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## Today's high energy colliders

Today's high energy physics program relies mainly on results from

| Collider | Process | status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEP/LEP2 | $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $1989-2000$ |
| Hera | $\mathrm{e}^{ \pm} \mathrm{p}$ | $1992-2007$ |
| Tevatron | $\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{P}}$ | $1983-20 \mathrm{II}$ |
| LHC- Run I | pp | $2010-2012$ |
| LHC- Run II | pp | $2015-2018$ |
| LHC-Run III | pp | Started July 2022 |

- LEP high precision measurements of masses, couplings, EW parameters ...
- Hera: mainly measurements of proton structure / parton densities
- Tevatron: mainly discovery of top and many QCD measurements
- LHC designed to
e discover the Higgs [done in Run I]
e unravel possible BSM physics [elusive up to now]


## Today's status of particle physics

- The Higgs boson: the last missing ingredient of the Standard Model of particle physics
- The SM is a consistent theory up to very high energy, but it can not be a complete theory because of many theory and experimental puzzles (Dark matter and dark energy, neutrino masses, flavour physics, hierarchy problem, no theory of quantum gravity...)

The days of guaranteed discoveries or no-lose theorems are over. Progress will be driven by LHC data.
The LHC program in the coming decades will focus on

- Direct searches: production of new (heavy status) ...
- Indirect searches: Higgs couplings and branchings, rare decay modes ...
- Consistency tests: precision electroweak data


## Today's status of particle physics

Improving our theoretical description of data crucial to enhance sensitivity when looking for new physics.

Example: extraction of Higgs couplings limited by theory uncertainties


Sharpen the tools $\Rightarrow$ get more accurate predictions $\Rightarrow$ get improved indirect sensitivity to New Physics (which would modify SM couplings)

## Gendankenexperiment


$\Rightarrow$ miss discovering new physics


## Higher precision can translate into higher discovery reach almost "for free"

## Propose of these lectures

In these lectures: perturbative QCD as a tool for precision QCD at colliders

- Introduction to QCD (or refresh your knowledge of QCD)
- Basic concepts which appear over and over in different contexts
- Understand the terminology
- Recent developments in the field



## Some bibliography

- A QCD primer
G.Altarelli (TASI lectures 2002) hep-ph/0204I79
- QCD and Collider Physics (a.k.a.The Pink Book)
R.K. Ellis,W. J. Stirling, B. R.Webber, Cambridge University Press (I999)
- Foundations of Quantum Chromodynamics
T. Muta,World Scientific (1998)
- Quantum Chromodynamics: High Energy Experiments and Theory G. Dissertori, I. Knowles, M. Schmelling, International Series of Monograph on Physics (2009)
- The theory of quark and gluon interactions F.J.Yndurain, Springer-Verlag (1999)
- Gauge Theory and Elementary Particle Physics T. Cheng and L. Li, Oxford Science Publications (1984)
- The Black Book of Quantum Chromodynamics:A Primer for the LHC Era J. Campbell, J, Huston, F. Krauss (20I8)
- many write-ups of QCD lectures given at previous other schools ...


## The beginning: the eightfold way

Organise hadron spectrum to manifest some symmetry-pattern

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=0 \\
& s=-1 \\
& s=-2
\end{aligned}
$$



One hadron missing, but predicted following the pattern

But what is the reason for this pattern?

## Quarks (1964)

Gell-Mann and Zweig propose the existence of elementary spin I/2 particles (the quarks).Three types of quarks, up, down, strange (plus their antiparticles) can explain the composition of all observed hadrons


## First experimental evidence for colour

I. Existence of $\Delta^{++}$particle: particle with three up quarks of the same spin and with symmetric spacial wave function. Without an additional quantum number Pauli's principle would be violated $\Rightarrow$ color quantum number


New quantum number solves spin-statistics problem (wave function becomes asymmetric)

## First experimental evidence for colour

II.R-ratio: ratio of ( $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow$ hadrons $) /\left(\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$

$$
R \equiv \frac{e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \text { hadrons }}{e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}} \propto N_{c} \sum_{f} Q_{f}^{2}
$$



Experimental data confirms $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=3$. (Will come back to R later.)

