Entanglement, global symmetries and topological contributions

Marina Huerta

Instituto Balseiro - Centro Atómico Bariloche - CONICET In collaboration with H. Casini, J.M.Magan and D. Pontello

WINQ Program on Complex and Quantum Systems Nordita, Stockholm May 2024

Based on

Entanglement entropy and superselection sectors I: Global symmetries Entropic order parameters for the phases of QFT

Preliminaries

Entanglement Entropy in QFT

Region R and state $\rho \longrightarrow S(R) = -\text{tr}\rho_R \log \rho_R$ $\mathscr{H}_{R}\otimes\mathscr{H}_{R'}$

The entropy is divergent in the continuum but…. admits an expansion in powers of ϵ In d dimensions

Preliminaries

Perspective:

Algebraic approach to QFT based on algebras of operators corresponding to causal spacetime regions

"described by a net of von Neumann algebras"

strong indication that properties of the $% \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R})$ assignation $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R})$ is in the core of the EE

Motivations

• Anomaly mismatch for gauge theories **Example 2010** [Dowker, 2010]

- [Donnelly 2011] [Donnelly, Wall 2015] [Ghosh, Soni, Trivedi 2015] [Huang 2015] [Buividovich, Polikarpov 2008]
- $a_{MI}\neq a_{\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}\rangle}$ Mutual Information seems to fail $a_{MI} \neq a_{\langle T^{\mu} \rangle}$ [Casini, MH., 2015] \bullet

 \bullet Boundary dof \longleftrightarrow Centers/require finetuning

Motivations

A different perspective: Algebraic approach

• Algebra/Region ambiguities on the lattice [Casini, MH, Rosabal, 2014]

Motivations

A different perspective: Algebraic approach

Infinite number of choices…the same mutual information

Plan of the talk

- **Algebras and regions in QFT**
- **QFT with global symmetries**
- **Relative entropy and conditional expectations**
- **Novel universal terms in the entanglement entropy**
- **Chiral Scalar in two dim**

- **•** Isotony $R_1 ⊆ R_2 → A_{R_1} ⊆ A_{R_2}$
- Additivity

 $\mathscr{A}_{R_1\vee R_2} = \mathscr{A}_{R_1}\vee \mathscr{A}_{R_2}$

- **•** Isotony $R_1 ⊆ R_2 → A_{R_1} ⊆ A_{R_2}$
- \triangle Additivity $\mathscr{A}(R_1 \vee R_2) = \mathscr{A}(R_1) \vee \mathscr{A}(R_2)$
- Causality

 $\mathscr{A}(R) \subseteq \mathscr{A}(R')'$ $[\mathscr{A}(R), \mathscr{A}(R')] = 0$

- Isotony $A \subseteq B \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_B$
- \bullet Additivity $\mathscr{A}(R_1 \vee R_2) = \mathscr{A}(R_1) \vee \mathscr{A}(R_2)$
- \bullet Causality $\mathscr{A}(R) \subseteq \mathscr{A}(R')'$
- Duality

 $\mathscr{A}(R) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathscr{A}(R)'$

- Isotony $A \subseteq B \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_B$
- \bullet Additivity $\mathscr{A}(R_1 \vee R_2) = \mathscr{A}(R_1) \vee \mathscr{A}(R_2)$
- \bullet Causality $\mathscr{A}(R) \subseteq \mathscr{A}(R')'$
- Duality

For simply connected regions (most QFT's)

 $\mathscr{A}(R) = \mathscr{A}(R')'$

- Isotony $A \subseteq B \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_B$
- Additivity $\mathscr{A}(R_1 \vee R_2) = \mathscr{A}(R_1) \vee \mathscr{A}(R_2)$
- Causality $\mathscr{A}(R) \subseteq \mathscr{A}(R')'$
- Duality

For simply connected regions (most QFT's)

$$
\mathscr{A}(R)=\mathscr{A}(R')'
$$

But what about regions with non-trivial topology?

- Isotony $A \subseteq B \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_B$
- Additivity $\mathscr{A}(R_1 \vee R_2) = \mathscr{A}(R_1) \vee \mathscr{A}(R_2)$
- \bullet Causality $\mathscr{A}(R) \subseteq \mathscr{A}(R')'$
- $\mathscr{A}(R) = \mathscr{A}(R')'$ simply connected regions (most QFT's) • Duality

Consider the regions $R \equiv R_1 \vee R_2$ and R'

From causality

$$
\mathscr{A}_R \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{(R)'}'
$$

The region R has non trivial $\pi_0(R)$. The region R' has non trivial $\pi_{d-2}(R)$

