Disordering a permutation symmetric system: revivals, thermalisation and chaos

Manju C

Indian Institute of Technology, Palakkad



"Long range interactions and dynamics in complex quantum systems", Nordita

Contents

Introduction

- Disorder in kicked all-to-all interacting spin chain
- 3 Linear entropy
- Overlap with symmetric basis
- 5 Effective dimension $D_{\rm eff}$
- 6 Pseudo phase space
- O Spectral Statistics
- 8 Conclusion

э



2

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン



æ



➤ To look at the interplay of disorder and chaos

э



 PhysRevE.62.6366, Emergence of quantum chaos in the quantum computer core and how to manage it, Georgeot, B. and Shepelyansky, D. L, 2000.
 Transmon platform for quantum computing challenged by chaotic fluctuations, Berke etal., Nature

communications,2022.

The Hamiltonian of the system is given by:

$$H = \frac{k}{2N\tau} \sum_{\ell < \ell'=1}^{N} (1 + \epsilon_{ll'}) \sigma_{\ell}^x \sigma_{\ell'}^x + \frac{p}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \sigma_{\ell}^y \delta(t - n\tau),$$
(1)

• $k \Rightarrow$ strength of interaction

The Hamiltonian of the system is given by:

$$H = \frac{k}{2N\tau} \sum_{\ell < \ell'=1}^{N} (1 + \epsilon_{ll'}) \sigma_{\ell}^x \sigma_{\ell'}^x + \frac{p}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \sigma_{\ell}^y \delta(t - n\tau),$$
(1)

- $k \Rightarrow$ strength of interaction
- $\tau \Rightarrow$ is the period of delta kicks

The Hamiltonian of the system is given by:

$$H = \frac{k}{2N\tau} \sum_{\ell < \ell' = 1}^{N} (1 + \epsilon_{ll'}) \sigma_{\ell}^{x} \sigma_{\ell'}^{x} + \frac{p}{2} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell = 1}^{N} \sigma_{\ell}^{y} \delta(t - n\tau),$$
(1)

- $k \Rightarrow$ strength of interaction
- $\tau \Rightarrow$ is the period of delta kicks
- ϵ is a random number from a Normal distribution with standard deviation w.

The Hamiltonian of the system is given by:

$$H = \frac{k}{2N\tau} \sum_{\ell < \ell' = 1}^{N} (1 + \epsilon_{ll'}) \sigma_{\ell}^{x} \sigma_{\ell'}^{x} + \frac{p}{2} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell = 1}^{N} \sigma_{\ell}^{y} \delta(t - n\tau),$$
(1)

- $k \Rightarrow$ strength of interaction
- $\tau \Rightarrow$ is the period of delta kicks
- ϵ is a random number from a Normal distribution with standard deviation w.
- For $\epsilon_{ll'} = 0$,
- $[J^2,J_i]=0$, where $J_{\alpha}=\sum_{i=1}^N rac{\sigma_{\alpha_i}}{2}$, $(\alpha=x,y,z)$, J^2 is a constant of motion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Kicked top - Classical limit

Classical Phase Space



Figure 1: ¹ The classical phase space for different chaos parameter k. (a). k = 1 predominantly regular region,(b). k = 3 mixed phase space and (c). k = 6 fully chaotic.

¹Shohini Ghose et al. "Chaos, entanglement, and decoherence in the quantum kicked top". Physical Review A 78.4 (2008), p. 042318.

> The initial state of our multi qubit system :

$$|\theta\phi\rangle^{\otimes N} = \left(\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|0\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|1\rangle\right)^{\otimes N}$$
 (2)

~ 37

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・

2

The initial state of our multi qubit system :

$$|\theta\phi\rangle^{\otimes N} = \left(\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|0\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|1\rangle\right)^{\otimes N}$$
 (2)

Upon evolution $(\epsilon_{ll'}=0)$

Dynamics resides in the permutation symmetric subspace of dimension N+1

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

~ 37

 \rightarrow



Permutation symmetry is broken Dynamics traverse the full Hilbert space (FHS)

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

 \rightarrow

2

$$\label{eq:constraint} \bullet \ \epsilon_{ll'} \neq 0 \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Permutation} & \text{Dynamics traverse} \\ \text{symmetry is} & \rightarrow & \text{the full Hilbert} \\ \text{broken} & \text{space (FHS)} \end{array}$$

> The Floquet operator given by:

$$U' = \exp(-\frac{ik}{2N} \sum_{l < l'=1}^{N} (1 + \epsilon_{ll'}) \sigma_l^x \sigma_{l'}^x) \quad \exp(-\frac{ip}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \sigma_l^y)$$
(3)

2

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Growth of linear entropy

• The single qubit linear entropy:

$$S_1 = 1 - Tr(\rho_1^2)$$
 (4)

