General relativistic bubble growth in cosmological phase transitions

arXiv:2307.12080v1

1. Department of Physics and Helsinki Institute of Physics, PL 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland.

2.Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, United Kingdom

24 October 2023

L. Giombi,¹ M. Hindmarsh^{1,2}

First order phase transitions (FOPT) in the early Universe

- Phase transitions are a generic feature of many gauge field theories
- Usually described by a scalar field ϕ with free energy $\mathcal{F}(\phi, T)$







First order phase transitions (FOPT) in the early Universe

- Phase transitions are a generic feature of many gauge field theories
- Usually described by a scalar field ϕ with free energy $\mathcal{F}(\phi, T)$
- FOPT: just below T_c the field ϕ is in a metastable phase







First order phase transitions (FOPT) in the early Universe

- Phase transitions are a generic feature of many gauge field theories
- Usually described by a scalar field ϕ with free energy $\mathcal{F}(\phi, T)$
- FOPT: just below T_c the field ϕ is in a metastable phase
- Thermal and quantum fluctuations allow the nucleation of bubbles of the stable phase
- Bubbles expand and merge filling up larger and larger portions of the Universe











* So far: expansion on a flat Minkowski spacetime ($R_{\star} \ll H_{\star}^{-1}$)





M.Hindmarsh et al. (2020), arXiv:2008.09136v2

 R_{\star} : mean bubble spacing after nucleation of all bubbles

 H_{+}^{-1} : Hubble radius at the time when 1/e of metastable phase remains









* So far: expansion on a flat Minkowski spacetime (

* In slow FOPT the timescale of the expansion is of

Need for the full general relativistic treatment

L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh

$$(R_{\star} \ll H_{\star}^{-1})$$

the order of Hubble time (
$$R_{\star} \sim H_{\star}^{-1}$$
)



M.Hindmarsh et al. (2020), arXiv:2008.09136v2

 R_{\star} : mean bubble spacing after nucleation of all bubbles

 H_{\pm}^{-1} : Hubble radius at the time when 1/e of metastable phase remains







Motivations

FOPT are a source of the stochastic background of gravitational waves

> FOPT at the EW scale ($\sim 100~{\rm GeV})$ are experimentally interesting for the LISA mission $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mHz}$ - $10 \mathrm{~Hz}$



Credit: Anna Kormu



FOPT are a source of the stochastic background of gravitational waves

> FOPT at the EW scale (~ 100 GeV) are experimentally interesting for the LISA mission $\sim 0.1 \text{ mHz} - 10 \text{ Hz}$

• Energy density in gravitational waves sourced $1 \le n \le 2$ by sound waves $\Omega_{_{SW}} \propto (R_{\star}H_{\star})^n$

C. Caprini et al. (2016),arXiv:1512.06239v2



Credit: Anna Kormu





FOPT are a source of the stochastic background of gravitational waves

> FOPT at the EW scale (~ 100 GeV) are experimentally interesting for the LISA mission $\sim 0.1 \text{ mHz} - 10 \text{ Hz}$

 Energy density in gravitational waves sourced $1 \le n \le 2$ by sound waves $\Omega_{_{SW}} \propto (R_{\star}H_{\star})^n$

C. Caprini et al. (2016), arXiv:1512.06239v2

- Cosmological scalar perturbations Φ induce secondary gravitational waves that become important in the limit of large bubbles

$$\frac{\partial_i \Phi \partial_j \Phi}{T_{ij}^{TT}} \sim (HR)^2 \left(\frac{\delta e}{e}\right)$$



Credit: Anna Kormu





• Spherical symmetry: $ds^2 = -a^2 dt^2 + b^2 dr^2 + R^2 \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \right)$

 $T^{\mu\nu} = wu^{\mu}u^{\nu} + pg^{\mu\nu},$

1

$$u^{\mu} = \frac{1}{a} \delta^{\mu 0}$$

Misner & Sharp (1964) Phys.Rev 136 B571







• Spherical symmetry: $ds^2 = -a^2 dt^2 + b^2 dr^2 + b$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = wu^{\mu}u^{\nu} + pg^{\mu\nu},$$

• Self similarity: $\xi = \frac{R}{t}$ I. Musco et al. (2013) arXiv:1201.2379v3

$$R^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2} \theta d\varphi^{2} \right)$$
$$u^{\mu} = \frac{1}{a} \delta^{\mu 0}$$









• Spherical symmetry: $ds^2 = -a^2 dt^2 + b^2 dr^2 + b$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = wu^{\mu}u^{\nu} + pg^{\mu\nu},$$

- Self similarity: $\xi = \frac{R}{2}$ I. Musco et al. (2013) arXiv:1201.2379v3
- Steady flow: $R_w = R(t, r_w(t)) = \xi_w t$

$$R^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2} \theta d\varphi^{2} \right)$$
$$u^{\mu} = \frac{1}{a} \delta^{\mu 0}$$

