

Non-standard decays of vectorlike quarks

Tanumoy Mandal

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram

Seminar at Stockholm University - 26 May, 2025

Where I belong



Discussion based on

I was interested in VECTORLIKE QUARKS from my PhD days!

For their exotic decays, the credit goes to SHIFE



- 1. A roadmap to explore the vector-like guarks decaying to a new (pseudo)scalar A. Bhardwai. TM. S. Mitra. C. Neerai Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 9, 095014 [arXiv:2203.13753]
- 2. Discovery prospects of a vectorlike top partner decaying to a singlet boson A. Bhardwai, K. Bhide, TM. S. Mitra, C. Neerai Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 7, 075024 [arXiv:2204.09005]
- 3. Machine-learning enhanced search for a vectorlike singlet B guark decaying to a singlet scalar or pseudoscalar J. Bardhan, TM, S. Mitra, C. Neeraj Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 11, 115001 [arXiv:2212.02442]
- 4. Machine learning tagged boosted dark photon: A signature of fermionic portal matter at the LHC

S. Verma, S. Biswas, TM, S. Mitra Under review in PRD [arXiv:2410.06925]

5. Tagging fully hadronic exotic decays of the vectorlike quark using a graph neural network J. Bardhan, TM. S. Mitra, C. Neerai, M. Rawat Submitted to PRD [arXiv:2505.07769]

What is vectorlike quark?

A fermion is vectorlike if its left- and right-handed chiralities belong to the same representation of the symmetry group.

SM quarks are vectorlike under $SU(3)_C$ but are chiral under $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$.

• Charged current Lagrangian in SM: $\mathscr{L} \supset \frac{g_w}{\sqrt{2}} j^\mu W_\mu$

$$\begin{split} & \text{SM Chiral fermions (V-A form)} \\ & j_L^\mu = \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu \psi_L'; \qquad J_R^\mu = 0 \\ & j^\mu = j_L^\mu + j_R^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Vectorlike fermions (V form)} \\ j_{L}^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{L}^{\prime}; \quad J_{R}^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{R}^{\prime} \\ j^{\mu} = j_{L}^{\mu} + j_{R}^{\mu} = \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi \end{array}$

- Appelquist-Carazzone decoupling theorem is violated for the chiral fourth-generation quarks. They heavily contribute to the Higgs production/decay. Hence, ruled out.
- Vectorlike fermions decouple easily from the SM in the high-mass. A ~ TeV VLQ is allowed by the current data.

Quantum numbers of VLQs

VLQs transform as triplets under SU(3) and whose left- and righthanded components have the same electroweak quantum numbers.

They can transform as singlets, doublets or triplets under the weak $SU(2)_L$.

	SM quarks	Singlets	Doublets	Triplets	
	$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}$	(U) (D)	$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U \\ D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ U \\ D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U \\ D \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$	
$SU(2)_L$	$q_L = 2$ $q_R = 1$	1	2	3	
$U(1)_Y$	$q_L = 1/6$ $u_R = 2/3$ $d_R = -1/3$	2/3 - 1/3	7/6 $1/6$ $-5/6$	2/3 - 1/3	
\mathcal{L}_Y	$-y^i_uar{q}^i_LH^cu^i_R onumber \ -y^i_dar{q}^i_LV^{i,j}_{CKM}Hd^j_R$	$-\lambda_u^i \bar{q}_L^i H^c U_R \ -\lambda_d^i \bar{q}_L^i H D_R$	$\begin{array}{l} -\lambda_u^i \psi_L H^{(c)} u_R^i \\ -\lambda_d^i \psi_L H^{(c)} d_R^i \end{array}$	$-\lambda_i \bar{q}_L^i \tau^a H^{(c)} \psi_R^a$	
\mathcal{L}_m	not allowed		$-Mar\psi\psi$		

Okada, Panizzi '12

Why we love VLQs?

