

Gauge choices, kinematic algebras, and (A)dS

Silvia Nagy

Durham University

based on:

[2306.08558](#) with Roberto Bonezzi and Felipe Diaz-Jaramillo

[2304.07141](#) with Arthur Lipstein

Self-dual sector:

- invitation to homotopy algebras via kinematic algebras
- a toy model for curved space

Kinematic Algebras in YM

- Color-kinematic duality observed in scattering amplitudes [Bern, Carrasco, Johansson]
- Kinematic factors n_i (momenta, polarisation vectors) obey the same relations as color factors c_i (structure constants)

$$c_i + c_j + c_k = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$n_i + n_j + n_k = 0 \tag{2}$$

- (1) follows from Jacobi identity of gauge Lie algebra of YM
- Another algebra giving (2)? - "kinematic algebra"

The self-dual sector is a great toy model

- Subsector of a theory where only one of the helicities survives (e.g. YM +1, gravity +2).
- Integrable: infinite tower of charges/symmetries.
- Relation to $w_{1+\infty}$ (talk [\[Raclariu\]](#)) and sub n -leading soft theorems.
- Very simple expressions for (a subset) of scattering amplitudes.
- Alternative perturbation scheme.

Self-dual YM in light-cone gauge [Monteiro, O'Connell]

- Self-duality relation

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} F^{\rho\lambda},$$

- Work in light-cone coordinates: $u = it + z$, $v = it - z$,
 $w = x + iy$, $\bar{w} = x - iy$,
- Then, choosing **light-cone gauge**, we are left with

$$A_w = 0, \quad A_{\bar{w}} = \partial_u \Phi, \quad A_v = \partial_w \Phi,$$

where

$$\square_{\mathbb{R}^4} \Phi + i [\partial_u \Phi, \partial_w \Phi] = 0$$

- Introduce Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} := \partial_w f \partial_u g - \partial_u f \partial_w g$$

and notice that it appears naturally in the scalar equation of motion:

$$\square_{\mathbb{R}^4} \Phi - \frac{i}{2} [\{\Phi, \Phi\}] = 0,$$

- Poisson bracket automatically satisfies Jacobi,

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0.$$

kinematic algebra (area preserving diffeomorphisms),

Kinematic algebras

- Chern Simons [Ben-Shahar, Johansson] - volume preserving diffeo, Hopf algebras in YM from Heavy Mass EFT
[Brandhuber, Brown, Chen, Gowdy, Johansson, Lin, Travaglini, Wen], via twistor theory and/or pure spinors [Borsten, Jurco, Kim, Macrelli, Saemann, Wolf], beyond MHV
[Chen, Johansson, Teng, Wang], [Lee, Mafra, O. Schlotterer], [Ben-Shahar, Guillen], self-dual extensions/deformations [Chacon, Garcia-Compean, Luna, Monteiro, White, Armstrong-Williams, Wikeley]...
- **Role of the gauge choice** in constructing a (proper) kinematic algebra ?

Homotopy algebras

- Color-kinematics, double copy [Reiterer], [Zwiebach], [Lada, Stasheff], [Hohm, Zwiebach], [Borsten, Jurco, Kim, Macrelli, Saemann, Wolf], [Bonezzi, Chiaffrino, Diaz-Jaramillo, Hohm], [Szabo, Trojani].
- Connections to holography [Chiaffrino, Ersoy, Hohm], higher spins [Sharapov, Skvortsov], etc...
- Can think of them as generalisations of BV (BRST) formalism, but can be simpler in some ways.
- Give a general way to construct kinematic algebras.

Self-dual general

- General SD relation

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} ,$$

fully gauge covariant.

- Rewrite as:

$$(1 - \star)F \equiv 2 P_- F = 0 ,$$

- Separating the orders

$$2 P_- dA + P_- [A, A] = 0 .$$

L_∞ algebras and homotopy

- An L_∞ algebra is a graded vector space $\mathcal{X} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{X}_i$ equipped with a (possibly infinite) set of graded symmetric multilinear maps $B_n : \mathcal{X}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.
- The maps obey a (possibly infinite) set of quadratic relations.
- Nilpotency:

$$B_1(B_1(\psi)) \equiv \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{d}(\psi)) = 0$$

- Leibniz rule

$$\mathfrak{d}B_2(\psi_1, \psi_2) + B_2(\mathfrak{d}(\psi_1), \psi_2) + (-1)^{\psi_1} B_2(\psi_1, \mathfrak{d}(\psi_2)) = 0$$

