

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

HIGHER SPIN COMPTON AMPLITUDES AND KERR

From Amplitudes to Gravitational Waves

Nordita, 25th July 2023

Lucile Cangemi [2212.06120] & [2308.XXXX] with M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, A. Ochirov, P. Pichini, E. Skvortsov

Structure

1. Introduction: 3pt amplitudes and Kerr

2. Construction of HS theories: non-chiral and chiral

3. Compton amplitudes: $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$ and Kerr

4. Classical analysis & fixing free contacts

Kerr from QFT at 3pts

energy-mom. tensor of Kerr BH 3pt amp. 2 massive + 1 graviton [Arkani-Hamed, Huang, Huang '17; [Vines '18] Guevara, Ochirov, Vines '18 & '19; Chung, Huang, Kim, Lee '18] P.S P2, $\mathcal{M}_{Kerr} = (\varepsilon \cdot p_1)^2 \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{12} \rangle}{m}\right)^{2s} \quad \to \quad \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu}(q) \sim (\varepsilon \cdot p_1)^2 \exp(q \cdot a)$ make explicit using **spin variables**

\mathcal{M}_{Kerr} from Higher Spin (HS) Theory

[2212.06120]

HS constraints {massive gauge inv. + ...} **uniquely** predict 3pt Kerr & $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$ amps

Kerr from QFT at 3pts: Spin Variables

Express \mathcal{M}_{Kerr} in spin variables

[Maybee, O'Connell, Vines '19; Arkani-Hamed,Huang, O'Connell '19; Aoude, Haddad, Helset '20 '21]

$$\mathcal{M}_{Kerr} = (\varepsilon \cdot p_1)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{2s} \frac{1}{n!} \langle (q \cdot \hat{a})^n \rangle = (\varepsilon \cdot p_1)^2 \langle \exp(q \cdot \hat{a}) \rangle,$$

truncates at 2s, full quantum amp.

1. particle 2 is **Lorentz boost** of particle 1: $p_2 = \Lambda p_1 = p_1 + q$,

$$|\mathbf{2}
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{1-q^2/4m^2}}(|ar{\mathbf{1}}
angle + rac{1}{2m}q|ar{\mathbf{1}}])$$

2. re-express spinors \rightarrow expectation values of spin operator,

$$\langle \hat{a}^{\mu} \rangle = \frac{1}{m^{2s+1}} \langle \bar{\mathbf{1}} |^{2s} \hat{S}^{\mu} | \mathbf{1} \rangle^{2s}, \qquad |\mathbf{1}\rangle = |\mathbf{1}^{a}\rangle \, z_{a}, \, |\bar{\mathbf{1}}\rangle = |\mathbf{1}^{a}\rangle \, \bar{z}_{a}$$

Need infinite spin

- 1. for **full tower** of multipoles
- 2. to get correct **classical** spin multipoles

3pt amp. any theory

[Guevara, Ochirov, Vines '18 & '19; Arkani-Hamed,Huang, O'Connell '19] [LC, Pichini '22]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(s) \langle (q + u) \rangle,$$
Leading Regge Superstring [LC, Pichini '22]
$$c_0^{(s)} = c_1^{(s)} = 1, c_2^{(s)} = \frac{4s^2 - 7s + 4}{(2s)(2s - 1)} \dots$$
Classical matching only for
$$(\varepsilon \cdot p_1)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^{(\infty)} \langle (q \cdot \hat{a})^n \rangle \sim \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} T_{cl. \text{ string}}^{\mu\nu}$$
where classical coefficients are $c_n^{(\infty)} := \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n^{(s)}$

 $M = (c_1 n_1)^2 \sum_{s=0}^{2s} c_s (s) / (a_1 \hat{a})^n$

Higher Spin Constraints: Spin 1 example

start from spontaneously broken gauge theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{SSB} = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 - 2|D_{\mu}W_{\nu}|^2 + |mW - D\phi|^2 - ieF_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu}\bar{W}^{\nu} + \dots$$

