# Spectral Features From Pulsars and Dark Matter in the Local Cosmic-Ray Electron and Positron Flux

arXiv:2206.04699 & arXiv:2304.07317

Isabelle John isabelle.john@fysik.su.se

17 October 2023 OKC@15 Stockholm



With Tim Linden



centre

# Cosmic-Ray Electrons and Positrons

### Experimental





# Cosmic-Ray Electrons and Positrons

### Experimental



Modelling





# Propagation and Energy Losses

Positron source e.g. pulsars or dark matter

Propagation and Energy Losses



### Synchrotron radiation in magnetic fields

Isabelle John



Inverse-Compton scattering on ambient photons





# Spectrum of an Individual Pulsar



2. High-energy positrons lose energy faster than low-energy positrons



# Spectrum of an Individual Pulsar



2. High-energy positrons lose energy faster than low-energy positrons



# Spectrum of an Individual Pulsar





2. High-energy positrons lose energy faster than low-energy positrons



# Spectrum of an Individual Pulsar





2. High-energy positrons lose energy faster than low-energy positrons



# Spectrum of an Individual Pulsar





2. High-energy positrons lose energy faster than low-energy positrons



# Spectrum of an Individual Pulsar





2. High-energy positrons lose energy faster than low-energy positrons



# Spectrum of an Individual Pulsar





# Sharp Spectral Features?

- Annihilating dark matter would produce sharp spectral features



• Energy loss processes set up a tension of pulsar feature with dark matter





### Continuous energy loss rate:

# $\frac{dE}{dt} = -\frac{4}{3}\sigma_T \left(\frac{E}{m_e}\right)^2 \left[\rho_B + \sum_i \rho_i(\nu_i)S(E,\nu_i)\right]$ Synchrotron radiation Inverse-Compton scattering in magnetic fields on ambient ISRF photons

Isabelle John

# Energy Loss Rate



- $\sigma_T$ : Thomson cross section
- $E_e$ : Electron energy
- $m_{\rho}$ : Electron mass
- *u<sub>i</sub>*: ISRF photon energy density
- $\nu_i$ : ISRF photon energy
- S: Klein-Nishina suppression



0KC@15

# Synchrotron Losses

Average energy loss per interaction:



Isabelle John

$$\left(\frac{B}{1\ \mu G}\right) \left(\frac{E_e}{1\ \text{TeV}}\right)^2$$

For typical magnetic field strength  $B \sim 3 \mu G$  and electron energy  $E_{\rho} = 100$  TeV

 $\approx 1.8 \text{ keV}$ 

Synchrotron losses are small and approximately continuous.





# Inverse-Compton Scattering

### High energy electrons scatter with photons of the interstellar radiation field

### Inverse Compton Scattering



$$\frac{dE_e}{dt} = -\frac{4}{3}\sigma_T c \left(\frac{E_e}{m_e}\right)^2 \sum_i u_i \left(\nu_i\right) S_i \left(E_e, u_i\right) V_i \left(E_e,$$

Interstellar Radiation Field (ISRF):

- CMB photons •
- IR radiation
- Starlight ullet
- UV radiation  $\bullet$
- $\sigma_T$ : Thomson cross section
- $E_{\rho}$ : Electron energy
- $m_e$ : Electron mass
- $u_i$ : ISRF photon energy densities
- $\nu_i$ : ISRF photon energy

S<sub>i</sub>: Klein-Nishina suppression



0KC@15

### Inverse-Compton Scattering: Continuous Modelling Fails

#### Average energy loss per ICS interaction

at E = 1 TeV  $\rightarrow 0.007 \text{ TeV}$ at E = 10 TeV  $\rightarrow 0.4$  TeV at E = 100 TeV  $\rightarrow 10$  TeV  $\approx$ 

Isabelle John









# Modelling Energy Losses

Continuous energy loss rate:



### Approximately continuous.

Isabelle John



## Inverse-Compton scattering on ambient ISRF photons

ICS is a stochastic process
with catastrophic energy losses.



## Stochastic Inverse-Compton Scattering Model [I. John & T. Linden, arXiv:2206.04699]

- 1. Create positron with some initial energy
- 2. Evolve in time steps:
  - Calculate synchrotron energy losses
  - happens and at what photon energy
  - If ICS: Calculate energy loss and new positron energy
- Repeat until desired cooling time is reached 3.