- TeV-scale VLQs are an essential ingredient of many new physics models - extra-dimension, composite Higgs, GUT etc.
- M QQ gauge invariant bare mass term is allowed; Higgs mechanism is not required.
- Vectorlike fermions do not contribute to gauge anomalies.
- Unique signatures: unlike chiral quarks, they induce FCNC decays. Branching rations are comparable.
 - $T \rightarrow bW$, tZ, th
 - $B \rightarrow tW, bZ, bh$

Not detected at LHC yet in the "standard" decay modes—mass limits are as high as $\approx 1.6~\text{TeV}$

 Possibly they are decaying dominantly to non-standard decay modes - VLQ \rightarrow SM-Q + BSM (scalar or vector)

Recent interest in literature as well: See "Vectorlike quarks: Status and new directions at the LHC (SciPost Phys. Core 7 (2024) 079)"

A theory motivation: VLQ in WED

- A warped-space ED (RS model) proposed as a solution to the gauge hierarchy problem of the SM
 Randall, Sundrum '99
- Original RS setup: an extra spatial dimension is confined between two branes (TeV and Planck) and only gravity can propagate into the bulk
 - Gauge hierarchy problem can be solved
 - Flavor hierarchy problems can't be addressed
- Allowing SM gauge fields in the bulk could possibly yield unification of gauge couplings
 Randall, Schwartz '01
- Allowing SM fermions to propagate in the bulk flavor hierarchy problems can be addressed
- We have to check experimental constraints such as Peskin-Takauchi parameters (*S* and *T*) and $Z\bar{b}_Lb_L$ coupling

Original Randall-Sundrum model

■ One compact spatial dimension on S₁/Z₂. Warped 5D metric in RS

$$ds^2 = e^{-2\kappa|\phi|} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - d\phi^2$$

Curvature scale, $\kappa/M_{\text{Planck}} \lesssim 0.1$

Davoudiasl, Hewett, Rizzo '00

Non-trivial metric induces TeV scale from Planck scale



Geometry of extra dimension solves hierarchy

$$\Lambda_{\rm TeV} \sim M_{\rm Planck} e^{-\kappa L}$$
 with $\kappa L \sim 35$

Fermions in the bulk

5D warped space fermionic action

$$S = \int d^4x \int_0^{\pi R} dy \sqrt{-G} \left[\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \left(i \Gamma^M (\partial_M + \omega_M) - ck \right) \psi \right]$$

• EOM of bulk fermions using $\delta S = 0$

$$\left[-e^{2ky}\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}+e^{ky}\partial_{5}\left(e^{-ky}\partial_{5}\right)-c(c\pm1)k^{2}\right]\left(e^{-2ky}\Psi_{L/R}(x,y)\right)=0$$

$$\Psi_{L/R} = \frac{e^{2ky}}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \sum_{n} \psi_{L/R}^{(n)}(x) f^{(n)}(y)$$

 Fermionic profiles can be obtained from the following 2nd order diff. equations

$$\left[\left[\partial_5^2 - k \partial_5 - (c(c \pm 1)k^2 - e^{2ky}m_n^2) \right] f^{(n)}(y) = 0 \right]$$

...continued

Fermionic profiles

$$f_{\Psi_L}^{(0)}(y) = \sqrt{\frac{(1-2c)k\pi R}{e^{(1-2c)k\pi R}-1}} e^{-cky}$$
$$f_{\Psi_L}^{(n)}(y) = \frac{e^{ky/2}}{N_n} \left[J_\alpha \left(\frac{m_n}{k} e^{ky}\right) + b_\alpha(m_n) Y_\alpha \left(\frac{m_n}{k} e^{ky}\right) \right]$$

where $\alpha = |c+1/2|$; J_{α} and Y_{α} are the Bessel functions of order α of first and second kind respectively

Boundary conditions to solve the diff. eq. of fermionic profiles

Dirichlet (-) BC :
$$f^{(n)}(y)|_{y=\text{brane}} = 0$$

- Neumann (+) BC : $(\partial_y \pm ck) f_{L/R}^{(n)}(y)|_{y=\text{brane}} = 0$
- (-,+) BCs: no zero mode but light first KK mode possible
- (+,+) BCs: zero mode but first KK mode heavier than M_{KK}