Current constraint

 \implies softer high energy behaviour at tree level

$$p_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_1} V(W \bar{W} A) \Big|_{(2,3)} = \mathcal{O}(m)$$

where $V(W\bar{W}A) = \text{offshell } 3\text{pt vertex}$

Massive gauge symmetry

 $\mathcal{L}_{\it SSB}$ invariant under massive gauge symmetry

$$\delta W_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \xi + \dots, \qquad \delta \phi = m \xi + \dots$$

Ward identities:

$$m V(\phi \, \bar{W} A) - i p_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_1} V(W \, \bar{W} A) \Big|_{(2,3)} = 0$$

Bottom up construction of HS theories

Free theory for massive spin-s

[Zinoviev '01]

• d.o.f massless spin-
$$s \rightarrow \begin{cases} s+1 \text{ fields } \Phi^k & \Phi^k := \Phi^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \\ \delta \Phi^k = \partial^{(1} \xi^{k-1)} + m \xi^k \dots & \xi^k := \xi^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \end{cases}$$

• free Lag.
$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(-1)^k}{2} \left[\Phi^k (\Box + m^2) \Phi^k - \frac{k(k-1)}{4} \tilde{\Phi}^k (\Box + m^2) \tilde{\Phi}^k \right] + \mathcal{L}_{\text{off-diag.}}$$

$$= egin{cases} 1, ext{ gauge th.} \ 2, ext{ gravity} \end{cases}$$

(MC) minimal coupling extension of \mathcal{L}_{0} (PC) power-counting bound $V_{\Phi^{k}\bar{\Phi}^{s}A^{h}} \sim \partial^{k+s-2h} (F_{\mu\nu})^{h}$ (WI) massive Ward identities $mV_{\Phi^{k}\bar{\Phi}^{s}A^{h}} - p \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon} V_{\Phi^{k+1}\bar{\Phi}^{s}A^{h}} + (\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon})^{2} V_{\Phi^{k+2}\bar{\Phi}^{s}A^{h}}|_{(2,3)} = 0$ (CC) current constraint $p \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon} V_{\Phi^{s}\bar{\Phi}^{s}A^{h}}|_{(2,3)} = \mathcal{O}(m)$ (ND) Near-diagonal interactions: no interaction if $|s_{1}-s_{2}| > 1$

Results from HS constraints

3pt amps. from Higher Spin (HS) Theory

constraints {(MC),(PC),(WI),(CC)} uniquely predict \mathcal{M}_{Kerr} {...+ (ND)} uniquely predict $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$

Beyond 3pt: Compton amp.

[2212.06120, 2308.XXXX]

(tested s < 6)

[2212.06120]

diag. propagator:
$$\Delta(\epsilon, \bar{\epsilon}) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon)^s \cdot \Delta^{(s)} \cdot (\bar{\epsilon})^s = \frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i0} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2 \bar{\epsilon}^2}{1 + \epsilon \cdot \bar{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2 \bar{\epsilon}^2}$$

• gauge th. spin-2 : fixing 3pt data + 4pt (WI)

 \implies 3 free contact terms in $A_{\sqrt{\mathrm{Kerr}}}(\Phi_1^2\Phi_2^2A_3^-A_4^+)$

- studied s = 3 gauge th. & gravity
- not yet unique missing 4pt (CC) or other constraint?

• computationally intense for $s \geq 3$

Chiral Formalism for Higher Spins

[Ochirov, Skvortsov '22]

Trade SO(1,3) tensors $\Phi_{\mu_1...\mu_s} \longrightarrow SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ chiral symmetric tensors $\Phi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_{2s}}$

- $|\Phi\rangle := \Phi_{\alpha_1...\alpha_{2s}} \to \text{correct dof's}$ for a massive spin-s field: 2s + 1
- simple free Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{0}^{(s)} = \langle \partial_{\mu} \Phi | \partial^{\mu} \Phi \rangle - m^{2} \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle ,$$

- chiral formulation maps spinor-helicity formulae to Lagrangians \implies external wavefunctions $|\Phi\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{m^s} |\mathbf{1}\rangle^{\odot 2s}$
- \mathcal{L}_0 not parity invariant \rightarrow needs to be enforced

