LIVING AT THE TIP OF THE THROAT

Ivonne Zavala

Swansea University

Holography for Astrophysics and Cosmology Stockholm, October, 2022

JHEP 09 (2022) 208; JHEP 12 (2021) 124, w/Bento, Chakraborty, Parameswaran JCAP 01 (2020) 020, w/Chakraborty, Chiovoloni, Niz, Loaiza-Brito in progress w/Cabo-Bizet, Loaiza-Brito, Olguín-Trejo

THE Λ CDM MODEL OF COSMOLOGY

Cosmology established as a precise science in the last 20 years after first Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) results from COBE satellite

A phenomenological Standard Model of Cosmology has emerged, in perfect agreement with current observations:

The ACDM model (Lambda cold dark matter)



In this model, the universe contains three major components: **dark energy** (**A**) cold dark matter and ordinary matter.

4.9% It is complemented with the inflationary scenario to generate primordial fluctuations that seed large scale structures we observe today

EARLY TIME ACCELERATION: INFLATION

• A period of quasi exponential accelerated expansion in the very early Universe due to vacuum energy domination.

• Governed by the dynamics of a **single scalar field** with very flat potential: **slowroll conditions**.

$$\epsilon = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 \ll 1, \qquad \eta = M_P^2 \frac{V''}{V} \ll 1$$



 Simplest inflationary model in agreement with latest observations (Planck/Bicep2/Keck)

Nearly scale invariant adiabatic Gaussian spectrum of scalar perturbations.

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{\zeta} \sim A_s \, k^{n_s - 1} \end{pmatrix} \\ n_s = 1 - 6\epsilon - 2\eta \\ r = 16\epsilon$

 $n_{\rm s} = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$

r < 0.036



[BICEP2/Keck '21]

[Planck '18]

EARLY TIME ACCELERATION: INFLATION

• A period of quasi exponential accelerated expansion in the very early Universe due to vacuum energy domination.

• Governed by the dynamics of a **single scalar field** with very flat potential: **slowroll conditions**.

$$\epsilon = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 \ll 1, \qquad \eta = M_P^2 \frac{V''}{V} \ll 1$$



Simplest inflationary model in agreement with latest observations (Planck/Bicep2/Keck)

Nearly scale invariant adiabatic Gaussian spectrum of scalar perturbations.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{\zeta} \sim A_s \, k^{n_s - 1} \end{pmatrix} \\ n_s = 1 - 6\epsilon - 2\eta \\ r = 16\epsilon$$

 $n_{\rm s} = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$

r < 0.036



[BICEP2/Keck '21]

[Planck '18]

EARLY TIME ACCELERATION: INFLATION

• A period of quasi exponential accelerated expansion in the very early Universe due to vacuum energy domination.

• Governed by the dynamics of a **single scalar field** with very flat potential: **slowroll conditions**.

$$\epsilon = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 \ll 1, \qquad \eta = M_P^2 \frac{V''}{V} \ll 1$$



Simplest inflationary model in agreement with latest observations (Planck/Bicep2/Keck)

Nearly scale invariant adiabatic Gaussian spectrum of scalar perturbations.

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{\zeta} \sim A_s \, k^{n_s - 1} \end{pmatrix} \\ n_s = 1 - 6\epsilon - 2\eta \\ r = 16\epsilon$

 $n_{\rm s} = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$

r < 0.036



[BICEP2/Keck '21]

FUNDAMENTAL ORIGIN OF INFLATION?

- The energy scale of the very early universe when cosmic inflation occurred is likely to be extremely high and field range (super)-Planckian.
- Likely to be described in the context of theories beyond the standard model of particle physics, e.g. supergravity and string theory.
- Usually multiple degrees of freedom that could be relevant for inflation and give interesting observational consequences to be tested in forthcoming experiments (e.g. PBHs, gravitational waves, non-Gaussianities, etc.)

LATE TIME ACCELERATION: DARK ENERGY

One of the greatest discoveries of XX century was that of the accelerated expansion of the universe [Riess et al. '98; Perlmutter et al. '99]

It is also one of the major puzzles in modern physics: its cause is often dubbed **dark energy** as its nature is still an mystery.



• Why is its value so small: $\rho_{DE} \sim (0.002 \,\mathrm{eV})^4$ $(\rho_{DE} = 5.96 \times 10^{-27} \text{kg/m}^3)$

The simplest possibility, consistent with data, is a constant energy density: a cosmological constant, A

 $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$

Einstein's original equation

 $8\pi GT_{uv}$

expanding universe

STRING THEORY AND COSMOLOGICAL ACCELERATION

String theory may help to understand nature of early and late time acceleration

If string scale is closer to the Planck scale than the TeV scale, it makes it difficult to probe string theory in terrestrial experiments (colliders)

This strongly motivates the use of observational cosmology to constraint string theory, and of string theory as the foundation for a more complete description of the very early universe.

LIVING AT THE TIP OF THE THROAT

Early universe acceleration: inflation

UV completion in string theory

Late universe acceleration: dS vacua

Gravitational tests

PLAN

- Flux compactifications and the warped throat
- Early time acceleration: fat inflatons and large turns at the tip of the warped throat
- Late time acceleration: dS in string theory revisited
- Gravity at the tip of the throat
- Summary

TYPE IIB FLUX COMPACTIFICATIONS

[Giddings, Kachru, Polchisnki, '01]

Consider type IIB string theory compactifications with internal fluxes turned on: F_n

- Fluxes backreact warping internal CY manifold; new avenues for phenomenology and cosmology!
- Fluxes provide potential for some of the moduli: dilaton & complex structure



TYPE IIB FLUX COMPACTIFICATIONS

[Giddings-Kachru-Polchisnki, '01]

Type IIB string theory in 10D with fluxes and localised sources: D-branes & Orientifolds

$$S_{\text{IIB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \mathcal{R} - \frac{\partial_M \tau \partial^M \bar{\tau}}{2(\text{Im}\,\tau)^2} - \frac{G_{(3)} \cdot \bar{G}_{(3)}}{12 \,\text{Im}\,\tau} - \frac{F_{(5)}^2}{4 \cdot 5!} \right\} + \frac{1}{8i\kappa_{10}^2} \int \frac{C_{(4)} \wedge G_{(3)} \wedge \bar{G}_{(3)}}{\text{Im}\,\tau} + S_{\text{loc}} \,.$$

$$\begin{split} \tau &= C_{(0)} + i e^{-\phi} & \text{axio-dilaton} \\ G_{(3)} &= F_{(3)} - \tau H_{(3)} & \text{3-form potentials} \\ \tilde{F}_5 &= F_5 - \frac{1}{2}C_2 \wedge H_3 + \frac{1}{2}B_2 \wedge F_3. \\ \tilde{F}_5 &= \star_5 \tilde{F}_5. & \text{self-dual} \end{split}$$