First Kaluza-Klein excitation



Fermions with (-,+) BCs could be light

Interesting for phenomenology at the LHC

Bulk gauge group

- Bulk group: $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ (SM gauge bosons in bulk, SM fermions, *H* on TeV brane)
 - T parameter is not protected (EW radiative corrections)
 - S parameter is enhanced
 - $M_{KK} \gtrsim 10$ TeV introduces little hierarchy
- Enhanced bulk group: SU(2)_L ⊗ SU(2)_R ⊗ U(1)_X (only H on TeV brane)
 - Offers a custodial symmetry: T parameter is protected
 - Correction to the *T* parameter satisfies EWPT data even for $M_{KK} \gtrsim 3$ TeV but $Z\bar{b}_L b_L$ coupling is in conflict with data
- To protect $Z\bar{b}_L b_L$ coupling:
 - 3rd gen. quarks in bidoublet (2,2)_{2/3} representation
 - **Z**₂ symmetry interchanges $SU(2)_L \leftrightarrow SU(2)_R$

Csaki, Erlich, Terning '02

Agashe et. al. '06

Agashe et. al. '03

Quark representations

Bulk group: $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_X$

A simple representation

$$Q_L \equiv (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{1/6} = (t_L, b_L)$$
$$Q_{t_P} \equiv (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{1/6} = (t_B, \mathbf{b}')$$

 $Q_{b_R} \equiv (1,2)_{1/6} = (t',b_R)$

T parameter is protected but $Z\bar{b}_L b_L$ coupling is shifted

To protect
$$Z\bar{b}_L b_L$$
: $Q_L \equiv (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{2/3} = \begin{pmatrix} t_L & \chi \\ b_L & t' \end{pmatrix}$

To write an invariant top Yukawa - two possible representations for t_R

$$\mathbf{Q}_{t_R} \equiv (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{2/3} \equiv t_R$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_{t_R} \equiv (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{2/3} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{2/3} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi' \\ t_R \\ b' \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \chi'' \\ t'' \\ b'' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H} \text{Higgs is in } (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0 : \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0^* & \phi^+ \\ -\phi^- & \phi_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Redundant parameter

A singlet VLQ F'

$$\mathscr{L} \supset -\left\{\tilde{\lambda}_{q}\left(\bar{Q}_{L}H_{F}\right)q_{R}+\omega_{F}\left(\bar{Q}_{L}H_{F}\right)F_{R}'+\underline{\tilde{\omega}_{F}}m_{F}\overline{F}_{L}'q_{R}+M_{F}\overline{F}_{L}'F_{R}'+\mathrm{h.}c.\right\}$$

After EWSB, the above terms in a matrix form

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}} = -\left(\bar{q}_L \ \bar{F}'_L
ight) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\lambda}_q rac{v}{\sqrt{2}} & \omega_F rac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \\ rac{\omega_F m_F}{\omega_F m_F} & m_F \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_R \\ F'_R \end{pmatrix} + h.c.$$

The red-colored term is redundant. Can be removed by the following field redefinition

$$F_L^\prime o F_L, \quad F_R^\prime o F_R - rac{ ilde{\omega}_F m_F}{M_F} q_R$$

After the above transformation, the Lagrangian in the un-primed basis

$$\mathscr{L} \supset -\left\{\lambda_{q}\left(\bar{Q}_{L}H_{F}\right)q_{R}+\omega_{F}\left(\bar{Q}_{L}H_{F}\right)F_{R}+M_{F}\bar{F}_{L}F_{R}+\mathrm{h.c.}\right\}$$

The redefined Yukawa coupling is : $\lambda_q = \tilde{\lambda}_q - \omega_F \tilde{\omega}_F \frac{m_F}{M_F}$

VLQ \leftrightarrow SM-Q mixing: singlet T

After EWSB, mass terms (for t, T) in the SM + Singlet T model can be written as:

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}} = - \left(\overline{t}_L \ \overline{T}_L \right) \begin{pmatrix} m_t & y_t T rac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & m_T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_R \\ T_R \end{pmatrix} + h.c.$$

• Weak eigenstates \rightarrow Mass eigenstates = bi-orthogonal rotation.

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{L/R} \\ T_{L/R} \end{pmatrix} = U_{L/R} \begin{pmatrix} t_{1\,L/R} \\ t_{2\,L/R} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{L/R} & -\sin \theta_{L/R} \\ \sin \theta_{L/R} & \cos \theta_{L/R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_{1\,L/R} \\ t_{2\,L/R} \end{pmatrix}$$

 t_1 , t_2 are the physical SM top quark and T VLQ respectively.

