Detecting single gravitons with quantum sensing

<u>Germain Tobar</u>*, *Sreenath K Manikandan**, *Thomas Beitel*, and Igor Pikovski

Arxiv:2308.15440 (2023) RQI Circuit Stockholm - 17 Nov 2023



RQI Circuit, 17 Nov 2023

1/21

э

- Particle detection for gravitational waves
- Single graviton processes

- Particle detection for gravitational waves
- ② Single graviton processes
- The Gravito-Phononic effect with quantum acoustic resonators (Weber BARS)

- Particle detection for gravitational waves
- ② Single graviton processes
- The Gravito-Phononic effect with quantum acoustic resonators (Weber BARS)
- Semi-classical VS Quantum





 Such photon-detections were key in the formulation of quantum theory in the early 1900s (Bohr Model, photo-electric effect)



- Such photon-detections were key in the formulation of quantum theory in the early 1900s (Bohr Model, photo-electric effect)
- ② The photo-electric effect works on exactly the same principle, but $|0\rangle \rightarrow |k\rangle$, where $|k\rangle$ is a state in the continuum of excited states.



- Such photon-detections were key in the formulation of quantum theory in the early 1900s (Bohr Model, photo-electric effect)
- **2** The photo-electric effect works on exactly the same principle, but $|0\rangle \rightarrow |k\rangle$, where $|k\rangle$ is a state in the continuum of excited states.
- Original studies of photon detections stimulated processes (photo-electric effect). Modern view: 'detection' is only when there is a single-photon-input'.

Question: Can we observe such a detection process for the interaction between gravitational waves and quantum matter?

Question: Can we observe such a detection process for the interaction between gravitational waves and quantum matter? Gravitational Waves



Question: Can we observe such a detection process for the interaction between gravitational waves and quantum matter? Gravitational Waves

Particle-detection processes





Question: Can we observe such a detection process for the interaction between gravitational waves and quantum matter? Gravitational Waves

Particle-detection processes





???

Question: Can we observe such a detection process for the interaction between gravitational waves and quantum matter?

• They were only thinking about 'single-graviton-input' (spontaneous emission)

- They were only thinking about 'single-graviton-input' (spontaneous emission)
- They were only considering these processes for atoms (Weinberg's calculations)

- They were only thinking about 'single-graviton-input' (spontaneous emission)
- They were only considering these processes for atoms (Weinberg's calculations)

Our answer: Yes!

Tobar, Manikandan, Beitel, Pikovski Arxiv:2308.15440 (2023) *Quantum-jumps between energy levels of a massive quantum acoustic resonator, induced by a gravitational wave.* You do not need a single graviton input, to infer the exchange of single energy quanta between matter and gravitational waves (as occurs in the photo-electric effect)



Image: A matrix

Single graviton processes

 Linearized quantum gravity, low energy regime: Bronstein 1935, Feynman 1963, Dyson 1969, Weinberg 1972, Lightman 1973, Boughn and Rothman 2006.

First quantize:

$$\hat{h}^{ij} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} e^{ij}_{\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} h_{\boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} \hat{a} e^{i(\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-\omega\,t)} + cc \tag{1}$$

$$h_{qk,\lambda} = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi G\hbar}{c^2 v_k V}} \tag{2}$$

Then compute the graviton transition rate:

$$\Gamma_{\text{atom}} (3d2 \to 1s) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \left\langle 1s \left| \left\langle 1 \left| \widehat{H}_{\text{int}} \right| 0 \right\rangle \right| 3d2 \right\rangle \right|^2 \rho$$

$$\approx 10^{-40} s^{-1}.$$
(3)

We now focus on two enhancement mechanisms which make this single-graviton transition rate observable:

Massive quantum systems

We now focus on two enhancement mechanisms which make this single-graviton transition rate observable:

- Massive quantum systems
- Stimulated emission

We now focus on two enhancement mechanisms which make this single-graviton transition rate observable:

- Massive quantum systems
- Stimulated emission

Massive acoustic resonators (Weber Bars)







Cho Adrian. 'Remembering Joseph Weber, the controversial pioneer of gravitational waves'. Science 12 (2016).