TYPE IIB FLUX COMPACTIFICATIONS: THE CONIFOLD

Compactify the extra 6D on a warped CY manifold

 $ds_{10}^2 = e^{2A(y)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + e^{-2A(y)} \tilde{g}_{mn} dy^m dy^n$

A variety of SUGRA backgrounds with internal fluxes has been explored in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

The **conifold** is of particular interest: singular noncompact Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Can be seen as a cone over $T^{1,1} = S^3 \times S^2$

$$ds_6^2 = dr^2 + r^2 ds_{T^{1,1}}^2$$



[Candelas-de la Ossa, '89]

THE CONIFOLD

The conical singularity can be smoothed out in two topologically distinct ways, leading to the

[Candelas-de la Ossa, '90; Minasian-Tsimpis, '99; Ohta-Yokono, '99] [Pando-Zayas, Tseytlin, '00]

• deformed conifold (DC): $S^2 \rightarrow 0$

 $(h^{2,1} = 0, h^{1,1} = 1, z)$

• resolved conifold (RC): $S^3 \rightarrow 0$

 $(h^{2,1} = 0, h^{1,1} = 1, u)$

The conifold: singular noncompact Calabi-Yau three-fold



THE WARPED CONIFOLD

When internal fluxes are turned on, backreaction warps 10D spacetime giving rise to throat like geometry

• warped deformed conifold (WDC) [Klebanov-Strassler, '00] $(F_3, H_3, F_5) \neq 0$

warped resolved conifold (WRC)

[Pando-Zayas, Tseytlin, '00; Klebanov-Rurugan, '07] $(F_5 \neq 0)$

$$ds_{10}^2 = e^{2A(y)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + e^{-2A(y)} \tilde{g}_{mn} dy^m dy^n$$

warp factor DC/RC

throat

warped geometry

Early universe acceleration: inflation

THE CONIFOLD AND STRING INFLATION

 D-brane inflation: a D-brane (anti-D-brane) moves in the internal 6D warped space driving inflation*

[Kachru et al, '03; Baumann et al. '06-'10]



 DBI inflation: non-standard kinetic terms and warping inflation with distinctive phenomenology

[Tong, Silverstein, '04; Alishahiha, Tong, Silverstein, '04]

D3-brane can move in 6D multifield inflation

[w/Gregory, Easson, Mota, Tasinato, '07; Huang, Shiu, Underwood, '07; Chen, Gong, Shiu, '08; Agarwal, Bean, McAllister, Xu, '11; Dias, Frazer, Liddle, '12; McAllister, Renaux-Petel, Xu, '12; Marzouk, Maraio, Seery, '21]

*[For earlier work see: Dvali-Tye, '98; Burgess et al., 01; Dvali, Shafi Solganik, '01]

THE CONIFOLD AND STRING INFLATION

 D-brane inflation: a D-brane (anti-D-brane) moves in the internal 6D warped space driving inflation*



- Higher dimensional Dp-branes wrapping a (p-3)-cycle.
 - D5 wrapping a 2-cycle in the internal manifold.

[Kobayashi, Mukohyama, Kinoshita '07; Becker, Leblond, Shandera '07]

D7 brane wrapping 4-cycle.

[Kobayashi, Mukohyama, Kinoshita '07]

D5/D7 moving in more than one dimension: multifield

*[For earlier work see: Dvali-Tye, '98; Burgess et al., 01; Dvali, Shafi Solganik, '01]

MULTI FIELD INFLATION, RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

In multifield inflation, a new inflationary attractor arises characterised by **strongly non-geodesic** trajectories

[Achúcarro, Bjorkmo, Brown, Hetz, Palma, Christodoulidis, Marsh, Roest, Renaux-Petel, Sfakianakis, Turzyński, 15-19]

Departure from geodesic measured by turning rate ω which should vary slowly during slow-roll inflation

[Aragam, Paban, Rosati, '20]

$$u \equiv \frac{\dot{\omega}}{H\omega}, \quad \frac{\text{new slow-roll parameter}}{\nu \ll 1}$$

inflationary trajectory

 $\omega \gg 1$ Strongly non-geodesic attractor

together with usual slow-roll conditions $\epsilon,\eta\ll 1$

Interesting phenomenology, in particular for sharp turns

FAT INFLATION

[w/Chakraborty, Chiovoloni, Loaiza, Niz '19]

Contrary to standard belief, **inflaton masses** can all be **larger** than Hubble scale: **fat**. Steep potentials are ok

[w/Chakraborty et al. '19; w/Aragam, Chivoloni, Paban, Rosati, '21]



FAT INFLATION IN STRING THEORY

[w/Chakraborty, Chiovoloni, Loaiza, Niz '19]

 Consider a warped compactification in type IIB string theory. A probe D5-brane moving in the radial and angular directions in a warped resolved conifold



[Single field case: Kenton-Thomas, '14]

WARPED GEOMETRY AND D5-BRANE DYNAMICS

• The 10D metric for the WRC is given by

[Pando Zayas, Tseytlin, 00; Klebanov, Murugan, '07]

$$ds^2 = \mathcal{H}^{-1/2}(\rho, \theta_2) ds^2_{FRW} + \mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\rho, \theta_2) ds^2_{RC}$$
,
Warp factor 6D resolved conifold metric

Probe D5-brane dynamics is described by

$$S_{5} = -T_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} d^{6} \xi \sqrt{-\det(P_{6} \left[g_{ab} + B_{ab} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{ab}\right])} + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2})\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2}\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2}\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2}\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2}\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2}\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{4} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2}\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{6} \wedge (B_{2} + 2\pi \alpha' F_{2}\right] + \mu_{5} p \int_{\mathcal{W}_{6}} P_{6} \left[C_{6} + C_{6} \wedge ($$

 $T_{5} = \mu_{5}g_{s}^{-1} \qquad (g_{s} = \text{string coupling})$ $\mu_{5} = \left[(2\pi)^{5}\ell_{s}^{6}\right]^{-1} \qquad (\ell_{s} = \text{string scale}) \qquad V(r,\theta) = \phi(r) + \gamma(\overline{\Phi}_{-}(r) + \Phi_{h}(r,\theta))$ $p = \text{wraping number} \qquad \gamma = 4\pi^{2}\ell_{s}^{2}pqT_{5}g_{s} \qquad \text{[Kenton-Thomas, '14; Bauman et al. '07-10]}$

D5-BRANE FAT INFLATION: THE ACTION

At the end of the day, the 4D action takes the form

$$S_4 = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} R_4 + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} v^i v^j - V(r,\theta) \right]$$