- Jacobi up to **homotopy** :

$$B_2(B_2(\psi_1, \psi_2), \psi_3) + (-1)^{\psi_1(\psi_2+\psi_3)} B_2(B_2(\psi_2, \psi_3), \psi_1) + (-1)^{\psi_3(\psi_1+\psi_2)} B_2(B_2(\psi_3, \psi_1), \psi_2)$$

$$= -\mathfrak{d}B_3(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) - B_3(\mathfrak{d}(\psi_1), \psi_2, \psi_3) - (-1)^{\psi_1} B_3(\psi_1, \mathfrak{d}(\psi_2), \psi_3) \\ - (-1)^{\psi_1+\psi_2} B_3(\psi_1, \psi_2, \mathfrak{d}(\psi_3))$$

- higher order relations...

Explicit realisation for self-dual YM

- We have 3 vector spaces: gauge parameters, gauge fields, equations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_{-1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} & X_1 \\
 \Lambda & & A & & E
 \end{array}$$

- We extract the explicit expressions for $B_1 \equiv \mathfrak{d}$, $B_2 \dots$ from the e.o.m., symmetry transformations, gauge algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{d}(A) &= 2 P_- dA \in X_1, & \mathfrak{d}(\Lambda) &= d\Lambda \in X_0 \\
 B_2(A_1, A_2) &= 2 P_- [A_1, A_2] \in X_1, & B_2(A, \Lambda) &= [A, \Lambda] \in X_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

- B_3 and above vanish in this case
- Consistency relations ensure gauge covariance of e.o.m., closure of gauge algebra.

Color-stripping

- The L_∞ algebra $\mathcal{X}^{\text{SDYM}}$ takes the form of a tensor product:

$$\mathcal{X}^{\text{SDYM}} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathfrak{g},$$

- Expand an arbitrary element $\psi(x)$ of $\mathcal{X}^{\text{SDYM}}$ in a basis $\{T_a\}$ of \mathfrak{g} , and write it as

$$\psi(x) = u^a(x) \otimes T_a, \quad u^a(x) \in \mathcal{K}, \quad T_a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

- Go from B_n to m_n maps via

$$\mathfrak{d}(\psi(x)) = \mathfrak{d}(u^a(x)) \otimes T_a, \quad B_1 = \mathfrak{d} = m_1$$

$$B_2(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (-1)^{\psi_1} m_2(u_1^a, u_2^b) \otimes f_{ab}{}^c T_c$$

Color-stripping

- Now we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} & K_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} & K_2 \\ \lambda & & \mathcal{A} & & \mathcal{E} \end{array},$$

with explicit maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}\mathcal{A} &= 2P_- d\mathcal{A} \in K_2, & \mathfrak{d}\lambda &= d\lambda \in K_1 \\ m_2(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) &= 2P_- (\mathcal{A}_1 \wedge \mathcal{A}_2) \in K_2, & m_2(\lambda, \mathcal{A}) &= \lambda \wedge \mathcal{A} \in K_1 \end{aligned}$$

Constructing the kinematic algebra

- Need to introduce another operator

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_0 & \xrightarrow{d} & K_1 & \xrightarrow{d} & K_2 \\
 \leftarrow \text{ } b \text{ } \leftarrow & & \leftarrow \text{ } b \text{ } \leftarrow & & \\
 \lambda & & \mathcal{A} & & \mathcal{E}
 \end{array}
 .$$

We require b to be nilpotent: $b^2 = 0$, and to obey the defining relation

$$db + b d = \square ,$$

- In our case

$$b = d^\dagger$$

- If b does not obey the Leibniz rule with respect to m_2 , its failure to do so can be used to define a graded symmetric bracket b_2 as

$$b_2(u_1, u_2) := b m_2(u_1, u_2) - m_2(bu_1, u_2) - (-1)^{u_1} m_2(u_1, bu_2) ,$$

- In an amplitudes context, the bracket $b_2(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ between color-stripped fields gives the contribution to the kinematic numerator arising from a cubic vertex joining the external particles 1 and 2.