• Based on positron energy, determine if inverse-Compton scattering





# Stochasticity of Inverse-Compton Scattering

### Stochastic ICS:

- ICS interactions are rare (~110 interactions in 342 kyr)
- Catastrophic energy losses (~10-100% of energy lost)
- ~30% spread in final positron energy distribution

#### Continuous calculation:

• All positrons are treated the same way, cool down to exactly the same energy







# Positron Spectrum of Individual Pulsar

Example Pulsar: Geminga Age: 342 kyr Distance: 250 pc



Sharp spectral features introduced by continuous approximation are smoothened out by ~50% when correctly treating inverse-Compton scattering stochastically

Isabelle John

Analytic Model: Hooper et. al, arXiv:0810.1527







# Work in Progress: Spectra For A Range of Pulsar Models

#### [I. John & T. Linden, arXiv:23xx.xxxx]



Isabelle John





## Pulsars and Dark Matter Can Be Distinguished



Isabelle John





## Positron Injection from Pulsars and Dark Matter

Pulsars

### Burst-like injection of $e^+e^-$

Distribution of  $e^+e^$ injection energies (power law)

Isabelle John

Leptophilic Dark Matter

Continuous injection of  $e^+e^-$ 

Sharply peaked  $e^+e^$ injection energy (corresponding to dark matter mass)







0KC@15

## Catastrophic and Rare Inverse-Compton Scattering [I. John & T. Linden, arXiv:2304.07317]



![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

0KC@15

# Energy Loss Times

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Isabelle John

### Energy losses happen slower in stochastic model than in continuous model

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Enhancement of Dark Matter Signal

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Isabelle John

# $\chi\chi \rightarrow e^+e^ \langle \sigma v \rangle = 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$ $m_{DM} = 100 \text{ TeV}$ $B = 1 \mu G$

### Near the dark matter mass, the spectral cutoff is enhanced by about a factor of 2.6

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Increased Detectability: Dependence on Energy Resolution

### 5 % energy resolution

Isabelle John

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Increased Detectability: Dependence on Energy Resolution

### 1 % energy resolution Expected for e.g. HERD

Isabelle John

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Dark Matter Signal is Enhanced

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

### 5 % energy resolution

Isabelle John

#### 1 % energy resolution

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Implications of Stochastic ICS

#### Pulsars do not produce sharp spectral features

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

[arXiv:2206.04699]

# Dark matter is the only known astrophysical mechanism that can produce sharp spectral features in the $e^+e^-$ flux.

Isabelle John

#### Leptophilic dark matter signal is enhanced

![](_page_29_Figure_7.jpeg)

[arXiv:2304.07317]

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

Isabelle John

# Extra Slides

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Dark Matter Annihilation into Muons

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Isabelle John

- Dark matter annihilates into  $\mu^+\mu^-$  that subsequently decay into  $e^+e^-$
- $e^+e^-$  are injected at a distribution of energies
- Enhancement is smaller than in direct  $e^+e^-$  case
- Enhancement is further reduced for annihilations into  $\tau^+\tau^-$  and other hadronic final states

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Stochasticity of Inverse-Compton Scattering

### Stochastic ICS:

- ICS interactions are rare (~110 interactions in 342 kyr)
- Catastrophic energy losses (~10-100% of energy lost)
- ~30% spread in final positron energy distribution

#### Continuous calculation:

• All positrons are treated the same way, cool down to exactly the same energy

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Interstellar Radiation Fields and Magnetic Fields

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

Isabelle John

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

0KC@15

## Inverse-Compton Energy Losses [I. John & T. Linden, arXiv:2304.07317]

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Isabelle John

ICS interactions are rare, but take a large fraction of the energy in a single interaction, especially at high energies

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Implications for Pulsar Models

- Pulsars do not produce sharp features
- Recent papers that fit pulsars to the positron data require large number of pulsars to wash out sharp features below 500 GeV: Possibly only smaller number of pulsars needed to fit positron flux
- Loosens constraints on number of contributing pulsars

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

Orusa et al., arXiv:2107.06300

Isabelle John

![](_page_35_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_10.jpeg)

Cholis & Krommydas, arXiv:2111.05864

![](_page_35_Figure_13.jpeg)