21

where

$$g_{ij} = 4\pi p T_5 \mathcal{F}^{1/2} \operatorname{diag} \left(\frac{r^2 + 6u^2}{r^2 + 9u^2}, \frac{1}{6} (r^2 + 6u^2) \right), \quad v^i = (\dot{r}, \dot{\theta}_2), \quad \left(M_{Pl}^2 = V_w \left(\frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^7 g_s^2 \ell_s^8 \right)^2 \right) \\ \mathcal{F} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{H}}{9} (r^2 + 3u^2)^2 + (\pi \ell_s^2 q)^2, \\ \mathcal{H} = \left(\frac{L_{T^{1,1}}}{3u} \right)^4 \left(\frac{2}{\rho^2} - 2\ln \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + 1 \right) \right), \quad L_{T^{1,1}}^4 = \frac{27\pi}{4} N g_s \ell_s^4. \qquad (\rho = r/3u) \\ V(r, \theta) = V_0 + 4\pi p T_5 \mathcal{H}^{-1} \left[\mathcal{F}^{1/2} - \ell_s^2 \pi q g_s \right] + \gamma \left[\overline{\Phi}_- + \Phi_h \right], \quad \left(\gamma = 4\pi^2 \ell_s^2 p q T_5 g_s \right) \right]$$

$$\overline{\Phi}_{-} = \frac{5}{72} \left[81 \left(9\rho^{2} - 2 \right) \rho^{2} + 162 \log \left(9 \left(\rho^{2} + 1 \right) \right) - 9 - 160 \log(10) \right] \Phi_{h} = a_{0} \left[\frac{2}{\rho^{2}} - 2 \log \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} + 1 \right) \right] + 2a_{1} \left[6 + \frac{1}{\rho^{2}} - 2(2 + 3\rho^{2}) \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \right) \right] \cos \theta + \frac{b_{1}}{2} \left(2 + 3\rho^{2} \right) \cos \theta .$$

D5-BRANE FAT INFLATION: THE POTENTIAL

$$S_4 = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} R_4 + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} v^i v^j - V(r,\theta) \right]$$

With

$$g_{ij} = \operatorname{diag}(g_{rr}(r), g_{\theta\theta}(r))$$

non-trivial field space curvature $\mathbb{R} \neq 0$

 $V(r,\theta) = V(r) + W(r)\cos\theta$

Instantaneous decay constant

$$f = \sqrt{g_{\theta\theta}(r)}$$



Parameters and constraints

 String theory models of inflation relay on 4D LEEFT, weakly coupled, perturbative string expansion

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\end{array}) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\end{array}) \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\end{array}) \\
\left(\end{array}

$$g_s < 1, \quad L/\ell_s > 1$$

- For a 4D effective field theory description to be valid during inflation, requires compactification scale smaller than string scale $(L_c/\ell_s > 1)$
- Thus we require the hierarchy:

 $\lesssim M_{KK} \lesssim M_s \lesssim M_{Pl}$

Parameters and constraints

 String theory models of inflation relay on 4D LEEFT, weakly coupled, perturbative string expansion

$$\sum_{\theta \in V(r, \theta)}^{20} \frac{1}{30} \frac{40}{1.5 \times 10^{-8}}$$

$$g_s < 1, \quad L/\ell_s > 1$$

- For a 4D effective field theory description to be valid during inflation, requires compactification scale smaller than string scale $(L_c/\ell_s > 1)$
- Thus we require the hierarchy:

 $M_{inf} \lesssim H \lesssim M_{KK} \lesssim M_s \lesssim M_{Pl}$

Parameters and constraints

 String theory models of inflation relay on 4D LEEFT, weakly coupled, perturbative string expansion

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}\\
\end{array}$$

$$g_s < 1, \quad L/\ell_s > 1$$

- For a 4D effective field theory description to be valid during inflation, requires compactification scale smaller than string scale $(L_c/\ell_s > 1)$
- Thus we require the hierarchy:

 $M_{inf} \lesssim H \lesssim M_{KK} \lesssim M_s \lesssim M_{Pl}$

for light inflation

Parameters and constraints

 String theory models of inflation relay on 4D LEEFT, weakly coupled, perturbative string expansion

$$g_s < 1, \quad L/\ell_s > 1$$

- For a 4D effective field theory description to be valid during inflation, requires compactification scale smaller than string scale $(L_c/\ell_s > 1)$
- Thus we require the hierarchy:

 $H \lesssim M_{inf} \lesssim M_{KK} \lesssim M_s \lesssim M_{Pl}$ for fat inflation

20

 $pq \ll 4\pi N \quad \left(\mathcal{H}_{min}^{-1/2} = \mathcal{H}_{tip}^{-1/2}\right)$

 1.5×10^{-8}

1.×10-8

5. $\times 10^{-9}$

 $V(r,\theta)$

10



- \odot The parameter, u, is the natural length of the throat, so $u>\ell_s$
- The constants (a_0, a_1, b_1) appearing in the potential are undetermined but small. (Coefficients of indep. solutions of the Laplace equation on the RC)

 The parameters (p,q) are the D5-brane wrapping and flux numbers, and N is the number of D3-branes sourcing the RC geometry. Backreaction constraints require [Becker, Leblond, Shandera, '07; Kooner, S. Parameswaran, IZ, '15]

$$N \gg 1$$
, $p \ll 12N(2\pi)^2 \mathcal{H}^{-1/2} \frac{\ell_s^2}{r^2}$,

D5-BRANE FAT INFLATION

- We fix the parameters (g_s, N, u) to ensure hierarchy of scales: $M_c \leq M_s \leq M_{Pl}$
- Vary the parameters (p,q), keeping track of the backreaction constraints.
- We then choose the coefficients (a_0, a_1, b_1) such that the amplitude of the scalar perturbations matches with observations.



D5-BRANE FAT INFLATION: TURNING RATE

 Slow-roll Inflation lasts for at 60-efolds or more for wide range of parameters
 Natural-like inflation with large turning rate: ω > 1



• Inflatons are fat: $\lambda_{-}/H^{2} \sim 10$

D5-BRANE FAT INFLATION: COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

 Cosmological parameters: clear departure from single field



D5-BRANE FAT INFLATION: NON-GAUSSIANITY

Distinguishable from single field via non-Gaussianity

 $f_{\rm NL} = -\frac{5}{6} \frac{{\rm N}^{,i} {\rm N}^{,j} {\rm N}_{;ij}}{({\rm N}_{,k} {\rm N}^{,k})^2} ,$

[Kaiser, Mazenc, Sfakianakis, '12]





MULTIFIELD D-BRANE INFLATION

- Multifield inflation has new inflationary attractor with (strongly) non-geodesic trajectories.
- Solution Light fields are not needed, all fields can be heavy. Avoid η_{v} -problem
- Fat D5-brane model has challenges that would need to be addressed in a more complete model (moduli stabilisation, heaviest inflaton a bit too heavy)
- Fat trajectories in D3-anti-D3-brane multi-field inflation seem difficult
- Transient large turns interesting pheno (PBHs, GWs)?