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

2

Growth of linear entropy

• The single qubit linear entropy:

$$S_1 = 1 - Tr(\rho_1^2) \tag{4}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• For kicked top, in terms of collective angular momentum operators:

$$S_1(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\langle J_x(n) \rangle^2 + \langle J_y(n) \rangle^2 + \langle J_z(n) \rangle^2}{j^2} \right) \right], \tag{5}$$

Disorder vs No Disorder

► Disorder free case

$$S = S_0(1 - e^{-bn^2})$$



2

Disorder vs No Disorder

Disorder free case

$$S = S_0 (1 - e^{-bn^2})$$

Disordered case

$$S = S_0'(1 - e^{-Dn}e^{-cn^2})$$



Figure 2: Plots showing the growth of linear entropy $S_1(n)$ for the disorder free and disordered cases respectively at k = 1.

Disordered Case

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Classical Dynamics of Near-Integrable Systems

Disorder Free Case

 $\theta(t) = \theta(0) + \omega(I) t$

For an observable $f(\theta)$, the average $\langle f \rangle(t)$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_k e^{-\frac{k^2 \sigma^2}{2} (\omega'(I_0)^2 t^2 + 1)} e^{-ik\omega(I_0)t}$$

Classical Dynamics of Near-Integrable Systems

Disorder Free Case

$\theta(t) = \theta(0) + \omega(I) t$

$$\theta(t) = \theta(0) + \omega(I) t + \eta(t)$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 三日

 $P(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi Dt}} e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2Dt}}$

For an observable $f(\theta)$, the average $\langle f \rangle(t)$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_k e^{-\frac{k^2 \sigma^2}{2} (\omega'(I_0)^2 t^2 + 1)} e^{-ik\omega(I_0)t}$$

 $S_1(n)$ has a classical limit,

$$S_{cl}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left[\langle X_n \rangle^2 + \langle Y_n \rangle^2 + \langle Z_n \rangle^2 \right] \right)$$

where X, Y, Z are the classical variables.

Classical Dynamics of Near-Integrable Systems

Disorder Free Case

$$\theta(t) = \theta(0) + \omega(I) t$$

Disordered Case

$$\theta(t) = \theta(0) + \omega(I) t + \eta(t)$$

For an observable $f(\theta)$, the average $\langle f \rangle(t)$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_k e^{-\frac{k^2 \sigma^2}{2} (\omega'(I_0)^2 t^2 + 1)} e^{-ik\omega(I_0)t}$$

 $S_1(n)$ has a classical limit,

$$S_{cl}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left[\langle X_n \rangle^2 + \langle Y_n \rangle^2 + \langle Z_n \rangle^2 \right] \right)$$

where X, Y, Z are the classical variables.

$$P(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi Dt}} e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2Dt}}$$

The average $\langle f \rangle(t)$

$$=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_k e^{-ik\omega(I_0)t} e^{-\frac{k^2\sigma^2}{2}(1+\omega'(I_0)^2t^2)}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$e^{-\frac{k^2Dt}{2}}$$

э



Figure 3: Plot showing disorder averaged S_1 with respect to time n for N = 14 at k = 1 for different disorder strengths w.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Figure 3: Plot showing disorder averaged S_1 with respect to time n for N = 14 at k = 1 for different disorder strengths w.

Increase in Disorder \rightarrow Absence of Revivals

э

Overlap with permutation symmetric basis

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{2^{2j}}^{n'}\rangle &= a_0 \left| 000 \right\rangle + a_1' \left| 001 \right\rangle + a_1'' \left| 010 \right\rangle + a_2' \left| 011 \right\rangle + a_1''' \left| 100 \right\rangle + a_2'' \left| 101 \right\rangle + a_2''' \left| 110 \right\rangle + a_3'' \left| 111 \right\rangle \end{split}$$

• The permutation symmetric basis set:

$$\begin{split} |000\rangle &= |0_3\rangle \\ \frac{|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} &= |1_3\rangle \\ \frac{|011\rangle + |110\rangle + |101\rangle}{\sqrt{3}} &= |2_3\rangle \\ |111\rangle &= |3_3\rangle \end{split}$$

 $\bullet\,$ The overlap of $|\Psi_{2^{2j}}^{n'}\rangle$ onto each of the permutation symmetric basis is given by:

$$\langle 0_3 | \Psi_{2^{2j}}^{n'} \rangle = a_0 = \alpha_1$$

$$\langle 1_3 | \Psi_{2^{2j}}^{n'} \rangle = \frac{a_1' + a_1'' + a_1'''}{\sqrt{3}} = \alpha_2$$

$$\langle 2_3 | \Psi_{2^{2j}}^{n'} \rangle = \frac{a_2' + a_2'' + a_2''}{\sqrt{3}} = \alpha_3$$

$$\langle 3_3 | \Psi_{2^{2j}}^{n'} \rangle = a_3 = \alpha_4$$

The sum of the absolute value of the square of the overlap given by:

$$\chi = |\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 + |\alpha_3|^2 + |\alpha_4|^2 \tag{6}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

