

Noninvertible Solitonic Symmetry

Yuya Tanizaki (Yukawa Institute, Kyoto U.)

Based on arXiv:[2210.13780](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.13780), [2307.00939](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.00939)
with Shi Chen (U. Tokyo -> U. Minnesota)

Conservation law of topological solitons is **NOT** fully characterized by homotopies $\pi_*(M)$.

Its algebraic structure can be more complicated \Rightarrow Noninvertible Solitonic Symmetry

Outline

1. Introduction
2. 4d $\mathbb{C}P^1$ σ -model & Hopfion
3. Mathematical structure
4. Summary

When (usual) symmetry is spontaneously broken

$$G \xrightarrow{\text{SSB}} H,$$


the low-energy effective theory is given by a non-linear σ -model:

$$\sigma : \text{Spacetime} \longrightarrow G/H$$


$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma \exp \left(-\frac{1}{g^2} \int |\dot{\sigma}|^2 + (\dots) \right).$$

Small fluctuations of σ : Nambu-Goldstone modes

Large fluctuation : Topological Solitons



domain wall




vortex



hedgehog

Topological Stability and Homotopies

(Mermin '79 Rev. Mod. Phys.)



$$\sigma \rightarrow M (= G/H)$$

To find finite action/energy (density) $\int |\dot{\sigma}|^2 < \infty$, its convenient to identify ∞ 's of \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \simeq S^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} M$$

\Rightarrow Topological solitons are classified by homotopies of the target space $\pi_n(M)$.

Recall that

Conservation Law \iff Symmetry,

we should be able to understand this topological conservation law as the symmetry of the σ -model.


Conventional Wisdom: Solitonic Sym. $\simeq \text{Hom}(\pi_n(M), U(1))$.

\hookrightarrow Is this always true?

Vortex Soliton $\pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$
 $\pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ has the Noether current

$$\dot{a} = \frac{1}{2\pi} da,$$

and it gives $U(1)$ 1-form symmetry.



$$\underbrace{\int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi}}_{\text{Dirac quantization}} \in \mathbb{Z} \simeq \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$$

We denote this line operator as $V_n(L)$ with $n = \int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi}$.

(We'll see that there is a finer classification for the vortex operators $V_n(L)$)


Hopfion $\pi_3(\mathbb{C}P^1)$

$\pi_3(\mathbb{C}P^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ follows from the Hopf fibration $S^1 \rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow S^2$, and the corresponding solitons are known as "Hopfion" (or "Hopf soliton").

$\pi_3(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ is measured by the Hopf number [Wilczek, Zee '83]

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^3} a da \in \pi \mathbb{Z}$$

* For general $U(1)$ gauge fields, the Chern-Simons form $\int \frac{1}{4\pi} a da$ can take arbitrary numbers.
Here, since a is an "auxiliary" field ($a = i \vec{z}^\dagger d\vec{z}$),
 $d(\frac{1}{4\pi} a da) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (da)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{4\pi} a da$ becomes quantized.




Unlike the case of $\pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, however, the integrand

$$j_{\text{Hopf}} := \frac{1}{4\pi^2} a da$$

is not gauge invariant.

$U(1)$ without Noether current?

More on Vortex Operators $V_{n,k}(L)$



Let's classify the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ configurations

$$S^2 \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{C}P^1$$

up to homotopy for a given monopole charge $\int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi} = n$.

In particular, what is the possible value of

$$\int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{a da}{4\pi^2} (=: k) \quad ? \quad \Rightarrow \quad V_{n,k}(L).$$

\mathbb{Z}_{2n} classification : $V_{n, k+2n}(L) \cong V_{n, k}(L)$

Let's try to evaluate the Hopfian number on $S^2 \times S^1$:

$$k = \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{ada}{4\pi^2}$$

Under the large $U(1)$ gauge transformation $a \mapsto a + \epsilon^{(1)}$ along S^1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{ada}{4\pi^2} &\mapsto \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{ada}{4\pi^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \underbrace{\int_{S^1} \epsilon^{(1)}}_{\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{\int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi}}_{=n} \\ &= \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{ada}{4\pi^2} + 2n\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{ada}{4\pi^2} = k$ is well-defined only in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} , i.e. $k \sim k+2n$.

[cf. Pontrjagin '41]

Is the Hopfion symmetry $U(1)$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 ?

Let's evaluate correlation functions in a compact spacetime.