Chiral Lagragians

$\sqrt{\rm Kerr}$ Lagrangian

[2308.XXXX]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sqrt{\mathrm{Kerr}}} = \langle D_{\mu}\Phi | D^{\mu}\Phi \rangle - m^{2} \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle - \underbrace{g \sum_{k=0}^{2s-1} \frac{1}{m^{2k}} \langle \Phi | \left\{ \left(| \stackrel{\leftarrow}{D} | \stackrel{\rightarrow}{D} | \right)^{\odot k} \odot | F^{-} | \right\} | \Phi \rangle}_{\text{non-min. term fixed by parity}} + \mathcal{L}_{4}$$

resummed non-minimal term:

$$\frac{1}{m^{4s-2}} \left\langle \Phi \right| \left\{ \frac{m^{4s} - |\stackrel{\leftarrow}{D}| \stackrel{\rightarrow}{D}|^{\odot 2s}}{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{m^2} - |\stackrel{\leftarrow}{D}| \stackrel{\rightarrow}{D}|} \odot |F^-| \right\} |\Phi \right\rangle$$

 $\mathcal{L}_4 \rightarrow$ general 4pt operators in indep. helicity sectors:

•
$$\mathcal{L}_4^{++} = 0$$

•
$$\mathcal{L}_4^{-+} \propto |F^-| \odot |\overleftarrow{D}| F^+ |\overrightarrow{D}|$$

•
$$\mathcal{L}_4^{--} \propto |F^-| \odot |F^-|$$

Classical Analysis of Compton

General spin $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$ color-ordered amplitudes

$\sqrt{{ m Kerr}}$ abelian Compton Amplitudes

[2308.XXXX]

$$\begin{split} A^{\text{abel.}}(\mathbf{1}^{s},\mathbf{2}^{s},3^{-},4^{+}) &= \frac{\langle 3|1|4]^{2}(U+V)^{2s}}{m^{4s}t_{13}t_{14}} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{1}3\rangle\langle 3|1|4][\mathbf{2}4]}{m^{4s}t_{13}}P_{2}^{(2s)} + \frac{\langle \mathbf{1}3\rangle\langle 3\mathbf{2}\rangle[\mathbf{1}4][4\mathbf{2}]}{m^{4s}}P_{2}^{(2s-1)} \\ &- \frac{\langle \mathbf{1}3\rangle\langle 3\mathbf{2}\rangle[\mathbf{1}4][4\mathbf{2}]}{m^{4s-2}}\langle \mathbf{1}\mathbf{2}\rangle [\mathbf{1}\mathbf{2}]P_{4}^{(2s-1)} + C^{(s)}, \end{split}$$

- matches $s \leq 3$ results from massive gauge inv.
- simple polynomials $P_n^{(k)}$ encode general spin
- contact terms shown generated by L₃
 & consistent with non abel.
- $\mathcal{L}_4 \to C^{(s)}$ taken to be $C^{(s)}(P_n^{(k)})$

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle \mathbf{1} | 4 | \mathbf{2}] - \langle \mathbf{2} | 4 | \mathbf{1}] \right) - m [\mathbf{12}]$$

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle \mathbf{1} | 4 | \mathbf{2}] + \langle \mathbf{2} | 4 | \mathbf{1}] \right), t_{ij} = 2p_i \cdot p_j$$



Kerr Variables and Polynomials

• 4 local helicity-indep. spin-1/2 variables

$$\varsigma_1 = U + V, \quad \varsigma_2 = U - V, \quad \varsigma_3 = -m\langle \mathbf{12} \rangle, \quad \varsigma_4 = -m[\mathbf{12}],$$

• polynomials $P_n^{(k)}$ of degree k - n + 1

$$P_n^{(k)} = \frac{\varsigma_1^k}{(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2)(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_3)\dots(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_n)} + \operatorname{perm}(\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2, \dots, \varsigma_n).$$

-n = 2 example:

$$P_2^{(2s)} = \frac{\varsigma_1^{2s}}{\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2} + \frac{\varsigma_2^{2s}}{\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} \varsigma_1^i \varsigma_2^{2s-1-i}$$

- complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials
- naturally generated by $\mathcal{L}_{\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}}$
- comes from factorisation properties for $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$
- generalises to Kerr

we will construct general spin contact terms out of $P_n^{\left(k\right)}$

General spin Kerr amplitudes

Kerr Compton

[2308.XXXX]

$$\begin{split} M(\mathbf{1}^{s}, \mathbf{2}^{s}, 3^{-}, 4^{+}) = & \frac{\langle 3|1|4|^{4}(U+V)^{2s}}{m^{4s}s_{12}t_{13}t_{14}} + \frac{\langle 3|1|4|^{3}\langle \mathbf{13}\rangle[4\mathbf{2}]}{m^{4s}s_{12}t_{13}}P_{2}^{(2s)} + \frac{\langle \mathbf{13}\rangle\langle 3\mathbf{2}\rangle[\mathbf{14}][4\mathbf{2}]}{m^{4s}s_{12}}\langle 3|1|4|^{2}P_{2}^{(2s-1)} \\ & + \frac{\langle \mathbf{13}\rangle\langle 3\mathbf{2}\rangle[\mathbf{14}][4\mathbf{2}]\langle 3|1|4\rangle\langle 3|\rho|4]}{m^{4s-2}s_{12}}\left(P_{2}^{(2s-2)} - m^{2}\langle \mathbf{12}\rangle[\mathbf{12}]P_{4}^{(2s-2)}\right) \\ & + \frac{\langle \mathbf{13}\rangle\langle 3\mathbf{2}\rangle[\mathbf{14}][4\mathbf{2}]\langle 3|\rho|4|^{2}}{m^{4s-4}s_{12}}P_{4}^{(2s-1)} + C^{(s)} \end{split}$$

• matches known $s \leq 2$ Compton amplitudes

[Arkani-Hamed, Huang, Huang '17]

• matches previous s = 5/2 result

[Chiodaroli, Johansson, Pichini '21]

- obtained from 3pt vertices
- $C^{(s)} = C^{(s)}(P_n^{(k)})$ for $s \ge 3$



Classical Limits at 4pt

classical scaling: small deflection $\implies q, q_{\perp} \sim \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$

Large spin limit

[Guevara, Ochirov, Vines '18 '19;

Arkani-Hamed,O'Connell, Huang '19]

Pi ar

- $s \to \infty$ s.t. $\hbar s \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$
- note $m|a| = m\sqrt{-\langle \hat{a} \rangle^2} = \hbar s$
- cl. ring radius: $a^{\mu} \dots a^{\nu} = \langle \hat{a}^{\mu} \dots \hat{a}^{\nu} \rangle$



the two approaches generate the same classical amplitude!

Fixing $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$ Contact terms

Fixing $C^{(s)}$ by

- 1. ansatz using $P_n^{(k)}$
- 2. require $A^{\text{abel.}}$ is finite in classical limit (for $C^{(s)} = 0$ it diverges)
- 3. not modifying s=3/2 & compatible with HS symm. at $s\leq 3$

 $\sqrt{\mathrm{Kerr}}$ abelian contact term

$$C^{(s)} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{13} \rangle \langle 3\mathbf{2} \rangle [\mathbf{14}] [4\mathbf{2}]}{2m^{4s-3}} (\langle \mathbf{12} \rangle + [\mathbf{12}]) \left(P_4^{(2s)} - P_2^{(2s-2)} \right)$$

Not unique for quantum contacts but unique in classical limit given our ansatz space



Classical $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$ amplitudes

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\rm cl} &= -(p \cdot \chi)^2 \bigg(\frac{[T^{c_3}, T^{c_4}]}{q^2 \ p \cdot q_\perp} + \frac{\{T^{c_3}, T^{c_4}\}}{2(p \cdot q_\perp)^2} \bigg) \Big(e^x \cosh z - w e^x {\rm sinhc} z + \frac{w^2 - z^2}{2} E(x, y, z) \Big) \\ &+ (p \cdot \chi)^2 \frac{[T^{c_3}, T^{c_4}]}{q^2 \ (p \cdot q_\perp)^2} \frac{w^2 - z^2}{2} i \epsilon(q_\perp, p, q, a) \tilde{E}(x, y, z) \end{split}$$