- Isotony $A \subseteq B \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_B$
- Additivity $\mathscr{A}(R_1 \vee R_2) = \mathscr{A}(R_1) \vee \mathscr{A}(R_2)$
- \bullet Causality $\mathscr{A}(R) \subset \mathscr{A}(R')'$
- Duality $\mathcal{A}(R) = \mathcal{A}(R')'$ simply connected regions (most QFT's)

From causality

$$
\mathscr{A}_R \subset \mathscr{A}_{(R)}'
$$

$$
\mathscr{A}(R) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathscr{A}(R)'
$$

 $\mathscr{A}(R) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathscr{A}(R')'$ If duality is not satisfied for certain region $\mathscr{A}_{max}(R) \equiv (\mathscr{A}(R'))' = \mathscr{A}(R) \vee \{a\}$

Interestingly, the breaking of duality in region *R* forces a dual breaking in region *R*′

$$
\mathcal{A}_{max}(R') \equiv (\mathcal{A}(R))' = \mathcal{A}(R') \vee \{b\}
$$

It also implies that the dual sets of non-local operators are complementary

$$
[a,b]\neq 0
$$

To construct QFT nets satisfying duality requires introducing some non local operators that close an algebra that can be associated to a symmetry generalized symmetry

Simple example: Free Dirac field restricted to the algebra of bosonic operators

$$
\mathcal{F} \equiv 1, \psi(x), \cdots
$$

$$
\mathcal{O} \equiv 1, \psi(x)\psi(y), \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi^{\dagger}(y), \psi(x)\psi^{\dagger}(y), \cdots
$$

This is a Z_2 symmetry for which the fermion has charge one.

In the model $\mathscr F$ we can consider the following localized operator

$$
V_A = \int_A d^{d-1}x \, \alpha(x) \left(\psi(x) + \psi^{\dagger}(x) \right)
$$

If we have two regions we can construct the "intertwiner"

$$
\mathcal{I}_{R_1R_2} = V_{R_1}V_{R_2}^{\dagger} \quad \in \mathcal{O}
$$

With respect to region $R \equiv R_1 \vee R_2$

The additive algebra is the product of even operators in the right and in the left

It does not belong to the local algebra…

With respect to region *R*′

The spatial test function is zero in region R_2 , and one in R_1 so that

$$
\tau V_{R_1} \tau^{-1} = - V_{R_1} \qquad \tau V_{R_2} \tau^{-1} = V_{R_2}
$$

With respect to region *R*′

The twists belong to the commutant $\mathcal{O}(R)'$

Crucially, this implies that

 $[\tau, \mathcal{I}_{AB}] \neq 0$

$$
\mathcal{O}(R) \subset \mathcal{O}_{max}(R) \equiv \mathcal{O}(R) \vee \mathcal{F}_{R_1 R_2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}(R') \subset \mathcal{O}_{max}(R') \equiv \mathcal{O}(R') \vee \tau
$$

The global symmetry manifests itself in the difference between the maximal algebras and the local algebras of regions with specific topologies

Given an inclusion of algebras

 $O \subset \mathcal{F}$

A conditional expectation E is a linear map from $\mathscr F$ to $\mathscr O$ satisfying

 $E(b_1 a b_2) = b_1 E(a) b_2$ $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{O}, a \in \mathcal{F}$

Given an inclusion of algebras

 $O \subset \mathcal{F}$

A conditional expectation E is a linear map from $\mathscr F$ to $\mathscr O$ satisfying

$$
E(b_1 a b_2) = b_1 E(a) b_2 \quad b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{O}, a \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Example: Tracing out a factor is a conditional expectation

 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{A}$

Given an inclusion of algebras

 $O \subset \mathcal{F}$

A conditional expectation $|E|$ is a linear map from $\mathscr F$ to $\mathscr O$ satisfying

 $E(b_1 a b_2) = b_1 E(a) b_2$ $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{O}, a \in \mathcal{F}$

Example: Tracing out a factor is a conditional expectation $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ $E(O \otimes A) = Tr(A) O \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}}$

Another example (our case): Quotient by a symmetry group

$$
\mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g} \tau_g \mathcal{F} \tau_g^{-1} = E(\mathcal{F})
$$

Conditional expectations can be composed with states

 $\omega_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow (\omega_{\mathcal{O}} \circ E)_{\mathcal{F}}$

Conditional expectations can be composed with states

 $\omega_{\odot} \rightarrow (\omega_{\odot} \circ E)_{\mathscr{F}}$

Relative entropy: Let us remind the relative entropy definition

$$
S_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega | \phi) = Tr \omega \log \omega - Tr \omega \log \phi
$$

