Retrograde spin in GX 301-2

Motivation:

Accretion onto NS releases huge amounts of

energy

NS has a low moment of inertia \rightarrow possible to observe how spin period accelerated by accretion

Studying plasma physics in extreme magnetic field strengths

Accretion types in X-ray pulsars



A 0535+262: disc accretion \rightarrow spin-up

Vela X-1: wind accretion \rightarrow noisy

Frequency derivatives



A 0535+262: disc accretion \rightarrow spin-up

Vela X-1: wind accretion \rightarrow noisy

Accretion torque models

Torque transferred from disc accretion

$$2\pi I f = \dot{M} (GMR_{\rm M})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $R_{\rm M}$ is the **magnetospheric** radius

Successfully applied to determine magnetic field strengths in NSs!



NS in stellar wind

Spherically symmetric wind

Relative velocity $v_{\rm rel}$



Accretion radius R_A

$$R_{\rm A} = GM_{\rm NS}/v_{\rm rel}^2$$

NS in stellar wind

Spherically symmetric wind

Relative velocity $v_{\rm rel}$



Accretion radius R_A

 $R_{\rm A} = GM_{\rm NS}/v_{\rm rel}^2$

However, inhomogeneities affect!

φ

Angular momentum transfer after Wang 1981 for $v_{\rm NS} \lesssim v_{\rm w}$ $l = \frac{\Omega}{2} R_{\rm A}^2 \eta$

$$\eta = 1 + 3\sin^2 \alpha + \frac{1 + 6\cos^2 \alpha}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \ln v_{\rm w}}{\partial \ln r}\right) + \frac{v_{\rm w}}{v_{\rm NS}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial \phi} + 3\cos^2 \alpha \frac{\partial \ln v_{\rm w}}{\partial \phi}\right)$$

Angular momentum transfer after Wang 1981 for $v_{\rm NS} \lesssim v_{\rm w}$ $l = \frac{\Omega}{2} R_{\rm A}^2 \eta$

$$\eta = 1 + 3\sin^{2}\alpha + \frac{1 + 6\cos^{2}\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \ln v_{w}}{\partial \ln r} \right) + \frac{v_{w}}{v_{NS}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial \phi} + 3\cos^{2}\alpha \frac{\partial \ln v_{w}}{\partial \phi} \right)$$

$$Wind \text{ acceleration} \qquad v_{w}(r) = v_{\infty} (1 - R_{*}/r)^{\beta}$$

$$V_{W}(r) = v_{\infty} (1 - R_{*}/r)^{\beta}$$

Angular momentum transfer after Wang 1981 for $v_{\rm NS} \lesssim v_{\rm w}$ $l = \frac{\Omega}{2} R_{\rm A}^2 \eta$

$$\eta = 1 + 3\sin^{2}\alpha + \frac{1 + 6\cos^{2}\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \ln v_{w}}{\partial \ln r}\right) + \frac{v_{w}}{v_{NS}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial \phi}\right) + 3\cos^{2}\alpha \frac{\partial \ln v_{w}}{\partial \phi}\right)$$
Density gradient
$$Wind \ acceleration \qquad Density gradient
Gaussian
profile$$

Angular momentum transfer after Wang 1981 for $v_{\rm NS} \lesssim v_{\rm w}$

Wind acceleration

φ

$$\eta = 1 + 3\sin^2 \alpha + \frac{1 + 6\cos^2 \alpha}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \ln v_{\rm w}}{\partial \ln r}\right) + \frac{v_{\rm w}}{v_{\rm NS}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial \phi} + 3\cos^2 \alpha \left(\frac{\partial \ln v_{\rm w}}{\partial \phi}\right)\right)$$

Density gradient

 $l = \frac{\Omega}{2} R_{\rm A}^2 \eta$

GX 301–2 system

Neutron star: $P_{spin} \approx 680 \text{ s}$ (White et al. 1976)

Orbit: P ≈ 41.5 d & e ≈ 0.46

(Koh et al. 1997; Doroshenko et al. 2010)

Hypergiant Wray 977: $M \sim 40-50 M_{\odot} \& R \sim 60 R_{\odot}$ (White et al. 1976; Kaper et al. 2006)

Accretion

Persistent emission by accretion from slow stellar wind

Bright flare on every orbit: - occurs 1.4 d before periastron → gas stream model

(Haberl 1991; Leahy 1991; Leahy & Kostka 2008)

Seen in observations!

(Waisberg et al. 2017)

(see also Nabizadeh et al. 2019)

Data

Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM):

- Pulse frequency
- Many years of data

Swift Burst Alert Telescope (BAT):

• X-ray flux

 \rightarrow mass accretion rate

$$l = \frac{2\pi \dot{f}I}{\dot{M}}$$



Data reduction

 Frequency derivative calculated from consecutive GBM spin frequency data points



• Folded over the binary orbit (average value per orbital phase)

Data reduction

- Frequency derivative calculated from consecutive GBM spin frequency data points
- Folded over the binary orbit (average value per orbital phase)



Stream accretion

- Stream model by Leahy (1991, 2002); Leahy & Kostka (2008)
- The accretion wake curves behind the shock front and provides with angular momentum

(Ruffert 1999; MacLeod & Ramirez-Ruiz 2015)

- Provides torque to the NS
 - depends on the NS spin direction



Stream accretion

 Applying the earlier formula of angular momentum transfer to the stream in GX 301–2

 $\eta(\phi) = C_1 - C_2 \frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial \phi}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, R)$

Depends on the

spin direction

$$\rho v_{\rm w} r^2 = \text{const}$$



Mönkkönen et al. (2020)



$$C_1 = -0.1 \pm 0.1, C_2 = -1.0 \pm 0.4, \sigma_1 = 0.8 \pm 0.2, \sigma_2 = 1.2 \pm 0.4$$

Set by the wind

parametrization

Stream

Stream accretion

• Applying the earlier formula of angular momentum transfer to the stream in GX 301–2



Only possible if the NS spins retrograde with respect to the binary orbit



Mönkkönen et al. (2020)

Prospects

- Explaining long spin periods
- Population studies
- Supernova kicks
 - Prediction of retrograde spins (Hills 1983; Brandt & Podsiadlowski 1995)
- Progenitors of gravitational wave sources (East et al. 2019)



Direction of spin in other pulsars

- The double radio pulsar PSR J0737–3039 prograde (Pol et al. 2018)
- X-ray pulsar 4U 1626–67 prograde? (Middleditch et al. 1981)

Stream



Leahy & Kostka (2008)

Orbital uncertainties

- Caveat: the spin frequency evolution depends on the orbital corrections applied and their accuracy.
- Estimate by reverting back to "raw" data and re-applying the orbital corrections which were varied within their error range.
- Lowers the significance of observed behaviour but still significant
- Confirmation of the orbital parameters by observing a spin-up episode with high time resolution