Color becomes the charge of strong interaction. Interaction is so strong, that the only observed hadrons in nature are those where quarks are combined in colour singlet states

Colour $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ is an exact symmetry of nature

## QCD

Model for strong interactions: non-abelian gauge theory $\mathrm{SU}(3)$

$$
U^{\dagger} U=U U^{\dagger}=1 \quad \operatorname{det}(U)=1
$$

Hadron spectrum fully classified with the following assumptions

- hadrons (baryons, mesons): made of spin I/2 quarks
- each quark of a given flavour comes in $N_{c}=3$ colors
- color $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ is an exact symmetry
- hadrons are colour neutral, i.e. colour singlet under SU(3)
- observed hadrons are colour neutral $\Rightarrow$ hadrons have integer charge


## Color singlet hadrons

Quarks can be combined in 2 elementary ways into color singlets of the $\mathrm{SU}_{\mathrm{c}}(3)$ group

Mesons (bosons, e.g. pion ...)

$$
\sum_{i} \psi_{i}^{*} \psi_{i} \rightarrow \sum_{i j k} U_{i j}^{*} U_{i k} \psi_{j} \psi_{k}=\sum_{k} \psi_{k}^{*} \psi_{k}
$$



Baryons (fermions, e.g. proton, neutrons ...)

$$
\sum_{i j k} \epsilon_{i j k} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \psi_{k} \rightarrow \sum_{i i^{\prime} j j^{\prime} k k^{\prime}} \epsilon_{i j k} U_{i i^{\prime}} U_{j j^{\prime}} U_{k k^{\prime}} \psi_{i^{\prime}} \psi_{j^{\prime}} \psi_{k^{\prime}}=\sum_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}} \epsilon_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}} \operatorname{det}(U) \psi_{i^{\prime}} \psi_{j^{\prime}} \psi_{k^{\prime}}
$$
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## Quark mass spectrum



| charge $2 / 3$ <br> mass= | up <br> few MeV | charm <br> -1.6 GeV | top <br> $\sim 172 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| charge $-1 / 3$ <br> mass $=$ | down | strange | bottom |
| few MeV | $\sim 100 \mathrm{MeV}$ | $\sim 5 \mathrm{GeV}$ |  |

## The R-ratio: comparison to data

R changes crossing quark flavour thresholds $R=N_{c} \sum_{q} e_{q}^{2}$


## QCD matter sector



- The light quark's existence was validated by the SLAC's deep inelastic scattering (DIS) experiments in 1968: strange was a necessary component of Gell-Mann and Zweig's three-quark model, it also provided an explanation for the kaon and pion mesons discovered 1947 in cosmic rays


## QCD matter sector



$$
\propto G_{F}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{\mathrm{c}} \frac{m_{c}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}
$$

- In 1970 Glashow, lliopoulos, and Maiani (GIM mechanism) presented strong theoretical arguments for the existence of the as-yet undiscovered charm quark, based on the absence of flavour-changing neutral currents

[^0]
## QCD matter sector



- Charm quarks were observed almost simultaneously in November 1974 at SLAC and at BNL as charm anti-charm bound states (charmonium). The two groups had assigned the discovered meson two different symbols, J and $\psi$.Thus, it became known as the J/ $\Psi$ (Nobel Prize 1976)


## QCD matter sector



- The bottom quark was postulated in 1973 by Kobayashi and Maskawa to describe the phenomenon of CP violation, which requires the existence of at least three generations of quarks in Nature (Nobel Prize 2008)
[M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49 (1973) 652]


## QCD matter sector



- In 1977, physicists working at the fixed target experiment E288 at FNAL discovered Y (Upsilon) meson. This discovery was eventually understood as the bound state of the bottom/anti-bottom (bottomonium)


## QCD matter sector



Diagram involving the virtual exchange of top quarks that induces a mass difference in the $B$ meson system

$\Delta M_{B} \propto G_{F}^{2} m_{B} f_{B}^{2}\left|V_{t d}\right|^{2} m_{t}^{2}$

- The measurement of the oscillations of $B$ mesons into its own antiparticles in 1987 by ARGUS led to the conclusion that the top-quark mass has to be larger than 50 GeV . This was a big surprise at that time, because in 1987 the top quark was generally believed to be much lighter