These mixing matrices can be determined by:

$$O_L^T \mathscr{M} O_R = \mathscr{M}_{diag}$$

Eigenvalues of \mathcal{M} is the physical top (m_{t_1}) and T VLQ mass (M_{t_2}) , $m_{t_1} < M_{t_2}$

Mixing parameters

We can express the left and right mixing angles as

$$\begin{aligned} \tan\left(2\theta_{F_L}\right) &= \frac{2\left(m_q\,\mu_{F2} + M_F\,\mu_{F1}\right)}{\left(m_q^2 + \mu_{F1}^2\right) - \left(M_F^2 + \mu_{F2}^2\right)} \\ \tan\left(2\theta_{F_R}\right) &= \frac{2\left(m_q\,\mu_{F1} + M_F\,\mu_{F2}\right)}{\left(m_q^2 + \mu_{F2}^2\right) - \left(M_F^2 + \mu_{F1}^2\right)} \end{aligned}$$

The mass eigenvalues m_{q_1,q_2} are given by

$$m_{q_1,q_2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathscr{M} \right) \mp \sqrt{\left[\operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathscr{M} \right) \right]^2 - 4 \left(\operatorname{Det} \, \mathscr{M} \right)^2} \right]$$

We identify q_1 with the physical SM quark. The above expressions indicate for a very heavy *F*, i.e. $M_F \gg m_q, \mu_{F1}, \mu_{F2}$, SM quark and VLQ effectively decouple.

Interactions with SM fields

Interactions with the Higgs boson

$$\mathscr{L} \supset \frac{1}{\nu} \bigg[\left(m_t c_L s_R + \mu_{T1} c_L c_R \right) \overline{t}_L t_{2R} + \left(m_t s_L c_R - \mu_{T1} s_L s_R \right) \overline{t}_R t_{2L} \bigg] h + h.c.$$

Interactions with W, Z bosons

$$\mathscr{L} \supset \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} s_L \bar{b}_L \gamma^\mu t_{2L} W^-_\mu + \frac{2g\mathbb{T}_3^t}{\cos\theta_W} c_L s_L \bar{t}_L \gamma^\mu t_{2L} Z_\mu + h.c.$$

where $\mathbb{T}_3^t = 1/2$ is the weak isospin of t_L

- These couplings go as ~ ¹/_M for large M. Essentially decouple and can easily satisfy the experimental constraints.
- These interactions lead to branching ratios of T to bW : tZ : th = 2 : 1 : 1

Branching ratios

arXiv:1409.5500



VLQs are searched for in the channels,

 $T \rightarrow bW, tZ, th$ $B \rightarrow tW, bZ, bh$

For
$$M_{q_2} \gtrsim \text{TeV}$$
, $\beta_{q'_1 W} \approx 2\beta_{q_1 Z} \approx 2\beta_{q_1 h}$ (Singlet)
 $\beta_{q_1 Z} \approx \beta_{q_1 h}, \ \beta_{q'_1 W} \approx 0$ (Doublet)

T. Mandal • Non-standard decays of vectorlike quarks • Seminar at Stockholm University - 26 May, 2025

Exotic decays of VLQs

- In composite Higgs models, VLQs are accompanied with additional scalars (hyper-mesons).
- In extradimensional models, KK excitations are vectorlike. There are scalars like radion, dilaton etc. are also present.
- In simple gauge extensions, Z', W' can contribute to the VLQ production
- In these non-minimal set-up VLQs can be produced through and decayed into new scalars/vectors.
 - Lighter than VLQ: $T, B \rightarrow q\Phi, q\Phi^{\pm}, qZ', qW'$ $\Phi \rightarrow WW, Zh, hh, gg, \gamma\gamma, tt; \Phi^{\pm} \rightarrow WZ, Wh, tb$ $Z' \rightarrow WW, Zh, tt; W' \rightarrow WZ, Wh, tb$
 - Heavier than VLQ: contributes in the production $\Phi \rightarrow TT$, *Tt*, *BB*, *Bb* (this could be KK graviton)

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Some exotic decay modes} \\ \hline T_{2/3} \rightarrow \Phi t; \quad T_{2/3} \rightarrow \Phi^+ b; \quad B_{-1/3} \rightarrow \Phi b; \quad B_{-1/3} \rightarrow \Phi^- t \\ \hline X_{5/3} \rightarrow \Phi^+ t; \quad X_{5/3} \rightarrow \Phi^{++} b; \quad Y_{-4/3} \rightarrow \Phi^- b; \quad Y_{-4/3} \rightarrow \Phi^{--} t \end{array}$