An enhancement to the graviton-matter interaction

Weber-BARs provide a macroscopic enhancement for the graviton-matter interaction as compared to the case where the matter is an atom:



$$H_{\rm int} \approx -m \sum_{n} \frac{1}{4} \ddot{h}_{xx}(t) \left(x_n + \xi_n\right)^2 \approx -\frac{ML\ddot{h}_{xx}(t)}{\pi^2} \sum_{l=1,3,5..} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{l-1}{2}}}{l^2} \chi_l.$$
(4)

Now, take the example of a Niobium-cylinder:

$$\rho_m = 8570 \frac{kg}{m^3} \quad v_s = 5 \frac{km}{s} \qquad 2R = L = 1m$$

$$\Gamma_{spon} = 10^{-33} s^{-1}$$

Orders of magnitude larger than the atom, but still vanishingly small! $_{=}$

Gravitons

We now consider stimulated emission and absorption

$$\Gamma_{\text{stim}} (1 \to 0) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \left\langle 1 \left| \left\langle \alpha \left| \widehat{H}_{\text{int}} \right| \alpha \right\rangle \right| 0 \right\rangle \right|^2 \rho = \frac{|\alpha|^2 8 GM L^2 \omega_l^4}{l^4 \pi^4 c^5} \quad (5)$$

with the number of gravitons in the gravitational wave as:

$$|\alpha|^2 \approx N = \frac{h_0^2 c^5}{32\pi G \hbar \omega_l^2} \tag{6}$$

, the stimulated emission rate is

$$\Gamma_{\rm stim} = \frac{ML^2 \omega_I^2}{4/^4 \pi^5 \hbar} h_0^2 = \frac{M v_s^2}{4/^4 \pi^3 \hbar} h_0^2. \tag{7}$$

For an Aluminum BAR of Mass 1800 kg, and strain amplitude $h_0 = 5 \times 10^{-22}$ (GW150914), we obtain:

$$\Gamma_{
m stim} \approx 1 \; {
m Hz}.$$

(8)

However, detected gravitational waves chirp, in which case need to solve by accounting for the time-dependent interaction:

$$\widehat{H} = \hbar \omega \widehat{b}^{\dagger} \widehat{b} + \frac{L}{\pi^2} \sqrt{\frac{M\hbar}{\omega}} \ddot{h}(t) \left(\widehat{b} + \widehat{b}^{\dagger} \right).$$





The dynamics can be solved analytically

$$|0\rangle \rightarrow \left|\beta(t)e^{-i\omega t}\right\rangle \quad |\beta| = \frac{L}{\pi^2}\sqrt{\frac{M}{\omega\hbar}}\chi(h,\omega,t) \quad \chi(h,\omega,t) = \left|\int_0^t ds\ddot{h}(s)e^{i\omega s}\right|$$
(9)

$$P_{0\to1} = \left| \left\langle 1 \mid \beta e^{-i\omega t} \right\rangle \right|^2 = e^{-|\beta|^2} |\beta|^2$$

$$P_{\max} = \frac{1}{e} \to \sim 36\% \quad |\beta|_{\max} = 1$$
(10)

Optimise the mass for a single graviton exchange:

$$|\beta|_{\max} = 1$$
 $M = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar \omega^3}{v_s^2 \chi(h, \omega, t)}$

Optimise the mass for a single graviton exchange:

$$|\beta|_{\max} = 1 \quad M = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar \omega^3}{v_s^2 \chi(h, \omega, t)}$$
(11)