It can be used to define Mutual Information

$$
I_{AB} = S(\omega_{AB} | \omega_A \otimes \omega_B)
$$

Conditional expectations can be composed with states

 $\omega_{\alpha} \rightarrow (\omega_{\alpha} \circ E)_{\widetilde{\alpha}}$

Relative entropy: Let us remind the relative entropy definition

$$
S_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega | \phi) = Tr \omega \log \omega - Tr \omega \log \phi
$$

It can be used to define Mutual Information

$$
I_{AB} = S(\omega_{AB} | \omega_A \otimes \omega_B)
$$

The following key equation can be proven **[Petz, 1993]** $S_{\mathscr{F}}(\omega | \phi \circ E) = S_{\mathscr{O}}(\omega | \phi) + S_{\mathscr{F}}(\omega | \omega \circ E)$ **RE+CE**

Conditional expectations can be composed with states

$$
\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle \widehat{\mathcal{O}}} \rightarrow (\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle \widehat{\mathcal{O}}}\circ E)_{\mathscr{F}}
$$

Relative entropy: Let us remind how relative entropy is defined

$$
S_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega | \phi) = Tr \omega \log \omega - Tr \omega \log \phi
$$

It can be used to define Mutual Information

$$
I_{AB} = S(\omega_{AB} | \omega_A \otimes \omega_B)
$$

The following key equation can be proven **[Petz, 1993] RE+CE**

$$
S_{\mathscr{F}}(\omega \circ E | \phi \circ E) \stackrel{\star}{=} S_{\mathcal{O}}(\omega | \phi)
$$

$$
\star S_{\mathscr{F}}(\omega | \phi \circ E) = S_{\mathscr{O}}(\omega | \phi) + S_{\mathscr{F}}(\omega | \omega \circ E)
$$

Entanglement entropy does not properly exists in QFT

Entanglement entropy does not properly exists in QFT

Using Mutual Information to define EE in QFT introduces a non-trivial topological configuration.

In the presence of symmetries we have two choices $\mathcal{O}(R)$ $\mathcal{O}(R) \vee \mathcal{F}_{R_1R_2}$ leading to

 $S_{\mathcal{O}(R)}(\omega, \omega_{R_1} \otimes \omega_{R_2}) = I_{\mathcal{O}}(R_1, R_2)$

 $S_{\mathcal{O}(R')'}(\omega, (\omega_{R_1} \otimes \omega_{R_2}) \circ E) = I_{\mathcal{F}}(R_1, R_2)$

Entanglement entropy does not properly exists in QFT. It is just infinite.

Using Mutual Information to define EE in QFT introduces non-trivial topological configurations.

In the presence of superselection sectors we have two choices

 $\mathcal{O}(R)$ $\mathcal{O}(R) \vee \mathcal{F}_{R_1R_2}$

Leading to two relative entropies

$$
S_{\mathcal{O}(R)}(\omega, \omega_{R_1} \otimes \omega_{R_2}) = I_{\mathcal{O}}(R_1, R_2)
$$

 $S_{\mathcal{O}(R')'}(\omega, (\omega_{R_1} \otimes \omega_{R_2}) \circ E) = I_{\mathcal{F}}(R_1, R_2)$

The algebras are related by $E: \mathcal{O}(R) \vee \mathcal{I}_{R_1R_2} \to \mathcal{O}(R)$

The previous formula involving RE and CE implies

 $I_{\mathscr{F}}(R_1, R_2) - I_{\mathscr{O}}(R_1, R_2) = S_{\mathscr{F}}(\omega, \omega \circ E)$

We are led to compute

$$
I_{\mathcal{F}}(R_1, R_2) - I_{\mathcal{O}}(R_1, R_2) = S_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, \omega \circ E)
$$

Difference between both states only come from the intertwiners

$$
\mathcal{F}_{R_1R_2} \equiv \sum_i V_{R_1}^i (V_{R_2}^i)^{\dagger}
$$

$$
\omega \circ E(\mathcal{F}_{R_1R_2}) = 0
$$

We approach the computation by means of monotonicity of relative entropy. A lower bound arises by restricting to the "intertwiner algebra"

$$
I_{\mathcal{F}}(R_1, R_2) - I_{\mathcal{O}}(R_1, R_2) = S_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega, \omega \circ E) \ge S_{\mathcal{F}_{R_1R_2}}(\omega, \omega \circ E)
$$

And the higher bound?

The story repeats itself for the spherical shell region.

The story repeats itself for the spherical shell region.