[sugra case: Bhattacharya, IZ, '22]

Late time acceleration: dS vacua
DE SITTER SPACE

Cosmological constant problem $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ expanding universe aside, de Sitter space in string theory and pure supergravity challenging to find

Law of an All matter and energy in

Einstein's original equation

 $G_{\mu
u}$ $q_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$

the universe

xpanding universe

Cosmologica constant

All matter and energy i the universe



DS VACUA IN STRING THEORY: KKLT

[Kachru, Kallosh, Linde, Trivedi, '03]

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

100

150

200

Flux compactification in type IIB: N=1 sugra

[Giddings, Kachru, Plochinski, '01]

150 200 250 300 350 400

 $+\overline{D3}$

susy ds

250

300

350

400

- 1) Fluxes generate a potential for ... dilaton and complex structure moduli
- 2) Add non-perturbative terms to 1.5 y susy ads stabilise Kähler moduli: only adS can v be obtained
- 3) Uplift the minimum to a dS, positive vacuum energy by adding an anti-D3brane

REVISITING KKLT STEP 1

 Near the conifold point, 6D metric well approximated by Klebanov-Strassler warped deformed conifold solution

$$ds_{10}^{2} = e^{2A(y)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + e^{-2A(y)} \tilde{g}_{mn} dy^{m} dy^{n}$$

• Kähler metric for deformation modulus is corrected due to strong warping.

[Giddings-Maharana '05; **Douglas-Shelton-Torroba, '07**; Frey-Torroba-Underwood-Douglas, '08] F_{3}, H_{3}

Calabi-Yau

D3

Warped Throat

$$\mathcal{K}(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{l_s^6}{\pi ||\Omega||^2 V_6} \left[|z|^2 \left(\log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{|z|} + 1 \right) + \frac{9c'(g_s M)^2}{(2\pi)^4 \mathcal{V}^{2/3}} |z|^{2/3} \right]$$

REVISITING KKLT STEP 1

 Deformation conifold modulus, S, becomes light and might be destabilised by uplifting anti-D3 brane potential

> [Bena, Dudas, Graña, Lüst, '18; Blumenhagen, Kläwer, Schlechter, '19; Bena, Buchel, Lüst, 19; Dudas, Lüst, '19; Randall, '19; Crinò, Quevedo, Valandro, '20]



 $(z = S/\ell_s^3 = \zeta e^{i\theta})$

near the conifold point, $z \ll 1$ DST strong warping correction dominates

 $V_{KS} = \frac{g_s^3}{8} \frac{g_s}{\mathcal{V}^2} \left(\log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{|z|} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{c'(g_s M)^2}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3} |z|^{4/3}} \right)^{-1} \left| \frac{M}{2\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{z} - \frac{K}{g_s} \right|^2 M_p^4$

REVISITING KKLT STEP 1

 Deformation conifold modulus, S, becomes light and might be destabilised by uplifting anti-D3 brane potential

> [Bena, Dudas, Graña, Lüst, '18; Blumenhagen, Kläwer, Schlechter, '19; Bena, Buchel, Lüst, 19; Dudas, Lüst, '19; Randall, '19; Crinò, Quevedo, Valandro, '20]

• Stability of anti-D3 uplifted minimum requires large fluxes, threatening tadpole cancellation



[Braun, Valandro, '20; Bena, Blåbäck, Graña, Lüst, '20-21]



TADPOLE CANCELLATION

- Orientifold compactifications contain O3/ O7-planes. Need to cancel tadpoles.
- Fluxes contribute to the D3-brane charge as well as D7-branes.

 Requiring to cancel total charges in the internal CY space from D-branes, O-planes and fluxes:

$$N_{flux} = \frac{N_{O3}}{2} + \frac{\chi(D_{O7})}{2} - 2N_{D3}$$
$$N_{flux} \propto \int_{CV} F_3 \wedge H_3$$

JUI

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha)^2 \alpha'} \int_A F_3 = M$$
$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha)^2 \alpha'} \int_B H_3 = K$$

 F_{3}, H_{3}

Calabi-Yau

D3

UPLIFTING RUNAWAYS

Strongly warped regime: deformation conifold potential runaway as adS \implies dS (c' = 1.18, c'' = 1.75) [Bena, Dudas, Graña, Lüst, '18]



[Bena, Blåbäck, Graña, Lüst, '20-21]

(Nflux =MK)

[Crinò, Quevedo, Valandro, '20]

How generic is this? What happens in the **weakly warped** regime? That is,

$$\mathcal{K}(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{l_s^6}{\pi ||\Omega||^2 V_6} \left[|z|^2 \left(\log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{|z|} + 1 \right) + \frac{9c'(g_s M)^2}{(2\pi)^4 \mathcal{V}^{2/3}} |z|^{2/3} \right]$$

Flux potential:

$$V_{KS} = \frac{g_s^3}{8} \frac{g_s}{\mathcal{V}^2} \left(\log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{|z|} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{c'(g_s M)^2}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3} |z|^{4/3}} \right)^{-1} \left| \frac{M}{2\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{z} - \frac{K}{g_s} \right|^2 M_p^4$$

Anti-D3 potential gets also modified $(c_{D3} = 0, 1)$

$$V_{\overline{\text{D3}}} = c_{D3} \left(\frac{g_s^3}{8\pi}\right) \frac{2}{\mathcal{V}^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{2}{c''} \frac{(g_s M)^2}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3} |z|^{4/3}} \right\}^{-1} M_p^2$$

Weakly warped regime: new dS solution, present also for small KM $z = \zeta e^{i\theta}$, $x \equiv \log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{\zeta}$

$$V = V_{KS} + V_{\overline{\text{D3}}} = \frac{\delta_1 C}{\mathcal{V}^{4/3}} \Lambda_0^4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}x} \left[(1+\beta)^{-1} (1-\epsilon x)^2 + c_{D3} \delta_2 \left(1 + \delta_3 \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \Lambda_0^4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}x} \right)^{-1} \right]$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{g_s M}{2\pi K}, \quad \delta_1 = \frac{g_s^3}{8} \times \frac{K^2}{g_s}, \quad \delta_2 = \frac{g_s^3}{8\pi} \times c'' \frac{c'}{\delta_1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \times c'' c' \frac{g_s}{K^2}, \quad \delta_3 \equiv \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2} \frac{c''}{(g_s M)^2}$$