Singlet T + singlet Φ : branching ratios

Interactions with Φ

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L} \supset &-\lambda_{\Phi T}^{a} \Phi\left(c_{L} \bar{t}_{2L} - s_{L} \bar{t}_{L}\right) \Gamma\left(c_{R} t_{2R} - s_{R} t_{R}\right) \\ &-\lambda_{\Phi T}^{b} \Phi\left(c_{L} \bar{t}_{2L} - s_{L} \bar{t}_{L}\right) \Gamma\left(c_{R} t_{R} + s_{R} t_{2R}\right) + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma = \{1, i\gamma_5\}$ for $\Phi = \{\phi, \eta\}$.



Figure: Branching ratios of (a) T quark and (b) Φ .

Rescaled mass limits

Adding the new decay mode, the BR constraint becomes



T. Mandal • Non-standard decays of vectorlike quarks • Seminar at Stockholm University - 26 May, 2025

Limits on Φgg coupling

We recast latest ATLAS study [2102.13405] of a heavy resonance decaying to photon pairs using the following constraint:

$$\kappa^2_{\Phi gg} imes \sigma_{
m
hop
ightarrow \Phi} imes eta_{\Phi
ightarrow \gamma \gamma} < \sigma_{
m meas} imes arepsilon$$

where, β is BR of the diphoton mode, σ_{meas} , ε are the cross-section and efficiency from the study.



The white regions are excluded.

arXiv:2203.13753

$q_2 ightarrow q_1 \Phi$ decay dominant parameter space

- Singlet models have 3 independent parameters
 1 off-diagonal mass term, λ^a, λ^b
- Doublet models have 4 independent parameters 2 off-diagonal mass terms, λ^a , λ^b
- We pick a benchmark mass for VLQ and Φ $M_{q_2} = 1.2, M_{\Phi} = 0.4 \text{ TeV}$
- **Ranges for parameters:** $\lambda^i \in [-1.0, 1.0], \mu \in [0, 50]$
- Demands:
 - BR $(q_2 \rightarrow q\Phi)$ should be greater than the rescaled experimental limits for $M_{q_2} = 1.2$ TeV
 - The effective coupling $\kappa_{\Phi gg} \leq$ the recast limits
 - $\Phi
 ightarrow gg$ branching, $eta^{\Phi}_{gg} \ge$ 50%

Parameter scans



Pair-production of VLQs revisited

$q_2 \bar{q_2}$	Possible final states		
decay	$q_2 = t_2$	$q_2 = b_2$	
	2t + 4j	2b + 4j	
	$2t + 2\gamma + 2j$ [37]	$2b + 2\gamma + 2j$	
	$2t + 4\gamma$ [37]	$2b + 4\gamma$	
	2t + 2b + 2j (#)	$2b + 2t + 2j \ (\#)$	
$a\Phi a\Phi$	$2t + 2b + 2\gamma \ (\#)$	$2b + 2t + 2\gamma \ (\#)$	
<i>q</i> + <i>q</i> +	$2t + 4b \ (\#)$	$2b + 4t \ (\#)$	
	4t + 2j	4b + 2j	
	$4t + 2\gamma$ [37]	$4b + 2\gamma$	
	$4t + 2b \ (\#)$	$4b + 2t \ (\#)$	
	6t [33]	66	
	t + b + 4j	t + b + 4j	
$t\Phi hW$	$t + b + 2\gamma + 2j$	$t + b + 2\gamma + 2j$	
or	$t+b+2j+\ell+E$	$t+b+2j+\ell+E$	
$b\Phi tW$	$t + b + 2\gamma + \ell + E$	$t+b+2\gamma+\ell+E\!$	
01 000	3t + b + 2j	3b + t + 2j	
	$3t + b + \ell + E$	$3b + t + 2\gamma + \ell + E$	
	2t + 4j	2b + 4j	
	$2t + 4\gamma$	$2b + 4\gamma$	
	2t + 2b + 2j	$2b + 2j + 2\gamma$	
	$2t + 2b + 2\gamma$	$2b + 2j + 2\ell$	
$a\Phi a Z$	$2t + 2j + 2\gamma$	$2b + 2\ell + 2\gamma$	
or	$2t + 2\ell + 2j$	$2b + 2t + 2j \ (\#)$	
$a\Phi a_1h$	$2t + 2\ell + 2\gamma$	4b + 2j	
$q \neq q_1 n$	$2t + 4b \ (\#)$	$4b + 2\gamma$	
	$4t + 2\gamma$	$4b + 2\ell$	
	4t + 2b	$4b + 2t \ (\#)$	
	4t + 2j	6b	
	$4t + 2\ell$		