Compton kinematics:

$$q = p_3 + p_4, \ q_{\perp} = p_4 - p_3,$$

+ helicity dep. vector $\chi = \langle 3 | \sigma | 4]$
 $\implies 4 \text{ spin-dep. vars.}$
$$x = a \cdot q_{\perp}, y = a \cdot q,$$

$$z = |a| \frac{p \cdot q_{\perp}}{m}, w = \frac{a \cdot \chi p \cdot q_{\perp}}{p \cdot \chi}.$$

Entire functions:

$$E(x, y, z) = \frac{e^y - e^x \cosh z + (x - y)e^x \sinh c z}{(x - y)^2 - z^2} + (y \to -y)$$
$$\tilde{E}(x, y, z) = \frac{4xe^x \cosh z - 2(x^2 - y^2 + z^2)e^x \sinh c z}{((x - y)^2 - z^2)((x + y)^2 - z^2)}$$
$$- \frac{4x \cosh y + 2(x^2 + y^2 - z^2)\sinh c y}{((x - y)^2 - z^2)((x + y)^2 - z^2)}.$$

Classical $\sqrt{\mathrm{Kerr}}$ amplitude

$\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{cl}}$ up to $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\rm cl}^{\rm abel.} = & -\frac{(p \cdot \chi)^2}{2(p \cdot q_{\perp})^2} \Big(\underbrace{1 + (x - w) + \frac{1}{2}(x - w)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(x - w)^3}_{\text{low order exponential terms } e^{x - w}} + \mathcal{O}(a^4) \Big) \end{split}$$

Compton kinematics:

 $q = p_3 + p_4, \ q_\perp = p_4 - p_3, \chi = \langle 3|\sigma|4]$ spin-dep. vars.

$$egin{aligned} &x=a\cdot q_{\perp}\,,y=a\cdot q\,,\ &z=|a|rac{p\cdot q_{\perp}}{m}\,,w=rac{a\cdot\chi\,p\cdot q_{\perp}}{p\cdot\chi}. \end{aligned}$$

- matches exponential terms up to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$
- $\mathcal{O}(a^3)$ break of spin shift symmetry $a \to a + q \implies x \to x, y \to y + q^2, w \to w,$ $z^2 \to z^2 + \frac{(p \cdot q_\perp)^2}{m^2}(2y + q^2)$

• even in $z \implies$ no |a| terms

Classical Kerr amplitudes

Classical Kerr amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\text{Kerr}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},3^{-},4^{+}) &= \frac{(p\cdot\chi)^{4}}{q^{2}\,(p\cdot q_{\perp})^{2}} \Big(e^{x}\cosh z - w\,e^{x} \text{sinhc}\,z + \frac{w^{2} - z^{2}}{2}E(x,y,z) \Big) \\ &+ \frac{(p\cdot\chi)^{3}}{q^{2}\,(p\cdot q_{\perp})^{2}} \frac{w^{2} - z^{2}}{2}i\epsilon(\chi,p,q,a)\tilde{E}(x,y,z) + C^{(s)} \end{aligned}$$

Compton kinematics:

$$\begin{array}{l} q = p_3 + p_4, \ q_{\perp} = p_4 - p_3, \\ + \ \text{helicity dep. vector } \chi = \langle 3 | \sigma | 4] \\ \implies 4 \ \text{spin-dep. vars.} \end{array}$$

$$egin{aligned} &x=a\cdot q_{\perp}\,,y=a\cdot q\,,\ &z=|a|rac{p\cdot q_{\perp}}{m}\,,w=rac{a\cdot \chi\,p\cdot q_{\perp}}{p\cdot \chi}. \end{aligned}$$

- same E, \tilde{E} as $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$
- 1st line: classical double copy ✓ 2nd line: broken by pole term
- even in z
- free contact terms $C^{(s)}$

Fixing Kerr contact terms

Proposal for Kerr contact terms (conservative)

$$C_1^{(s)} = \left(\langle 3|\rho|4|^2 - \langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^2 \right)^2 \left(P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_1] + P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_2] - 2P_4^{(2s-1)} - (\varsigma_1 + \varsigma_2)P_4^{(2s-2)} \right)$$