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\mathcal{V}^{2/3} \log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{\zeta}}{\frac{c'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(g_s M)^2}{\zeta^{4/3}}} = C \, \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \Lambda_0^4 \, x e^{-\frac{4}{3}x}, \qquad C = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{c'(g_s M)^2}$$

• Strongly warped regime $\beta \ll 1$ (previous work)

Weakly warped regime: new dS solution, present also for small KM $z = \zeta e^{i\theta}$, $x \equiv \log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{\zeta}$

$$V = V_{KS} + V_{\overline{\text{D3}}} = \frac{\delta_1 C}{\mathcal{V}^{4/3}} \Lambda_0^4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}x} \left[(1+\beta)^{-1} (1-\epsilon x)^2 + c_{D3} \delta_2 \left(1 + \delta_3 \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \Lambda_0^4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}x} \right)^{-1} \right]$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{g_s M}{2\pi K}, \quad \delta_1 = \frac{g_s^3}{8} \times \frac{K^2}{g_s}, \quad \delta_2 = \frac{g_s^3}{8\pi} \times c'' \frac{c'}{\delta_1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \times c'' c' \frac{g_s}{K^2}, \quad \delta_3 \equiv \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2} \frac{c''}{(g_s M)^2}$$

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\mathcal{V}^{2/3} \log \frac{\Lambda_0^3}{\zeta}}{\frac{c'}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(g_s M)^2}{\zeta^{4/3}}} = C \, \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \Lambda_0^4 \, x e^{-\frac{4}{3}x}, \qquad C = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{c'(g_s M)^2}$$

• Weakly warped regime $\beta \gg 1$ (our work)

Weakly warped regime: new dS solution, present also for small $\mathbb{K}^{1} \mathbb{M}^{\sim S^{2} \times S^{3}}$ |S| $V \approx \frac{\delta_{1}}{\mathcal{V}^{2}} \frac{1}{x} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left(1 - \varepsilon x \right)^{2} + \beta c_{D3} \delta_{2} \left(1 + \delta_{3} \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \Lambda_{0}^{4} e^{-\frac{4}{3}x} \right)^{-1} \right]$

This has a minimum at

$$\zeta_{min} \approx \Lambda_0^3 \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi K}{g_s M} - \frac{16Kc_{D3}}{12\pi^2 c' M \Lambda_0^4 \mathcal{V}^{2/3}}\right\}$$



Weakly warped regime: new dS solution, present also for small $\mathbb{K}^{1} \mathbb{M}^{\sim S^{2} \times S^{3}}$ |S| $V \approx \frac{\delta_{1}}{\mathcal{V}^{2}} \frac{1}{x} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \left(1 - \varepsilon x\right)^{2} + \beta c_{D3} \delta_{2} \left(1 + \delta_{3} \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \Lambda_{0}^{4} e^{-\frac{4}{3}x}\right)^{-1} \right]$

This has a minimum at

$$\zeta_{min} \approx \Lambda_0^3 \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi K}{g_s M} - \frac{16Kc_{D3}}{12\pi^2 c' M \Lambda_0^4 \mathcal{V}^{2/3}}\right\}$$

$$\zeta_{min} = \Lambda_0^3 \, \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi K}{g_s M} - \left(\frac{3}{4} \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{16} - \frac{4\pi c' c''}{g_s M^2}}\right)\right\}$$



Weakly warped regime: new dS solution, present also for small $\mathbb{K}^{1} \mathbb{M}^{\sim S^{2} \times S^{3}}$ |S| $V \approx \frac{\delta_{1}}{\mathcal{V}^{2}} \frac{1}{x} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left(1 - \varepsilon x \right)^{2} + \beta c_{D3} \delta_{2} \left(1 + \delta_{3} \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \Lambda_{0}^{4} e^{-\frac{4}{3}x} \right)^{-1} \right]$

This has a minimum at

$$\zeta_{min} \approx \Lambda_0^3 \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi K}{g_s M} - \frac{16Kc_{D3}}{12\pi^2 c' M \Lambda_0^4 \mathcal{V}^{2/3}}\right\}$$



Weakly warped regime: new dS solution, present also for small KM $\delta_{1,1} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} - \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} \right]$

$$V \approx \frac{\delta_1}{\mathcal{V}^2} \frac{1}{x} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \left(1 - \varepsilon x \right)^2 + \beta c_{D3} \delta_2 \left(1 + \delta_3 \mathcal{V}^{2/3} \Lambda_0^4 e^{-\frac{4}{3}x} \right)^{-1} \right]$$

This has a minimum at

 $\zeta_{min} \approx \Lambda_0^3 \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi K}{g_s M} - \frac{16Kc_{D3}}{12\pi^2 c' M \Lambda_0^4 \mathcal{V}^{2/3}}\right\}$

small shift of the GKP solution

So long as β is large enough, there is always a solution. Thus anti-brane does not destabilise the conifold modulus. Tadpole can be small

NEW DS IN WEAKLY WARPED REGIME: VOLUME STABILISATION

Volume can be stabilised in the large volume scenario

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}/M_p^2 = &k_0 - 2\log\left[\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{g_s^{3/2}}\right] - \log\left(-i(\tau - \bar{\tau})\right) + k_1|z|^2 \left(\log\frac{\Lambda_0^3}{|z|} + 1\right) + \frac{k_2}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}}|z|^{2/3} \\ W/M_p^3 = &w_1 \left(W_0 e^{i\sigma} + \left[-\frac{M}{2\pi i}z\left(\log\frac{\Lambda_0^3}{z} + 1\right) - i\frac{K}{g_s}z\right] + Ae^{-aT_s}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Weakly warped regime

[Bento-Chakraborty-Parameswaran-IZ, '21]

			W_0	σ	-	g_s	$g_s M$		Λ_0	κ_s		χ	a	A	A	
		1	200	0)	0.17	16	2	1	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{9}$	_	260	$\frac{\pi}{3}$	1200		
	$ au_s au_b$		$ au_b$	ζ		V _{crit}		n	$m_1^2 \sim m_\zeta^2$			$\sim m_{\tau_s}^2$	s	m_{3}^{2}		
[10.42		700	0 9.7 >		$< 10^{-3}$	5.44×10^{-14}		4 2.3	2.38×10^{-8}			$\times 10^{-1}$	-6 8.9	8.98×10^{-13}	
	10.85		960	9.8×10^{-3}		6.68×10^{-14}		⁴ 9.2	9.24×10^{-9}		3.11×10^{-6}		-6 -2.	-2.57×10^{-13}		
[\mathcal{V}			M_s		m_{KK}		n	$m_{3/2}$		M_s^w		m_{KK}^w			
	$1.86 \times$		10^4 2.21		L×	$\times 10^{-3}$ 4.30		30×10^{-4}		1.32×10^{-4}		2.20×10^{-3}		1.34×10^{-3}		
2.98×10		10^{4}	1.75×10^{-3}		3.14×10^{-4}		8.21	8.21×10^{-5}		1.74×10^{-3}		$1.06 \times$	1.06×10^{-3}			