## QCD matter sector



- It was also realized that certain precision measurements of the EW vector-boson masses and couplings are very sensitive to the mass of the top quark. By 1994 the precision of these indirect measurements led to a prediction of the top-quark mass between 145 GeV and 185 GeV


## QCD matter sector



- The top quark was finally discovered in 1995 by CDF and D0 at FNAL. It's mass is today measured to be $m_{t}=(173.1 \pm 0.6) \mathrm{GeV}$


## QCD matter sector



- The masses of the six different quarks range from 2 MeV for the up quark to 172 GeV for the top quark. Why these masses are split by almost six orders of magnitude is one of the big mysteries of particle physics


## QCD matter sector



- The up, down, and strange quark are much lighter than the proton. If one takes them to have an identical mass, the quarks become indistinguishable under QCD, and one obtains an effective $S U(3)_{f}$ symmetry


## QED and QCD

QED and QCD are very similar, yet very different theories
\% quarks are a bit like leptons, but there are three of each
8 gluons are a bit like photons, but there are eight of them
8 gluons interact with themselves
\& the QCD coupling is also small at collider energies, but larger then the QED one
\% the similarities and differences are evident from the two Lagrangians

So, let's start by looking at the QED Lagrangian

## The QED Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{QED}} & =\mathcal{L}_{\text {Dirac }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {Maxwell }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {int }} \\
& =\bar{\psi}(i \not \partial-m) \psi-\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}-e \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi A_{\mu} \\
& =\bar{\psi}(i \not D-m) \psi-\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

electromagnetic vector potential $A_{\mu}$
field strength tensor $F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}$
covariant derivative $\quad D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+i e A_{\mu}$

## QED Feynman rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{QED}} & =\mathcal{L}_{\text {Dirac }}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {Maxwell }}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}} \\
& =\bar{\psi}(i \not \partial-m) \psi-\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}-e \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi A_{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\psi \stackrel{p}{m} \cdot \bar{\psi}=\frac{i(\not p+m)}{p^{2}-m^{2}}
$$

$$
A_{\mu} \leadsto \bigcup^{p} \rightsquigarrow A_{\nu}=\frac{-i g^{\mu \nu}}{p^{2}}
$$



## QED gauge invariance

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {QED }}=\bar{\psi}(i \not D-m) \psi-\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}
$$

A crucial property of the QED Lagrangian is that it is invariant under

$$
\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i \alpha(x)} \psi(x), \quad A_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow A_{\mu}(x)-\frac{1}{e} \partial_{\mu} \alpha(x)
$$

which acts on the Dirac field as a local phase transformation

## Exercise:

Check that the QED Lagrangian is invariant under the above transformations

Yang and Mills (I954) proposed that the local phase rotation in QED could be generalised to invariance under a continuous symmetry also for more general (non-abelian) theories
[C. N. Yang and R. L. Mills, Phys. Rep. 96 (I954) I9I]

## The QCD Lagrangian

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{QCD}}=-\frac{1}{4} F_{a}^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}^{a}+\sum_{f} \bar{\psi}_{i}^{(f)}\left(i D_{i j}-m_{f} \delta_{i j}\right) \psi_{j}^{(f)} \\
D_{i j}^{\mu} \equiv \partial^{\mu} \delta_{i j}+i g_{s} t_{i j}^{a} A_{a}^{\mu}, \quad F_{\mu \nu}^{a} \equiv \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{a}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{a}-g_{s} f_{a b c} A_{\mu}^{b} A_{\nu}^{c} \\
\Rightarrow \text { covariant derivative }
\end{gathered} \Rightarrow \text { field strength }
$$

\& only one QCD parameter $g_{s}$ regulating the strength of the interaction (quark masses have EW origin)
\& setting $g_{s}=0$ one obtains the free Lagrangian (free propagation of quarks and gluons without interaction)
\% terms proportional to $g_{s}$ in the field strength cause self-interaction between gluons (makes the difference w.r.t. QED)
\& color matrices $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a} j}$ are the generators of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$
\& QCD flavour blind (differences only due to EW)

## The generators of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})$

The gauge group of QCD is $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})$ with $\mathrm{N}=3$
$N \times N$ complex generic matrix $\Rightarrow N^{2}$ complex values, i.e. $2 N^{2}$ real ones