Constructing the kinematic algebra

- b_2 is our candidate for the generalisation of the Poisson bracket.
- Check Jacobi

$$b_2(b_2(u_1, u_2), u_3) + (-1)^{u_1(u_2+u_3)} b_2(b_2(u_2, u_3), u_1) + (-1)^{u_3(u_1+u_2)} b_2(b_2(u_3, u_1), u_2) \\ = [\mathbb{d}, [\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3]](u_1, u_2, u_3) - [\square, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3](u_1, u_2, u_3) ,$$

- We can directly compute

$$\theta_3(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3) = - \star (\mathcal{A}_1 \wedge \mathcal{A}_2 \wedge \mathcal{A}_3) , \\ \theta_3(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) = 2 P_- \left\{ \star (\mathcal{E} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{[1} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{2]}) \right\} ,$$

- Jacobi follows from Leibniz rule.

Making the right gauge choices

- Obstruction to proper ("strict") algebra

$$\theta_3(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3) = - \star (\mathcal{A}_1 \wedge \mathcal{A}_2 \wedge \mathcal{A}_3) ,$$

$$\theta_3(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) = 2 P_- \left\{ \star (\mathcal{E} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{[1} \wedge \mathcal{A}_2] \right\} ,$$

- In LC gauge, the self-duality constraint $A_u = 0$ additionally implies $A_w = 0$, i.e. two of the components vanish, so

$$\theta_3 = 0$$

e.g. $\star (\mathcal{A}_1 \wedge \mathcal{A}_2 \wedge \mathcal{A}_3) \propto \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} A_{1\nu} A_{2\rho} A_{3\sigma}$

- One can extract the Poisson bracket of area-preserving diffeos
[Monteiro, O'Connell]

$$b_2^\alpha(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) = -2 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \{ \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \} .$$

- Apply to other theories to find the **best gauge choice**, or extract kinematic algebra **without gauge fixing**.

Curved space

- Add a non-zero cosmological constant Λ
- cosmology (dS), holography (AdS)
- Everything is more difficult!
- Amplitudes \rightarrow correlators (see talks Wed-Fri)
- Self-dual toy model: integrability, simple kinematic algebras, $w_{1+\infty}$, closed-form for amplitudes/correlators ... ?
- See related works [Przanowski,Krasnov,Skvortsov,Neiman,Tran,Shaw,Herfray] and relation to twistors [Adamo,Mason,Sharma]

Self-dual YM

- Consider four dimensional Euclidean AdS₄ with unit radius in the Poincaré patch:

$$ds_{\text{AdS}}^2 = \frac{dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{z^2},$$

- SD condition

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} F^{\rho\lambda},$$

- Reduces to flat equation in AdS₄ (due to conformal flatness).
- In light cone coordinates $u = it + z$, $v = it - z$, $w = x + iy$, $\bar{w} = x - iy$, the metric is

$$ds_{\text{AdS}}^2 = \frac{4(dw d\bar{w} - du dv)}{(u - v)^2},$$

Self-dual YM in light-cone gauge

- In LC gauge, the self-duality constraint is solved by

$$A_u = 0, \quad A_w = 0, \quad A_{\bar{w}} = \partial_u \Phi, \quad A_v = \partial_w \Phi$$

with

$$\square_{\mathbb{R}^4} \Phi + i [\partial_u \Phi, \partial_w \Phi] = 0$$

- Split spacetime as

$$x^j = (u, w), \quad y^\alpha = (v, \bar{w})$$

- Introduce the operators

$$\Pi_\alpha = (\Pi_v, \Pi_{\bar{w}}) = (\partial_w, \partial_u)$$

then

$$A_i = 0, \quad A_\alpha = \Pi_\alpha \Phi$$

- Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} := \partial_w f \partial_u g - \partial_u f \partial_w g = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_\alpha f \Pi_\beta g,$$

so finally

$$\square_{\mathbb{R}^4} \Phi - \frac{i}{2} [\{ \Phi, \Phi \}] = 0,$$

where we introduced the notation

$$[\{f, g\}] = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} [\Pi_\alpha f, \Pi_\beta g].$$

Self-dual gravity in curved backgrounds

- In flat background

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\eta\lambda}R_{\eta\lambda\rho\sigma}.$$

Upon contracting two of the indices

$$R_{\mu\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu}{}^{\sigma\eta\lambda}R_{\eta\lambda\rho\sigma} = 0$$

i.e. **e.o.m=Bianchi !**

Self-dual gravity in curved backgrounds

- In flat background

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\eta\lambda}R_{\eta\lambda\rho\sigma}.$$

Upon contracting two of the indices, get **e.o.m=Bianchi**

$$R_{\mu\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu}{}^{\sigma\eta\lambda}R_{\eta\lambda\rho\sigma} = 0$$

- In curved background, introduce the tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda(g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\nu\rho}g_{\mu\sigma}),$$

- Self-duality relation

$$T_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}{}^{\eta\lambda}T_{\eta\lambda\rho\sigma}.$$

Upon contracting two indices:

$$R_{\mu\rho} - \Lambda g_{\mu\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}\epsilon_{\mu}{}^{\sigma\eta\lambda}R_{\eta\lambda\rho\sigma} = 0,$$

- LHS reproduces **e.o.m.** $R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$, $R = 4\Lambda$, and RHS is again **Bianchi**.

