What does who-infected-who tell us about contagion?

Jonas L. Juul

Technical University of Denmark

⊠jlju@dtu.dk

Ƴ**@**jonassjuul





Contagion is related to many important problems

- Epidemics
- Misinformation
- Bank defaults
- Memes

. . .

_



Contagion is related to many important problems

- Epidemics
- Misinformation
- Bank defaults
- Memes

. . .

_





(Rogers, 1962), (Zhang et al., 2017)

Jul Jan Jul Jan 2015 2016 2016 2017

Brazi

1.8

1.8

1.8





Q: What can we learn from the pattern of who-infected-whom?

(Rogers, 1962), (Zhang et al., 2017)

Mutant contagion

What is the expected impact of mutant disease strains?



Our study in Phys. Rev. Research: (Juul & Strogatz, 2020)

The Next Spanish Flu

- 1918: Spanish flu came in 3 waves
- One was (much) more deadly
- Summer wave gave some immunity



(Andreasen et al., 2008)

The Next Spanish Flu

- 1918: Spanish flu came in 3 waves
- One was (much) more deadly
- Summer wave gave some immunity

Q: How widespread would we expect a mutant strain to become?



(Andreasen et al., 2008)

The Next Spanish Flu

- 1918: Spanish flu came in 3 waves
- One was (much) more deadly
- Summer wave gave some immunity

Q: How widespread would we expect a mutant strain to become?

(Our 2019 motivation: The experts say a pandemic will happen sooner or later...)



(Andreasen et al., 2008)









Q: Picking a node uniformly at random, what is the chance of it having *d* descendants?

SI model on a... complete network 7.5 -• Complete graph 5.0 log(probability) 2.5 -0.0 -• -2.5 --5.0--7.5 -10.0^L 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 log(descendants)

SI model on a... complete network 7.5 -Complete graph Analytical 5.0 log(probability) 2.5 -0.0 -. -2.5 --5.0 --7.5 --10.0^{___} 7.5 2.5 5.0 10.0 log(descendants) $P_d = \frac{d!}{(d+2)!} = \frac{1}{(d+2)(d+1)}$

Notice: $\lim_{d\to\infty} P_d \sim d^{-2}$

See also (Krapivsky & Redner, 2005)









Information Evolution in Social Networks

Lada A. Adamic Facebook Inc. Menlo Park, CA Iadamic@fb.com Thomas M. Lento Eytan Adar Facebook Inc. U. Michigan Menlo Park, CA Ann Arbor, MI tento@fb.com eadar@umich.edu Pauline C. Ng Genome Institute of Singapore Singapore, Singapore pauline.c.ng@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Social networks readily transmit information, albeit with less than perfect fidelity. We present a large-scale measurement of this imperfect information conving mechanism by examining the dissemsubstrate of the network of friendship ties. While there are other environments where memes flourish, those memes that do enter Facebook can be examined in detail, uncovering mechanisms previously difficult-or impossible-to study.

They study mutating memes on Facebook

rank copies variant

n1 71831 somewhere right now a nurse is getting yelled at for n2 being late with pain meds while holding her bladder because she doesn thave time to pee starving because she missed her break being pooped peed bled on and is missing her family while taking care of yours in the minute you took to read this nurses all over the world are saving lives re post this if you are a nurse love a nurse or appreciate one

rank copies variant

Information Evolution in Social Networks

Lada A. Adamic Facebook Inc. Menlo Park, CA Iadamic@fb.com Thomas M. Lento Eytan Adar Facebook Inc. U. Michigan Menlo Park, CA Ann Arbor, MI tento@fb.com eadar@umich.edu Pauline C. Ng Genome Institute of Singapore Singapore, Singapore pauline.c.ng@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Social networks readily transmit information, albeit with less than perfect fidelity. We present a large-scale measurement of this imperfect information conving mechanism by examining the dissemsubstrate of the network of friendship ties. While there are other environments where memes flourish, those memes that do enter Facebook can be examined in detail, uncovering mechanisms previously difficult-or impossible-to study.