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} H_{\mathbf{k}_1}(x_1) \\ \bullet \\ H_{\mathbf{k}_2}(x_2) \\ \bullet \\ H_{\mathbf{k}_3}(x_3) \end{array} \right\rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \dots = 0.$$

$U(1)$ conservation law

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} H_{\mathbf{k}_1}(x_1) \\ \bullet \\ H_{\mathbf{k}_2}(x_2) \\ \bullet \\ \bigcirc \\ V_{n,\mathbf{k}}(L) \end{array} \right\rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{k} = 0 \pmod{2n}.$$

\mathbb{Z}_{2n} conservation law.

{ Without $V(L)$, the Hopfion number conserves as if there is a $U(1)$ symmetry.
With $V(L)$, the conservation law reduces to that of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Which is the symmetry group? Or, is it something else?

Generalized Symmetry in QFTs

Generalized Symmetry = Topological Operators

For continuous symmetry,

$Q(M_{d-1}) = \int_{M_{d-1}} \mathcal{L}$ is invariant under any ^{topological} continuous deformation of M_{d-1} .

In conventional symmetry, those topological operators obey group structures.

However, this turns out to be too restrictive to explore QFTs.

Non-invertible symmetry (or Categorical symmetry)

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ \downarrow \\ \updownarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b \\ \downarrow \\ \updownarrow \end{array} = \sum_c N_{ab}^c(M_{d-1}) \begin{array}{c} c \\ \downarrow \\ \updownarrow \end{array}$$

Fusion rule of symmetry defects can be quite general.

(2d CFTs : Verlinde '88, Bhadraj, Tachikawa '17, Thorngren, Wang '19, ...
Higher dims : Nguyen, YT, Ünsal; Heidenreich, McNamara, Reece, Rudelius, Valenzuela;
(Since '21) Koide, Nagoya, Yamaguchi; Choi, Cordova, Hsin, Lam, Shao; Kaidi, Ohmori, Zheng; ...)

Topological operators and TQFTs

One of useful methods to find "unconventional" sym. (cf. Choi, Lam, Shao '22; Cordova, Ohmori '22)

1. Prepare a TQFT

2. Put it on a submanifold with a topological coupling to dynamical fields.

(As every ingredient is topological,
this operator is manifestly topological. We should check if it acts nontrivially to local operators.)

In our case,

1. We prepare the level- N $U(1)$ CS theory $\int \mathcal{D}b e^{i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int b db}$

2. The Hopfion symmetry operator is then defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3, da) = \int \mathcal{D}b \exp \left(i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} b n db + i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{M_3} b \wedge da \right)$$

Let's check how this operator acts on $H_{\mathbb{Z}}(x)$ and $V_{n,\mathbb{Z}}(L)$.
Hopfion op. vortex op.

Action of $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3)$ on $H_k(x)$


To evaluate the action of $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3)$, we can set $M_3 = S^3$ that surrounds x .

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3) = \int \mathcal{D}b e^{i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int b db + i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int b da}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}b e^{i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int_{S^3} (b + \frac{a}{N}) d(b + \frac{a}{N})} \cdot e^{-i \frac{\pi}{N} \int_{S^3} \frac{a da}{4\pi^2}}$$

On S^3 , $U(1)$ bundle \curvearrowright
is trivial.

$$\propto e^{-i \frac{\pi}{N} \int_{S^3} \frac{a da}{4\pi^2}}$$



This shows that

$$\left\langle \mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3) H_k(x) \dots \right\rangle \propto e^{-i \frac{\pi}{N} k} \left\langle H_k(x) \dots \right\rangle$$


and $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3)$ detects the Hopfion charge of $H_k(x)$.

Since N can be arbitrary, $\left\{ \mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3) \right\}_{N \geq 1}$ determines k as an integer.

\Rightarrow Recovery of $U(1)$ -like selection rule

Action of $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3)$ on $V_{n,k}(L)$

Next, we set $M_3 = S^2 \times S^1$ to evaluate its action on $V_{n,k}(L)$.



$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^2 \times S^1) = \int \mathcal{D}b e^{i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int b db + i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int b da}$$

$\int_{S^1} b$ $\int_{S^2} \frac{db}{2\pi}$ $\int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi}$

U(1) bundle over $S^2 \times S^1$ can be nontrivial.

$$= \sum_m (\dots) \underbrace{\int_0^{2\pi} d\beta e^{i \beta (Nm + n)}}_{= 0 \text{ unless } Nm + n = 0}$$

$$= \begin{cases} e^{-i \frac{\pi}{n} k} & \text{for } N = n. \\ 0 & \text{if } N \text{ is not a divisor of } n. \end{cases}$$

When the symmetry looks to be reduced to \mathbb{Z}_{2n} by the presence of vortex operators,

$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^2 \times S^1)$ acts nontrivially only if it fits the periodicity of \mathbb{Z}_{2n} .