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
U U^{\dagger}=U^{\dagger} U=1_{N \times N} & \operatorname{det}(U)=1 \\
\text { unitarity } \Rightarrow \mathrm{N}^{2} \text { conditions } & \text { unit determinant } \Rightarrow \text { I condition }
\end{array}
$$

So, the fundamental representation of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})$ has $\mathrm{N}^{2}$-I generators $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{a}}$ : $N \times N$ traceless hermitian matrices $\Rightarrow N^{2}$ - I gluons

$$
U=e^{i \theta_{a}(x) t^{a}} \quad a=1, \cdots N^{2}-1
$$

## The Gell-Mann matrices

One explicit representation: $\quad t^{A}=\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{A}$
$\lambda^{A}$ are the Gell-Mann matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \lambda^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -i & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \lambda^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \lambda^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \lambda^{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \lambda^{6}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \lambda^{7}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & i & 0
\end{array}\right), \lambda^{8}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard normalization: $\quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(t^{a} t^{b}\right)=T_{R} \delta^{a b} \quad T_{R}=\frac{1}{2}$

Notice that the first three Gell-Mann matrices contain the three Pauli matrices in the upper-left corner

## The generators of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})$

Infinitesimal transformations (close to the identity) give complete information about the group structure. The most important characteristic of a group is the commutator of two transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[U\left(\delta_{1}\right), U\left(\delta_{2}\right)\right] } & \equiv U\left(\delta_{1}\right) U\left(\delta_{2}\right)-U\left(\delta_{2}\right) U\left(\delta_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(i \delta_{1}^{a}\right)\left(i \delta_{2}^{b}\right)\left[t^{a}, t^{b}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\delta^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The two matrices to not commute, therefore the transformations don't. Such a group is called non-abelian.

- Familiar abelian groups: translations, phase transformations $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{I})$...
- Familiar non-abelian groups: 3D-rotations


## The generators of $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{N})$

Consider the commutator

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[t_{a}, t_{b}\right]\right)=0 \Rightarrow\left[t_{a}, t_{b}\right]=i f_{a b c} t^{c}
$$

The Lie algebra of the group is defined by the commutation relation of the generators if the group. $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{abc}}$ are the structure constants of the $\mathrm{SU}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ algebra, they generate the adjoint representation of the algebra

Clearly, $f_{a b c}$ is anti-symmetric in (ab). It is easy to show that it is fully antisymmetric

$$
i f_{a b c}=2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[t_{a}, t_{b}\right] t_{c}\right)
$$

and that hence it is fully antisymmetric

$$
f_{a b c}=-f_{b a c}=-f_{a c b}
$$

## Color algebra: fundamental identities

Fundamental representation 3:

$$
i \longrightarrow j=\delta_{i j}
$$



Adjoint representation 8:

$$
a \cdots \infty=\delta_{a b}
$$

$$
a \underset{\&}{c}
$$

Trace identities:


$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(t^{a}\right)=0
$$



$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(t^{a} t^{b}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}} \delta^{a b}
$$

## What do color identities mean physically



What does this really mean?


Gluons carry color and anti-color. They repaint quarks and other gluons.

## Color algebra: Casimirs \& Fierz identity

Fierz identity:

$$
\left(t^{a}\right)_{k}^{i}\left(t^{a}\right)_{j}^{l}=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{j}^{i} \delta_{k}^{l}-\frac{1}{2 N_{c}} \delta_{k}^{i} \delta_{j}^{l}
$$



Fundamental representation 3:

$$
\sum_{a}\left(t_{i j}^{a}\right)\left(t_{k j}^{a}\right)=C_{F} \delta_{i j} \quad C_{F}=\frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{2 N_{c}} \quad \rightarrow \xrightarrow{6^{M}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}
$$

Adjoint representation 8:

$$
\sum_{c d} f^{a c d} f^{b d c}=C_{A} \delta^{a b} \quad C_{A}=N_{c}
$$



## Exercises:

I) derive Fierz identity
2) use the Fierz identity to derive the value of $C_{F}$ and $C_{A}$

## Gauge invariance

The QCD Lagrangian is invariant under local gauge transformations, i.e. one can redefine the quark and gluon fields independently at every point in space and time without changing the physical content of the theory