Guiding constraints

$$P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma'] := \lim_{\varsigma_5 \to \varsigma'} P_5^{(2s)}$$

- 1. ansatz $C^{(s)}$ as $P_n^{(k)}$
- 2. unmodified for s = 5/2
- 3. matching to s.f. based calc. of $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$ [Siemonsen, Vines '19]

[GOV '18 '19; Chung et al. '18, Aouade et al. '20 '21]

4. agrees with Teukolsky results of $\mathcal{O}(a^6)$ for $\alpha = 0$ [Bautista, Guevara, Kavanagh, Vines '22]

Fixing $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$

self-force based calc. [Siemonsen, Vines '19] $\implies \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{Kerr}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{exp}}$ for $\mathcal{O}(a^{\leq 4})$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\exp} = \mathcal{M}_0 e^{x-w}$$

= $\mathcal{M}_0 \left(1 + (x-w) + \frac{1}{2}(x-w)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(x-w)^3 + \frac{1}{4!}(x-w)^4 + \mathcal{O}(a^5) \right)$

Mismatch between \mathcal{M}_{Kerr} and \mathcal{M}_{exp}

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{Kerr}} - \mathcal{M}_{\text{exp}} = \mathcal{M}_0 \left(-\frac{1}{24} (w^2 - z^2)((x - w)^2 - y^2) + \mathcal{O}(a^5) \right)$$

• ansatz for $C_1^{(s)}$: $x = a \cdot q_\perp, y = a \cdot q, z = |a| \frac{p \cdot q_\perp}{m}, w = \frac{a \cdot \chi}{p \cdot \chi} p \cdot q_\perp, \xi^{-1} = \frac{q^2 m^2}{(p \cdot q_\perp)^2}$

helicity term fixed
$$\mathcal{M}_0(w^2 - z^2)^2 \implies \left(\langle 3|\rho|4|^2 - \langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^2\right)^2$$

1 free parameter in spin - dep. ansatz \rightarrow **fixed by** $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$

Comparing to Teukolsky Results

Teukolsky soln. given up to $\mathcal{O}(a^6)$ [Bautista, Guevara, Kavanagh, Vines '22]

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{Teuk.}} = \mathcal{M}_0 imes \left(e^{x-w} + P_{\xi}(x, y, w)
ight)$$

Mismatch for $C^{(s)} \neq 0$ (conservative sector $\eta = 0$)

$$\mathcal{M}_{cl} - \mathcal{M}_{Teuk} \Big|_{\eta=0} = -\mathcal{M}_0 \frac{q^2}{(p \cdot q_\perp)^2} \Big[(w^2 - z^2)^2 \Big(\frac{1}{24} + \frac{x}{40} + \frac{1}{720} (6x^2 + y^2 + 2z^2) \Big) \\ + \frac{\alpha w z^2}{30} (3w^2 + z^2) + \frac{\alpha z^2}{180} (4xw(3w^2 + z^2) + 3w^4 + 12w^2 z^2 + z^4) + \mathcal{O}(a^7) \Big]$$

$$x = a \cdot q_{\perp}, y = a \cdot q, z = |a| \frac{p \cdot q_{\perp}}{m}, w = \frac{a \cdot \chi}{p \cdot \chi} p \cdot q_{\perp}, \xi^{-1} = \frac{q^2 m^2}{(p \cdot q_{\perp})^2}$$

- including $C_1^{(s)}$ improved matching!
- identify 2 more contact terms: $C_2^{(s)}$ + $C_3^{(s)}$ both $\propto lpha$

Conservative Contact Terms

Quantum contact terms $C^{(s)}$

$$\begin{split} C_1^{(s)} &= \left(\langle 3|\rho|4|^2 - \langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^2 \right)^2 \left(P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_1] + P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_2] - 2P_4^{(2s-1)} - (\varsigma_1 + \varsigma_2)P_4^{(2s-2)} \right) \\ C_2^{(s)} &= \alpha \langle 3|\rho|4| \langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4| \left(\langle 3|\rho|4|^2 + 3\langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^2 \right) \left(P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_1] - P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_2] - (\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2)P_4^{(2s-2)} \right), \\ C_3^{(s)} &= \alpha \left(\langle 3|\rho|4|^4 + 12\langle 3|\rho|4|^2 \langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^2 + 3\langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^4 \right) (\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2) \left(P_6^{(2s)}[\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1] - P_6^{(2s)}[\varsigma_2, \varsigma_2] \right). \end{split}$$

