General Dark Matter Electron Interactions in Graphene

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, EU: 2303.15497 & 2303.15509

Einar Urdshals, 16. June 2023

CHALMERS
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

General Idea





Motivation

- Electron recoils are sensitive to dark matter (DM) masses $\gtrsim 1\,\mathrm{MeV}$
- Need to discriminate between DM events and background
- Graphene-like targets can produce a strong daily modulation, a smoking gun signal of dark matter

DM Induced Electron Ejections from Graphene

- $R \propto \int f_\chi(\mathbf{v}, t)\, R_{\text{free}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}')\ \left|\psi(\mathscr{E}, E_e)\right|^2$ R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15497
- $f_\chi(\mathbf{v}, t)$ is the DM velocity distribution
- R_{free} is the free particle response function and depends on the physics of free particles
- $\left|\psi(\mathscr{E}, E_e)\right|^2$ contains all the material physics

DM Velocity Distribution

- Seen from the lab on Earth the DM velocity distribution is anisotropic and time dependent

- $$f_\chi(\mathbf{v}, t) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_e(t))^2}{v_0^2}\right] \times \Theta\left(v_{\rm esc} - |\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_e(t)|\right)$$



Free Particle Response Function

- R_{free} is built from non-relativistic effective operators, allows covering arbitrary spin 0 and 1/2 DM models.
- Depends on the physics of the free particles, i.e. the DM particle and the final state electron

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathbb{1}_{\chi e}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_3 = i\mathbf{S}_e \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e} \times \mathbf{v}_{\text{el}}^{\perp}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_4 = \mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \mathbf{S}_e$$
$$\mathcal{O}_5 = i\mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e} \times \mathbf{v}_{\text{el}}^{\perp}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_6 = \left(\mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}_e \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_7 = \mathbf{S}_e \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\text{el}}^{\perp}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_8 = \mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\text{el}}^{\perp}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_9 = i\mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \left(\mathbf{S}_e \times \frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{10} = i\mathbf{S}_e \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{11} = i\mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{12} = \mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \left(\mathbf{S}_e \times \mathbf{v}_{\text{el}}^{\perp}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{13} = i\left(\mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\text{el}}^{\perp}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}_e \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{14} = i\left(\mathbf{S}_\chi \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}_e \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\text{el}}^{\perp}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{15} = i\mathcal{O}_{11}\left[\left(\mathbf{S}_e \times \mathbf{v}_{\text{el}}^{\perp}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}}{m_e}\right]$$

Modelling Graphene

Tight Binding Approximation

- Approximation based on analytic atomic wave functions
- Not self consistent
- Accurate near the nucleus
- Computationally cheap
- Semi-analytic, not a black box

Density Functional Theory

- Works by finding the electron density that minimises the energy of the system
- Self consistent from first principles
- Can not treat the electron wave-function close to the nucleus
- Computationally expensive

Momentum Distribution in Graphene

Tight Binding Approximation

Density Functional Theory



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15497

Total Rate of Ejected Electrons

Time Averaged Rate



$\mathcal{O}_1$ contact is dark photon with heavy mediator

$\mathcal{O}_1$ long range is dark photon with light mediator

$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{v}$

Daily Modulation



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15497

We recommend using DFT, and all rates will from now be DFT-obtained

Statistical Tests

Establishing Daily Modulation

- Divide the data set in two parts, n_+ and n_-
- No modulation

$$\implies E\left[n_+\right] = E\left[n_-\right]$$

- Wish to reject the hypothesis that n_+ and n_- are drawn from the same distribution

Excluding Parameter Space

- Exclude DM unlikely to produce $n_+ + n_-$ or fewer events

Specific Detector Setups

Detector Setups

Fixed Graphene

n_+: Number of detected events
in 12 hours with most events



n_-: Number of detected events
in 12 hours with least events

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Fixed graphene sheets

Detector Setups

Moving Graphene



n_-: Number of detected events upper detector

n_+: Number of detected events in lower detector

Moving graphene sheets

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Detector Setups

Fixed Carbon NanoTubes (CNTs)

n_+: Number of detected events in 12 hours with most events

n_-: Number of detected events in 12 hours with least events



Fixed CNTs

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Detector Setups

Moving Carbon NanoTubes (CNTs)



n_-: Number of detected events upper detector

n_+: Number of detected events in lower detector

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Moving CNTs

Potential for Establishing Modulation at 3σ

$\mathcal{O}_1$ Contact Interaction



$\mathcal{O}_1$ Long Range Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Corresponds to dark photon model with a heavy mediator

10 g year exposure

Corresponds to dark photon model with a light mediator

Potential for Establishing Modulation at 3σ

$\mathcal{O}_3$ Contact Interaction



Anapole Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{v}$ 10 g year exposure $L_{\text{Anapole}} = \frac{g}{2\Lambda^2}\,\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\chi\,\partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$

Potential for Establishing Modulation at 3σ

Electric Dipole Interaction



Magnetic Dipole Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$$L_{\text{Electric dipole}} = \frac{g}{\Lambda}\, i\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\chi\, F_{\mu\nu} \qquad 10\ \text{g year exposure} \qquad L_{\text{Magnetic dipole}} = \frac{g}{\Lambda}\, \bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\chi\, F_{\mu\nu}$$