NEW DS IN WEAKLY WARPED REGIME: VOLUME STABILISATION

Volume can be stabilised in the large volume scenario

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}/M_p^2 = &k_0 - 2\log\left[\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{g_s^{3/2}}\right] - \log\left(-i(\tau - \bar{\tau})\right) + k_1|z|^2 \left(\log\frac{\Lambda_0^3}{|z|} + 1\right) + \frac{k_2}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}}|z|^{2/3} \\ W/M_p^3 = &w_1 \left(W_0 e^{i\sigma} + \left[-\frac{M}{2\pi i}z \left(\log\frac{\Lambda_0^3}{z} + 1\right) - i\frac{K}{g_s}z\right] + Ae^{-aT_s}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Weakly warped regime

		W_0	σ	-	g_s	M	K	Λ_0	κ_s		χ	a	1	4		
		1200)	0.17	16	2	1	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{9}$	_	260	$\frac{\pi}{3}$	12	200		
τ	$ au_s$			ζ		V _{crit}		n	$m_1^2 \sim m_\zeta^2$			$m_2^2 \sim m_{\tau_s}^2$			m_{3}^{2}	
10.	42	700	9.	9.7×10^{-3}		5.44×10^{-14}		4 2.3	2.38×10^{-8}			7.36×10^{-6}			8.98×10^{-13}	
10.85		960	9.	9.8×10^{-3}		6.68×10^{-14}		4 9.2	9.24×10^{-9}		3.11×10^{-6}		-6	3 -2.57 × 10		0^{-13}
	\mathcal{V}		M_s		m_{KK}		r.	$m_{3/2}$		M_s^w			m_{KK}^w			
$1.86 \times$		10^{4}	2.21	2.21×10^{-3}		4.30×10^{-4}		1.32	1.32×10^{-4}		2.20×10^{-3}		1.3	1.34×10^{-3}		
$2.98 \times 10^{\circ}$		10 ⁴	1.75×10^{-3}		3.14×10^{-4}		8.21	8.21×10^{-5}		1.74×10^{-3} 1		1.0	1.06×10^{-3}			



NEW DS IN WEAKLY WARPED REGIME

- A new dS solution arises in the weakly warped regime of the warped flux compactification.
- Small shift from GKP minimum, not destabilised by anti-D3 brane!
- Tadpole (MK = 32) can be much smaller. Stronger bound comes from supergravity approximation $(g_s < 1)$

 $g_s M > 1$

UPLIFTING RUNAWAYS IN THE MIRROR QUINTIC

- In most works, dilaton has been assumed to be fixed; no concrete compact CY; focus on M, K fluxes.
- GKP solution requires at least 3 types of fluxes to stabilise dilaton. Can these change results?
- Use concrete compact CY, the mirror quintic, to address these questions

[w/Cabo-Bizet, Olguín-Trejo, Loaiza-Brito, in progress]

FLUX COMPACTIFICATIONS ON THE MIRROR QUINTIC

• The mirror quintic is a compact CY, with a single CS z:

$$h^{2,1} = 1, \quad h^{1,1} = 101, \quad b_3 = 4$$

four 3-cycles

[Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes, '91]

- It has three critical points: conifold, large complex structure and orbifold the singular points are located at:
 - the conifold: $z_C = 0$
 - the LCS: $z_C = 1$
 - the orbifold: $z_C = \infty$



FLUX COMPACTIFICATIONS ON THE MIRROR QUINTIC

We can turn on 3-form fluxes on the four 3-cycles to stabilise the dilation and complex structure

Denote the fluxes as

$$(F_{(3)}^{I}, F_{(3)I}) = (F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}, F_{4}),$$

$$(H_{(3)}^{I}, H_{(3)I}) = (H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, H_{4}),$$

Such that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 \alpha'} \int_{A^I} F_{(3)} = (F_1, F_2), \qquad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 \alpha'} \int_{A^I} H_{(3)} = (H_1, H_2),$$
$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 \alpha'} \int_{B_I} F_{(3)} = (F_3, F_4), \qquad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 \alpha'} \int_{B_I} H_{(3)} = (H_3, H_4).$$

In our notation:

$$F_1 = M$$
, $H_3 = K$, $H_4 = K'$

STRONGLY WARPED REGIME ON THE MIRROR QUINTIC [w/Cabo-Bizet, Olguín-Trejo, Loaiza-Brito, in progress]

We consider the modification of the Kähler potential by DST to stabilise the dilation and deformation modulus explicitly

$$K(z,\bar{z},\tau,\bar{\tau}) = -\ln\left[-i\left(\tau-\bar{\tau}\right)\right] - \ln\left[-i\bar{\Pi}^T\Sigma\Pi\right] - 2\ln\left[\mathcal{V}\right] + \frac{C}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}|z|^{2/3},$$
$$W(z,\tau) = F_1\Pi_3 + F_2\Pi_4 - F_3\Pi_1 - F_4\Pi_2 + \tau\left(H_3\Pi_1 + H_4\Pi_2 - H_1\Pi_3 - H_2\Pi_4\right),$$

where

$$\Pi \sim \begin{pmatrix} A_0 z \\ a_0 + b_0 z \\ c + d z + a z \ln z \\ a_1 + b_1 z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_{k \times k} \\ -\mathbb{1}_{k \times k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad k = 1 + h^{2,1}$$

and $C \equiv -4 \frac{9 \, c' F_1^2}{8 \pi (2\pi)^4 \mathcal{V}^{2/3}}$

MODULI STABILISATION ON THE MIRROR QUINTIC [w/Cabo-Bizet, Olguín-Trejo, Loaiza-Brito, in progress]

As shown in GKP, two stabilise the dilaton, we need to turn on at least three fluxes: (F_1, H_3, H_4) ((M, K, K'))

Next, we add the contribution from the anti-D3 brane

$V_{\overline{\text{D3}}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^4}{8\pi} \frac{(2\pi)^4 c''}{(g_s F_1)^2} \frac{ z ^{4/3}}{\mathcal{V}^{4/3}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^4}{8\pi} D z ^{4/3} (\tau - \bar{\tau})^2 , \qquad D \equiv -\frac{(2\pi)^4 c''}{4 F_1^2 \mathcal{V}^{4/3}}$												
$(z = re^{i\theta})$		$(\tau = C_0 + e^{-\phi})$	$t = t_1 + it_2$	$(g_s = e^{\phi_0})$								
r_o, r, r_f	$ heta_o, heta, heta_f$	t_1	t_2	$ec{F},ec{H}$	$ec{F}\Sigmaec{H}$	KM						
$7.76 * 10^{-3}$	-142.74	7.78	2.41	(15 3 4 - 5)								
$7.75 * 10^{-3}$	-142.74	7.78	2.41	(10, 0, 4, -0) (12 -7 23/1)	3526	3510						
$9.03 * 10^{-5}$	-142.85	7.01	3.05	(12, 1, 204, 1)								
$1.50 * 10^{-5}$	61.03	4.61	1.82	(40, 28, -3, 10)								
$1.18 * 10^{-5}$	61.35	4.61	1.82	(40, 20, -3, 10) (-5, 0, 128, 1)	5073	5120						
$1.16 * 10^{-5}$	61.07	4.61	1.82	(-5, 5, 120, 1)								

Very large tadpole required to keep solutions!

