4 500-MHz digitizer channels •



- The path to ultimate sensitivity to Fierz interference in neutron decay must eschew physical detectors; CRES is suggested as the alternative.
- CRES enjoys the linearity of Maxwell's equations, absolute calibration standards and the extreme precision of the frequency precision, and low falseevent backgrounds.
- CRES must be (is being) developed for the Project 8 tritium endpoint experiment.
- However, application to neutron decays will have the additional challenge of very large bandwidths not required for the neutrino mass measurement.



### **The Project 8 Collaboration**

### **Pacific Northwest National Laboratory**

Mark Jones, Ben LaRoque, Noah Oblath, Malachi Schram, Jonathan Tedeschi, Brent VanDevender

### **University of Washington**

Ali Ashtari Esfahani, Raphael Cervantes, Peter Doe, Martin Fertl, Eric Machado, Elise Novitski, Maurice Ottiger, Walter Pettus, Hamish Robertson, Leslie Rosenberg, Grav Rybka

### Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Nicholas Buzinsky, Joseph Formaggio, Joseph Johnston, Valerian Sibille, Evan Zayas

### Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz

Sebastian Böser, Christine Claessens, Alec Lindman

### **Case Western Reserve University**

Laura Glasdstone, Benjamin Monreal, Yu-Hao Sun

**Yale University** Karsten Heeger, James Nikkel, Luis Saldaña, Penny Slocum

Pennsylvania State University Luiz de Viveiros, Timothy Wendler

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Kareem Kazkaz, Lucie Tvrznikova



Karlsruhe Institute of Technology **Thomas Thuemmler** 

**Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory** Shep Doeleman, Jonathan Weintroub





### Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the following sources: the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Award No. DE-SC0011091 to MIT, under the Early Career Research Program to Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), a multiprogram national laboratory operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-76RL01830, under Award No. DE-FG02-97ER41020 to the University of Washington, and under Award No. DE-SC0012654 to Yale University; the National Science Foundation under Award Nos. 1205100 and 1505678 to MIT; and internal investments by all collaborating institutions. A portion of the research was performed using Research Computing at PNNL. The isotope(s) used in this research were supplied by the United States Department of Energy Office of Science by the Isotope Program in the Office of Nuclear Physics. We further acknowledge support from the PRISMA Cluster of Excellence at the University of Mainz.





# Thank you





### **Supplemental Slides**



### **Supplemental Slides**



First tritium beta decay CRES event





Suerallowed decay spectra considered for CRES measurements





Doppler sidebands, frequency modulation, and disappearing carriers