Relation to Weyl tensor

- Recall

$$C_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} - 2R_{[\mu}{}^{[\rho}g_{\nu]}{}^{\sigma]} + \frac{1}{3}Rg_{[\mu}{}^{[\rho}g_{\nu]}{}^{\sigma]}$$

- In flat space, on the support of the e.o.m. $R_{\mu\nu} = R = 0$

$$C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \rightarrow R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$

- In curved space, on the support of the e.o.m. $R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}, R = 4\Lambda$

$$C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \rightarrow T_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$

Scalar description flat

- Notation (recall $x^i = (u, w)$, $y^\alpha = (v, \bar{w})$)

$$\Pi_\alpha = (\Pi_v, \Pi_{\bar{w}}) = (\partial_w, \partial_u)$$

- In flat space, write the metric as (non-perturbative)

$$ds^2 = dw d\bar{w} - du dv + h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu,$$

- In LC gauge ($h_{u\mu} = 0$), the self-duality constraint is then solved by

$$h_{i\mu} = 0, \quad h_{\alpha\beta} = \Pi_\alpha \Pi_\beta \phi,$$

with ϕ satisfying

$$\square_{\mathbb{R}^4} \phi - \{\{\phi, \phi\}\} = 0,$$

where we introduced the notation

$$\{\{f, g\}\} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \{\Pi_\alpha f, \Pi_\beta g\},$$

Scalar description (flat with YM)

- Notation (recall $x^i = (u, w)$, $y^\alpha = (v, \bar{w})$)

$$\Pi_\alpha = (\Pi_v, \Pi_{\bar{w}}) = (\partial_w, \partial_u)$$

- In flat space, write the metric as (non-perturbative)

$$ds^2 = dw d\bar{w} - du dv + h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu,$$

- In LC gauge ($h_{u\mu} = 0$), the self-duality constraint is then solved by

$$h_{i\mu} = 0, \quad h_{\alpha\beta} = \Pi_\alpha \Pi_\beta \phi, \quad A_i = 0, \quad A_\alpha = \Pi_\alpha \Phi$$

with ϕ satisfying

$$\square_{\mathbb{R}^4} \phi - \{\{\phi, \phi\}\} = 0, \quad \square_{\mathbb{R}^4} \Phi - \frac{i}{2} \{\{\Phi, \Phi\}\} = 0,$$

where we introduced the notation

$$\{\{f, g\}\} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \{\Pi_\alpha f, \Pi_\beta g\}, \quad \{\{f, g\}\} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} [\Pi_\alpha f, \Pi_\beta g].$$

Scalar description AdS₄

- Notation (recall $x^i = (u, w)$, $y^\alpha = (v, \bar{w})$)

$$\tilde{\Pi} = (\tilde{\Pi}_v, \tilde{\Pi}_{\bar{w}}) = \left(\partial_w, \partial_u - \frac{4}{u-v} \right),$$

- Write the metric as (non-perturbative)

$$ds^2 = \frac{4}{(u-v)^2} (dw d\bar{w} - du dv + h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)$$

- In LC gauge ($h_{u\mu} = 0$), the self-duality constraint is then solved by

$$h_{i\mu} = 0, \quad h_{\alpha\beta} = \Pi_{(\alpha} \tilde{\Pi}_{\beta)} \phi$$

with ϕ satisfying

$$\sqrt{g} \left(-\square_{\text{AdS}} + m^2 \right) \phi + 4 \left\{ \left\{ \frac{\phi}{u-v}, \frac{\phi}{u-v} \right\} \right\}_* = 0$$

where $m^2 = -2$ corresponding to a conformally coupled scalar in AdS₄ and we introduced the notation

$$\left\{ \left\{ f, g \right\} \right\}_* = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \{ \Pi_\alpha f, \Pi_\beta g \}_*$$