- Gauge transformation for the quark field

$$
\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}=U(x) \psi
$$

- The covariant derivative $\left(D_{\mu}\right)_{i j}=\partial_{\mu} \delta_{i j}+i g_{s} t_{i j}^{a} A_{a}^{\mu}$ must transform as (covariant = transforms "with" the field)

$$
D_{\mu} \psi \rightarrow D_{\mu}^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}=U(x) D_{\mu} \psi
$$

- From which one derives the transformation property of the gluon field

$$
t^{a} A_{a} \rightarrow t^{a} A_{a}^{\prime}=U(x) t^{a} A_{a} U^{-1}(x)+\frac{i}{g_{s}}(\partial U(x)) U^{-1}(x)
$$

## Gauge invariance

The QCD Lagrangian is invariant under local gauge transformations, i.e. one can redefine the quark and gluon fields independently at every point in space and time without changing the physical content of the theory

- It follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}=\bar{\psi} U^{\dagger}(x) \\
t^{a} F_{\mu \nu}^{a} \rightarrow t^{a} F_{\mu \nu}^{a^{\prime}}=U(x) t^{a} F_{\mu \nu}^{a} U^{-1}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

e.g. because $\quad i g_{s} t^{a} F_{\mu \nu}^{a}=\left[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}\right]$

- Therefore the QCD Lagrangian is indeed gauge invariant

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{4} F_{a}^{\prime \mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}^{\prime a} & =-\frac{1}{4} F_{a}^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}^{a} \\
\sum_{f} \bar{\psi}_{i}^{\prime(f)}\left(i D_{i j}^{\prime}-m_{f} \delta_{i j}\right) \psi_{j}^{\prime(f)} & =\sum_{f} \bar{\psi}_{i}^{(f)}\left(i D_{i j}-m_{f} \delta_{i j}\right) \psi_{j}^{(f)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gauge invariance

The QCD Lagrangian is invariant under local gauge transformations, i.e. one can redefine the quark and gluon fields independently at every point in space and time without changing the physical content of the theory

Remarks:

- the field strength alone is not gauge invariant in QCD (unlike in QED) because of self interacting gluons (carries of the force carry colour, unlike the photon)
- a gluon mass term violate gauge invariance and is therefore forbidden (as for the photon). On the other hand quark mass terms are gauge invariant.



## Isospin symmetry

Isospin $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ symmetry: relates the content in terms of up and down quarks. Isospin invariance means invariance under $u \leftrightarrow d$

$$
I_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(n_{u}-n_{\bar{u}}\right)-\left(n_{d}-n_{\bar{d}}\right)\right]
$$

- Proton has isospin $\mathrm{I}_{3}=\mathrm{I} / 2$, while the neutron $\mathrm{I}_{3}=-\mathrm{I} / 2$. Protons and neutrons form an iso-spin multiplet
- Pions have $\mathrm{I}_{3}=\mathrm{I}\left(\pi^{+}=\mathrm{ud}\right), \mathrm{I}_{3}=0\left(\pi_{0}=\mathrm{I} / \sqrt{ } 2(\mathrm{uu}+\mathrm{dd}), \mathrm{I}_{3}=0\left(\pi^{-}=\mathrm{ud}\right)\right.$. They are also in an iso-spin multiplet

Particles in the same isospin multiplet have very similar masses (proton and neutron, neutral and charged pions)

## Isospin symmetry

Isospin arises because QCD interactions are flavour blind and the accidental fact that up and down have very close masses

## Check: the QCD Lagrangian has isospin symmetry if $m_{u}=m_{d}$ or $m_{u}, m_{d} \rightarrow 0$

In this limit of vanishing $m_{u}, m_{d}$ masses, one can separate the fermion fields into left and right chiralities

$$
\psi_{L}=P_{L} \psi, \quad \psi_{R}=P_{R} \psi, \quad P_{L / R}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \mp \gamma_{5}\right)
$$

The QCD Lagrangian in terms of left and right states becomes

$$
\mathcal{L}_{F}=\sum_{f}\left(\bar{\psi}_{L}^{(f)} D \psi_{L}^{(f)}+\bar{\psi}_{R}^{(f)} D \psi_{R}^{(f)}\right)-\sum_{f} m_{f}\left(\bar{\psi}_{R}^{(f)} \psi_{L}^{(f)}+\bar{\psi}_{L}^{(f)} \psi_{R}^{(f)}\right)
$$