They study mutating memes on Facebook

rank copies variant

n1 71831 somewhere right now a nurse is getting yelled at for n2 being late with pain meds while holding her bladder because she doesn thave time to pee starving because she missed her break being pooped peed bled on and is missing her family while taking care of yours in the minute you took to read this nurses all over the world are saving lives re post this if you are a nurse love a nurse or appreciate one

rank copies variant



Information Evolution in Social Networks

Lada A. Adamic Facebook Inc. Menlo Park, CA Iadamic@fb.com Thomas M. Lento Eytan Adar Facebook Inc. U. Michigan Menlo Park, CA Ann Arbor, MI tento@fb.com eadar@umich.edu Pauline C. Ng Genome Institute of Singapore Singapore, Singapore pauline.c.ng@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Social networks readily transmit information, albeit with less than perfect fidelity. We present a large-scale measurement of this imperfect information copying mechanism by examining the dissemsubstrate of the network of friendship ties. While there are other environments where memes flourish, those memes that do enter Facebook can be examined in detail, uncovering mechanisms previously difficult-or impossible-to study.

They study mutating memes on Facebook

rank copies variant

n1 71831 somewhere right now a nurse is getting yelled at for n2 being late with pain meds while holding her bladder because she doesn thave time to pee starving because she missed her break being pooped peed bled on and is missing her family while taking care of yours in the minute you took to read this nurses all over the world are saving lives re post this if you are a nurse love a nurse or appreciate one

rank copies variant



Notice:
$$\lim_{d\to\infty} P_d \sim d^{-2}$$
.

Information Evolution in Social Networks

Lada A. Adamic Facebook Inc. Menlo Park, CA Iadamic@fb.com Thomas M. Lento Eytan Adar Facebook Inc. U. Michigan Menlo Park, CA Ann Arbor, MI tento@fb.com eadar@umich.edu Pauline C. Ng Genome Institute of Singapore Singapore, Singapore pauline.c.ng@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Social networks readily transmit information, albeit with less than perfect fidelity. We present a large-scale measurement of this imperfect information conving mechanism by examining the dissemsubstrate of the network of friendship ties. While there are other environments where memes flourish, those memes that do enter Facebook can be examined in detail, uncovering mechanisms previously difficult-or impossible-to study.

They study mutating memes on Facebook

rank copies variant

n1 71831 somewhere right now a nurse is getting yelled at for n2 being late with pain meds while holding her bladder because she doesn thave time to pee starving because she missed her break being pooped peed bled on and is missing her family while taking care of yours in the minute you took to read this nurses all over the world are saving lives re post this if you are a nurse love a nurse or appreciate one

rank copies variant



Online diffusion

Does false news spread farther, faster, deeper, and more broadly than the truth?



Our study in PNAS: (Juul & Ugander, 2021)

Online diffusion

How people study spreading:



(Liben-Nowell and Kleinberg, 2008; Golub and Jackson, 2010; Goel et al, 2012; Goel et al., 2016; Vosoughi et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2020)

Vosoughi et al. studied all verified false or true news on Twitter. Reported:

False news spreads farther, faster, deeper and more broadly than the truth



Content types on Twitter.

Goel et al. studied news, videos, pictures and petitions spreading on Twitter:

Reported that petition cascades are **deeper and more viral** than other content.



Content types on Twitter.

Goel et al. studied news, videos, pictures and petitions spreading on Twitter:

Reported that petition cascades are deeper and more viral than other content.



Cascade features are <u>not independent</u>.

We expect larger cascades to be

- Broader
- Deeper
- Have higher mean pairwise distance
- (Faster?)

Q: Do size differences drive observations? Test: Ensure identical sizes when comparing.



Vosoughi et al. studied all verified false or true news on Twitter. Reported:

False news spreads farther, faster, deeper and more broadly than the truth



Vosoughi et al. studied all verified false or true news on Twitter. Reported:

False news spreads farther, faster, deeper and more broadly than the truth.



Goel *et al.* studied news, videos, pictures and petitions spreading on Twitter:

Reported that petition cascades are **deeper and more viral** than other content.



(Goel et al., 2016)

Goel et al. studied news, videos, pictures and petitions spreading on Twitter:

Reported that petition cascades are deeper and more viral than other content.



⁽Goel et al., 2016)

Vosoughi et al. studied all verified false or true news on Twitter. Reported:

False news spread farther, faster, deeper and more broadly than the truth

False news gets bigger but there is no significant difference between false and true cascades of the same size.

Q: What does this tell us about the diffusion rules?



So what does this tell us?

Create cascades using 2 different models.

On infinite cliques both have just 1 parameter, R0 (the infectiousness).

Q: What happens when comparing cascades created with different R0?



Each dataset: 30,000 simulated cascades. Each model: 2 values of R0



Each dataset: 30,000 simulated cascades. Each model: 2 values of R0



Each dataset: 30,000 simulated cascades.