Bubble size is a constant fraction of Hubble radius Misner & Sharp (1964) Phys.Rev 136 B571







• Spherical symmetry: $ds^2 = -a^2 dt^2 + b^2 dr^2 + b$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = wu^{\mu}u^{\nu} + pg^{\mu\nu},$$

- Self similarity: $\xi = \frac{R}{1}$ I. Musco et al. (2013) arXiv:1201.2379v3
- Steady flow: $R_w = R(t, r_w(t)) = \xi_w t$
- Equation of state: $p = \omega e$, $\omega = \omega_{-}\Theta(r_{w}(t) r)$

Strength parameter: at the wall

$$\alpha_{+} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\theta_{+} - \theta_{-}}{w_{+}}$$

$$R^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2} \theta d\varphi^{2} \right)$$
$$u^{\mu} = \frac{1}{a} \delta^{\mu 0}$$

Bubble size is a constant fraction of Hubble radius

$$r) + \omega_+ \Theta(r - r_w(t))$$

Trace anomaly
$$\theta = \frac{1}{3}(e+3p)$$

Misner & Sharp (1964) Phys.Rev 136 B571









The profile of the bubble is given by the solution of the system of Einstein equations $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$ and energy-momentum conservation $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\ln U}{d\ln\xi} &= \left[(\Phi + \omega \Omega)^2 - 2c_s^2 \Gamma^2 \Phi \right] \left[\frac{\Omega - \Phi}{U^2 (\Phi + \omega \Omega)^2 - c_s^2 \Gamma^2 (\Omega - \Phi)^2} \right], \\ \frac{d\ln\Omega}{d\ln\xi} &= \frac{\Omega - \Phi}{\Phi + \omega \Omega} \left[2\omega + (1 + \omega) \frac{d\ln U}{d\ln\xi} \right], \\ \frac{d\ln\Phi}{d\ln\xi} &= \frac{1}{\Phi} \left(\Omega - \Phi \right). \end{aligned}$$



L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh

 $U \equiv \frac{1}{a} \partial_t R \qquad \Gamma \equiv \frac{1}{b} \partial_r R \qquad \Omega \equiv 4\pi e R^2 \qquad \Phi \equiv \frac{M}{R} \qquad 1 - 2\frac{M}{R} \equiv \partial_\mu R \partial^\mu R = \Gamma^2 - U$ Gravitational potential Misner & Sharp (1964) Phys.Rev 136 B571 at radius R



Solving the EoM: deflagration solutions: $v(\xi_w)_{-} < c_{s_{-}}$



L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh



 v_{-} : fluid entry speed for an observer comoving with the wall



Deflagration solutions: $v(\xi_w)_- < c_{s_-}$ - Comparison with Minkowski





Detonation solutions: $v(\xi_w)_+ > c_{s_-}$ - Comparison with Minkowski





Hybrid solutions: $v(\xi_w)_+ = c_{s_-}$ - Comparison with Minkowski



L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh

10

Curvature of spatial sections around the origin & quasi-Newtonian approximation



L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh

11

Kinetic energy fraction





- * Self-similar gravitating bubble solutions with negative spatial curvature near the origin exist in GR.
- ***** GR effects modify:
 - 1. Fluid motion and energy around the bubbles
 - 2. Spatial curvature near the origin
 - 3. Efficiency of conversion vacuum energy to kinetic energy



- * Self-similar gravitating bubble solutions with negative spatial curvature near the origin exist in GR.
- ***** GR effects modify:
 - 1. Fluid motion and energy around the bubbles
 - 2. Spatial curvature near the origin
 - 3. Efficiency of conversion vacuum energy to kinetic energy
- ... & future developments



- * Self-similar gravitating bubble solutions with negative spatial curvature near the origin exist in GR.
- ***** GR effects modify:
 - 1. Fluid motion and energy around the bubbles
 - 2. Spatial curvature near the origin
 - 3. Efficiency of conversion vacuum energy to kinetic energy
- ... & future developments



Source primary GW



- * Self-similar gravitating bubble solutions with negative spatial curvature near the origin exist in GR.
- ***** GR effects modify:
 - 1. Fluid motion and energy around the bubbles
 - 2. Spatial curvature near the origin
 - 3. Efficiency of conversion vacuum energy to kinetic energy

... & future developments

* Fluid motion generates large scalar perturbations during the phase transition in the limit of large bubbles





- * Self-similar gravitating bubble solutions with negative spatial curvature near the origin exist in GR.
- ***** GR effects modify:
 - 1. Fluid motion and energy around the bubbles
 - 2. Spatial curvature near the origin
 - 3. Efficiency of conversion vacuum energy to kinetic energy

... & future developments

* Fluid motion generates large scalar perturbations during the phase transition in the limit of large bubbles

Secondary scalar induced GW

Primordial black holes?