Moreover, $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{n}}(S^2 \times S^1)$ captures the Hopfion charge of $V_{n,k}(L)$ in mod $2n$.

For 4d $\mathbb{C}P^1$ σ -model,
the Hopfion symmetry associated with $\pi_3(\mathbb{C}P^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is neither $U(1)$ nor \mathbb{Z}_2 .

The correct symmetry generator is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3) = \int \mathcal{D}b \, e^{i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} b \wedge db + i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{M_3} b \wedge da},$$

and the fusion rule is controlled by those of 3d TQFTs.

There is an invertible \mathbb{Z}_2 subgroup, generated by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pi}(M_3) = e^{i\pi \int_{M_3} \frac{a da}{4\pi^2}} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \left(\simeq \tilde{\Omega}_3^{\text{Spin}}(\mathbb{C}P^1) \right).$$

Mathematical Formulation of Solitonic Symmetry

Let us give a (tentative) proposal for general structure of solitonic symmetry.

$$Z = \int_{M \rightarrow Y} \mathcal{D}\sigma e^{-S[\sigma]}$$

- Here, Y can be infinite-dim. space formally, so that σ contains higher-gauge fields
- We assume that $|\pi_0(Y)| < \infty$, and $\pi_k(Y) = 0$ for sufficiently large k .

Solitonic symmetries should be generated by topological functionals of σ .

Requiring locality, it would be natural to argue that

$$J[M, \sigma] = \text{Partition function of fully-extended } Y\text{-enriched TQFT.}$$

Fully-extended TQFTs are mathematical formulations of TQFTs extending Atiyah's axiom to include locality. [Baez, Dolan '95, Lurie '09]

$$\mathbb{Z} : \text{Bordism category} \xrightarrow{\text{symmetric monoidal}} \text{Vec. category} \quad (\text{Atiyah})$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{Z} : \text{Bord. } (\infty, n)\text{-cat.} \longrightarrow (\infty, n)\text{-cat.} \quad (\text{fully-extended version})$$


for $q \leq n$, q -morphisms are q -dim. manifolds w/ corners.

Cobordism hypothesis

$$\text{Fully-extended TQFT } \mathbb{Z} : \text{Bord}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

$$\overset{!}{\iff} \mathbb{Z}(*) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$$

(Idea)



Any manifolds can be constructed by gluing an open disk ■.

If we evaluate $\mathbb{Z}(\text{■}) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, it determines the whole data of local TQFT. //

Let us define Y -enriched TQFTs following this idea:

Def n -dim. fully-extended Y -enriched TQFTs are given by

$$\underline{Z} : \underline{\text{Bord}}_n(Y) \longrightarrow \underline{\Sigma^{n-1}(s)\text{Vec.}}$$

Y -enriched (∞, n) -bord.

target (∞, n) -category proposed by Gaiotto, Johnson-Freyd '19.

According to cobordism hypothesis, the equivalent data can be obtained after point evaluation:

$$\underline{Z} : Y \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n-1}(s)\text{Vec}$$

We call this functor \underline{Z} an n -representation associated with \underline{Z} .

(Here, Y is identified with its homotopy ∞ -type, i.e. ∞ -groupoid.)

Thus, topological functionals of Y turn out to be described by these functors,

$${}_{(s)}\text{Rep}^\bullet(Y) := \text{Func}(Y, \Sigma^{\bullet-1}(s)\text{Vec.})$$

We call it solitonic cohomology. As an invertible part,

$$\text{Rep}^\bullet(Y) \supset H^\bullet(Y; \mathbb{C}^x).$$

Summary

- Topological conservation law for solitons is not fully characterized by Homotopies.

- 4d $\mathbb{C}P^1$ σ -model is carefully examined.

$$\begin{cases} \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z} & \Rightarrow U(1) \text{ 1-form symmetry for vortex.} \\ \pi_3(\mathbb{C}P^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z} & \not\Rightarrow U(1) \text{ symmetry for Hopfion.} \end{cases}$$

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} H_{k_1}(x_1) \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ H_{k_2}(x_2) \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ V_{n,k}(L) \end{array} \right\rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k = 0 \pmod{2n}.$$

- The symmetry generators are given by 3d TQFT partition functions

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3) = \int \mathcal{D}b \exp\left(i \int_{M_3} \frac{N}{4\pi} b db + i \int_{M_3} \frac{1}{2\pi} b da\right).$$

\Rightarrow Noninvertible Solitonic Symmetry

- We introduce the solitonic cohomology $\text{Rep}^\bullet(Y) = \text{Func.}(Y, \Sigma^{\bullet-1} \text{Vec})$ as the mathematical formulation of solitonic symmetry.