- Compact uplifted dS solutions are possible
- Solutions with three fluxes (M,K,K') alone, seem harder to obtain (require large tadpole)
- DST correction is valid at, and infinitesimally far from the GKP minimum (on-shell)
- However, stability argument relies on features of offshell potential of the deformation modulus
- Understanding of the potential away from minimum is needed
 [Lüst, Randall, '22]

 Computed the potential for the deformation modulus for finite field displacements

 Considered the additional constraints that arise in warped compactifications

$$\delta_S A = \frac{1}{8} \delta_S \tilde{g}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}^{n} \Big[\delta_{S} \tilde{g}_{nm} - \tilde{g}_{mn} \big(\delta_{S} \tilde{g} - 4 \delta_{S} A \big) \Big] - 2 \tilde{g}^{nk} \partial_{n} A \Big[\delta_{S} \tilde{g}_{km} - \tilde{g}_{km} \big(\delta_{S} \tilde{g} - 8 \delta_{S} A \big) \Big] = \delta_{S} T_{m} = 0$$

for one-parameter family of warp factors and Ricciflat metrics on the deformed conifold,

 $A(y^m, S), \quad \tilde{g}_{mn}(y^m, S)$

- Solved these constraints to compute directly the full off-shell potential for the conifold deformation parameter of the KS geometry.
- The resulting potential as it only has one critical point at the supersymmetric minimum

both with and without the anti-brane perturbation.

$$V_{\text{flux}} = \frac{1}{4\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^6 \gamma \frac{e^{4A}}{\text{Im }\tau_{\text{IIB}}} \Big[G_3 \wedge \tilde{\star}_6 \overline{G}_3 + iG_3 \wedge \overline{G}_3 \Big]$$



- Solved these constraints to compute directly the full off-shell potential for the conifold deformation parameter of the KS geometry.
- The resulting potential as it only has one critical point at the supersymmetric minimum both with and without the anti-brane perturbation.

• However, it would be useful to have an explicit expression of the N=1 supersymmetry Kähler potential $K_{
m warp}(S,\bar{S})$

to bring the new potential in the form of an N= 1 supergravity with W_{flux}

STRONGLY WARPED REGIME KÄHLER POTENTIAL

[w/Cabo-Bizet, Olguín-Trejo, Loaiza-Brito, in progress]

We follow the procedure of DST to find an expression for the Kähler metric, $K_{z\bar{z}}$

$$K_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{i}{||\Omega||^2 V_6} \int_{conifold} h \ \chi_S \wedge \chi_{\bar{S}} \, ,$$

where the (2,1)-form for KS is given by

 $\chi_S = g^3 \wedge g^4 \wedge g^5 + d[F(\eta)(g^1 \wedge g^3 + g^2 \wedge g^4)] - id[f(\eta)(g^1 \wedge g^2) + k(\tau)(g^3 \wedge g^4)],$

$$F(\eta) = \frac{\sinh \eta - \eta}{2\sinh \eta}, \quad f(\eta) = \frac{\eta \coth \eta - 1}{2\sinh \eta} (\cosh \eta - 1), \quad k(\eta) = \frac{\eta \coth \eta - 1}{2\sinh \eta} (\cosh \eta + 1),$$





STRONGLY WARPED REGIME ON THE MIRROR QUINTIC REVISITED

w/Cobo-Bizet, Olgunn Trejo, Loaiza-Brito, in progress]

 $\left(\mathcal{T}(\tau, S) = \tau \left(\frac{S_0}{S}\right)^{2/3} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^3),\right)$

We follow the procedure of DST to find an expression for the Kähler metric, $K_{z\bar{z}}$

$\begin{array}{l} \text{Metric becomes} \\ K_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{2}{\pi ||\Omega||^2 V_6} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[$

 $\mathcal{T}(\tau, S) = F^{-1} \left| F(\tau) - \log \frac{S}{S_0} \right|, \qquad F(t) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left[\sinh(2t) - 2t \right]$

can use the warp factor found by LR

$$\partial_{\tau} e^{-4A(\tau,S)} = 2^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{g_s(\alpha' M)^2}{|S|^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{\tau \coth \tau - 1}{\sinh^2 \tau} \frac{\sinh 2\tau - 2\tau}{(\sinh 2\tau - 2\tau)^{2/3}} \partial_{\tau} \mathcal{T} \qquad (\eta \equiv \tau)$$

with

STRONGLY WARPED REGIME ON THE MIRROR QUINTIC REVISITED

[w/Cabo-Bizet, Olguín-Trejo, Loaiza-Brito, in progress]

We can perform the integral numerically for different values of the deformation modulus $z = S/\ell_s^3$ $(\ell_s^2 = (2\pi)^2 \alpha')$

$$I = -\int d\eta \, \frac{de^{-4A(z,\eta)}}{d\eta} [f + F(k-f)]$$

We perform a suitable fit of this function to find the Kähler metric in the (off-shell) strong regime

$$K_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{8(2)^{2/3}\ell_s^6}{\pi |\Omega|^2 V_6} \frac{(g_s F_1)^2}{(2\pi)^4 \mathcal{V}^{2/3}} \Big(\frac{A}{|z|^{4/3}} + \frac{B}{|z_0|^{4/3}}\Big) e^{-a\frac{|z_0|^{2/3}}{|z|^{2/3}}}$$

with

$$A = 0.151421, \quad B = 0.456719, \quad a = 1.187543$$

Kähler potential can now be obtained by integration

STRONGLY WARPED REGIME ON THE MIRROR QUINTIC REVISITED

[w/Cabo-Bizet, Olguín-Trejo, Loaiza-Brito, in progress]

The Kähler potential can now be obtained by integration and we can use it to stabilise the deformation modulus and dilation in the strongly warped regime