We have two algebras, with or without the twist algebra

 \mathcal{O}_S $\mathcal{O}_S \vee \tau_{[g]}$

There is a conditional expectation killing the twists

 $\tilde{E}: \mathcal{O}_S \vee \tau_{[g]} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$

And an associated relative entropy

$$
S_{\mathcal{O}_S \vee \tau_{[g]}}(\omega, \omega \circ \tilde{E})
$$

For finite groups the following entropic certainty relation can be derived

$$
S_{\mathcal{O}_R \vee \mathcal{F}_{R_1 R_2}}(\omega, \omega \circ E) + S_{\mathcal{O}_S \vee \tau}(\omega, \omega \circ \tilde{E}) = \log |G|
$$

We finally find the higher bound

$$
S_{\mathcal{F}_{R_1R_2}}(\omega \mid \omega \circ E) \leq I_{\mathcal{F}}(R_1, R_2) - I_{\mathcal{O}}(R_1, R_2) \leq \log |G| - S_{\tau}(\omega \mid \omega \circ \tilde{E})
$$

Finite groups $\Delta I = \log G$ \bullet

• Lie groups
$$
\Delta I \simeq \frac{1}{2} (d-2) \mathcal{G} \log \frac{R}{\epsilon}
$$

 $\Delta I \simeq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} \log \left(\log \frac{R}{\epsilon} \right) ; d = 2$

Chiral free scalar in two dim.

Conformal, with $c = 1/2$

 x^+ null coordinate, is an operator in a line. $j(x^+) = \partial_+\phi$

The algebra of the current (or the chiral scalar) is exactly formed by the operators of the fermion algebra that are invariant under charge transformations $\psi(x) \to e^{i\alpha}\psi(x)$. So there is a $U(1)$ symmetry in the fermion such that the *orbifold*, the part of the algebra invariant under the symmetry, is the scalar.

Chiral free scalar in two dimensions

$$
j(x^+) = \partial_+ \phi
$$
 $H = \frac{1}{2} \int dx j(x)^2$, $[j(x), j(y)] = i\delta(x - y)$

Checking duality in mutual information

$$
I(I_1, I_3) = S(I_1) + S(I_3) - S(I_1 \cup I_3)
$$

$$
I(I_2, I_4) = S(I_2) + S(I_4) - S(I_2 \cup I_4)
$$

Assuming duality $S(I_1 \cup I_3) = S(I_2 \cup I_4)$ $S(R) =$ ┪ $I(I_1, I_3) = I(I_2, I_4) + S(I_1) + S(I_3) - S(I_4) - S(I_2)$ $I(\eta) = I(1 - \eta) - \frac{c}{2} \log(\frac{1 - \eta}{\eta}) \leftrightarrow U(\eta) = U(1 - \eta)$ Haag duality $1 - \eta$ $\frac{1}{3}$ log() \longleftrightarrow $U(\eta) = U(1 - \eta)$ *η*

$$
\begin{cases}\nS(R) = \frac{c}{3} \log(R) \\
I(\eta) = -\frac{c}{3} \log(1 - \eta) + U(\eta) \\
\text{for any CT}\n\end{cases}
$$

Twist and intertwines?

$$
O_{13} = \phi(x_1) - \phi(x_3) = \int_{x_1}^{x_3} dx \, \partial_x \phi(x), \quad x_1 \in I_1 \text{ and } x_3 \in I_3
$$

$$
O_{13} \in O \qquad O_{13} \in (O_2 \cup O_3)' \qquad O_{13} \not\in O_1 \cup O_3
$$

a ar c

 $[O_{13}, O_{24}] = i$, $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(I_1I_3))' = (\mathcal{A}(I_1) \vee \mathcal{A}(I_3))' = \mathcal{A}(I_2) \vee \mathcal{A}(I_4) \vee O_{24} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(I_2I_4) \vee O_{24}$ $({\cal A}_{\rm add}(I_2I_4))' = ({\cal A}(I_2) \vee {\cal A}(I_4))' = {\cal A}(I_1) \vee {\cal A}(I_3) \vee O_{13} = {\cal A}_{\rm add}(I_1I_3) \vee O_{13}.$

 \mathscr{F} : Chiral fermion with $c = 1/2$

 $\mathcal O$: Chiral scalar is a subalgebra of the chiral fermion generated by the current

$$
j(x) = \psi^{\dagger} \psi \longrightarrow j(x^{+}) = \partial_{+} \phi
$$

Conclusion

- Theories based on subsets of local operators invariant under some global symmetry lead to a Haag duality/additivity violation
- Why? Existence of twists and intertwiners / generalized symmetry
- Assignation of algebra to a region is Non unique
- Novel topological contributions to MI

Comment:

Local symmetries give rise to the same structure: violation of additivity/duality, existence of non locally generated operators, wilson and ´t Hooft loops. Solution to the mismatch of the Maxwell anomaly

Thanks!