So neglecting fermion masses the Lagrangian has the larger symmetry

$$
S U_{L}\left(N_{f}\right) \times S U_{R}\left(N_{f}\right) \times U_{L}(1) \times U_{R}(1)
$$

## Feynman rules: propagators

Obtain quark/gluon propagators from free piece of the Lagrangian

Quark propagator: replace $\mathrm{i} \partial \rightarrow \mathrm{k}$ and take the $\mathrm{i} \times$ inverse

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{free}}=\sum_{f} \bar{\psi}_{i}^{(f)}\left(i \not \partial-m_{f}\right) \delta_{i j} \psi_{j}^{(f)}
$$

$$
\frac{\alpha, i \quad \beta, j}{k, m}=\left(\frac{i}{\nmid c-m}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{i j}
$$

Gluon propagator: replace $\mathrm{i} \partial \rightarrow \mathrm{k}$ and take the $\mathrm{i} \times$ inverse ?

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{g}, \text { free }}=\frac{1}{2} A^{\mu}\left(\square g_{\mu \nu}-\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu}\right) A^{\nu}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ inverse does not exist, since $\left(\square g_{\mu \nu}-\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu}\right) \partial_{\mu}=\square \partial_{\nu}-\square \partial_{\nu}=0$ How can one to define the propagator ?

## Gauge fixing

Solution:
add to the Lagrangian a gauge fixing term which depends on an arbitrary parameter $\xi$

In covariant gauges:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {gauge fixing }}=-\frac{1}{\xi}\left(\partial^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{A}\right)^{2} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\xi=1 \text { Feynman gauge } \\
\xi=0 \text { Landau gauge }
\end{array}
$$

Gluon propagator:

$$
\frac{-i}{k^{2}}\left(g_{\mu \nu}-(1-\xi) \frac{k_{\mu} k_{\nu}}{k^{2}}\right) \delta^{a b}=\stackrel{a, \mu}{\underset{k}{\infty}} b, \nu
$$

Gauge fixing explicitly breaks gauge invariance. However, in the end physical results are independent of the gauge choice. Powerful check of higher order calculations: verify that the $\xi$ dependence fully cancels in the final result

## Ghosts

In covariant gauges gauge fixing term must be supplemented with ghost term to cancel unphysical longitudinal degrees of freedom which should not propagate

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {ghost }}=\partial_{\mu} \eta^{a \dagger} D_{a b}^{\mu} \eta^{b}
$$


$\eta$ : complex scalar field which obeys Fermi statistics


## Axial gauges

Alternative: choose an axial gauge (introduce an arbitrary direction n)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {axial gauge }}=-\frac{1}{\xi}\left(n^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{A}\right)^{2}
$$

The gluon propagator becomes

$$
d_{\mu \nu}=\frac{i}{k^{2}}\left(-g_{\mu \nu}+\frac{n_{\mu} k_{\nu}+n_{\nu} k_{\mu}}{n \cdot k}+\frac{\left(n^{2}+\xi k^{2}\right) k_{\mu} k_{\nu}}{(n \cdot k)^{2}}\right) \delta_{a b}
$$

Light cone gauge: $\mathrm{n}^{2}=0$ and $\xi=0$
Axial gauges for $k^{2} \rightarrow 0$

$$
d_{\mu \nu} k^{\mu}=d_{\mu \nu} n^{\mu}=0
$$

i.e. only two physical polarizations propagate, that's why often the term physical gauge is used

## QCD Feynman rules: the vertices



$$
{\underset{\vec{k}}{\infty, \mu}}_{b, \nu}^{b, \nu}=\left(\frac{-i g_{\mu \nu}}{k^{2}}\right) \delta^{a b}
$$



## Perturbative expansion of the R-ratio

The R-ratio is defined as

$$
R \equiv \frac{\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \text { hadrons }\right)}{\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)}
$$



At lowest order in perturbation theory (PT)

$$
\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \text { hadrons }\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q}\right)
$$

Since common factors cancel in numerator/denominator, to lowest order one finds

$$
R_{0}=\frac{\sigma_{0}\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \text { hadrons }\right)}{\sigma_{0}\left(\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)}=N_{c} \sum_{f} q_{f}^{2}
$$