Exclusion Potential

$\mathcal{O}_1$ Contact Interaction



$\mathcal{O}_1$ Long Range Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Corresponds to dark photon model with a heavy mediator

10 g year exposure

Corresponds to dark photon model with a light mediator

Exclusion Potential

$\mathcal{O}_3$ Contact Interaction



Anapole Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{v}$

10 g year exposure

$$L_{\text{Anapole}} = \frac{g}{2\Lambda^2}\,\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\chi\,\partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$$

Exclusion Potential

Electric Dipole Interaction



Magnetic Dipole Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$$L_{\text{Electric dipole}} = \frac{g}{\Lambda}\, i\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\chi\, F_{\mu\nu} \qquad 10\,\text{g year exposure} \qquad L_{\text{Magnetic dipole}} = \frac{g}{\Lambda}\, \bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\chi\, F_{\mu\nu}$$

Summary

- Experiments based on graphene and CNTs are suitable to produce a smoking gun signal of DM
- The relative performance of the experimental setups depends on the form of the DM electron interaction. Need to consider non-standard interactions.
- For more details, see our papers 2303.15497 & 2303.15509

Backup Slides

Electron Density

Tight Binding Approximation

Density Functional Theory



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15497

Modelling Graphene

Momentum Density



Band Structure



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15497

Daily Modulation in Total Ejection Rates

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15497

$$L_{\text{Anapole}} = \frac{g}{2\Lambda^2}\,\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\chi\,\partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$L_{\text{Electric dipole}} = \frac{g}{\Lambda}\,i\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\chi\,F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$L_{\text{Magnetic dipole}} = \frac{g}{\Lambda}\,\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\chi\,F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{q}\times\mathbf{v}$$



Daily Modulation in Observed Events

Fixed Graphene



Fixed CNTs



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$$L_{\text{Anapole}} = \frac{g}{2\Lambda^2}\,\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\chi\,\partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$L_{\text{Magnetic dipole}} = \frac{g}{\Lambda}\,\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\chi\,F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$L_{\text{Electric dipole}} = \frac{g}{\Lambda}\,i\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\chi\,F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{q}\times\mathbf{v}$$

Scattering Directions Fixed Sheets

$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{q}$, **contact type interaction,** $m_\chi = 5\,\mathrm{MeV}$



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509



Scattering Directions Fixed Sheets

Magnetic Dipole Type Interaction, $m_\chi = 5\,\mathrm{MeV}$





R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509





Scattering Directions Fixed CNTs

$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{q}$, **contact type interaction,** $m_\chi = 5\,\mathrm{MeV}$



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509



Scattering Directions Fixed CNTs

Magnetic Dipole Type Interaction, $m_\chi = 5\,\mathrm{MeV}$



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509



More CNT Based Setups

Fixed CNTs Facing Away From DM Wind

n_+: Number of detected events in 12 hours with most events

n_-: Number of detected events in 12 hours with least events



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Fixed CNTs, facing away from the DM wind

More CNT Based Setups

Moving CNTs, Back to Back



n_-: Number of detected events upper detector

Moving CNTs, back to back

n_+: Number of detected events in lower detector

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

More CNT Based Setups

Moving CNTs, $90°$ Relative Orientation

n_-: Number of detected events upper detector



n_+: Number of detected events in lower detector

Moving CNTs, $90°$ relative orientation

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

More CNT Based Setups

Moving CNTs, 145° relative orientation



n_+: Number of detected events in lower detector

n_-: Number of detected events upper detector

Moving CNTs, 145° relative orientation

R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Potential for Establishing Modulation at 3σ

$\mathcal{O}_1$ Contact Interaction



$\mathcal{O}_1$ Long Range Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Corresponds to dark photon model with a heavy mediator

10 g year exposure

Corresponds to dark photon model with a light mediator

Potential for Establishing Modulation at 3σ

$\mathcal{O}_3$ Contact Interaction



Anapole Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{v}$ 10 g year exposure $L_{\text{Anapole}} \in \frac{g}{2\Lambda^2}\,\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\chi\,\partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$

Potential for Establishing Modulation at 3σ

Electric Dipole Interaction



Magnetic Dipole Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$$L_{\text{Electric dipole}} \in \frac{g}{\Lambda}\, i\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\chi F_{\mu\nu} \qquad 10\ \text{g year exposure} \qquad L_{\text{Magnetic dipole}} \in \frac{g}{\Lambda}\, \bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\chi F_{\mu\nu}$$

Exclusion Potential

$\mathcal{O}_1$ Contact Interaction



$\mathcal{O}_1$ Long Range Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

Corresponds to dark photon model with a heavy mediator

10 g year exposure

Corresponds to dark photon model with a light mediator

Exclusion Potential

$\mathcal{O}_3$ Contact Interaction



Anapole Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$\mathcal{O}_3 \propto \mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{v}$ 10 g year exposure $L_{\text{Anapole}} \in \frac{g}{2\Lambda^2}\,\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\chi\,\partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$

Exclusion Potential

Electric Dipole Interaction



Magnetic Dipole Interaction



R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, **EU**: 2303.15509

$$L_{\text{Electric dipole}} \in \frac{g}{\Lambda} i\bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\chi F_{\mu\nu} \qquad 10\ \text{g year exposure} \qquad L_{\text{Magnetic dipole}} \in \frac{g}{\Lambda} \bar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\chi F_{\mu\nu}$$