$$P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma] := \lim_{\varsigma_5 \to \varsigma} P_5^{(2s)}, \ P_6^{(2s)}[\varsigma, \varsigma'] := \lim_{\varsigma_5 \to \varsigma, \varsigma' \to \varsigma_j} P_6^{(2s)}$$

- C₁^(∞) matches up to O(a^{≤6}) & predicts O(a^{>6})
 C₂^(∞) fix with O(a⁵) & matches O(a⁶)
- $C_3^{(\infty)}$ fixed with $\mathcal{O}(a^{\leq 6})$ need results $\mathcal{O}(a^{>6})$ to confirm
- does $C_{4}^{(\infty)}$ exist? \rightarrow need Teukolsky results $\mathcal{O}(a^{7})$ + higher

Conservative Contact Terms

Classical limit of $C^{(s)}$

$$\begin{split} C_1^{(\infty)} &= (w^2 - z^2)^2 \frac{q^2}{2(p \cdot q_\perp)^2} \Big(\frac{e^{-y}(x+y)}{y((x+y)^2 - z^2)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{x+z}}{z} \Big(\frac{1}{2((x+z)^2 - y^2)} - \frac{x+z}{((x+z)^2 - y^2)^2} \Big) \Big) + (y \to -y) \\ \text{similarly for } C_2^{(\infty)} \& \ C_3^{(\infty)} \end{split}$$

- $C_1^{(\infty)}$ matches up to $\mathcal{O}(a^{\leq 6})$ & predicts $\mathcal{O}(a^{>6})$
- $C_2^{(\infty)}$ fix with $\mathcal{O}(a^5)$ & matches $\mathcal{O}(a^6)$
- $C_3^{(\infty)}$ fixed with $\mathcal{O}(a^{\leq 6})$ need results $\mathcal{O}(a^{>6})$ to check
- does $C_4^{(\infty)}$ exist? \rightarrow **need Teukolsky results** $\mathcal{O}(a^7)$ **+ higher**

Short comment on dissipative terms

Mismatch for $C^{(s)} eq 0$ (dissipative sector $\eta eq 0$)

	$ ilde{C}_1^{(\infty)}$	$ ilde{C}_2^{(\infty)}$	$ ilde{C}_3^{(\infty)}$
a^4	0	0	0
a^5	$\eta \frac{z}{120} (w^2 - z^2)^2$	$\frac{\eta \alpha \frac{zw^2}{30}}{(w^2 + 3z^2)}$	0
a^6	$\eta \frac{xz}{180} (w^2 - z^2)^2$	$\frac{\eta \alpha \frac{xzw^2}{45}(w^2+3z^2)}{2}$	$\frac{\eta \alpha \frac{z^3 w}{90} \left(5 w^2 + 3 z^2\right)}{1}$

- $\tilde{C}^{(s)}$ are same functions of $P_n^{(k)}$
- dissipative terms correspond to non-crossing symmetric quantum amps.

Proposal for $\mathcal{O}(a^7)$ Teukolsky

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{Teuk.}} = \mathcal{M}_0 \times \left(e^{x-w} + P_{\xi}(x, y, w) \right)$$

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathsf{Kerr}}$ at $\overline{\mathcal{O}(a^7)}$

$$\begin{split} P_{\xi}(x,y,w)|_{\mathcal{O}(a^{7})} &\propto -\frac{1}{5040} \Big[(w-z)^{2} (w+z)^{2} (w(w^{2}-5wx+10x^{2}+y^{2})+(w+5x)z^{2}) \\ &+ \alpha z (w^{2}-z^{2})^{2} (10x^{2}+y^{2}+2z^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{\eta}{4} z^{2} (3w^{3} (5x(w+2x)+y^{2})+w(6w^{2}+60wx+10x^{2}+y^{2})z^{2}+(2w+5x)z^{4}) \\ &+ \frac{\eta \alpha}{4} w z (w^{3} (10x^{2}+y^{2})+w(2w^{2}+50wx+30x^{2}+3y^{2})z^{2}+6(w+5x)z^{4}) \Big] \end{split}$$

$$x = a \cdot q_{\perp}, y = a \cdot q, z = |a| \frac{p \cdot q_{\perp}}{m}, w = \frac{a \cdot \chi}{p \cdot \chi} p \cdot q_{\perp}, \xi^{-1} = \frac{q^2 m^2}{(p \cdot q_{\perp})^2}$$