Each model: 2 values of R0



Each dataset: 30,000 simulated cascades.

Each model: 2 values of R0



Each dataset: 30,000 simulated cascades.

Each model: 2 values of R0



Theorem 1. (SIR and IC model) Let $P_{\text{SIR}}(T|s, R_0)$ and $P_{\text{IC}}(T|s, R_0)$ denote the probability of obtaining the tree T when growing a self-terminated cascade of size s on the infinite clique using the Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered (SIR) model with parameter $R_0 = r_I/r_R$ or the Independent-Cascade (IC) model with parameter R_0 , respectively. Then both $P_{\text{SIR}}(T|s, R_0)$ and $P_{\text{IC}}(T|s, R_0)$ are independent of R_0 .

Theorem 1. (SIR and IC model) Let $P_{\text{SIR}}(T|s, R_0)$ and $P_{\text{IC}}(T|s, R_0)$ denote the probability of obtaining the tree T when growing a self-terminated cascade of size s on the infinite clique using the Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered (SIR) model with parameter $R_0 = r_I/r_R$ or the Independent-Cascade (IC) model with parameter R_0 , respectively. Then both $P_{\text{SIR}}(T|s, R_0)$ and $P_{\text{IC}}(T|s, R_0)$ are independent of R_0 .

In words: A higher R₀ makes larger cascades more likely. But if we look only at cascades of a specific size *s*, any SIR simulation will create any cascade, C, with identical probability. Same for IC model.



Intuition:

To create A and B with SIR, you need exactly

- 3 infection events,
- 4 removal events.

The ordering of these events / who is chosen to infect and get removed determines whether A or B is created. R_0 influences the likelihood of these events, but not the ordering.

Is this what is going on for false/true news data?

If distributions over trees are identical, so are degree distributions



Growing cascades

What can we tell about contagion while it is still spreading?



Our study is currently in preparation: (Juul & Ugander, ?)

Growing cascades

Theorem 1. (SIR and IC model) Let $P_{\text{SIR}}(T|s, R_0)$ and $P_{\text{IC}}(T|s, R_0)$ denote the probability of obtaining the tree T when growing a self-terminated cascade of size s on the infinite clique using the Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered (SIR) model with parameter $R_0 = r_I/r_R$ or the Independent-Cascade (IC) model with parameter R_0 , respectively. Then both $P_{\text{SIR}}(T|s, R_0)$ and $P_{\text{IC}}(T|s, R_0)$ are independent of R_0 .

It would be great to understanding contagion before it stops spreading!



Growing cascades

Theorem 1. (SIR and IC model) Let $P_{\text{SIR}}(T|s, R_0)$ and $P_{\text{IC}}(T|s, R_0)$ denote the probability of obtaining the tree T when growing a self-terminated cascade of size s on the infinite clique using the Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered (SIR) model with parameter $R_0 = r_I/r_R$ or the Independent-Cascade (IC) model with parameter R_0 , respectively. Then both $P_{\text{SIR}}(T|s, R_0)$ and $P_{\text{IC}}(T|s, R_0)$ are independent of R_0 .

It would be great to understanding contagion before it stops spreading!



Q: If we observe A and B, and they are still growing, can we tell if they are results of different contagion processes? (Slides with preliminary results excluded)

Conclusions

Cascade structure can indicate infectiousness, mutant impact.

Even without an advantage in infectiousness, the impact of a mutant strain is expected to be very large.

Although false news does spread **farther**, **faster**, **deeper** and **more broadly** than the truth, these differences seem to be driven by size-differences.

Not so for differences between cascades of petitions, videos, pictures, news.

2 different analyses indicate that attempts to limit spread of false news should focus on limiting the mean "infectiousness" of the false news.

Other ongoing studies:

- Using cascade structure to understand the importance of superspreaders (see (Goel et al., 2016))
- Cascade structure of the COVID-19 epidemic in Denmark

CARL§BERG FOUNDATION

References (my work):

Juul, J. S., & Strogatz, S. H. (2020). Descendant distributions for the impact of mutant contagion on networks. Physical Review Research, 2(3), 033005.

Juul, J. L., & Ugander, J. (2021). Comparing information diffusion mechanisms by matching on cascade size. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(46), e2100786118.

Juul, J. L., & Ugander, J. In preparation

For more on cascades, see:

Juul, J. S., & Porter, M. A. (2019). Hipsters on networks: How a minority group of individuals can lead to an antiestablishment majority. Physical Review E, 99(2), 022313.