$pp \rightarrow TT \rightarrow (t\Phi)(t\Phi) \rightarrow 2t + 4\gamma$

- Pros: a very clean channel for discovery.
- Cons: hard to achieve substantial branching in $\Phi \to \gamma\gamma$ mode in realistic models



HL-LHC prospects of singlet T

Pair production of t_2 , dominantly decaying to $t\Phi$; $\Phi
ightarrow gg$



- Semileptonic mode \implies one of tops, $t \rightarrow bW \rightarrow b\ell v_{\ell}$
- Therefore, we demand t₂ t₂ event must have
 - Exactly 1 lepton
 - At least 2 b-quark jets (from the tops).
 - At least 2 fat jets for Φ
- We identify other (SM) processes that can pass the same demands; then see if its possible to see the identify the signal from those backgrounds.

HL-LHC reach



- We use a boosted decision tree (BDT) model to separate pair produced t₂ signal from the backgrounds.
- The significance formula use

$$\mathscr{Z} = \frac{N_S}{\sqrt{N_S + N_B}}$$

where, N_S , N_B are signal and background events after BDT cut, at HL-LHC luminosity $\mathscr{L} = 3ab^{-1}$

Exclusive & inclusive modes



(a) Exclusive Mode: $pp \rightarrow t_2 \ \bar{t_2} \rightarrow t\Phi \ \bar{t}\Phi$, Scaling factor: $\beta_{t\Phi}^2$ (b) Inclusive Mode: $pp \rightarrow t_2 \ \bar{t_2} \rightarrow t\Phi + X$, $(X \in \{t\Phi, bW, tZ, tH\})$ Scaling factor: $\beta_{t\Phi}(2 - \beta_{t\Phi})$

HL-LHC prospects of singlet B

- When $B \rightarrow b\Phi$ mode is dominant $B\bar{B} \rightarrow (bgg) (\bar{b}gg) / (bb\bar{b}) (\bar{b}b\bar{b})$ Fully hadronic!
- Singlet B, rescaled limits relax faster
 Decays to SM bosons are not insignificant.
- We look for monoleptonic signatures of a pair produced B.
 (Highest branching is for B → tW mode)



Asymmetric pair production of singlet B

Pair production of $B: pp \rightarrow B\bar{B} \rightarrow (b\Phi) (t^+ W^-)$



Semileptonic mode \implies either the top or W decays leptonically

- Therefore, we demand *B*B event must have
 - Exactly 1 lepton.
 - At least 3 AK4 jets
 - At least 1 high-p_T b jet.
 - At least 1 fat jet (Φ) with $M_J > 300$ GeV, separated from b jet

LHC reach



- We use a simple deep neural network (DNN) with weighted loss for classification.
- The significance formula use

$$\mathscr{Z} = \sqrt{2(N_S + N_B) \ln\left(\frac{N_S + N_B}{N_B}\right) - 2N_S}$$

where, N_S , N_B are signal and background events after DNN cut, at HL-LHC luminosity $\mathscr{L} = 3ab^{-1}$

Discovery and exclusion regions



- − For every mass point, we search over $\beta_{b\Phi} \in [0.1, 0.9]$ to find the maximum and minimum values for $\mathscr{Z} = 5$ and 2.
- For singlet model, signal yield scales as $2\beta_{b\Phi}(1-\beta_{b\Phi})$ and becomes maximum for 0.5.