Review & Outlook

In this talk

- HS theory + ... \implies **uniqueness** of 3pt, not yet 4pt
- identify **general spin structures of quantum amps.** for Kerr that is compatible with HS symm.
- proposal all-spin $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$ & Kerr Compton amps: **Quantum** + **Classical**
- Matching results by [Siemonson Vines '19] & [Bautista, Guevara, Kavanagh, Vines '22]

Outlook

- 4pt non-uniqueness from HS symm need new constraints
- compare to higher orders in Teukolsky calc. $\mathcal{O}(a^{\geq 7})$
- compare to 2PM results

General spin $\sqrt{\mathrm{Kerr}}$ amplitudes

$\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$ Compton

$$\begin{split} A(\mathbf{1}^{s}, \mathbf{2}^{s}, 3^{-}, 4^{+}) = & \frac{\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|4]^{2}(U+V)^{2s}}{m^{4s}s_{12}t_{14}} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{1}3\rangle\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|4][4\mathbf{2}]}{m^{4s}s_{12}}P_{2}^{(2s)} + \frac{\langle \mathbf{1}3\rangle\langle 3\mathbf{2}\rangle[\mathbf{1}4][4\mathbf{2}]}{m^{4s}s_{12}}t_{13}P_{2}^{(2s-1)} \\ & - \frac{\langle \mathbf{1}3\rangle\langle 3\mathbf{2}\rangle[\mathbf{1}4][4\mathbf{2}]}{m^{4s-2}s_{12}}\left(t_{13}\langle \mathbf{1}\mathbf{2}\rangle[\mathbf{1}\mathbf{2}]P_{4}^{(2s-1)} + 2VP_{4}^{(2s)}\right) + C^{(s)} \end{split}$$

Proposed Contact terms

Proposal for Kerr contact terms (conservative) [2308.XXXX]

$$\begin{split} C_1^{(s)} &= \left(\langle 3|\rho|4|^2 - \langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^2 \right)^2 \left(P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_1] + P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_2] - 2P_4^{(2s-1)} - (\varsigma_1 + \varsigma_2)P_4^{(2s-2)} \right) \\ C_2^{(s)} &= \alpha \langle 3|\rho|4| \langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4| \left(\langle 3|\rho|4|^2 + 3\langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^2 \right) \left(P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_1] - P_5^{(2s)}[\varsigma_2] - (\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2)P_4^{(2s-2)} \right), \\ C_3^{(s)} &= \alpha \left(\langle 3|\rho|4|^4 + 12\langle 3|\rho|4|^2 \langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^2 + 3\langle 3|\bar{\rho}|4|^4 \right) (\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2) \left(P_6^{(2s)}[\varsigma_1, \varsigma_1] - P_6^{(2s)}[\varsigma_2, \varsigma_2] \right). \end{split}$$

Guiding constraints

$$P_{5}^{(2s)}[\varsigma_{i}] := \lim_{\varsigma_{5} \to \varsigma_{i}} P_{5}^{(2s)}, \ P_{6}^{(2s)}[\varsigma_{i},\varsigma_{j}] := \lim_{\varsigma_{5} \to \varsigma_{i},\varsigma_{6} \to \varsigma_{j}} P_{6}^{(2s)}$$

- 1. ansatz $C^{(s)}$ as $P_n^{(k)}$
- 2. unmodified for s = 5/2
- 3. matching to self-force calc. of $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$ [Siemonsen, Vines '19]
- 4. matching to Teukolsky results [Bautista, Guevara, Kavanagh, Vines '22]