2





Figure 4: The plot of overlap χ with respect to disorder strength w for different values of k for N = 14.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Effective dimension $\mathsf{D}_{\rm eff}$

• Initial state in terms of Floquet eigenstates:

$$\left. \theta \phi \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{N}} c_{i} \left| \phi_{i} \right\rangle$$
(7)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

2

Effective dimension $\mathsf{D}_{\rm eff}$

• Initial state in terms of Floquet eigenstates:

$$|\theta\phi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{N}} c_{i} |\phi_{i}\rangle \tag{7}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• Obtain $c_i \rightarrow$ overlap of the initial state with the Floquet eigen states $|\phi_i\rangle$.

æ

Effective dimension $\mathsf{D}_{\rm eff}$

• Initial state in terms of Floquet eigenstates:

$$|\theta\phi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{N}} c_{i} |\phi_{i}\rangle \tag{7}$$

- Obtain $c_i \rightarrow$ overlap of the initial state with the Floquet eigen states $|\phi_i\rangle$.
- D_{eff} is that value of *i* for which $\sum_{i=1}^{D_{\text{eff}}} |c_i|^2 = 0.999$, when the coefficients $c'_i s$ are arranged in decreasing order.

Disorder free case : $D_{\rm eff} \sim N + 1$ For large disorder : $D_{\rm eff} \sim 2^N$



Figure 5: The plot of D_{eff} with respect to disorder strength w for different values of k for N = 10 qubits.

Long time averaged linear entropy



Figure 6: The plot of $\overline{\langle S_1 \rangle}_w$ with respect to disorder strength w for different values of k for N = 14 and Q = 1 qubits.

Pseudo Phase space



With increase in disorder, different k values exhibit the same behaviour

Pseudo Phase space



With increase in disorder, different k values exhibit the same behaviour

$p = 4\pi/11$



Figure 8: D_{eff} for k = 0.5 and k = 6 that shows a transition from N + 1 to 2^N as the strength of disorder w is increased. The system size N = 10 qubits and the initial state is $|\theta, \phi\rangle = |2.25, 1.1\rangle$.

э

Spectral Statistics



2

Spectral Statistics



Figure 9: The spacing statistics for N = 12 at k = 0.5 for (a) small disorder w = 0.5 and (b) large disorder w = 8.0. The dashed lines shows the spacing statistics for the Poisson and Wigner Dyson (COE) distribution.

> With increase in disorder system enters a chaotic phase

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

✓ Breaking of permutation symmetry with disorder.

2

- ✓ Breaking of permutation symmetry with disorder.
- $\checkmark\,$ Dynamics from PSS to FHS

2

- ✓ Breaking of permutation symmetry with disorder.
- ✓ Dynamics from PSS to FHS
- ✓ Effect of disorder on entanglement growth.

э

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Conclusion

- ✓ Breaking of permutation symmetry with disorder.
- ✓ Dynamics from PSS to FHS
- ✓ Effect of disorder on entanglement growth.
- ✓ Dynamics taken out of symmetric subspace:
 - \Rightarrow Overlap χ
 - \Rightarrow Effective dimension $D_{\rm eff}$

э

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Conclusion

- ✓ Breaking of permutation symmetry with disorder.
- ✓ Dynamics from PSS to FHS
- ✓ Effect of disorder on entanglement growth.
- ✓ Dynamics taken out of symmetric subspace:
 - x Overlap χ
 - \Leftrightarrow Effective dimension $D_{\rm eff}$
- ✓ Saturation value of linear entropy to RMT values in full Hilbert space.

Conclusion

- ✓ Breaking of permutation symmetry with disorder.
- ✓ Dynamics from PSS to FHS
- ✓ Effect of disorder on entanglement growth.
- ✓ Dynamics taken out of symmetric subspace:
 - \Leftrightarrow Overlap χ
 - \Leftrightarrow Effective dimension $D_{\rm eff}$
- ✓ Saturation value of linear entropy to RMT values in full Hilbert space.
- Increase in disorder drives the system into a chaotic phase, as reflected in the spectral statistics.

C.Manju, U. Divakaran, A. Lakshminarayan, arXiv:2505.24453 (2025)

(日)

Acknowledgements

- 🕸 Dr. Uma Divakaran (Supervisor), IIT Palakkad
- Prof. Arul Lakshminarayan (IIT Madras), our collaborator for his valuable suggestions.
- ☆ HPC facility Chandra at IIT Palakkad.

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Thankyou!