(BR constraint: $\beta_{bH} + \beta_{bZ} + \beta_{tW} = 1 - \beta_{b\Phi}$)

Singlet/doublet B in fully hadronic mode



Maverick top-partners

 $\mathscr{G} \equiv \mathscr{G}_{SM} \times U(1)_d$

	SU(3)	$SU(2)_L$	Y	Y_d
t _{1R}	3	1	2/3	0
b_R	3	1	-1/3	0
$Q_L = {\binom{t_{1L}}{h_1}}$	3	2	1/6	0
Φ	1	2	1/2	0
t ₂₁	3	1	2/3	1
t_{2R}	3	1	2/3	1
\hat{H}_d	1	1	0	1

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(T \to tZ) &\approx \Gamma(T \to th_1) \approx \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(T \to bW) \approx \frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{M_T^2}{v_{\rm EW}^2} \sin^2 \theta_L^t \\ \Gamma(T \to t\gamma_d) &\approx \Gamma(T \to th_2) \approx \frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{M_T^5}{M_t^2 v_d^2} \frac{\sin^2 \theta_L^t}{1 + \frac{M_T^2}{M_t^2} \sin^2 \theta_L^t} \\ \frac{\Gamma(T \to t + \gamma_d / h_2)}{\Gamma(T \to t/b + W/Z/h_1)} &\approx \left(\frac{M_T}{M_t}\right)^2 \left(\frac{v_{\rm EW}}{v_d}\right)^2 \frac{1}{1 + \frac{M_T^2}{M_t^2} \sin^2 \theta_L^t} \end{split}$$

J. H. Kim et. al. '19

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &= \left(\frac{7}{R(M_{\gamma_d}) + \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} \theta(M_{\gamma_d} - 2M_\ell)}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_T}{1 \text{ TeV}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ GeV}}{M_{\gamma_d}}\right) \\ &\times \begin{cases} \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{-3} & \text{for prompt decays} \\ 2.4 \times 10^{-6} - 7.6 \times 10^{-5} & \text{for displaced vertices} \\ 7.6 \times 10^{-7} - 2.4 \times 10^{-6} & \text{for decays in detector} \\ \lesssim 7.6 \times 10^{-7} & \text{for decays outside the detector} \end{cases}$$

- Decays outside the detector: PRD 107 (2023) 11, 115024
- Prompt decays: submitted to PRD (S. Verma, S. Biswas, TM, S. Mitra)
- Displaced vertices: ongoing (S. Verma, S. Biswas, TM, S. Mitra, N. Reule)

Interesting facts and future investigations

Considered only statistical uncertainty. Will inclusion of systematic uncertainty wash out the reach? Median Z-score will reduce the significance a lot - underestimated.

$$\mathscr{Z} = \sqrt{2} \left(\left(N_S + N_B \right) \ln \left[\frac{\left(N_S + N_B \right) \left(N_B + \sigma_B^2 \right)}{N_B^2 + \left(N_S + N_B \right) \sigma_B^2} \right] - \left(\frac{N_B}{\sigma_B^2} \right)^2 \ln \left[1 + \frac{\sigma_B^2 N_S}{N_B \left(N_B + \sigma_B^2 \right)} \right] \right)^{1/2}$$

Liptak-Stouffer (weighted) Z-score might be a remedy

We used in 2106.07605

$$\mathscr{Z} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \mathscr{Z}_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^2}} \quad w_i^{-1} = N_B^i + (\sigma_B^i)^2$$

- Is the standard cross-entropy loss function used in neural network good? Can we do better? We found a mathematically derived loss-function which can give better Z-score.
- What is the best discovery channel for a doublet *B* that decays to $B \rightarrow b\Phi$? How to discover *B* that decays dominantly to $B \rightarrow b\Phi$? A multi-prong 'vectorlike quark' tagger can help.

Take away

- Searches for VLQs are null. But, we are optimistic, perhaps decaying to non-standard decay modes.
- Mass limits on VLQs relax significantly in the presence of new $Q \rightarrow q\Phi$ decay mode.
- Taking into account rescaled mass limits on VLQs and limits on Φ, we see that Q → qΦ mode can dominate in a large region of available parameter space.
- $\Phi \rightarrow gg$ decays is dominant as well (even above *tt*-threshold) in large part of the parameter space **not fine-tuned**.
- Pair production signatures in the presence of the new decay mode can act as a discovery channel even when $Q \rightarrow q\Phi$ dominates.

Thank you for your attention!