GW Source	GW170817 (NS-NS merger)	GW170817 (NS-NS merger)	GW170608 (BH-BH merger)	GW150914 (BH-BH merger)	J1301+0833 (black-widow pulsar)	J1748–2446ad (fast-spinning pulsar)	A0620-00 (BH Super- radiance)	Primordial (rare BH-BH merger)
$f = \frac{\omega}{2\pi}$	100 Hz	150 Hz	175 Hz	200 Hz	1085 Hz	1433 Hz	33 kHz	5.5 MHz
$h_0(f)$	10 ⁻²²	2×10 ⁻²²	2 ×10 ⁻²²	10 ⁻²¹	< 10 ⁻²⁵	< 10 ⁻²⁵	3 ×10 ⁻²¹	10 ⁻¹⁶
M _c	1.19 M _☉	1.19 M _☉	7.9 M_{\odot}	28.6 M _☉	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	$5 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$
Material	Sapphire	Aluminum	Niobium	CuAl6%	Niobium	Superfluid He-4	Sapphire	Quartz
v_0	10 km/s	5.4 km/s	5 km/s	4.1 km/s	5 km/s	238 m/s	10 km/s	6.3 km/s
т	1 mK	1 mK	1 mK	1 mK	0.1 µK	0.1 µK	0.6 K	0.6 mK
Q-factor	10 ¹⁰	10 ¹³	10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰				
м	~ 100 kg	~ 250 kg	~ 9 †	~ 6 t	> 52 t	> 20 †	~ 100 kg	~ 10 g

Zooming into the second Column, for the response of the BAR to GW170817, the required BAR detector parameters are

Zooming into the second Column, for the response of the BAR to GW170817, the required BAR detector parameters are

BAR detector resonance frequency : f = 150 HzStrain Amplitude : $h_0 = 10^{-22}$ Required environmental temperature : 1 mK Required Q - factor : 10^{10} Optimal detector mass : 250 kg



(12)

Zooming into the second Column, for the response of the BAR to GW170817, the required BAR detector parameters are

BAR detector resonance frequency : f = 150 HzStrain Amplitude : $h_0 = 10^{-22}$ Required environmental temperature : 1 mK Required Q - factor : 10^{10} Optimal detector mass : 250 kg



What has been achieved?

(12)

Zooming into the second Column, for the response of the BAR to GW170817 ($h_0 = 10^{-22}$):

BAR detector resonance frequency : f = 150 Hz

Required enivironmental temperature : 1 mK

Required Q - factor : 10^{10}

Optimal detector mass : 250 kg

What parameters have been achieved?

Gravitational wave detection with high frequency phonon trapping High Sensitivity Gravitational Wave Antenna with Parametric acoustic cavities Transducer Readout Maxim Gorvachev and Michael E. Tobar D. G. Blair, E. N. Ivanov, M. E. Tobar, P. J. Turner, F. van Kann, and I. S. Heng Phys. Rev. D 90, 102005 - Published 24 November 2014

Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1908 - Published 13 March 1995

Progress towards ground state cooling of a 1.5 tonne Niobium BAR, with $Q \sim 10^8$ and f = 700 Hz

More recently, near ground state cooling for lower masses (gram scale) and higher frequencies (MHz), with $Q \sim 10^{10}$.

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

(13)

In order to make a direct photo-electric analogue we need quantum jumps.

In order to make a direct photo-electric analogue we need *quantum jumps*. Need projective energy measurements of the BAR's energy levels:

In order to make a direct photo-electric analogue we need *quantum jumps*. Need projective energy measurements of the BAR's energy levels:



In order to make a direct photo-electric analogue we need *quantum jumps*. Need projective energy measurements of the BAR's energy levels:



Gives a direct gravito-phononic analogue of the photo-electric case:



In order to make a direct photo-electric analogue we need *quantum jumps*. Need projective energy measurements of the BAR's energy levels:



What has been achieved?