2



Figure 10: The growth and saturation of linear entropy both for the quantum case and for the classical case.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Kicked Top Model

The Hamiltonian of quantum kicked top is given by:

$$H = \frac{\hbar k}{2j\tau} J_x^2 + \hbar p J_y \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - n\tau)$$
(9)

where J_x , J_y , J_z are the angular momentum operators obeying $[J_i, J_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}J_k$.

- $k \Rightarrow$ strength of the twist, chaos parameter
- $\bullet \ p \Rightarrow$ angle of turn per kick
- $\tau \Rightarrow$ is the time between the kicks
- Since $[J^2, J_i] = 0$, square of the angular momentum operator commutes with the Hamiltonian.
- Thus $J^2 = j(j+1)\hbar^2$ is a constant of motion. We are restricted to 2j+1 dimensional Hilbert space.



2

ヘロン 人間 とくほど 人間 とう

$$\rho(\theta, I, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(\theta + 2\pi l)^2 / (2\sigma^2)} e^{-(I - I_0)^2 / (2\sigma^2)},$$
(10)

 $\rho(\theta,I,0)$ be the initial ensemble, which evolves to $\rho(\theta,I,t)=\rho(\theta-\omega(I)t,I,0)$ For an observable $f(\theta),$

$$\langle f \rangle(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\theta) \rho(\theta, I, t) \, d\theta \, dI.$$

Taylor expanding $\omega(I)=\omega(I_0)+\omega'(I_0)(I-I_0)$ to first order, and using Fourier series, we get:

$$\langle f \rangle(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_k e^{-\frac{k^2 \sigma^2}{2} (\omega'(I_0)^2 t^2 + 1)} e^{-ik\omega(I_0)t}.$$
 (11)

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン 三日



Figure 11: (a): $\overline{\langle J^2 \rangle}_w / N^{\zeta/\nu}$ where (ζ and ν are the critical exponents) as a function of disorder strength w at $k = 1, p = \pi/2$ and initial state $|2.25, 1.1\rangle$ for different system sizes. These curves cross each other at $w = w_c \approx 2.11$. (b): The collapse of the data with $\zeta = 0.57$ and $\nu = 0.52$. (c): $\operatorname{var}(J^2)$ with respect to disorder strength w for k = 1 that shows a peak around 2.0.

The Floquet operator describing unitary evolution one kick to the next kick is given by:

$$U = e^{\frac{-ik}{2j}J_x^2} e^{-ipJ_y}$$
(12)

From Heisenberg evolution equations:

$$\langle J_i \rangle_{n+1} = \langle U^{\dagger} J_i U \rangle_n$$
 (13)

where U is the Floquet operator.

 $j \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow$ classical equations of motion for $p = \pi/2$ is given by:

$$X_{n+1} = Z_n$$

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n \cos(kZ_n) + X_n \sin(kZ_n)$$

$$Z_{n+1} = -X_n \cos(kZ_n) + Y_n \sin(kZ_n)$$
(14)

We parametrize these equations with $\phi = \tan^{-1}(\frac{Y}{X})$ and $\theta = \cos^{-1}(Z)$

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</p>

Classical Phase space



Figure 12: ² The classical phase space for different chaos parameter k. (a). k = 1 predominantly regular region,(b). k = 3 mixed phase space and (c). k = 6 fully chaotic.



Long time saturation for large disorder

Using Random matrix theory (RMT): To find $\langle J^2 \rangle$: If $|\phi_j \rangle$ are the eigenvectors of J_z , we have

$$J_z |\phi_j\rangle = \lambda_j |\phi_j\rangle, \qquad (15)$$

$$\langle J_z \rangle = \langle \psi | J_z | \psi \rangle = \sum_j \lambda_j | \langle \psi | \phi_j \rangle |^2$$
 (16)

$$\langle J_z \rangle_{RMT} = \sum_j \lambda_j \overline{|\langle \psi | \phi_j \rangle|^2}^{RMT}$$
 (17)

$$= \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_j \lambda_j \tag{18}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{N}} \operatorname{Tr} J_{z} = \frac{1}{2^{N}} 2^{N-1} \operatorname{Tr} \sum_{i} \frac{\sigma_{i}^{z}}{2}$$
(19)

$$\left\langle J_z^2 \right\rangle_{RMT} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \sum_i \frac{\sigma_i^{z^2}}{4} = \frac{N}{4}$$
 (20)

 $\text{Similarly } \left\langle J_x^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \left\langle J_y^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = N/4 \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and hence } \left\langle J^2 \right\rangle_{\mathsf{RMT}} = \frac{3N/4}{2} \text{, and henc$



Figure 13: Left: The main figure shows the data corresponding to different system sizes for k = 2 crossing each other at $w = w_c \sim 0.41$ whereas the inset shows the collapse of the data with $\nu = 0.40$ and $\zeta = 0.49$. Right: Same as (a) but for k = 4 with $w = w_c \sim 0.17$, $\nu = 0.3$ and $\zeta = 0.32$.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト