# Koopman - von Neumann Made Tricky

The Koopman-von Neumann formalism is a way of re-casting classical mechanics into the same form framework as we have come to know and love in quantum theory, with wave functions, probabilities, Schrödinger equations ....

It is a special case of a more general trick, that embeds any system governed by firstorder differential equations, plus normal quantum variables it acts upon, into a quantum evolution. Consider a dynamical system of c-number variables:

$$\frac{dy^j}{dt} = f^j(y) \,.$$

Elevate the  $y^{J}$  to operators in Hilbert space, and introduce conjugate variables  $\rho_{k}$  with

$$[y^j,\rho_k] = i\delta_k^j.$$

Define the Hamiltonian

$$H \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\rho_j f^j(y) + f^j(y)\rho_j).$$

Then evolution of the  $y^{j}$  according to the standard quantum rule

$$\dot{y}^j = i[H, y^j]$$

reproduces our original dynamical system.

Thus, at the price of introducing the auxiliary variables  $\rho_k$  and a rather unusual Hamiltonian, we have embedded our classical dynamical system of  $y^k$  into a conventional quantum system.

We can take over the concepts of superposition, transformation theory, operators, probability interpretation, ...

Wave functions  $\psi(y, t) = \prod_j \delta(y^j - s^j(t))$ , where  $s^j(t)$  solve the classical dynamical system, are solutions of this quantum system.

#### Harmonic Oscillator

**Classical Within Quantum Double** 

$$\dot{x}_1 = p_2$$
  
 $\dot{p}_2 = -x_1$ 

$$H = p_1 p_2 + x_1 x_2$$
  

$$\rightarrow p_1 \tilde{x}_2 - x_1 \tilde{p}_2$$

$$\propto (p_1 + \tilde{x}_2)^2 - (p_1 - \tilde{x}_2)^2 - (x_1 + \tilde{p}_2)^2 + (x_1 - \tilde{p}_2)^2$$

# Adventure 1: Bringing in Quantum Jitter

We can expand our Hamiltonian to include ordinary quantum variables, according to

$$H_1(y,\rho,Q) = \frac{1}{2} \{\rho_j, f^j(y)\} + H_0(y,Q)$$

where the Q are q-numbers that commute with the y and  $\rho$  (but not necessarily with one another).

Along this path we preserve the dynamical equations for the  $y^j$ , and keep the  $\rho_k$  out of the equations for other variables.

Along this road the  $y^{j}$  represent a classical system that feels no back-reaction.

We wander off that road at our peril, but maybe also to our profit.

One possibility is to bring a touch of quantum mechanics into our classical system.

We can do this by replacing  $H_c \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\rho_j f^j(y) + f^j(y)\rho_j) + g(y)$ with  $H_a \equiv H_c + \epsilon H_c^2 + h(y).$  It is easy to insure  $H_q \ge 0$ .

This kind of construction is also suggested by the phase space path integral. In that context, the  $\rho_k$  were Lagrange multipliers. We relax them to let the  $y^j$  jiggle. Alternatively:

Perturbation theory in  $\epsilon p^2$  or  $\epsilon pAp$ .

### Adventure 2: Back-Reaction

#### We can also consider relaxing the form $H_1(y, \rho, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \{\rho_j, f^j(y)\} + H_0(y, Q),$ as a way of bringing in back-reaction effects.

In can be the case that half of the  $y^{J}$  are positions and the other half momenta, and our dynamical system is of Hamiltonian form, so that we're starting with a respectable conservative symplectic dynamical system, but that is not necessary -

- and it isn't obvious that our construction acquires nice special features in that case. Indeed, the quantum H seems to be a rather artificial function of the underlying classical Hamiltonian.

(Maybe this deserves a closer look.)