Parity measurement in the strong dispersive regime of circuit quantum acoustodynamics

Uwe von Lüpke 🖾, Yu Yang, Marius Bild, Laurent Michaud, Matteo Fadel & Yiwen Chu 🖾

Nature Physics 18, 794-799 (2022)

Direct measurement of individual energy levels of microgram mass acoustic

resonators

Gravitons

• Threshold frequency

- Threshold frequency
- Independence of ejected photo-electron energy from the classical electric field intensity

- Threshold frequency
- Independence of ejected photo-electron energy from the classical electric field intensity
- Near instantaneous ejection of photo-electrons.

- Threshold frequency
- Independence of ejected photo-electron energy from the classical electric field intensity
- Near instantaneous ejection of photo-electrons.

In our gravito-phononic set-up, we have:

- Threshold frequency: $P_{0\to 1} \approx \frac{h_0^2 \omega^3 M L^2}{\hbar \pi^4 (v-\omega)^2} \sin^2 \frac{(v-\omega)t}{2}$.
- Independence of ejected gravito-phonon energy ($\hbar\omega$) from the GW amplitude *h*.
- Time-scale for gravito-phonon production is the measurement strength.

- Threshold frequency: $P_{0\to 1} \approx \frac{h_0^2 \omega^3 M L^2}{\hbar \pi^4 (v-\omega)^2} \sin^2 \frac{(v-\omega)t}{2}$.
- Independence of ejected gravito-phonon energy ($\hbar\omega$) from the GW amplitude *h*.
- Gravito-phonon production timescale on the order of the measurement strength.

- Threshold frequency: $P_{0\to 1} \approx \frac{h_0^2 \omega^3 M L^2}{\hbar \pi^4 (v-\omega)^2} \sin^2 \frac{(v-\omega)t}{2}$.
- Independence of ejected gravito-phonon energy $(\hbar\omega)$ from the GW amplitude *h*.
- Gravito-phonon production timescale on the order of the measurement strength.

The above signatures can be explained with a semi-classical model (in which the matter is quantised, but the gravitational field is not).

- Threshold frequency: $P_{0\to 1} \approx \frac{h_0^2 \omega^3 M L^2}{\hbar \pi^4 (v-\omega)^2} \sin^2 \frac{(v-\omega)t}{2}$.
- Independence of ejected gravito-phonon energy $(\hbar\omega)$ from the GW amplitude *h*.
- Gravito-phonon production timescale on the order of the measurement strength.

The above signatures can be explained with a semi-classical model (in which the matter is quantised, but the gravitational field is not). *However, such semi-classical models must violate energy conservation for single discrete transitions in energy.*

- Threshold frequency: $P_{0 \to 1} \approx \frac{h_0^2 \omega^3 M L^2}{\hbar \pi^4 (v \omega)^2} \sin^2 \frac{(v \omega)t}{2}$.
- Independence of ejected gravito-phonon energy ($\hbar\omega$) from the GW amplitude *h*.
- Gravito-phonon production timescale on the order of the measurement strength.

The above signatures can be explained with a semi-classical model (in which the matter is quantised, but the gravitational field is not). *However, such semi-classical models must violate energy conservation for single discrete transitions in energy.*

If energy is conserved, the experiment is inconsistent with the gravitational field treated as a classical-continuous wave that solves the linearised Einstein equations.



RQI Circuit, 17 Nov 2023 20 / 21

• Single gravitons can be detected

э

- Single gravitons can be detected
- This can be achieved with realistic parameters

- Single gravitons can be detected
- This can be achieved with realistic parameters
- Doesn't prove the gravitational field is quantum, but serves as a test of the quantum nature of the field to the same extent as the photo-electric experiments of the early 1900s.

RQI Circuit, 17 Nov 2023

21/21

- Single gravitons can be detected
- This can be achieved with realistic parameters
- Doesn't prove the gravitational field is quantum, but serves as a test of the quantum nature of the field to the same extent as the photo-electric experiments of the early 1900s.



Tobar*, G., Manikandan*, S. K., <u>Beitel</u>, T., & <u>Pikovski</u>, I. Detecting single gravitons with quantum sensing (2023). arXiv:2308.15440.