## The R-ratio: perturbative expansion

First order correction


Real and virtual do not interfere since they have a different \# of particles. The amplitude squared becomes

$$
\left|A_{1}\right|^{2}=\left|A_{0}\right|^{2}+\alpha_{s}\left(\left|A_{1, r}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{A_{0} A_{1, v}^{*}\right\}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right) \quad \alpha_{s}=\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{4 \pi}
$$

Integrating over phase space, the first order result reads

$$
R_{1}=R_{0}\left(1+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)
$$

## R-ratio and UV divergences

To compute the second order correction one has to compute diagrams like these and many more


One gets

$$
R_{2}=R_{0}\left(1+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left(c+\pi b_{0} \ln \frac{M_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right)\right) \quad b_{0}=\frac{11 N_{c}-4 n_{f} T_{R}}{12 \pi}
$$

Ultra-violet divergences do not cancel. Result depends on UV cut-off.

## Renormalization

Loop corrections in QCD are (often) divergent. Divergences originate from regions of very large momenta


QCD is a renormalizable theory. This means that that one can
I. regularize the divergence (e.g. using dimensional regularization)

$$
d^{4} k \rightarrow \mu^{2 \epsilon} d^{4-2 \epsilon} k
$$

2. absorbe all UV divergences into a universal redefinition of a finite number of the bare parameters of QCD

## Renormalization and running coupling

For the R-ratio, the divergence is dealt with by renormalization of the coupling constant

$$
\alpha_{s}(\mu)=\alpha_{s}^{\text {bare }}+b_{0} \ln \frac{M_{U V}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\left(\alpha_{s}^{\text {bare }}\right)^{2}
$$

$R$ expressed in terms of the renormalized coupling is finite

$$
R=R_{0}\left(1+\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\pi}+\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left(c+\pi b_{0} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{3}(\mu)\right)\right)
$$

Renormalizability of the theory guarantees that the same redefinition of the coupling removes all UV divergences from all physical quantities (massless case)

Renormalization achieved by replacing bare masses and the bare coupling with renormalized ones. Masses and coupling become dependent on the renormalization scale. The dependence is fully predicted in PQCD

- the coupling $\Rightarrow \beta$ function
- the masses $\Rightarrow$ anomalous dimensions $\gamma_{\mathrm{m}}$


## The beta-function

$$
\beta\left(\alpha_{s}^{\mathrm{ren}}\right) \equiv \mu^{2} \frac{d \alpha_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{d \mu^{2}}
$$

The renormalized coupling is

$$
\alpha_{s}(\mu)=\alpha_{s}^{\text {bare }}+b_{0} \ln \frac{M_{U V}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\left(\alpha_{s}^{\text {bare }}\right)^{2}
$$

So, one immediately gets

$$
\beta=-b_{0} \alpha_{s}^{2}(\mu)+\ldots
$$

Integrating the differential equation one finds at lowest order

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}=b_{0} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_{s}(\mu)=\frac{1}{b_{0} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}}
$$

Where $\Lambda$ is the scale at which the coupling would become infinite

## More on the beta-function

Roughly speaking:
(a) quark loop vacuum polarization diagram gives a negative contribution to $\mathrm{b}_{0} \sim-2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{l} 2 \pi$

(a)
(b) gluon loop gives a positive contribution to $b_{0} \sim I I N_{c} / I 2 \pi$

(b)

Since $(\mathrm{b})>(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{b}_{0, \mathrm{QCD}}>0$
$\Rightarrow$ overall negative beta-function in QCD
While in QED (b) $=0 \Rightarrow b_{0, Q E D}<0$

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{QED}}=\frac{1}{3 \pi} \alpha^{2}+\ldots
$$



## More on the beta-function

- QCD: perturbative picture valid for scales $\mu \gg$ QCD (about 200 MeV )
- QED: perturbative picture valid for scales $\mu \ll \Lambda_{\text {QeD }}$

Question: why does nobody talk about $\Lambda_{\text {QeD }}$ ?
Answer:

$$
\Lambda_{\mathrm{QED}}=m_{e} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 b_{0} \alpha\left(m_{e}\right)}\right\} \sim 10^{90} \mathrm{GeV} \gg M_{\text {Planck }}
$$

i.e. QED is not a consistent theory up to arbitrary high scales


[^0]:    [S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D 2 (1970) 2]

