

# The gamma-ray limit on ALPs from supernovae

Eike Müller June 2023, Fysikdagarna

Based on 2304.01060, done with David Marsh, Pierluca Carenza, Christopher Eckner, and Francesca Calore (to appear in JCAP)

#### Axionlike particles



- ALPs are naturally light, weakly interacting pseudoscalar particles that appear in many BSM theories
- At low energies  $E \ll \Lambda$ , all these models contain the same *effective field theory* (EFT) of an ALP
- In this talk: study just two parameters of the EFT phenomenologically (no model building)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} \supset -\frac{1}{2}a(\Box + m_a^2)a + \frac{g_{a\gamma}}{4}a\,F\tilde{F}$$

#### Core-collapse supernovae



- The core of a massive star (M ≥ 8 M<sub>☉</sub>) will undergo gravitational collapse
- In the Proto-Neutron Star at the center, very high temperatures and densities are reached
- → Even weakly interacting, light particles ( $m \leq 10 T$ ) can be produced in large numbers!

Eike Müller, Fysikdagarna 2023



Credit: R.J. Hall & Wikimedia https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/ File:Core\_collapse\_scenario.png

#### SN1987A Simulation





We use the Agile-Boltztran SN simulation by Fischer et al., see PRD 104 (2021) 103012



The spectral rate of change in the number density of ALPs ("production spectrum") can be calculated using the Boltzmann equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 n}{\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}\omega} = \left[\prod_i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{p}_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_i} f_i(E_i)\right] \left[\prod_{j \neq a} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{p}_j'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_j'} \left[1 \pm f_j(E_j')\right]\right]$$
$$\times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)} \left(\sum_i p_i - \sum_j p_j'\right) S \frac{|\mathbf{p}_a'|}{4\pi^2} |\mathcal{M}|^2,$$



Through their interaction with photons ALPs are produced by





Through their interaction with photons ALPs are produced by









#### Gamma rays from ALP decays



#### Total production spectrum

$$dF_{\gamma} = 2 \cdot \frac{dN_a/d\omega_a}{4\pi d_{SN}^2} d\omega_a \cdot \underbrace{f_{c_{\alpha}}(\omega_a, c_{\alpha})}_{\text{Distribution of}} dc_{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\exp[-L/l_a(\omega)]}{l_a(\omega)} dL$$
$$\cdot \underbrace{\Theta_{\text{cons.}}(\omega_a, c_{\alpha}, L)}_{\text{Ocomp}} \xrightarrow{\text{Distribution of}}_{\text{decay angles}} ALP \text{ decay length}$$

- Constraints, such as:
- The ALP should not decay inside the SN progenitor
- One can construct a triangle out of L,  $d_{SN}$ ,  $\cos \alpha$
- The energy of the  $\gamma$ -ray is in the range of the detector
- The  $\gamma$ -ray does not arrive more than 223s after the neutrino burst

Following Jaffe and Turner, PRD 55 (1997) 7951-7959

See also Jaeckel, Malta, Redondo, Phys.Rev.D 98 (2018) 5, 055032 and Balázs et al., 2205.13549

$$c_{\alpha} \equiv \cos(\alpha)$$

#### Gamma rays from ALP decays



We showed that there is a unique mapping  $(\omega_a, c_{\alpha}, L) \leftrightarrow (\omega_{\gamma}, t, c_{\theta})$ , i.e. from variables describing the ALP to variables that the observer can control



$$t/c = \beta_a^{-1} L + L_\gamma - d_{\rm SN}$$

#### Gamma rays from ALP decays



With the variables  $(\omega_{\gamma}, t, c_{\theta})$  it is easy to show that if

$$t \ll \min\left[1, \left(\frac{\omega_{\gamma}}{m_{a}}\right)^{2}, \frac{m_{a}^{2}}{\omega_{\gamma} \times 8 \text{ GeV}}\right] d_{\rm SN} \simeq 6000 \text{ s} \quad \text{(for SN1987A)},$$
  
then  $\theta \sim \frac{t}{d_{\rm SN}} \sim 10^{-11} \quad \text{(for SN1987A)}$  and in this case  
 $\frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} F_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\omega_{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}\omega_{a}} = \frac{1}{\pi d_{\rm SN}^{2}} \frac{\omega_{\gamma}}{\tau_{a} \, p_{a} \, m_{a}} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{a}}{\mathrm{d}\omega_{a}} (\omega_{a}) \, e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_{a}} \frac{2\omega_{\gamma}}{m_{a}}} \, \Theta_{\mathrm{cons.}} (\omega_{\gamma}, t, \omega_{a})$ 

which was previously believed to hold for  $\tau_a \ll d_{\rm SN}$ . But his condition does not hold on relevant parts of the parameter space!





#### Extragalactic SNe, e.g. SN 2023ixf



#### Summary



- Core-collapse SNe are a great way to search for new physics
- Only assuming that ALPs are produced in the SN, we can put very stringent constraints on their decays into photons
- *Fermi*-LAT has a strong sensitivity to ALPs from nearby SNe, with the possibility to determine  $g_{a\gamma}^2 m_a$  in case of an observation
- Even extragalactic SNe yield a relevant constraint (we don't have to rely only on SN 1987A)

#### Summary



- Core-collapse SNe are a great way to search for new physics
- Only assuming that ALPs are produced in the SN, we can put very stringent constraints on their decays into photons
- *Fermi*-LAT has a strong sensitivity to ALPs from nearby SNe, with the possibility to determine  $g_{a\gamma}^2 m_a$  in case of an observation
- Even extragalactic SNe yield a relevant constraint (we don't have to rely only on SN 1987A)

#### Thanks for your attention!



## Back Up



In observer variables, the time-dependent spectral gamma-ray flux as *Fermi*-LAT would observe it is easily calculated:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\omega_{\gamma}} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 F_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\omega_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}t} = \int \mathrm{d}c_{\theta} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 F_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\omega_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}c_{\theta}}$$

For masses  $m_a \leq 50$  MeV, the ALP spectrum can be fitted as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_a}{\mathrm{d}\omega_a} \simeq g_{a\gamma}^2 C_0 \left(\frac{\omega_a}{\omega_a^0}\right)^\alpha \exp\left[-(1+\alpha)\frac{\omega_a}{\omega_a^0}\right]$$

and then, for times shorter than the ALP lifetime:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\omega_{\gamma}} = A\,\omega_{\gamma}\,\Gamma\left[\alpha,(1+\alpha)\frac{\omega_{\gamma}}{\omega_{a}^{0}}\right]$$
Eike Müller, Fysikdagarna 2023

$$A = \frac{C_0 (1+\alpha)^{-\alpha}}{64\pi^2 \, d_{\rm SN}^2} \, g_{a\gamma}^4 m_a^2$$

21 / 19





 $\rightarrow$  a bad fit is an indication for a large ALP mass





Eike Müller, Fysikeagarna 2028

 $m_a = 100 \text{ MeV}$ 

23 / 19



Using the best-fit parameters and a prediction for the parameter  $C_0$  from the mass of the SN progenitor according to Calore et al., PRD 105 (2022) 063028, we can constrain  $g_{a\gamma}^2 m_a$ :



### ALPs from SN1987A: Reabsorption



For large couplings, reabsorption of ALPs via inverse processes becomes important

$$L_{a} = \int^{R_{\nu}} d^{3}r \int_{m_{a}}^{\infty} d\omega \, \omega \frac{d\dot{n}}{d\omega} e^{-\int_{r}^{R_{\text{far}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}(\tilde{r},\omega)}^{d\tilde{r}} \text{Mean free path of the ALPs}}$$
For  $m_{a} \gtrsim 30 \text{ MeV}$ , the mean free path is dominated by decays into electrons.  
In the degenerate SN plasma, Pauli blocking suppresses this decay!  
 $n_{a} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\nu}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\dot{n}}{d\omega} e^{-\int_{r}^{R_{\text{far}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{far}}} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \text{Mean free path of the ALPs}$ 