Modified Poisson bracket

- Notation (recall $x^i = (u, w)$, $y^\alpha = (v, \bar{w})$)

$$\Pi_\alpha = (\Pi_v, \Pi_{\bar{w}}) = (\partial_w, \partial_u), \quad \tilde{\Pi} = (\tilde{\Pi}_v, \tilde{\Pi}_{\bar{w}}) = \left(\partial_w, \partial_u - \frac{4}{u-v}\right)$$

- The modified Poisson bracket is given by

$$\{f, g\}_* = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} (\Pi_\alpha f \tilde{\Pi}_\beta g - \Pi_\alpha g \tilde{\Pi}_\beta f).$$

- Note $\{f, g\}_* \Big|_{\tilde{\Pi} \rightarrow \Pi} = \{f, g\} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_\alpha f \Pi_\beta g$
- Alternative formula

$$\{f, g\}_* = \{f, g\} + \frac{2}{u-v} (f \partial_w g - g \partial_w f).$$

- In the flat-space limit $z = (u - v) \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\tilde{\Pi} \rightarrow \Pi$, and $\{, \} \Rightarrow \{, \}_*$
- Crucially, it satisfies Jacobi

$$\{f, \{g, h\}_*\}_* + \{g, \{h, f\}_*\}_* + \{h, \{f, g\}_*\}_* = 0.$$

Correlators

- Scalar SD AdS equation admits the following solutions, which are related to planewave solutions by a Weyl rescaling:

$$\phi = (u - v)e^{ik \cdot x},$$

- Extract structure "constants":

$$\{e^{ik_1 \cdot x}, e^{ik_2 \cdot x}\} = X(k_1, k_2) e^{i(k_1+k_2) \cdot x},$$

$$\{e^{ik_1 \cdot x}, e^{ik_2 \cdot x}\}_* = \tilde{X}(k_1, k_2) e^{i(k_1+k_2) \cdot x},$$

where

$$X(k_1, k_2) = k_{1u}k_{2w} - k_{1w}k_{2u},$$

$$\tilde{X}(k_1, k_2) = X(k_1, k_2) - \frac{2i}{u-v} (k_1 - k_2)_w.$$

- computing three-point boundary correlators:

$$V_{\text{SDYM}} = \frac{1}{2} X(k_1, k_2) f^{a_1 a_2 a_3},$$

$$V_{\text{SDG}} = \frac{1}{2} X(k_1, k_2) \tilde{X}(k_1, k_2),$$

Correlators

- The objects X and \tilde{X} obey Jacobi identities analogous to $f^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$ and can therefore be thought of as structure constants of kinematic Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= X(k_1, k_2) X(k_3, k_1 + k_2) + \text{cyclic} \\ &= \tilde{X}(k_1, k_2) \tilde{X}(k_3, k_1 + k_2) + \text{cyclic}.\end{aligned}$$

which follow from

$$\{f, \{g, h\}_*\}_* + \{g, \{h, f\}_*\}_* + \{h, \{f, g\}_*\}_* = 0.$$

- Currently computing higher orders (with [Chowdhury,Lipstein,Monteiro,Singh])

Deformed $w_{1+\infty}$

- One can extract the $w_{1+\infty}$ from the Poisson bracket [Monteiro]
- For an on-shell state, the momentum satisfies $k_{\bar{w}}/k_u = k_v/k_w = \rho$, where ρ is some number. It is then possible to expand an on-shell plane wave as follows:

$$e^{ik \cdot x} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ik_u)^a (ik_w)^b}{a!b!} \epsilon_{ab},$$

where $\epsilon_{ab} = (u + \rho \bar{w})^a (w + \rho v)^b$. This is naturally interpreted as an expansion in soft momenta. Letting $w_m^p = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{p-1+m, p-1-m}$ and plugging this into the Poisson bracket:

$$\{w_m^p, w_n^q\} = (n(p-1) - m(q-1)) w_{m+n}^{p+q-2}$$

- For our modified Poisson bracket

$$\{w_m^p, w_n^q\}_* = \{w_m^p, w_n^q\} + \frac{(m+q-p-n)}{u-v} w_{m+n+1/2}^{p+q-3/2}$$

- Local deformation, falls outside the classification of global deformations [Pope, Bittleston, Heuveline, Skinner, Bu, Etingof, Kalinov, Rains]

Conclusions and future directions

- Correlators - simple formula at n points ?
- Connection to asymptotic symmetries.
- Integrability and connection to AdS/CFT.
- Full theory from expansion around self-dual sector.

Thank You !