 $K(z, \bar{z}, \tau, \bar{\tau}) = -\ln\left[-i\left(\tau - \bar{\tau}\right)\right] - \ln\left[-i\bar{\Pi}^T\Sigma\Pi\right] - 2\ln\left[\mathcal{V}\right] + K_{warp}(z, \bar{z}, \tau, \bar{\tau}),$ $W(z, \tau) = F_1\Pi_3 + F_2\Pi_4 - F_3\Pi_1 - F_4\Pi_2 + \tau\left(H_3\Pi_1 + H_4\Pi_2 - H_1\Pi_3 - H_2\Pi_4\right),$

The anti-D3 potential get's modified according to $V_{\overline{D3}} = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{8\pi} \frac{|z_0|^{4/3}}{(g_s F_1)^2} \frac{2^{1/3}}{I(0,z)} \frac{M_{Pl}^4}{\mathcal{V}^{4/3}}$ $I(0,z) = a \left(\frac{z_0}{z}\right)^b, \qquad b \sim 0.44$

DS AT THE TIP OF THE THROAT

- First step to write the off-shell potential in terms of N = 1 supergravity
- Tadpole can be small, no instability triggered. Constraint of flux $\sqrt{g_s}M$ no longer applies.
- Could instability appear farther away from the minimum?
- dS vacua a la KKLT remain an interesting possibility
- Further constraints, relax of assumptions, etc?

Gravitational tests

[Bento-Chakraborty-Parameswaran-IZ, '22]

- Warped throats have open new possibilities for cosmology and phenomenology
- For example, our universe can confined on a D-brane at the tip of a warped throat
- It is thus interesting to study its effects on the gravitational sector of the 4D EFT.
- As a first step, we focus on the corrections to the Newtonian potential, which can be compared to observations across diverse scales

[Bento-Chakraborty-Parameswaran-IZ, '22]

- Consider type IIB supergravity in 10D, compactify on a warped CY 3-fold
- Find the corresponding KK tower of tensor modes, which describes an infinite set of massive spin-2 fields in 4D
- We use these results to compute the corrections to the Newtonian gravitational potential due to the massive tower and compare these predictions with current experimental constraints.

[Gravitational waves & Extra dimensions (past 5 years): Reinoud Jan Slagter '17; Andriot, Lucena Gómez, '17; Chakraborty, Chakravarti, Bose, SenGupta, '17; Megías, Nardini, Quirós, '18; Kwon, Lee, Tolla, '19; Andriot, Tsimpis, '19; Du, Tahura, Vaman, Yagi, '20; Andriot, Marconnet, Tsimpis, '21; Ferko, Satishchandran, Sethi, '21

[Bento-Chakraborty-Parameswaran-IZ, '22]

Consider a braneworld scenario, with the Standard Model localised on a D3-brane at y_b in the warped compact space as

Unwarped conifold transition ($\tau_c < \tau < T$)

Vanishing boundary conditions on CY₃ ($\tau = T$)

(3+1)-brane somewhere in the throat ($\tau < T$)



[Bento-Chakraborty-Parameswaran-IZ, '22]

Consider a braneworld scenario, with the Standard Model localised on a D3-brane at y_b in the warped compact space as

The Newtonian potential can be parameterised as range

$$V = G_N \frac{m_1 \, m_2}{r} \left(1 + \alpha \, e^{-r/\lambda}\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{strength}}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{(g_s M)^3} \frac{2A(\tau_b, \tau_c, T)}{I(\tau_c)^{3/2}} H_{\tau_c}(\tau_b)^{-1/2} \frac{g_s^2}{\mathcal{H}^2}$$
$$\lambda^{-1} = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{2^{1/6}} \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{g_s M}} \frac{H_{\tau_c}(\tau_b)^{1/4}}{I(\tau_c)^{1/4}} \frac{\mu(\tau_c, T)}{l_p}.$$



$$(\tau_c, T, g_s, M, \mathcal{H}, \tau_b)$$

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv H_{\tau_c}(\tau_b)^{-1/4} \frac{g_s}{\sqrt{4\pi \mathcal{V}_w}}$$
$$H = 1 + \frac{e^{-4A}}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}}$$
GRAVITY AT THE TIP OF THE THROAT

[Bento-Chakraborty-Parameswaran-IZ, '22]

We can compare our predictions with the available experimental constraints

$$V = G_N \frac{m_1 m_2}{r} \left(1 + \alpha e^{-r/\lambda} \right)$$

Triangle regions:

$g_s M > 1$	Supergravity (α')	
$g_{s} < 1$	String loop expansion	
$M < M_{max}$	D3 Tadpole	



SUMMARY

String theory warped compactifications offer rich new avenues to explore cosmological questions

In particular for early and late time acceleration: inflation and dark energy

Future is bright in terms of test of cosmological as well as gravitational implications!

Several questions still open!

MULTI FIELD INFLATION AND THE SWAMPLAND

Recently proposed asymptotic dS conjectures require

$$\frac{\nabla V}{V} \ge \frac{c}{M_{\rm Pl}} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{\min(\nabla^a \nabla_b V)}{V} \le -\frac{c'}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}$$

In multi field inflation, these conditions can be satisfied:

 If inflation occurs along strongly non-geodesic attractor, the first condition can be satisfied, while second condition may or not be satisfied

[Hetz, Palma, '16; Achúcarro, Palma, '18]

$$\epsilon_V \approx \epsilon \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2}{9}\right) \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

if turn rate is large

 $\left(\epsilon_V \equiv \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \frac{V^a V_a}{V^2}\right)$

MULTI FIELD INFLATION AND THE SWAMPLAND

Recently proposed asymptotic dS conjectures require

$$\frac{\nabla V}{V} \ge \frac{c}{M_{\rm Pl}} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{\min(\nabla^a \nabla_b V)}{V} \le -\frac{c'}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}$$

In multi field inflation, these conditions can be satisfied:

• If inflaton satisfies, $H^2 \ll \lambda \leq V_{TT}$, one can show that $\omega \gg 1$ and first condition may be satisfied

• If inflaton satisfies, $|\lambda/H^2| \gg 1$ (tachyonically fat), second condition is satisfied

[w/Chakraborty et al. '19; w/Aragam, Chivoloni, Paban, Rosati, '21]

DE SITTER SWAMPLAND CONJECTURE

• The scalar potential in the LEEFT of any consistent quantum [Danielsson, Van Riet '18; gravity must satisfy either: Obied, Ooguri, Spodyneiko, Vafa '18;

 $\frac{\sqrt{\nabla^i V \nabla_i V}}{V} \gtrsim \frac{c}{M_{Pl}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\min(\nabla^i \nabla_j V)}{V} \lesssim -\frac{c'}{M_{Pl}^2}$

Garg, Krishnan '18; Ooguri, Palti, Shiu, Vafa '18]

for some universal constants c, c' > 0 of order 1.

• Rules out metastable dS, allows sufficiently unstable dS