Backup slides

$$\xi \to 0$$

One parameter family of solutions

$$U(\xi \to 0) = \frac{2}{3(1 + \omega_{-})}\xi$$
$$\Omega(\xi \to 0) = 3k\xi^{2}$$
$$\Phi(\xi \to 0) = k\xi^{2}$$

Spatial curvature at the origin

$$R_0^{(3)} = R^{(3)}(\xi \to 0) = \frac{12\xi^2}{R^2} \left[k - \frac{2}{9(1+\omega_-)^2} \right]$$

Since we expect lower energy density in the interior

L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh

Asymptotic solutions



$$\xi
ightarrow 0$$

One parameter family of solutions

$$U(\xi \to 0) = \frac{2}{3(1 + \omega_{-})}\xi$$
$$\Omega(\xi \to 0) = 3k\xi^{2}$$
$$\Phi(\xi \to 0) = k\xi^{2}$$

Spatial curvature at the origin

$$R_0^{(3)} = R^{(3)}(\xi \to 0) = \frac{12\xi^2}{R^2} \left[k - \frac{2}{9(1+\omega_-)^2} \right]$$

Since we expect lower energy density in the interior

L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh

Asymptotic solutions



Flat FLRW solution

$$U_F = \frac{2}{3(1+\omega_+)} \frac{\xi}{a_F}$$

$$U_F^2 = 2\Phi_F \qquad \Omega_F = 3$$

Constant- ξ observers:

$$V^{\mu}_{\xi} = \gamma \left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{v}{b}, 0, 0\right) \quad v = \frac{\xi}{a}$$

$$u = \frac{v_F - v}{1 - v v_F}$$

Relative velocity between V^{μ}_{ε} and another hypothetical constant- ξ observer that lives at the same ξ in FLRW

Measure departure from FLRW







Detonation solutions: $v(\xi_w)_+ > c_{s_w}$





L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh



Hybrid solutions: $v(\xi_w)_+ = c_{s_-}$







Quasi-Newtonian approximation of the spatial curvature near the origin

Linear scalar perturbations around flat FLRW (Poisson gauge)

Spatial Ricci curvature scalar

Einstein equation

Quasi-Newtonian estimate

 $R_M^{(3)} \simeq 6H^2 \delta$

L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh

$$ds^{2} = s^{2}(\eta) \left[-(1 + 2\Phi_{B})d\eta^{2} + (1 - 2\Psi_{B})\eta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}dx^$$

$$R^{(3)} = \frac{4}{s^2} \nabla^2 \Psi_B$$

$$\nabla^2 \Psi_B \simeq 4\pi s^2 e \left(\frac{\delta e}{e}\right) \simeq \frac{3}{2} s^2 H^2 \delta$$

energy density contrast in the Minkowski solutions F. Giese et al., ArXiv:2010.09744





Kinetic energy fraction

$$E_b = \int_0^{R_w} 4\pi e_s R^2 dR = \frac{t}{3} \Omega_F(\xi_w) \xi_w, \qquad \text{Tota}$$

$$E_K \equiv \int e_K 4\pi R^2 dR = t \int d\xi \,(1+\omega) \Omega u^2 \gamma_u^2 \qquad \text{Am}_{kine}$$

Kinetic energy fraction

L. Giombi with M. Hindmarsh

al amount of energy that was contained in the volume cupied by the bubble before the transition happened

Observer moving outward with speed *u* with respect he fluid

ount of the initial energy that has been transferred into etic energy of the fluid

 $\mathscr{X} \equiv \frac{E_K}{E_b}$



Fixed points

i.
$$(U, \Omega, \Phi) = (0, 0, 0)$$

ii. $U = 0, \quad \Omega = \Phi$
iii. $c_{s_{-}} = \frac{U_{\star}}{\Gamma_{\star}} \frac{\Phi_{\star} + \omega \Omega_{\star}}{\Omega_{\star} - \Phi_{\star}}, \qquad U_{\star} = \frac{\Omega_{\star} - \Phi_{\star}}{\sqrt{2\Phi_{\star}}}$

 $\overrightarrow{Y}_k = (U_k, \Omega_k, \Phi_k)$ Trajectory of solutions of the Einstein equations with initial condition k

The endpoint of $\overrightarrow{Y}_k = (U_k, \Omega_k, \Phi_k)$ move along a line of fixed points $\gamma_{\star}(k) = (\xi_{\star}(k), \overrightarrow{Y}_{\star}(k))$

 $\xi_{\star}(k)$ fixed by the condition $a(\xi \to 0) = 1$



