Input from Early Career Researchers

European Strategy for Particle Physics - Swedish Town Hall Meeting 9th of January 2025

Christina Dimitriadi, <u>Erik Wallin</u>, Magdalena Vande Voorde

Introduction

- A discussion session of with us Early Career Researchers (ECRs) was organized on <u>December</u>
 10th
 - Discussion about ECR-specific questions, but also simply about what we want to do.
- 6 ECRs joined, different career levels, from Lund, KTH, Stockholm, and Uppsala.
 - Almost all with some involvement in future collider work either in their research or through ECFA ECR activities for future colliders
 - With this sample size, we clearly do not represent the full Swedish ECR community.
- We discussed some of the recommended topics from ECFA's guidelines, but also more broadly.

We will have another meeting soon! Date and time TBA.

Which is the preferred next flagship project at CERN?

- A majority favor the FCC
 - Mainly discussed FCC-ee, but the full integrated program is interesting
- In general, the most important elements for us to consider is:
 - Convincing physics potential
 - **Timing** and the large time gap before the next collider starts
 - The worry of the participants about the time gap varied a bit
 - Some are more open to a longer R&D period
 - "We can surely hone our analysis skills elsewhere in the meanwhile."
 - Sustainability
- Most of us think CERN should proceed with FCC even if other options start construction, CPEC, ILC etc.
 - If CEPC, maybe go straight to FCC-hh? (Is that possible?)
 - Impression of the CEPC as not being an inclusive and global project. Limited interest in working in China, far away, political etc.
 - No clear expressed interest in the linear collider proposals.

Diverse physics program beyond colliders

- All agree that a diverse physics program beyond colliders is very important!
- The European non-collider projects and their physics prospects should be more emphasized in the ESPPU
 - Clearly there is even potential for experiments in Sweden
 - Important to get a full picture of the European particle physics community
- In general, even if there some worry that there will be less funding for non-collider projects if CERN goes ahead with FCC, most still support a flagship project at CERN.

Keeping and growing the large community

- Ideally, we want to keep and grow the large particle physics community
 - Support FCC with 4 interaction points (not 2)
 - Keeps the big LHC community and room for a diverse detector program
 - Clearly the best option for CERN
- The FCC would put us at the forefront of particle physics, but is it enough to keep the excitement for particle physics?
 - Disagreement whether the Higgs precision measurements and the rest of the physics program for an e+e- machine is enough or not
 - "Not only about collecting more digits to the precision of each parameter but fully exploit Higgs connection to the open physics questions and BSM models"
 - How to make the best of the R&D in hardware and software?
 - "With FCC-ee being the sort of 'safe' collider option, will it produce the most interesting (and societally beneficial) R&D?"

Engaging with the general public and decision makers

- Concern that FCC will be hard to sell to the decision makers.
 - Are funding agencies and the general public as excited about this as we are?
 - Important to highlight the return from particle physics in general, and CERN specifically, to the society
 - Design a marketing campaign aimed at decision makers?
 - Can we have a common message from the HEP community?
 - Balance: Also important to highlight the smaller non-collider experiments when promoting

Career prospects

- We want to highlight career prospects, long-term commitment, and ECR leadership questions in the national input
 - Specifically, job security and mobility challenges are emphasized
 - Easier to get people to engage in long-term projects
 - Strengthen the collaboration with industry
 - Also to benefit us in HEP
 - Sweden specific try industrial doctoral student projects (the student is partly connected to a project at the university and then partly in industry)?
 - Make transitioning into collider experiments easier, value years spent in industry or other neighboring fields
 - "Where are the engineers?" concerned data analyst

ECR involvement

- For future strategy updates appoint an official ECR position in the ESG and/or PPG.
 - To promote the ECR perspective
 - Always important but even more so now when these long-term projects are being discussed
 - Hopefully increase transparency of the decision-making and engage more ECRs in the process

Sustainability and environmental impact

- Environmental concerns important for the majority of the ECRs!
 - How much are we willing to "sacrifice" for the environment?
 - Even *if* not necessarily a collider showstopper, it should be thoroughly considered when building and running.
 - In terms of personal sacrifices: how much are we willing to cut down on travelling? And similar questions.
 - No specific concrete points mentioned during the discussion. To be further discussed in the future after the inputs from sustainability working groups, the different collaborations, etc.

The ECR white paper

A larger ECR white paper is being compiled, with contributions from all the ECFA/CERN member states, on the same time scale as the national inputs. Look forward to that for a broader and more detailed perspective on ECR attitudes about the strategy update

ECRs, **please respond to the survey** that is currently being circulated: https://limesurvey.web.cern.ch/174656?lang=en

Also, register for and attend the reveal of the first white paper draft on February 20!

Summary

Good 2 hour discussion on December 10th. Consensus about very few things, and we didn't produce an official statement together (yet?), but some takeaways were:

- Mostly pro-FCC, concerns and limited interest in alternative colliders. The choice or non-choice of collider should especially consider:
 - Convincing physics potential
 - Timing and the large time gap before the next collider starts
 - Sustainability and environmental impact
- Defend non-collider physics in the national input
- Questions about how to convince funding agencies and the public about this.
- Highlight career prospects, long-term commitment, and ECR leadership questions in the national input
- Propose greater ECR involvement and transparency in future ESSPUs
- Environmental and sustainability angle important

Thank you for your attention!