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mu}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\dot{n}}{d\omega} e^{-\int_{r}^{R_{\text{far}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mu}} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \text{Mean free path of the ALPs}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mu}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\dot{n}}{d\omega} e^{-\int_{r}^{R_{\text{far}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mu}} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \text{Mean free path of the ALPs}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mu}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{d\omega} e^{-\int_{r}^{R_{\text{far}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mu}} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \text{Mean free path of the ALPs}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mu}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\tilde{r}}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)}} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},\omega)} \frac{d\omega}{i(\tilde{r},$$

40

60

80

100

#### **ALP-fermion interactions**



$$\mathcal{L}_{aQED} = -\frac{1}{2}a(\Box + m_a^2)a - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}_e(i\not\!\!D - m_e)\psi_e + \frac{g_{a\gamma}}{4}aF_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{g}_{ae}(\partial_{\mu}a)\bar{\psi}_e\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\psi_e = -\frac{1}{2}a(\Box + m_a^2)a - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}'_e(i\not\!\!D - m_e)\psi'_e + \frac{1}{4}\left(g_{a\gamma} + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi}\hat{g}_{ae}\right)aF_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} - i\underbrace{2m_e\hat{g}_{ae}}_{\equiv g_{ae}}a\bar{\psi}'_e\gamma_5\psi'_e + \mathcal{O}(\hat{g}_{ae}^2)$$

$$\psi_e = e^{i\hat{g}_{ae}a\gamma_5}\psi'_e$$

#### ALP-electron interactions in a plasma



 Calculating the bremsstrahlung matrix element with a pseudoscalar ALP-electron interaction yields:

 $\mathcal{M}_{\text{brems}}^{\text{scalar}} = g_{ae} f(m_e^{\text{eff}}, \dots)$  $\equiv 2m_e \hat{g}_{ae} f(m_e^{\text{eff}}, \dots)$ 

• On the other hand, since the pseudoscalar and derivative interactions lead (in vacuum) to the same matrix element:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{brems}}^{\text{derivative}} = 2m_e^{\text{eff}}\hat{g}_{ae}f(m_e^{\text{eff}},\dots)$$

Therefore, apparently  $\mathcal{M}_{brems}^{derivative} \neq \mathcal{M}_{brems}^{scalar}$  in a plasma. <u>Why is that?</u>

#### Supernova bounds at one loop





The neutrino burst of SN1987A would be shortened by ALPs, unless

$$L_a \lesssim L_{\nu} \simeq 3 \times 10^{52} \frac{\mathrm{erg}}{\mathrm{s}}$$

Gamma rays from decaying ALPs would have been detected near earth after the neutrino burst of SN1987A, unless

$$F_{\gamma} < 1.78 \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$$

We use the Agile-Boltztran SN model from Fischer et al., Fike MÖ4e(2025) 1023

#### **Observer variables**



$$\begin{split} \omega_a(\omega_\gamma, t, c_\theta) &= \omega_\gamma + \frac{m_a^2}{4\omega_\gamma} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - c_\theta^2}{(t/d_{\rm SN} + 1 - c_\theta)^2} \right) \,, \\ L(\omega_\gamma, t, c_\theta) &= \frac{2\omega_\gamma \, p_a(\omega_\gamma, t, c_\theta)}{m_a^2} \left( \frac{t}{d_{\rm SN}} + 1 - c_\theta \right) \, d_{\rm SN} \\ c_\alpha &= \beta_a^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{m_a^2}{2\omega_a \, \omega_\gamma} \right) = p_a^{-1} \left( \omega_a - \frac{m_a^2}{2 \, \omega_\gamma} \right) \,, \end{split}$$